

# New Banach Sequence Spaces Defined by Jordan Totient Function

Uskan Devletli<sup>1</sup>, Merve İlkhan Kara<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

In this study, a special lower triangular matrix derived by combining Riesz matrix and Jordan totient matrix is used to construct new Banach spaces.  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals of the resulting spaces are obtained and some matrix operators are characterized.

**Keywords:** Matrix mappings, Sequence space,  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals

**2010 AMS:** 46B45, 47B07, 47H08

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Düzce, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0001-9857-9513

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Düzce, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0002-0831-1474

\*Corresponding author: merveilkhankara@gmail.com

**Received:** 13 June 2023, **Accepted:** 18 December 2023, **Available online:** 21 December 2023

**How to cite this article:** U. Devletli, M. İ. Kara, *New Banach Sequence Spaces Defined by Jordan Totient Function*, Commun. Adv. Math. Sci., 6(4) (2023), 211-225.

## 1. Introduction and Background

A sequence space is a vector subspace of the space  $\omega$  of all sequences with real entries. Well known classical sequence spaces are the space of  $p$ -absolutely summable sequences  $\ell_p$ , the space of bounded sequences  $\ell_\infty$ , the space of null sequences  $c_0$ , the space of convergent sequences  $c$ . Throughout the study, the notion  $\ell$  is used instead of  $\ell_1$ . Also  $bs$ ,  $cs_0$  and  $cs$  are the most frequently encountered spaces consisting of sequences generating bounded, null and convergent series, respectively. A Banach sequence space having continuous coordinates is called a  $BK$  space. Examples of  $BK$  spaces are  $c_0$  and  $c$  endowed with the supremum norm  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_i |u_i|$ , where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

By virtue of the fact that the matrix mappings between  $BK$ -spaces are continuous, the theory of matrix mappings plays an important role in the study of sequence spaces. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two sequence spaces,  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix with real entries and  $\Lambda_i$  indicate the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\Lambda$ . If each term of the sequence  $\Lambda u = ((\Lambda u)_i) = (\sum_j \lambda_{ij} u_j)$  is convergent, this sequence is called  $\Lambda$ -transform of  $u = (u_i)$ . Further, if  $\Lambda u \in V$  for every sequence  $u \in U$ , then the matrix  $\Lambda$  defines a matrix mapping from  $U$  into  $V$ .  $(U, V)$  represents the collection of all matrices defined from  $U$  into  $V$ . Additionally,  $B(U, V)$  is the set of all bounded (continuous) linear operators from  $U$  to  $V$ . A matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  is called a triangle if  $\lambda_{ii} \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$  for  $j > i$ .

The matrix domain  $U_\Lambda$  of the matrix  $\Lambda$  in the space  $U$  is defined by

$$U_\Lambda = \{u \in \omega : \Lambda u \in U\}.$$

Since this space is also a sequence space, the matrix domain has a crucial role to construct new sequence spaces. Moreover given any triangle  $\Lambda$  and a  $BK$ -space  $U$ , the sequence space  $U_\Lambda$  gives a new  $BK$ -space equipped with the norm  $\|u\|_{U_\Lambda} = \|\Lambda u\|_U$ . Several authors applied this technique to construct new Banach spaces with the help of special triangles. For relevant literature, the papers [1–17] can be referred.

The spaces

$$U^\alpha = \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sum_i |t_i u_i| < \infty \text{ for all } u = (u_i) \in U \right\},$$

$$U^\beta = \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sum_i t_i u_i \text{ converges for all } u = (u_i) \in U \right\},$$

$$U^\gamma = \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sup_i \left| \sum_i t_i u_i \right| < \infty \text{ for all } u = (u_i) \in U \right\},$$

are called the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals of a sequence space  $U$ , respectively.

Note that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $\sup_i, \sum_i, \lim_i$  mean  $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \sum_{i=1}^\infty, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty}$ , respectively.

The Euler totient matrix  $\Phi = (\phi_{ij})$  is defined as in [18]

$$\phi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(j)}{i} & , \text{ if } j \mid i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi$  is the Euler totient function. In the recent time, by using this matrix, many new sequence and series spaces are defined and studied in the papers [19–27].

