Din ve İnsan Dergisi

Journal of Religion and Human - مجلة الدين والإنسان

ISSN: 2980-1117

Cilt: 3 **Sayı: 6** **Aralık 2023**

Volume / المجلد: 3 **Issue / العدد: 6** **December / كانون الأول 2023**

Dr. Metin ÇINAROĞLU
İstanbul Nişantaşı Üniversitesi
İktisadi İdari ve Sosyal Bilimler Fakültesi
Psikoloji (İngilizce) Bölümü
metincinaroglu@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0001-6342-3949

DİN, MANEVİYAT, RUH SAĞLIĞI VE İYİ OLUŞ HALİ; DERLEME

RELIGION, SPIRITUALITY, MENTAL HEALTH AND WELLBEING; A REVIEW

Atıf: Çınaroğlu, M. (2023). Din, maneviyat, ruh sağlığı ve iyi oluş hali; derleme. *Din ve İnsan Dergisi, 3* (6), 46-64.

Makale Türü / Article Information: Derleme Makalesi / Review Article

Yükleme Tarihi / Received Date: 12.07.2023
Kabul Tarihi / Accepted Date: 22.09.2023
Yayımlanma Tarihi / Published Date: Aralık 2023
İlk-Son Sayfa / First-Last Page: 46-64

İntihal

Plagiarism / انتحال

Bu makale intihal programında taranmıştır.
This article was checked in the plagiarism program.
تم مسح هذه المقالة في برنامج الانتحال

Yayımlanan makalelerde Araştırma ve Yayın Etiğine riayet edilmiş; COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics)'un Editör ve Yazarlar için yayımlamış olduğu uluslararası standartlar dikkate alınmıştır.

Research and Publication Ethics were complied with in the published articles; International standards published by COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) for Editors and Authors have been taken into account.

DİN, MANEVİYAT, RUH SAĞLIĞI VE İYİ OLUŞ HALİ; DERLEME

RELIGION, SPIRITUALITY, MENTAL HEALTH AND WELL-BEING: A REVIEW

Özet

Din, maneviyat, ruh sağlığı ve refah arasındaki ilişki giderek artan bir ilgi ve araştırma konusu haline gelmiştir. Bu makale, bu yapılar arasındaki karmaşık etkileşimi ve bunların psikopatoloji ve iyi oluş üzerindeki etkilerini incelemek için literatürün bir incelemesini sunmaktadır. Bulgular din, maneviyat ve zihinsel sağlık sonuçları arasında karmaşık bir ilişkiyi ortaya koyuyor. Bir yandan çok sayıda çalışma, dini ve manevi inanç ve uygulamaların ruh sağlığı ve refahı üzerindeki potansiyel olumlu etkisini vurgulamaktadır. Öte yandan bazı çalışmalar, dini temelli suçluluk, korku veya katı dogma gibi dini ve manevi inançlarla ilişkili, psikolojik sıkıntıya veya uyumsuz başa çıkma stratejilerine katkıda bulunabilecek potansiyel olumsuz etkileri öne sürmektedir. Dinin ve maneviyatın ruh sağlığı sonuçları üzerindeki etkisi bireylere ve kültürel bağlamlara göre değişiklik gösterebilir; bu da çeşitliliğin ve bireysel farklılıkların dikkate alınması ihtiyacını vurgulamaktadır. Dini bağlılık, dini katılım düzeyi ve dindarlık/maneviyatın kişisel yorumu gibi faktörler bu yapılar arasındaki ilişkiyi yumuşatabilir. Psikopatolojiye ilişkin bulgular karışıktır; bazı çalışmalar dindarlığın veya maneviyatın koruyucu bir etkisini rapor ederken, diğerleri anlamlı bir ilişki bulamamakta ve hatta potansiyel risk faktörleri bulmaktadır. Bu dinamikleri anlamak, kültürel açıdan duyarlı ve kapsayıcı bakım sağlamada ruh sağlığı profesyonelleri için çok önemlidir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Din Psikolojisi, Din ve Psikopatoloji, Din ve İyi Oluş Hali, Din ve Ruh Sağlığı

Abstract

The association between religion, spirituality, mental health, and well-being has garnered increasing attention within the realm of research. This article conducts a comprehensive literature review to scrutinize the intricate interplay between these constructs and their implications for psychopathology and well-being. The findings elucidate a multifaceted relationship between religion, spirituality, and mental health outcomes. On one hand, a multitude of studies underscore the potential positive impact of religious and spiritual beliefs and practices on mental health and well-being. On the other hand, some investigations posit potential adverse effects associated with religious and spiritual beliefs, such as religiously derived guilt, fear or doctrinal rigidity, which may contribute to psychological distress or maladaptive coping strategies. The influence of religion and spirituality on mental health outcomes is subject to variability among individuals and cultural contexts, underscoring the importance of accounting for diversity and individual disparities. Factors such as religious affiliation, degree of religious involvement, and personal interpretations of religiosity/spirituality may serve as moderating factors in the relationship between these constructs. In the realm of psychopathology, findings exhibit heterogeneity with certain studies documenting a protective effect of religiosity or spirituality, while others report no significant association or even potential risk factors. A comprehensive grasp of these dynamics is imperative for mental health professionals to deliver culturally sensitive and inclusive care.

Keywords: Psychology of Religion, Religion and Psychopathology, Religion and Well-being, Religion and Mental Health.

Background

Examining the literature, it becomes evident that a close relationship with God and engagement in religious practices guided by faith contribute to heightened happiness, increased tolerance and enhanced conflict resolution abilities (Kirkpatrick, 2005; Marcum, Ellison & Bradshaw, 2010). Notably, mental health emerges as a prominent theme in this context, demonstrating a positive correlation with religiosity-oriented thinking. It appears that individuals have derived considerable benefit from religious perspectives in resolving everyday challenges, addressing post-traumatic events, and managing stress (Perez, Koenig, Smith & Pargament, 1998).