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \neq 1$ ,  $\varphi(i)$  gives the number of positive integers less than  $i$  which are coprime with  $i$  and  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Also, the equality

$$i = \sum_{j \mid i} \varphi(j)$$

holds for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \neq 1$ , the Möbius function  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\mu(i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } i = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r, \text{ where } p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r \text{ are} \\ & \text{non-equivalent prime numbers} \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{p}^2 \mid i \text{ for some prime number } \tilde{p} \end{cases}$$

and  $\mu(1) = 1$ . The equality

$$\sum_{j \mid i} \mu(j) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

holds except for  $i = 1$ .

The arithmetic function  $J_r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with positive integer order  $r$  is called the Jordan totient function. This function generalizes the Euler totient function. If  $r = 1$ , it is reduced to the Euler totient function. The value  $J_r(i)$  gives the number of  $r$ -tuples of positive integers all less than or equal to  $i$  that form a coprime  $(r + 1)$ -tuples together with  $i$ .

The Jordan function  $J_r$  is multiplicative, i.e. for  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with the greatest common divisor 1 the relation  $J_r(n_1 n_2) = J_r(n_1) J_r(n_2)$  holds.

Let  $p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$  be the unique prime decomposition of  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$J_r(i) = i^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1^r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2^r}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k^r}\right).$$

Also, the following equations hold:

$$\sum_{j \mid i} J_r(j) = i^r$$

and

$$\sum_{j \mid i} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^r} = \frac{J_r(i)}{i^r}.$$

In [28], the authors have defined a new matrix  $\Upsilon^r = (v_{ij}^r)$  as

$$v_{ij}^r = \begin{cases} \frac{J_r(j)}{i^r} & , \text{ if } j | i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i \end{cases}$$

for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is observed that this matrix is regular; that is a limit preserving mapping  $c$  into  $c$ . By using this matrix they introduce a space consisting of sequences whose  $\Upsilon^r$ -transforms are in the space  $\ell_p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Also, in [29], new Banach spaces are obtained by the aid of matrix domain of this matrix in the spaces  $\ell_\infty, c, c_0$ . In [30], the authors have studied the compact operators on the resulting spaces.

The Riesz matrix  $E = (e_{ij})$  is defined as

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_j}{Q_i} & , \text{ if } 0 \leq j \leq i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

where  $(q_j)$  is a sequence of positive numbers and  $Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^i q_j$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In a recent paper [31], the authors have constructed a new matrix called Riesz Euler totient matrix and study the domain of the matrix in the space  $\ell_p$ . The Riesz Euler totient matrix  $R_\Phi = (r_{ij})$  is defined as

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_j \phi(j)}{Q_i} & , \text{ if } j | i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i. \end{cases}$$

The main purpose of this study is to construct new Banach spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ . The matrix  $R_{\Upsilon^r}$  is obtained by combining Jordan totient matrix and Riesz matrix. After studying certain properties of the resulting spaces,  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals are computed. Finally some matrix mappings from the resulting spaces to the classical spaces are characterized.

## 2. The Sequence Spaces $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$

In the present section, we introduce the sequence spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  by using the matrix  $R_{\Upsilon^r}$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ . Also, we present some theorems which give inclusion relations concerning these spaces.

The matrix  $R_{\Upsilon^r} = (v_{ij})$  is defined as

$$v_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_j J_r(j)}{Q_i^r} & , \text{ if } j | i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i, \end{cases}$$

where  $Q_i = q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_i$ . We call this matrix as *Riesz Jordan totient matrix operator*.

Observe that in the special cases this matrix is reduced to the some matrices mentioned in the first section. If  $r = 1$  and  $q_j = 1$  for each  $j$ , it gives the Euler totient matrix. If  $r = 1$ , it gives the Riesz Euler totient matrix. If  $q_j = 1$  for each  $j$ , it gives the Jordan totient matrix.