However, it is worth noting that some individuals report a fluctuating relationship with God encountering difficulties in maintaining proximity to the divine (Edwards & Hall, 2002; Beck, 2006; Pargament et al., 2000; Tarakeswar & Hahn, 2001, 2004). They may struggle to connect with their spiritual selves and may not receive the answers they seek through prayer. Consequently, some may experience disillusionment and feel unfulfilled in their spiritual pursuits, which can lead to weakening of their religious connections (Lobel et al., 1999). Such expectations can be attributed to distinct personality traits as individuals may perceive divine actions in response to past transgressions leading to a sense of divine retribution (Exline et al., 2011). Some individuals might contend that their life difficulties are a result of their previous sins, thereby influencing their perception of their relationship with God (Wegner & Gray, 2010).

Insufficient or troubled relationships with God can carry significant consequences that notably impact mental health (Ano & Vasconcelles, 2005; Smith et al., 2003). Several studies have concluded that a strained relationship with God can be a contributing factor to depression, reduced self-esteem, anxiety, guilt, diminished hope, negative feelings towards others, post-traumatic stress disorder, and even suicidal tendencies (Yali, Sanderson & Exline, 1999; Hipp, Brant & Pargament, 1998). Another facet of this dynamic is intrapsychic wherein feelings of guilt for perceived sins and an expectation of punishment contribute to stress, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder. The manner in which religious teachings are presented emphasizing divine surveillance and punitive consequences may be linked to some of these problematic relationships (Hecthc, 2003). Additionally, advancements in technology may prompt individuals to question traditional religious teaching styles and practices, resulting in varied coping responses (Hecthc, 2003).

Furthermore, uncertainty plays a significant role in these struggles when religious uncertainty associated with negative outcomes such as depression, anxiety, and mental health issues (Wulff, Krause, Ellison & Ingersoll-Dayton, 1999; Flannely, Galek, Krause, Kudler & Ellison,

2007). Paradoxically, religious uncertainty would also lead to increased well-being, greater satisfaction, and improved mental health (Ellison, 1991).

In conclusion, this review highlights the multifaceted nature of the relationship between individuals, their faith and their mental health emphasizing the need for a nuanced understanding of these dynamics in both research and clinical practice.

Introduction

Well-being, psychopathology and religion have converged as subjects of substantial research over the past two decades with increasing attention paid to the interplay between well-being and religious-oriented thinking (A. Bush Moller et al., 2020). This intersection has witnessed the conduct of numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs), examining various facets of the relationship between religious beliefs and mental states. Some RCTs have explored the association between happiness and religion (Lewis & Cruise, 2006), life satisfaction, positive affect and improved moral values (Koenig & Larson, 2001) while others have delved into the connection between religion and depression (Smith et al., 2003). Additionally, there has been a growing body of research centered on the influence of religious-oriented thinking, and psychotherapy on psychopathological conditions such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and personality disorders.

Cross-sectional studies employing surveys and scales have also been conducted to investigate these topics due to their ease of data collection and the quantitative data's enhanced reliability in individual assessment procedures. Evaluating the impact of religion or religious-oriented thinking on well-being and psychological states typically necessitates repeated measurements of relevant variables (Bantia et al., 2007; Maltby et al., 1999; Ellison et al., 2001). In the field of religion and psychology, it is common practice to employ indirect procedural studies to gather evidence in experimental research (A. Buch Moller et al., 2020). However, this model introduces inconsistencies between questions and answers, potentially leading to correlations between individual queries and responses. This model was first highlighted by Robinson (Robinson, 1950) and later termed the "ecological fallacy" by Selvin (Selvin, 1958). Robinson and Selvin demonstrated that this conflict, known as the "ecological fallacy" can manifest either in the same direction or in opposition, making the association between prayer and well-being (P/WB) potentially positive or negative. When the association is positive, the mathematical explanation may indicate the opposite due to the independence of the two variables, making it challenging to assume their direction. Thus, a plausible interpretation of this correlation suggests that they are not equivalent. This can be empirically investigated through longitudinal studies, observing the relationship between prayer frequency and the quality of well-being, such as changes in associated variables due to increased prayer. Several longitudinal studies have been conducted

without clinical pre-post tests (Altizer et al., 2010; Anderson, 2008; Sun et al., 2012; Ranneberger et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2010; Howard & Krause, 2013; Kivela et al., 1996).

An emerging research field is delving into the intricate relationships between religiosity and health, though the results remain inconclusive. Nevertheless, the evidence suggests that various aspects of religious engagement, belief in God and regular prayer participation are associated with positive life outcomes, including well-being, resilience in the face of stress, perseverance in adversity, forgiveness and happiness, often driven by the hope for an afterlife and feelings of gratitude (Smith, McCullough, & Poll, 2003; Koenig, 2009, 2011).

Conversely, recognizing the potential for both positive and negative impacts of religiosity on mental health and well-being, researchers have begun to engage in a more balanced examination of these relationships. Some perspectives of religious association with mental health and well-being have raised concerns about emotional destabilization (Pargament, et al., 2005; Pargament, 2002; Exline, 2002). This field, referred to as "Spiritual Conation," encompasses three phases: 1. A harmonious or problematic relationship with God; 2. Negative interactions with other believers and interpersonal conflicts within religious communities; 3. Doubts and struggles related to belief (McConnel, et al., 2006). Nonetheless, a few studies have suggested that these so-called negative associations may be more related to psychological distress than interpersonal conflicts (Lee & Ellison, 2010).

Why do we need this review?