The inverse  $R_{\Upsilon^r}^{-1} = (v_{ij}^{-1})$  of the matrix  $R_{\Upsilon^r}$  is computed as

$$v_{ij}^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} & , \text{ if } j | i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i \end{cases}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, we introduce the sequence spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  by

$$\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}) = \left\{ u = (u_i) \in \omega : \sup_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}) = \left\{ u = (u_i) \in \omega : \sum_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right|^p < \infty \right\} \quad (1 < p < \infty),$$

$$\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}) = \left\{ u = (u_i) \in \omega : \sum_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Unless otherwise stated,  $v = (v_i)$  will be the  $R_{\Upsilon^r}$ -transform of a sequence  $u = (u_i)$ , that is,  $v_i = (R_{\Upsilon^r} u)_i = \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  are Banach spaces with the norms given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})} &= \sup_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right|, \\ \|u\|_{\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})} &= \left( \sum_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 < p < \infty), \\ \|u\|_{\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})} &= \sum_i \left| \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j \right|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We omit the proof which is straightforward. □

**Corollary 2.2.** *The spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  are BK-spaces, where  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *The space  $U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  is linearly isomorphic to  $U$ , where  $U \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell_p, \ell\}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a mapping defined from  $U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  to  $U$  such that  $f(u) = R_{\Upsilon^r} u$  for all  $u \in U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ . It is clear that  $f$  is linear. Also it is injective since the kernel of  $f$  consists of only zero. To prove that  $f$  is surjective consider the sequence  $u = (u_i)$  whose terms are

$$u_i = \sum_{j|i} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{i}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} v_j$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $v = (v_j)$  is any sequence in  $U$ . It follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{\Upsilon^r} u)_i &= \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) u_j = \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) \sum_{k|j} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) Q_k^r}{J_r(j) q_j} v_k \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} \sum_{k|j} \mu\left(\frac{j}{k}\right) Q_k^r v_k = \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} \left( \sum_{k|j} \mu(k) \right) Q_j^r v_j = \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \mu(1) Q_i^r v_i = v_i \end{aligned}$$

and so  $u = (u_i) \in U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ .  $f$  preserves norms since the equality  $\|u\|_{U(R_{\Upsilon^r})} = \|f(u)\|_U$  holds. □

**Remark 2.4.** *The space  $\ell_2(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  is an inner product space with the inner product defined as  $\langle u, \tilde{u} \rangle_{\ell_2(R_{\Upsilon^r})} = \langle R_{\Upsilon^r} u, R_{\Upsilon^r} \tilde{u} \rangle_{\ell_2}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell_2}$  is the inner product on  $\ell_2$  which induces  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2}$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *The space  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  is not an inner product space for  $p \neq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the sequences  $u = (u_i)$  and  $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_i)$ , where

$$u_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(i) Q_1^r}{J_r(i) q_i} + \frac{\mu\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) Q_2^r}{J_r(i) q_i} & , \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \\ \frac{\mu(i) Q_1^r}{J_r(i) q_i} & , \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(i) Q_1^r}{J_r(i) q_i} - \frac{\mu\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) Q_2^r}{J_r(i) q_i} & , \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \\ \frac{\mu(i) Q_1^r}{J_r(i) q_i} & , \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have  $R_{\Upsilon^r} u = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \ell_p$  and  $R_{\Upsilon^r} \tilde{u} = (1, -1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \ell_p$ . Hence, one can easily observe that

$$\|u + \tilde{u}\|_{\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})}^2 + \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})}^2 \neq 2 \left( \|u\|_{\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})}^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})}^2 \right).$$

□

**Theorem 2.6.** *The inclusion  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \subset \ell_q(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  strictly holds for  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that the inclusion  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \subset \ell_q(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  holds since  $\ell_p \subset \ell_q$  for  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . Also,  $\ell_p \subset \ell_q$  is strict and so there exists a sequence  $z = (z_i)$  in  $\ell_q \setminus \ell_p$ . By defining a sequence  $u = (u_i)$  as

$$u_i = \sum_{j|i} \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} z_j$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that  $u \in \ell_q(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \setminus \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ . Hence, the desired inclusion is strict. □

**Theorem 2.7.** *The inclusion  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \subset \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  strictly holds for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion is obvious since  $\ell_p \subset \ell_\infty$  holds for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $u = (u_i)$  be a sequence such that  $u_i = \sum_{j|i} (-1)^j \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain that  $R_{\Upsilon^r} u = \left( \frac{1}{Q_i^r} \sum_{j|i} q_j J_r(j) \sum_{k|j} (-1)^k \frac{\mu(\frac{j}{k}) Q_k^r}{J_r(j) q_j} \right) = ((-1)^i) \in \ell_\infty \setminus \ell_p$  which implies that  $u \in \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \setminus \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . □

**Lemma 2.8.** [32] *The necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in (U, V)$  with  $U, V \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0, \ell_p, \ell\}$  and  $p > 1$  can be read from Table 1. Here and in what follows,  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the family of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

To From	$\ell_\infty$	$c$	$c_0$	$\ell_p$	$\ell$
$\ell_\infty$	<b>1.</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>9.</b>	<b>14.</b>	<b>16.</b>
$c$	<b>1.</b>	<b>5.</b>	<b>10.</b>	<b>14.</b>	<b>16.</b>
$c_0$	<b>1.</b>	<b>6.</b>	<b>11.</b>	<b>14.</b>	<b>16.</b>
$\ell_p$	<b>2.</b>	<b>7.</b>	<b>12.</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>17.</b>
$\ell$	<b>3.</b>	<b>8.</b>	<b>13.</b>	<b>15.</b>	<b>18.</b>

**Table 1.** The characterization of the class  $(U, V)$ , where  $U, V \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0, \ell_p, \ell\}$ .

1.

$$\sup_i \sum_j |\lambda_{ij}| < \infty \tag{2.1}$$

2.

$$\sup_i \sum_j |\lambda_{ij}|^q < \infty \tag{2.2}$$

3.

$$\sup_{i,j} |\lambda_{ij}| < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

4.

$$\lim_i \lambda_{ij} \text{ exists for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\lim_i \sum_j |\lambda_{ij}| = \sum_j \left| \lim_i \lambda_{ij} \right|$$

5. (2.1), (2.4) and

$$\lim_i \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \text{ exists.}$$

6. (2.1) and (2.4)

7. (2.2) and (2.4)

8. (2.3) and (2.4)

9.

$$\lim_i \sum_j |\lambda_{ij}| = 0$$

10. (2.1) and

$$\lim_i \lambda_{ij} = 0 \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\lim_i \sum_j \lambda_{ij} = 0$$

11. (2.1) and (2.5)

12. (2.2) and (2.5)

13. (2.3) and (2.5)

14.

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in K} \lambda_{ij} \right|^p < \infty$$

15.

$$\sup_j \sum_i |\lambda_{ij}|^p < \infty$$

16.

$$\sup_{N, K \in \mathcal{N}} \left| \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in K} \lambda_{ij} \right| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in N} \lambda_{ij} \right| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in K} \lambda_{ij} \right| < \infty$$

17.

$$\sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in N} \lambda_{ij} \right|^q < \infty$$

18.

$$\sup_j \sum_i |\lambda_{ij}| < \infty$$

### 3. The $\alpha$ -, $\beta$ - and $\gamma$ -duals

In this section, we determine the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals of the sequence spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ . In the following theorem, we determine the  $\alpha$ -duals.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The  $\alpha$ -duals of the spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\alpha &= \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in N, j|i} \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} t_i \right| < \infty \right\}, \\
 (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\alpha &= \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in N, j|i} \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} t_i \right|^q < \infty \right\}, \\
 (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\alpha &= \left\{ t = (t_i) \in \omega : \sup_j \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}, j|i} \left| \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} t_i \right| < \infty \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider the matrix  $C = (c_{ij})$  defined by

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(\frac{i}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(i) q_i} t_i & , \quad j | i \\ 0 & , \quad j \nmid i \end{cases}$$

for any sequence  $t = (t_i) \in \omega$ . Let  $U \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell_p, \ell\}$ . Given any  $u = (u_i) \in U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ , we have  $t_i u_i = (Cv)_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $tu \in \ell$  with  $u \in U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  if and only if  $Cv \in \ell$  with  $v \in U$ . It follows that  $t \in (U(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\alpha$  if and only if  $C \in (U, \ell)$  which completes the proof in view of Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** [33, Theorem 3.1] *Let  $B = (b_{ij})$  be defined via a sequence  $t = (t_k) \in \omega$  and the inverse matrix  $\tilde{\Delta} = (\tilde{\delta}_{ij})$  of the triangle matrix  $\Delta = (\delta_{ij})$  by*