Religion and psychology are two important aspects of life. Religion, spirituality and well-being associations have been a subject for researchers as believing has an important effect on persons both emotional and cognitively. In this review it has been aimed to shed light to this ongoing dilemma whether it is good or bad for the overall psychology of the persons.

Method

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple databases, including Wiley Online, Dergipark, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Google scholar and Ebsco. The search aimed to identify relevant studies published between January 1995 and March 2023. Keywords such as "religion," "spirituality," "mental health," "well-being," "psychopathology," were used. Studies that investigated the association between religion, spirituality, mental health, and well-being in diverse populations were included based on predefined criteria. The identified articles were screened based on titles and abstracts, and subsequent inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to refine the selection process.

Religion, Spirituality and Well-being Associations in The Context of Psychiatry

Theoretical perspectives have underscored the significance of life's meaning-making process as a fundamental element of mental health and well-being (Heidegger, 2007; Yalom, 2002; Beck et al., 2011). Notably, a remarkable disparity exists between the beliefs in God and religious-oriented thinking among therapists and patients within clinical care (Shafranske, 2011). For instance, while approximately 90% of US citizens believe in God, only 24% of clinical practitioners share this belief. It is worth noting that during Freud's era, both psychiatrists and clinical psychologists did not favor the perspective of religion (Brandt, Borras, Mohr & Gillierian, 2006). Furthermore, therapists were often unaware of their patients' religious orientations, despite professing comfort with belief in God (Huguelet et al., 2006).

Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia, classified under ICD-10 and DSM-5, is a mental disorder characterized by delusions and hallucinations, accompanied by a decline in personal and social functioning. Schizophrenia affects approximately 1% of the population, and full recovery from the disease is a rare occurrence, with only about 12% of individuals achieving it (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Beck et al., 2011; World Health Organization [WHO], 1992).

In the context of schizophrenia, it is crucial to delineate aspects related to delusions, religious delusions and hallucinations. Hallucinations, the perceptual experiences devoid of external stimuli, are perceptual creations that occur without external stimuli (Cullberg, 2005). Delusions, however, are more intricate to explain as they involve elements of time, space and cultural nuances (Oyebode, 2008). In clinical psychiatric practice, delusions are often referred to as false beliefs, wherein patients may consider them true, while therapists recognize their falseness. Notably, the distinction between religious delusions and normal beliefs exists on a continuum (Oyebode, 2008; Meissner, 1996; Oltmanns & Maher, 1988). What may seem normal to some individuals might be labeled as hallucinations from a psychiatric perspective (van Os & Vollebergh, Bijl, Bak, Hansen, 2005). The challenge in psychiatric research lies in discerning the difference between pathological beliefs and non-pathological ones and understanding how these beliefs influence a person's condition (Cullberg, 2005; Beck et al., 2011).

Studies conducted in Turkey (Kaya, 2023; Aydın, 2023; Koç, 2023; Kocaoğlu, 2023) have indicated that religious-oriented thinking and religious counseling might be effective in managing major mental disorders and schizophrenia.

Bipolar

The impact of religion and religious-oriented thinking on psychiatry and well-being extends beyond the works of William James (d. 1902) (Dein, 2010). Studies exploring psychosis and religious-oriented thinking aim to distinguish between healthy religious practices and

pathological ones (Hunt, 2000; Jackson & Fulford, 1997; Littlewood & Dein, 2011; Sims, 2016; Mohr et al., 2010; Boisen, 1960; Menezes & Moreira-Almedia, 2010). For individuals with bipolar disorder, interpretation is a crucial aspect, particularly during manic phases (Yatham, Kolesar, Lam & Michalak; Wellness Centre of Bipolar, n.d.; Van Jost, 2014; Loberg, 2012; Hendriks, 2015; Cole, 2015). Research findings have revealed a positive correlation between religious-oriented thinking, spirituality and well-being/quality of life during these phases (Gençeli, 2023; Rhoads, Wilson & Gallemore, 1969; De Fazio et al., Richards, 2011).

Individuals who experience religious-oriented episodes are considered to be in a pathological state, and their increasing preoccupation with religion may signify the progression of these episodes (Suggart & Jerrell, 2004; Brewerton, 1994; Braam, 2009). Mania, a fundamental component of Bipolar 1 disorder, is the focus of treatment. During manic phases, individuals experience heightened mood, increased self-esteem, boundless energy, reduced sleep and a compulsion for action. Conversely, depressive episodes are characterized by prolonged sadness, loss of interest in activities, excessive sleep, feelings of worthlessness and suicidal thoughts (Nolen & Kupka, 2009; Kupka et al., 2015). Given the potential for mixing episodes that may be considered pathological, it is essential to investigate the relationship between religion and bipolar disorder. Comparative studies have explored the association between mania, delusion and religion, compared with other diagnostic control groups (Yılmaz, 2023; Sheehan & Kroll, 1989; Harvey & Cothran, 1986; Brewerton, 1994), as well as the background differences within groups and the intensity of episodes based on religious delusions (Stratowski, Fleck & Getz, 2018).

Muslim Mental Health and Religion

Approximately 1.7 billion Muslims reside worldwide, with 35% of these individuals living in non-Muslim countries (Anwar, 2008). For instance, it is estimated that eight to ten million Muslims live in the United States (Khalidi, 2001; Efdal, 2023; Froehle, Perl & Bagby, 2001). Islam is the fastest-growing religion globally and in the United States (Humedian, S. R. Ali & Liu, 2004), owing to factors such as immigration from Muslim nations, high fertility rates and the popularity of Islam which influences conversion rates (Keshavarizi et al., 2013). Consequently, nearly two billion Muslims navigate their religion's intricacies and their mental processes' perceptual and interpretative dimensions. Clinical professionals, particularly psychiatrists, should receive training to gain insightful insights into how Muslims perceive mental health and well-being. This enables professionals to contextualize medication, psychotherapy and treatment within the framework of Islamic religion to facilitate effective communication, understanding and coping with the disease and its treatment, if possible (Pargament, 1997).