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^i t_k \tilde{\delta}_{kj}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$U_\Delta^\beta = \{t = (t_k) \in \omega : B \in (U, c)\}$$

and

$$U_\Delta^\gamma = \{t = (t_k) \in \omega : B \in (U, \ell_\infty)\}.$$

Consequently, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let define the following sets:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= \left\{ t = (t_k) \in \omega : \lim_i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} t_k \text{ exists for each } j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \\
 A_2 &= \left\{ t = (t_k) \in \omega : \sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} t_k \right|^q < \infty \right\}, \\
 A_3 &= \left\{ t = (t_k) \in \omega : \lim_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} t_k \right| = \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^\infty \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} t_k \right| \right\}, \\
 A_4 &= \left\{ t = (t_k) \in \omega : \sup_{i, j} \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} t_k \right| < \infty \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*The  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals of the spaces  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\beta &= A_1 \cap A_3, \quad (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\beta = A_1 \cap A_2, \quad (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\beta = A_1 \cap A_4. \\
 (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\gamma &= A_2 \text{ with } q = 1, \quad (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\gamma = A_2, \quad (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))^\gamma = A_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $t = (t_k) \in \omega$ ,  $U \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell_p, \ell\}$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix with terms

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i t_k \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} & , \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^i t_j u_j = \sum_{j=1}^j t_j \left( \sum_{k|j} \frac{\mu(\frac{j}{k}) Q_k^r}{J_r(j) q_j} v_k \right) = \sum_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{k=j, j|k}^i t_k \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right) v_j = (Bv)_i$$

for any  $u = (u_i) \in U(R_{Yr})$ . This equality yields that  $tu \in cs$  for  $u \in U(R_{Yr})$  if and only if  $Bv \in c$  for  $v \in U$ . That is,  $t \in (U(R_{Yr}))^\beta$  if and only if  $B \in (U, c)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.8, it is concluded that  $(\ell_\infty(R_{Yr}))^\beta = A_1 \cap A_3$ ,  $(\ell_p(R_{Yr}))^\beta = A_1 \cap A_2$ ,  $(\ell(R_{Yr}))^\beta = A_1 \cap A_4$ .

This equality also yields that  $tu \in bs$  for  $u \in U(R_{Yr})$  if and only if  $Bv \in \ell_\infty$  for  $v \in U$ . That is,  $t \in (U(R_{Yr}))^\gamma$  if and only if  $B \in (U, \ell_\infty)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.8, it is concluded that  $(\ell_\infty(R_{Yr}))^\gamma = A_2$  with  $q = 1$ ,  $(\ell_p(R_{Yr}))^\gamma = A_2$ ,  $(\ell(R_{Yr}))^\gamma = A_4$ . □

### 4. Certain Matrix Transformations

In this section, characterization of certain classes of matrices is given. The following result is obtained from Theorem 4.1 in [34] and this result is required to characterize the classes of matrices from  $\ell_\infty(R_{Yr})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{Yr})$ ,  $\ell(R_{Yr})$  into  $\ell_\infty, c, c_0, \ell$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $U \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell_p, \ell\}$  and  $V \subset \omega$ . Then,  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in (U_{R_{Yr}}, V)$  if and only if  $\Theta^{(i)} = (\theta_{lj}^{(i)}) \in (U, c)$  for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Theta = (\theta_{ij}) \in (U, V)$ , where*

$$\theta_{lj}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} & , \quad 1 \leq j \leq l \\ 0 & , \quad j > l \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta_{ij} = \sum_{k=j, j|k}^\infty \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda \in (U_{R_{Yr}}, V)$  and  $u \in U_{R_{Yr}}$ . Then, the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_{ij} u_j &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_{ij} \left( \sum_{k|j} \frac{\mu(\frac{j}{k}) Q_k^r}{J_r(j) q_j} v_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right) v_j = \sum_{j=1}^l \theta_{lj}^{(i)} v_j \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

holds. Since  $\Lambda u$  exists, it follows that  $\Theta^{(i)} \in (U, c)$  for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is deduced that  $\Lambda u = \Theta v$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.1). Hence,  $\Lambda u \in V$  implies that  $\Theta v \in V$ ; that is  $\Theta \in (U, V)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Theta^{(i)} = (\theta_{lj}^{(i)}) \in (U, c)$  for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Theta = (\theta_{ij}) \in (U, V)$ . Let  $u \in U_{R_{Yr}}$ . Then,  $(\theta_{ij}) \in U^\beta$  for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  implies that  $(\lambda_{ij}) \in U_{R_{Yr}}^\beta$  for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\Lambda u$  exists. From equality (4.1), it follows that  $\Lambda u = \Theta v$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves that  $\Lambda \in (U_{R_{Yr}}, V)$ . □