Interest in religious-oriented psychotherapeutic applications has grown, establishing itself as a developing field of research in religion and well-being (Koenig, George, Larson &

McCullough, 2000). Clinicians have reported an increasing demand from patients to discuss religious-oriented issues within the therapy environment (Wade & Post, 2009). Pew Research Center conducted a global survey in 2002, revealing that US citizens are more inclined to integrate God into their daily lives, while Europeans tend to lead more secular lifestyles (Wiegandt & Joas, 2007; Hodge, 1996; Çınar, 2023). However, even in Europe, individuals identify with religion and cultural norms.

In Muslim populations, randomized controlled trials on this subject are limited, especially within more conservative subcultures in Muslim nations. The level of traditionalism within Muslim cultures often corresponds to the availability of empirical research on this subject. Nonetheless, research within the United States has examined differences in well-being between Muslim immigrants and native-born Muslims, with findings indicating that native-born Muslims tend to be happier. Schizophrenia rates are somewhat similar among Muslims and non-Muslims in the US, but differences emerge in terms of delusional symptoms, with Christians exhibiting more religious negative delusions, which are less frequent among Muslims. Rates of mental disorders such as depression are similar between Muslim and non-Muslim populations in the US, with depression levels being similar between men but higher among women. When considering Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Muslim individuals showed more pronounced reactions and problematic stress responses following events like the 9/11 attacks, which may be attributed to historical conflicts in their home countries. Notably, alcohol and substance abuse are less prevalent among Muslims than non-Muslims in Western countries. In Muslim cultures, disease associations often stem from spiritual or past sin-related causes rather than biological factors (Rhoades & Kianpoor, 2005).

Religion, Spirituality and Attachment Theory

Attachment theory, developed by John Bowlby (1969/1982), explains how newborns form attachments to caregivers and establish secure connections with them, perceiving caregivers as safe havens. This attachment style influences how individuals explore their environments and cope with stress. A healthy attachment fosters secure exploration, while an unhealthy one may lead to shyness or insecurity (Bowlby, 1969/1982, p.378).

Approximately thirty years ago, Lee Kirkpatrick proposed that many religious individuals use God as an attachment figure, providing security and serving as a secure base (Kirkpatrick, 2005; Shaver & Kirkpatrick, 1990). This insight has led to the development of a growing body of research that explores the relationship between attachment theory and religious-oriented models and spirituality. Experimental studies have validated the attachment connection with religion and its applications, including spirituality (Demirkan, 2023; Dickie, Granqvist, & Ljungdahl, 2007;

Granqvist & Birgegard, 2004). This research has demonstrated that selecting God as an attachment figure helps individuals cope with stress and anxiety (Kirkpatrick & Granqvist, 2008).

High and Low Religious Faith and Psychological Well-being

Religious faith is a prominent topic in psychological research on human experience. Researchers in mental health have shown a growing interest in understanding the depth of religious faith's impact on overall psychological well-being. This heightened interest has led researchers to question the long-standing negative perception of religious experiences that has prevailed in the field for many years (Bergin, 1983). Some past research suggested a positive relationship between high religious faith and maladaptive personality traits. For instance, high levels of faith were associated with emotional ambivalence (Khalique & Hassan, 1981), dogmatism (Thorsen & McNeel, 1985), and authoritarianism (Sauna, 1969; Dubey, 1986). However, some researchers argue that these results may stem from a liberal bias, where religious conservatism, not religious faith, is narrowly associated with a lack of tolerance (Şanli et al., 2023; Hogen & Elmer, 1978; Allen, Larson & Gartner, 1991). Research conducted between 1951 and 1979 that examined the relationship between religious faith and mental health problems found that 47% of these studies suggested that highly faithful religious individuals were less likely to suffer from psychopathological disorders (Laurencelle et al., 2002). Other studies indicated that highly religious individuals tend to live longer (Levin & Vanderpool, 1987), have a lower likelihood of committing suicide (Partridge & Comstock, 1972), and are less likely to experience depression (Loden & McClure, 1982; Light & Hertsgaard, 1984; Lowe & Brown, 1951).

Desirability and Expectancy in the Context of Religion and God Some scholars in the field of religious psychology propose that individuals are predisposed to engage in religious practices and experiences (Malony, 1973). This predisposition can be influenced by personality traits or situational factors and is often present during religious practices (Hardy, 1979; Greeley, 1974). Stress and a sense of losing meaning or control can serve as precursors to religious experiences (Nişancı, 2023; Cassidy, Spilka & Brown, 1993; Skonovd & Lofland, 1981; Clark, 1929). Additionally, life challenges and circumstances can stimulate and trigger religious experiences, sometimes unrelated to an individual's personality or characteristics (Spilka et al., 1996). Preparatory factors include familiarity with religious language, understanding background factors, personal influences and manifestations (Gorsuch, Spilka & Hood, 1985; Hood, 1970, 1974, 1975, 1985; Morris & Hood, 1981).

Cultural differences are a crucial aspect of research in religion, psychopathology, expectation and desirability, as they influence religious experiences and practices (Sturbuck, 1897). Being prepared for a particular religious practice can create a positive attitude toward it (Malony, 1973).

Parents and Childs' Religious Relationship and Developmental Psychopathology

Early adulthood, typically spanning the ages of 18 to 25, is a period when individuals have the freedom to choose their religious beliefs and practices. Studies on religion have shown that the religious choices made by parents can be a significant predictor of their children's future religiosity and religious practices (Conger, Neppl, Spilman, & Schofield, 2012). Thornton and Pearce suggested that a mother's religious choices and practices can influence a child's ideology and religious decisions, while the support of faith from the father plays a crucial role due to the attachment to the father and the sustainability of the child's religious choices (Flanagan, Kimball, Boyatzis, Cook & Leonard, 2012).

Recent research indicates that anxiety and depression can play important roles in moderating a child's religious choices and the maintenance of those choices based on their parents' beliefs (Jacobs et al., 2012). Depression can also influence an individual's engagement in daily prayer or attending church and practicing religion (Aslan et al., 2023; Gur et al., 2005). Parents who experience antisocial problems may struggle to engage in religious practices themselves, and their relationship with their child may be weak, making it difficult for them to guide their child in religious matters or serve as role models (Stearns et al., 2018).

Various factors contribute to the transmission of psychopathological traits from parents to their children, including exposure to maternal practices and the effects of a stressful environment, genetic heritability, neurological and biological dysfunction. These factors can lead children to develop maladaptive behaviors and potentially weaken their relationship with their parents. A strong parent-child relationship tends to facilitate the development of the child's religiosity, while a weak relationship may hinder the attachment and result in a loss of religiosity (Lovejoy et al., 2000).

Emotion Plays a Significant Role in the Intersection of Psychology and Religion, as Highlighted by Various Theologians and Psychologists.

Friedrich Schleiermacher proposed that emotion and feeling are central to religiosity. He emphasized that religion involves both knowing and doing, and that emotions and practices are integral to the experience of religious living. Schleiermacher introduced the concept of "absolute dependence," which is rich in emotion and characterizes the flow of religion. According to his theological model, individuals should fully depend on God without questioning the existence of God, simply feeling, and accept what has been given (Watts, 1996).

William James, a pioneering figure in this field, also emphasized the importance of emotion in religion. He argued that concentrating on emotions and their flow allows individuals to abstract from the constant changes in culture and other aspects of life. Emotion, according to James, serves as a central foundation for religious experiences, helping individuals find stability in an ever-changing world (James, 1980).

In contrast, the model of Alistair McFadyen takes a different perspective on the relationship between emotion and religion. McFadyen views religion as a social set of interactions, emphasizing its public nature over its private aspects. In this view, religious choices and emotions can be shaped by the social world rather than solely originating from within an individual. Religion is seen as a social and environmental phenomenon, while feelings and emotions are personal. In this context, the environment serves as the playing field, and individuals engage with it as they navigate their religious experiences (Şahin & Martin, 2023; Watts, 1996b).

Stressors, Daily Religion and Spiritual Practices

Stress is a fundamental aspect of human psychological experiences (Harkness & Monroe, 2005; Hammen, 2005). However, religious practices in daily life and spiritual engagement have been shown to provide a protective shield against stress (Gören, 2023; Pearlin, 1999; Ellison et al., 2012; Dein et al., 2012; Davis et al., 2008). For example, individuals facing financial crises, relationship difficulties, segregation or unhealthy diets can find solace through prayer, whether in a social or private context, and by connecting with a higher power (Lee, 2007; Carpenter et al., 2012). Belief in an afterlife also plays a crucial role in reducing stress and promoting mental health (Ellison et al., 2009; Ellison & Bradshaw, 2010).

Both organized religious practices and private spiritual rituals offer protection against stress, with some individuals deriving more significant benefits from these daily practices than from their social lives (Acevedo et al., 2014). Engaging in daily religious and spiritual prayer helps individuals experience inner peace, feel the love of God, sense the presence of a higher power, and reduce the impact of daily stressors (Schieman et al., 2013; Koenig & Brewer-Smyth, 2014). Maintaining a connection with a higher power can also boost self-esteem and self-worth (Pargament, 1997).

The relationship between religion, spirituality, mental health and well-being is a subject of significant interest and importance. In this selective review, we have examined the existing literature to provide insights into the intricate interplay between these constructs and their implications for psychopathology and well-being. By delving into the findings and considering their implications, we can gain a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics involved in these relationships.

Discussion

The discussion surrounding the intricate relationship between religion, spirituality, mental health and well-being is a multifaceted and evolving one. This review of existing literature sheds light on the complex interplay between these constructs and their implications for psychopathology and well-being.

One key finding from this body of research is the dual nature of religion and spirituality's impact on mental health. On the positive side, numerous studies highlight the potential benefits of religious and spiritual beliefs and practices. These include enhanced coping mechanisms, increased social support, a sense of meaning and purpose in life and greater overall life satisfaction. Such positive effects are particularly pronounced during times of adversity or when individuals face significant life stressors. Additionally, religious and spiritual practices often provide individuals with a sense of community and belonging which can contribute to feelings of connectedness and emotional support.

However, it is crucial to acknowledge that the relationship between religion, spirituality and mental health is not without its complexities. Some studies suggest potential negative effects, such as religiously based guilt, fear or rigid dogma that may contribute to psychological distress or maladaptive coping strategies. Moreover, certain religious or spiritual beliefs may conflict with evidence-based psychological interventions or contribute to stigma surrounding mental health issues, potentially acting as barriers to seeking professional help.

Another major focus of research in this area has been psychopathology, including conditions like depression, anxiety and substance abuse. Findings in this realm have been mixed, with some studies reporting a protective effect of religiosity or spirituality against psychopathology, while others find no significant relationship or even suggest a potential risk factor. The underlying mechanisms are complex and likely involve a combination of psychological, social and cultural factors. Future research should delve deeper into these associations, employing rigorous methodologies and considering various dimensions of religiosity and spirituality.