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:*

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_\infty(R_{Yr}), \ell_\infty)$  if and only if

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \text{ exists for each fixed } i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\lim_l \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| = \sum_j \left| \lim_l \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| \tag{4.3}$$



and

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3),

$$\lim_i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \text{ exists for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\lim_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| = \sum_j \left| \lim_i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|.$$

3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3),

$$\lim_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| = 0.$$

4.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3) and

$$\sup_{N, K \in \mathcal{N}} \left| \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in K} \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.1. □

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix and  $p > 1$ . Then, the following statements hold:

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_{\infty})$  if and only if (4.2),

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=1}^l \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^l \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|^q < \infty \text{ for each fixed } i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|^q < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7), (4.5), (4.8).

3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7), (4.8),

$$\lim_i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} = 0 \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.9}$$

4.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7),

$$\sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{ik} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{k}{j}\right) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|^q < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.1. □

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty)$  if and only if (4.2),

$$\sup_{l,j} \left| \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^l \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty \text{ for each fixed } i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\sup_{i,j} \left| \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10), (4.5), (4.11).
3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), c_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10), (4.9), (4.11).
4.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10),

$$\sup_j \sum_i \left| \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 4.1. □

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), bs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3),

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3),

$$\lim_i \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \text{ exists for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\lim_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| = \sum_j \left| \lim_i \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|.$$

3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.3)

$$\lim_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| = 0.$$

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), bs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7),

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j,|j|k}^\infty \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right|^q < \infty. \tag{4.14}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7), (4.13), (4.14).
3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.7), (4.14),

$$\lim_i \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} = 0 \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.15}$$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

1.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), bs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10),

$$\sup_{i,j} \left| \sum_{l=1}^i \sum_{k=j, j|k}^{\infty} \lambda_{lk} \frac{\mu(\frac{k}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(k) q_k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.16}$$

2.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10), (4.13), (4.16).
3.  $\Lambda \in (\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), cs_0)$  if and only if (4.2), (4.10), (4.15), (4.16).

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix and  $p > 1$ . Then, the following statements hold:

- (a)  $\Lambda \in (\ell_{\infty}, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c_0, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in K} \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right|^p < \infty.$$

- (b)  $\Lambda \in (\ell, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_j \sum_i \left| \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right|^p < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof is given only for the matrix in  $(\ell_{\infty}, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  since the other case can be proven similarly. Given any infinite matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in (\ell_{\infty}, \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$ , define a new matrix  $\hat{\Lambda} = (\hat{\lambda}_{ij})$  by

$$\hat{\lambda}_{ij} = \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any  $u = (u_j) \in \ell_{\infty}$ , the equality

$$\sum_j \hat{\lambda}_{ij} u_j = \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \sum_j \lambda_{lj} u_j$$

means that  $(\hat{\Lambda}u)_i = (R_{\Upsilon^r}(\Lambda u))_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\Lambda u \in \ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  for  $u = (u_j) \in \ell_{\infty}$  if and only if  $\hat{\Lambda}u \in \ell_p$  for  $u = (u_j) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Hence, we conclude from Lemma 2.8 that

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in K} \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right|^p < \infty.$$

□

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

- (a)  $\Lambda \in (\ell_{\infty}, \ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c, \ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c_0, \ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right| < \infty.$$

- (b)  $\Lambda \in (\ell, \ell_{\infty}(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_{i,j} \left| \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows with the same way in the proof of Theorem 4.8. □

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

(a)  $\Lambda \in (\ell_\infty, \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c, \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})) = (c_0, \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in K} \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right| < \infty.$$

(b)  $\Lambda \in (\ell, \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  if and only if

$$\sup_j \sum_i \left| \sum_{l|i} \frac{q_l J_r(l)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{lj} \right| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows with the same way in the proof of Theorem 4.8. □

Now, we investigate the norm of the bounded linear matrix operators from  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ ,  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  into  $\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  and  $\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r})$ . Firstly, we have a lemma which is essential for our investigation.