Well-being, which encompasses both subjective and objective measures of life satisfaction and fulfillment, has also been explored in relation to religion and spirituality. The literature suggests that religiosity and spirituality can positively contribute to well-being, although the specific mechanisms remain somewhat unexplored. Factors such as religious coping strategies, spiritual experiences and engagement in religious or spiritual communities are likely play a role in promoting well-being. Understanding the mediating and moderating factors influencing these relationships are crucial, as they are recognizing the potential bidirectional nature of the associations.

While this review provides valuable insights into the complex relationships between religion, spirituality, mental health and well-being, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The selective nature of the review may introduce bias and the reliance on self-report measures in many studies can be subject to recall and social desirability biases. Furthermore, the cultural and religious diversity across different populations necessitates caution in generalizing findings.

In practical terms, these findings have significant implications for mental health professionals, policymakers and individuals seeking support. Mental health practitioners should be open to discussing religion and spirituality in therapy when appropriate, recognizing their potential benefits. Additionally, sensitivity to clients' cultural and religious backgrounds is crucial for providing inclusive and client-centered care. Overall, the evolving field of research on religion, spirituality and mental health continues to offer valuable insights into how these aspects of human experience interact and influence well-being and psychopathology.

Conclusion

The relationship between religion, spirituality, mental health and well-being is complex. Existing research reveals that religious and spiritual beliefs and practices can have both positive and negative effects on mental health. On the positive side, they provide coping mechanisms, social support, a sense of purpose and increased life satisfaction. However, negative aspects like guilt and rigid dogma can lead to psychological distress. Psychopathology outcomes vary, with some studies suggesting protective effects and others showing no significant relationship. Overall, religiosity and spirituality can enhance well-being, but the underlying mechanisms require further exploration. Mental health practitioners should consider these factors and cultural backgrounds when providing care.

References

Abbott, A. (1980). Religion, psychiatry, and problems of everyday life. *Sociological Analysis*, *41*(2), 164-171.

Ali, S. R., Liu, W. M., & Humedian, M. (2004). Islam 101: Understanding the religion and therapy implications. *Professional psychology: Research and practice*, *35*(6), 635.

Amrai, K., Zalani, H. A., Arfai, F. S., & Sharifian, M. S. (2011). The relationship between the religious orientation and anxiety and depression of students. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *15*, 613-616.

Ano, G. G., & Vasconcelles, E. B. (2005). Religious coping and psychological adjustment to stress: A meta-analysis. *Journal of clinical psychology*, *61*(4), 461-480.

Arcury, T. A., Grzywacz, J. G., Neiberg, R. H., Lang, W., Nguyen, H., Altizer, K., ... & Quandt, S. A. (2012). Older adults' self-management of daily symptoms: complementary therapies, self-care, and medical care. *Journal of aging and health*, *24*(4), 569-597.

Arıcı-Şahin, F., & Knudson-Martin, C. (2023). Socio-Emotional Relationship Therapy in Turkey: Navigating Equity and Sociocultural Change. *International Journal of Systemic Therapy*, 1-28.

Aslan, G., Kant, E., & Gül Can, F. (2023). Investigation of the Relationship Between Spiritual Coping Styles and Hope Levels in Mothers with Disabled Children in Turkey. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 1-17.

Aydın, Y. (2023). Ortaöğretim Öğrencilerinin Yaşadıkları Problemlerle Başa Çıkmalarında Manevi ve Dini Destek Referanslarının Tespiti Üzerine Nitel Bir Çalışma: Muş Örneği. *Turkish Studies-Comparative Religious Studies*, *18*(2).

Bagby, I., Perl, P. M., Froehle, B. T., Bhabha, H., Cainkar, L., & Esposito, J. L. (1976). Abbas, Tahir, Islamic Radicalism and Multicultural Politics: The British Experience (London: Routledge, 2011). Ahmad, Fauzia,'The Scandal of "Arranged Marriages" and the Pathologisation of BrAsian Families', in N. Ali, VS Karla and S. Sayyid (eds), A Postcolonial People: South Asians in Britain (New York: Columbia University Press, 2008), pp. *Middle East Journal*, *30*(3), 390-405.

Bak, M., Myin-Germeys, I., Delespaul, P., Vollebergh, W., de Graaf, R., & van Os, J. (2005). Do different psychotic experiences differentially predict need for care in the general population?. *Comprehensive psychiatry*, *46*(3), 192-199.

Banthia, R., Moskowitz, J. T., Acree, M., & Folkman, S. (2007). Socioeconomic differences in the effects of prayer on physical symptoms and quality of life. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *12*(2), 249-260.

Baumeister, R. F. (2002). Religion and psychology: Introduction to the special issue. *Psychological Inquiry*, *13*(3), 165-167.

Baumeister, R. F., & Exline, J. J. (2002). Mystical self loss: A challenge for psychological theory. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, *12*(1), 15-20.

Beck, R. (2006). Defensive versus existential religion: Is religious defensiveness predictive of worldview defense?. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, *34*(2), 142-152.

Benson, G. O., Sun, F., Hodge, D. R., & Androff, D. K. (2012). Religious coping and acculturation stress among Hindu Bhutanese: A study of newly-resettled refugees in the United States. *International Social Work*, *55*(4), 538-553.

Bockrath, M. F., Pargament, K. I., Wong, S., Harriott, V. A., Pomerleau, J. M., Homolka, S. J., ... & Exline, J. J. (2021). Religious and spiritual struggles and their links to psychological adjustment: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*.

Bradshaw, M., Ellison, C. G., & Marcum, J. P. (2010). Attachment to God, images of God, and psychological distress in a nationwide sample of Presbyterians. *The International journal for the psychology of religion*, *20*(2), 130-147.

Brewer-Smyth, K., & Koenig, H. G. (2014). Could spirituality and religion promote stress resilience in survivors of childhood trauma?. *Issues in mental health nursing*, *35*(4), 251-256.