**Lemma 4.11.** Given any infinite matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ , the norm of bounded linear operators is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)} &= \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_p, \ell_\infty)} = \sup_i \sum_j |\lambda_{ij}|^q \\ \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell, \ell_\infty)} &= \sup_{i,j} |\lambda_{ij}| \\ \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_\infty, \ell)} &= \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_p, \ell)} = \sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in K} \lambda_{ij} \right|^q \\ \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell, \ell)} &= \sup_j \sum_i |\lambda_{ij}|. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix.

(a) If  $\Lambda \in B(\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  or  $\Lambda \in B(\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$ , then

$$\sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|^q < \infty$$

and

$$\|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \sup_i \sum_j \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|^q.$$

(b) If  $\Lambda \in B(\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$ , then

$$\sup_{i,j} \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right| < \infty$$

and

$$\|\Lambda\|_{(\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \sup_{i,j} \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|.$$

(c) If  $\Lambda \in B(\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$  or  $\Lambda \in B(\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$ , then

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in K} \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|^q < \infty$$

and

$$\|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_\infty(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \|\Lambda\|_{(\ell_p(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \sup_{K \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in K} \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|^q.$$

(d) If  $\Lambda \in B(\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))$ , then

$$\sup_j \sum_i \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right| < \infty$$

and

$$\|\Lambda\|_{(\ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}), \ell(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \sup_j \sum_i \left| \sum_{j|l} \frac{\mu(\frac{l}{j}) Q_j^r}{J_r(l) q_l} \sum_{k|i} \frac{q_k J_r(k)}{Q_i^r} \lambda_{kl} \right|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Lambda} = R_{\Upsilon^r} \Lambda R_{\Upsilon^r}^{-1}$ . From Theorem 2.3, it is known that the spaces  $U(R_{\Upsilon^r})$  and  $U$  are linearly isomorphic. Hence, we deduce from the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(R_{\Upsilon^r}) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & V(R_{\Upsilon^r}) \\ R_{\Upsilon^r}^{-1} \uparrow & & \downarrow R_{\Upsilon^r} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Lambda} = R_{\Upsilon^r} \Lambda R_{\Upsilon^r}^{-1}} & V \end{array}$$

that  $\|\Lambda\|_{(U(R_{\Upsilon^r}), V(R_{\Upsilon^r}))} = \|\tilde{\Lambda}\|_{(U, V)}$ , where  $U \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell_p, \ell\}$  and  $V \in \{\ell_\infty, \ell\}$ . Thus, the desired results follows from Lemma 4.11.  $\square$

### Article Information

**Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

**Author’s contributions:** All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosure:** No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

**Copyright Statement:** Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

**Supporting/Supporting Organizations:** No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

**Ethical Approval and Participant Consent:** It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

**Plagiarism Statement:** This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

**Availability of data and materials:** Not applicable.

### References

- [1] B. Altay, F. Başar, M. Mursaleen, *On the Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $\ell_\infty$* , Inform. Sci., **176**(10) (2006), 1450-1462.
- [2] F. Başar, B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of  $p$ -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukrainian Math. J., **55**(1) (2003), 136-147.
- [3] F. Başar, *Summability Theory and Its Applications*, Bentham Science Publishers, İstanbul, 2012.
- [4] E. E. Kara, *Some topological and geometrical properties of new Banach sequence spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013**(38) (2013), 15 pages.
- [5] M. Kirişci, F. Başar, *Some new sequence spaces derived by the domain of generalized difference matrix*, Comput. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), 1299-1309.
- [6] M. Kirişci, *Riesz type integrated and differentiated sequence spaces*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **7**(2) (2015), 14-27.
- [7] S. Demiriz, E. E. Kara, *On compact operators on some sequence spaces related to matrix  $B(r, s, t)$* , Thai J. Math., **14**(3) (2016), 651-666.