Brewerton, T. D. (1994). Hyperreligiosity in psychotic disorders. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *182*(5), 302-304.

Bryant-Davis, T., Ellis, M. U., Burke-Maynard, E., Moon, N., Counts, P. A., & Anderson, G. (2012). Religiosity, spirituality, and trauma recovery in the lives of children and adolescents. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *43*(4), 306.

Buch Møller, A., Pedersen, H. F., Ørnbøl, E., Søndergaard Jensen, J., Purzycki, B. G., & Schjoedt, U. (2020). Beyond the socially desirable: longitudinal evidence on individual prayer-wellbeing associations. *The international journal for the psychology of religion*, *30*(4), 275-287.

Cole, A. H. (2015). *Converging Horizons: Essays in Religion, Psychology, and Caregiving*. Wipf and Stock Publishers.

ÇINAR, M. (2023). Dindarlık, Sağlıklı Yaşam Biçimi Davranışları ve Yaşam Kalitesi Arasındaki İlişkilerin İncelenmesi. *Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi İlahiyat Fakültesi Dergisi*, *10*(1), 94-112.

Dein, S., Cook, C. C., Powell, A., & Eagger, S. (2010). Religion, spirituality and mental health. *The psychiatrist*, *34*(2), 63-64.

EFDAL, A. Namazın İnsan Sağlığına Olan Faydaları. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, *3*(2), 1-10.

Ellison, C. G. (1991). Religious involvement and subjective well-being. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 80-99.

Ellison, C. G., & Lee, J. (2010). Spiritual struggles and psychological distress: Is there a dark side of religion?. *Social Indicators Research*, *98*, 501-517.

Ellison, C. G., Boardman, J. D., Williams, D. R., & Jackson, J. S. (2001). Religious involvement, stress, and mental health: Findings from the 1995 Detroit Area Study. *Social forces*, *80*(1), 215-249.

Exline, J. J., Yali, A. M., & Lobel, M. (1999). When God disappoints: Difficulty forgiving God and its role in negative emotion. *Journal of health psychology*, *4*(3), 365-379.

Fulford, K. W. M., & Jackson, M. (1997). Spiritual experience and psychopathology. *Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology*, *4*(1), 41-65.

G. Koenig, H., & Larson, D. B. (2001). Religion and mental health: Evidence for an association. *International review of psychiatry*, *13*(2), 67-78.

Galek, K., Krause, N., Ellison, C. G., Kudler, T., & Flannelly, K. J. (2007). Religious doubt and mental health across the lifespan. *Journal of adult development*, *14*, 16-25.

Gençeli, S. T. (2023). Depresyon ve anksiyete bozukluğu olan çocuklarda mesnevi okumalarının etkileri.

GÖREN, A. B. (2023). Afet Sonrası İkincil Travmatik Stres ve Dini Başa Çıkma: Kahramanmaraş Depremi Örneği. *Marife Dini Araştırmalar Dergisi*, *23*(1), 80-100.

Granqvist, P., & Kirkpatrick, L. A. (2013). Religion, spirituality, and attachment.

Gray, K., & Wegner, D. M. (2010). Blaming God for our pain: Human suffering and the divine mind. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *14*(1), 7-16.

Harris, J. I., Erbes, C. R., Winskowski, A. M., Engdahl, B. E., & Nguyen, X. V. (2014). Social support as a mediator in the relationship between religious comforts and strains and trauma symptoms. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, *6*(3), 223.

Heidegger, M. (2007). *Becoming Heidegger: On the trail of his early occasional writings, 1910-1927*. Northwestern University Press.

Huguelet, P., Mohr, S., Borras, L., Gillieron, C., & Brandt, P. Y. (2006). Spirituality and religious practices among outpatients with schizophrenia and their clinicians. *Psychiatric Services*, *57*(3), 366-372.

Hunt, M. O. (2000). Status, religion, and the" belief in a just world": Comparing African Americans, Latinos, and Whites. *Social Science Quarterly*, 325-343.

Hustoft, H., Hestad, K. A., Lien, L., Møller, P., & Danbolt, L. J. (2013). "If I didn't have my faith I would have killed myself!": spiritual coping in patients suffering from schizophrenia. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, *23*(2), 126-144.

Ismail, Z., & Desmukh, S. (2012). Religiosity and psychological well-being. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, *3*(11), 20-28.

Jackson, D. C., McLawhorn, D. E., Slutzky, A. R., Glatt, S. J., & Daly, R. W. (2022). Bipolar disorder, religion, and spirituality: A scoping review. *Journal of Religion and Health*, *61*(5), 3589-3614.

James, W. (1988). *William James: Writings 1902-1910 (LOA# 38): The Varieties of Religious Experience/Pragmatism/A Pluralistic Universe/The Meaning of Truth/Some Problems of Philosophy/Essays* (Vol. 2). Library of America.

KAYA, A. E. Şizoaffektif Bozukluk Tanısı Olan Bireylerde İçselleştirilmiş Damgalanma İle İlişkili Bir Faktör Olarak Dini Başa Çıkma. *Black Sea Journal of Health Science*, 17-18.

Kirkpatrick, L. A. (2005). *Attachment, evolution, and the psychology of religion*. Guilford Press.

Kivela, J., Inbakaran, R., & Reece, J. (1999). Consumer research in the restaurant environment, Part 1: A conceptual model of dining satisfaction and return patronage. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.

Kocaoğlu, M. (2023). *Türkiye'de Manevi Danişmanliğin Uygulama Alanlari ve Psikolojik Danişma Becerileri Perspektifinden Yerinin Değerlendirilmesi* (Doctoral dissertation, Marmara Universitesi (Turkey)).

Krause, N. (2006). Religious doubt and psychological well-being: A longitudinal investigation. *Review of religious research*, 287-302.