- [8] M. Mursaleen, A.K. Noman, *On some new difference sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **52**(3-4) (2010), 603-617.
- [9] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika, *On sequence spaces defined by the domain of a regular Tribonacci matrix*, Math. Slovaca, **70**(3) (2020), 697-706.
- [10] P. Zengin Alp, *A new paranormed sequence space defined by Catalan conservative matrix*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **44**(9) (2021), 7651-7658.
- [11] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika, M. Mursaleen, *On sequence space derived by the domain of  $q$ -Cesaro matrix in  $\ell_p$  space and the associated operator ideal*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **493**(1) (2021), 124453.
- [12] M. İlkhān, E. E. Kara, *Matrix transformations and compact operators on Catalan sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **498**(1) (2021), 124925.
- [13] T. Yaying, *Paranormed Riesz difference sequence spaces of fractional order*, Kragujevac J. Math., **46**(2) (2022), 175-191.
- [14] P. Zengin Alp, E. E. Kara, *New Banach spaces defined by the domain of Riesz-Fibonacci matrix*, Korean J. Math., **29**(4) (2021), 665-677.
- [15] M. İlkhān Kara, H. Roopaei, *The Cesàro–Gamma operator and its associated sequence space*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **6**(3) (2021), 1-21.
- [16] H. Roopaei, M. İlkhān Kara, *Negative difference operator and its associated sequence space*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **42**(4) (2021), 480-496.
- [17] H. Roopaei, M. İlkhān, *Fractional Cesàro matrix and its associated sequence space*, Concr. Oper., **8**(1) (2021), 24-39.
- [18] M. İlkhān, E. E. Kara, *A new Banach space defined by Euler totient matrix operator*, Oper. Matrices, **13**(2) (2019), 527-544.
- [19] S. Demiriz, S. Erdem, *Domain of Euler-totient matrix operator in the space  $Li_p$* , Korean J. Math., **28**(2) (2020), 361-378.
- [20] S. Demiriz, M. İlkhān, E. E. Kara, *Almost convergence and Euler totient matrix*, Ann. Funct. Anal., **11**(3) (2020), 604-616.
- [21] S. Erdem, S. Demiriz, *4-dimensional Euler-totient matrix operator and some double sequence spaces*, Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes, **8**(2) (2020), 110-122.
- [22] G. C. Hazar Güleç, Merve İlkhān, *A new paranormed series space using Euler totient means and some matrix transformations*, Korean J. Math., **28**(2) (2020), 205-221.
- [23] G. C. Hazar Güleç, Merve İlkhān, *A new characterization of absolute summability factors*, Commun. Optim. Theory, **2020** (2020), Article ID 15, 11 pages.
- [24] M. İlkhān, *Matrix domain of a regular matrix derived by Euler totient function in the spaces  $c_0$  and  $c$* , Mediterr. J. Math., **17** (2020), Article number 27.
- [25] M. İlkhān, G. C. Hazar Güleç, *A study on absolute Euler totient series space and certain matrix transformations*, Mugla J. Sci. Technol., **6**(1) (2020), 112-119.
- [26] M. İlkhān, S. Demiriz, E. E. Kara, *A new paranormed sequence space defined by Euler totient matrix*, Karaelmas Sci. Eng. J., **9**(2) (2019), 277-282.
- [27] M. İlkhān, *Certain geometric properties and matrix transformations on a newly introduced Banach space*, Fundam. J. Math. Appl., **3**(1) (2020), 45-51.
- [28] M. İlkhān, N. Şimşek, E. E. Kara, *A new regular infinite matrix defined by Jordan totient function and its matrix domain in  $\ell_p$* , Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **44**(9) (2021), 7622-7633.
- [29] E. E. Kara, N. Şimşek, M. İlkhān Kara, *On new sequence spaces related to domain of the Jordan totient matrix*, S. A. Mohiuddine, B. Hazarika (editors), *Sequence space theory with applications*, New York, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2022, pp. 1-19.
- [30] M. İlkhān, E. E. Kara, F. Usta, *Compact operators on the Jordan totient sequence spaces*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **44**(9) (2021), 7666-7675.
- [31] M. İlkhān, M. A. Bayrakdar, *A study on matrix domain of Riesz-Euler totient matrix in the space of  $p$ -absolutely summable sequences*, Commun. Adv. Math. Sci., **4**(1) (2021), 14-25.
- [32] M. Stieglitz, H. Tietz, *Matrix transformationen von folgenräumen eine ergebnisübersicht*, Math. Z., **154** (1977), 1-16.
- [33] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Certain topological properties and duals of the matrix domain of a triangle matrix in a sequence space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **336** (2007), 632-645.

- [34] M. Kirişci, F. Başar, *Some new sequence spaces derived by the domain of generalized difference matrix*, Comput. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), 1299-1309.