Krause, N., Ingersoll-Dayton, B., Ellison, C. G., & Wulff, K. M. (1999). Aging, religious doubt, and psychological well-being. *The Gerontologist*, *39*(5), 525-533.

Lewis, C. A., & Cruise, S. M. (2006). Religion and happiness: Consensus, contradictions, comments and concerns. *Mental health, religion and culture*, *9*(03), 213-225.

Lucchetti, G., Granero Lucchetti, A. L., Peres, M. F., Leão, F. C., Moreira-Almeida, A., & Koenig, H. G. (2012). Validation of the duke religion index: DUREL (Portuguese version). *Journal of religion and health*, *51*, 579-586.

Lukoff, D., Lu, F. G., & Yang, C. P. (2011). DSM-IV religious and spiritual problems. *Religious and spiritual issues in psychiatric diagnosis: A research agenda for DSM-V*, 171-198.

Maher, M. F., & Hunt, T. K. (1993). Spirituality reconsidered. *Counseling and Values*, *38*(1), 21-28.

Maltby, J., Lewis, C. A., & Day, L. (1999). Religious orientation and psychological well-being: The role of the frequency of personal prayer. *British Journal of health psychology*, *4*(4), 363-378.

McConnell, K. M., Pargament, K. I., Ellison, C. G., & Flannelly, K. J. (2006). Examining the links between spiritual struggles and symptoms of psychopathology in a national sample. *Journal of clinical psychology*, *62*(12), 1469-1484.

Meissner, W. W. (1996). The pathology of beliefs and the beliefs of pathology.

Mickley, J. R., Pargament, K. I., Brant, C. R., & Hipp, K. M. (1998). God and the search for meaning among hospice caregivers. *The Hospice Journal*, *13*(4), 1-17.

Miller-McLemore, B. J. (2020). Trees and the "Unthought Known": The Wisdom of the Nonhuman (or Do Humans "Have Shit for Brains"?). *Pastoral Psychology*, *69*(4), 423-443.

Mohr, S., Brandt, P. Y., Borras, L., Gilliéron, C., & Huguelet, P. (2006). Toward an integration of spirituality and religiousness into the psychosocial dimension of schizophrenia. *American Journal of psychiatry*, *163*(11), 1952-1959.

Monroe, S. M., & Harkness, K. L. (2011). Recurrence in major depression: a conceptual analysis. *Psychological review*, *118*(4), 655.

Moon, G. W., & Benner, D. G. (Eds.). (2004). *Spiritual direction and the care of souls: A guide to Christian approaches and practices*. InterVarsity Press.

Nişancı, Z. (2023). *Report: Faith and Religiosity in Türkiye*. International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT).

Oman, D., & Thoresen, C. E. (2003). Invited essay: "Spiritual modeling: A key to spiritual and religious growth?". *The international Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, *13*(3), 149-165.

Ouwehand, E., Muthert, H., Zock, H., Boeije, H., & Braam, A. (2018). Sweet delight and endless night: A qualitative exploration of ordinary and extraordinary religious and spiritual experiences in bipolar disorder. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, *28*(1), 31-54.

Oyebode, J. R., & Owens, R. G. (2013). Bereavement and the role of religious and cultural factors. *Bereavement Care*, *32*(2), 60-64.

Pargament, K. I., Koenig, H. G., Tarakeshwar, N., & Hahn, J. (2004). Religious coping methods as predictors of psychological, physical and spiritual outcomes among medically ill elderly patients: A two-year longitudinal study. *Journal of health psychology*, *9*(6), 713-730.

Pargament, K. I., Murray-Swank, N. A., Magyar, G. M., & Ano, G. G. (2005). Spiritual struggle: A phenomenon of interest to psychology and religion.

Pargament, K. I., Smith, B. W., Koenig, H. G., & Perez, L. (1998). Patterns of positive and negative religious coping with major life stressors. *Journal for the scientific study of religion*, 710-724.

Paris, J. (2013). How the history of psychotherapy interferes with integration. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, *23*(2), 99.

Robinson, D. N. (1995). *An intellectual history of psychology*. Univ of Wisconsin Press.

SAĞLAM DEMİRKAN, F. (2023). The Relationship between Attachment to God, Perception of God and Emotional States, and Psychological Well-being: The Sample of Chronic Diseases. *Sirnak University Journal of Divinity Faculty/Sirnak Üniversitesi Ilahiyat Fakültesi Dergisi*, (31).

Selvin, H. C. (1958). Durkheim's suicide and problems of empirical research. *American journal of sociology*, *63*(6), 607-619.

Shafranske, E. P., & Cummings, J. P. (2013). Religious and spiritual beliefs, affiliations, and practices of psychologists.

Smith, T. B., McCullough, M. E., & Poll, J. (2003). Religiousness and depression: evidence for a main effect and the moderating influence of stressful life events. *Psychological bulletin*, *129*(4), 614.

Şanli, M. E., Dinç, M., Öner, U., Buluş, M., Çiçek, İ., & Doğan, İ. (2023). The Role of Spirituality in Anxiety and Psychological Resilience of Hemodialysis Patients in Turkey. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 1-19.

Ursaru, M., Crumpei, I., & Crumpei, G. (2014). Quality of life and religious coping in women with breast cancer. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *114*, 322-326.

Weber, S. R., Pargament, K. I., Kunik, M. E., Lomax, J. W., & Stanley, M. A. (2012). Psychological distress among religious nonbelievers: A systematic review. *Journal of religion and health*, *51*, 72-86.

YILMAZ, B. (2023). Obsesif Kompulsif Bozukluğu Olan Bireylerde Din ve Maneviyatın Hastalık Sürecindeki Etkisi Üzerine Nitel Bir Çalışma. *Journal of Analytic Divinity*, *7*(1), 92-115.