

Some Observations on Persian Copies in Khalaj: Case of Talkhab Dialect

Halaçcadaki Farsça Kopyalar Üzerine Bazı Gözlemler: Telhab Ağzı Örneği

Hasan GÜZEL * Abstract

Turks and Iranians have interacted in different geographies for centuries. Although the traces of this interaction can be detected in various Iranian and Turkic languages, the obvious examples are presented in Khalaj. Although many archaic features are preserved today, numerous linguistic copies made from Persian are also used in Khalaj. This article focuses on the texts collected from the village of Talkhab, where the majority of the Khalaj population is located. In the texts, it is seen that the features of Persian such as sound, form, syntax etc. are copied into Khalaj as a result of intense language relations. Global lexical and global grammatical copies in these texts are discussed. The use of these units, which can have simple and complex structures, differs according to age groups. When the data collected from different age groups are examined, it has been detected that while the number of lexical copies is higher in the middle (35-60) and upper middle (60-...) age groups, both lexical and grammatical copies are often used in the young population (15-35). However, this article highlights the large number of people fluent in the Khalaj language in the village of Talkhab, which has a multilingual language ecology.

* Dr., Hacettepe University Modern
Turkic Languages and Literatures
hasanguzel7@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-4201-8634
Ankara / TÜRKİYE

* Dr., Hacettepe Üniversitesi
Çağdaş Türk Lehçeleri ve
Edebiyatları Bölümü
hasanguzel7@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-4201-8634
Ankara / TÜRKİYE

Keywords:

Khalaj, Persian, Language Contact, Code Copying, Global Copy.

Öz

Türkler ve İranlılar farklı coğrafyalarda yüzyıllar boyunca etkileşim içinde olmuşlardır. Bu etkileşiminin izleri çeşitli İran ve Türk dillerinde tespit edilmekle birlikte açık örneklerini Halaçça sunmaktadır. Halaççada, günümüzde birçok eskicil özellik korunmakla birlikte Farsçadan yapılan sayısız dilbilimsel kopya da kullanılmaktadır. Bu yazıda Halaç nüfusunun çoğunlukta olduğu Telhab köyünden toplanan metinlere odaklanılmıştır. Metinlerde, tipolojik olarak farklı bir yapıya sahip olmalarına rağmen yoğun dil ilişkisi sonucu Farsçanın ses, biçim, söz dizim vd. özelliklerinin Halaççaya kopyalandığı görülmektedir. Bu metinlerde, genel leksikal ve genel dilbilgisel kopyalar ele alınmıştır. Basit ve karmaşık yapıya sahip olabilen bu birimlerin kullanımı yaş gruplarına göre farklılık gösterir. Farklı yaş gruplarından toplanan veriler incelendiğinde, orta (35-60) ve orta üstü (60-...) yaş gruplarında leksikal kopyaların sayısı daha fazla iken genç nüfusta (15-35) hem leksikal hem de dilbilgisel kopyaların sıklıkla kullanıldığı tespit edilmiştir.

Başvuru/Submitted: 19/04/2022

Kabul/Accepted: 22/03/2023

Bununla birlikte bu yazıda çokdilli bir dil ekolojisine sahip olan Telhab Köyü'nde Halaççayı akıcı bir şekilde kullanan kişi sayısının çokluğu da vurgulanmaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler:

Halaçça, Farsça, Dil İlişkileri, Kod Kopyalama, Genel Kopya.

Makale Bilgileri

- Etik Kurul Kararı:** Etik Kurul Kararından muaftır.
Katılımcı Rızası: Katılımı yok.
Mali Destek: Çalışma için herhangi bir kurum ve projeden mali destek alınmamıştır.
Çıkar Çatışması: Çalışmada kişiler ve kurumlar arası çıkar çatışması bulunmamaktadır.
Telif Hakları: Çalışmada kullanılan görsellerle ilgili telif hakkı sahiplerinden gerekli izinler alınmıştır.
Değerlendirme: İki dış hakem / Çift taraflı körleme.
Benzerlik Taraması: Yapıldı – iThenticate.
Etik Beyan: sutad@selcuk.edu.tr, selcukturkiyat@gmail.com
Lisans: Bu eser Creative Commons Atıf-GayriTicari 4.0 Uluslararası (CC BY-NC 4.0) lisansı ile lisanslanmıştır.

Article Information

- Ethics Committee Approval:** It is exempt from the Ethics Committee Approval.
Informed Consent: No participants.
Financial Support: The study received no financial support from any institution or project.
Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest.
Copyrights: The required permissions have been obtained from the copyright holders for the images and photos used in the study.
Assessment: Two external referees / Double blind.
Similarity Screening: Checked – iThenticate.
Ethical Statement: selcukturkiyat@gmail.com, fatihnumankb@selcuk.edu.tr
License: Content of this Journal is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Indroduction

Turkic languages have been spoken in a wide geographical area throughout history and have established relations with languages with different typologies. The main contact areas of Turkic languages include Central Asia, Siberia, the Volga-Kama region, the Caucasus, Transcaucasia, Iran, Anatolia, the Balkans, and in the recent times, northwest Europe. Therefore, Turkic languages provide rich data for language contact studies. The constant mass displacements of Turkish-speaking groups throughout history have led to numerous encounters, various processes of contact-induced change and shift, and new linguistic configurations under different sociocultural conditions. Some contacts have been sufficiently intense and long enough to produce extensive language changes (Johanson, 2010, p. 699; 2021, p. 173).

Nowadays, the process of influencing Turkic and Iranian languages continues particularly in Iran, Afghanistan and Tajikistan geographies (see Doerfer and Hesch, 1989, Johanson, 2022, p. 180-181). When it comes to language relations, the contact of Turkic-speaking peoples and Iranian-speaking peoples provides very important data. Turks and Iranians have interacted for centuries in Transcaucasia and Iran. In Iran today there are Turkic groups such as Iranian Azerbaijanis, Qashqai, Sonqor, Khalaj, Khorasani and Turkmen. Intense influence of Persian is seen in the languages of these communities (see Doerfer 1998, Gökdağ-Doğan 2016, Bulut, 2016; 2022).

It has been discussed in many studies that Khalaj is a Turkic language with archaic features and differs from Oghuz groups in Iran in this aspect. Khalaj is spoken nowadays in the villages in the middle of the cities of Save, Erak and Kum. It has been mentioned in many studies that the social function of Khalaj language is decreasing day by day and it is in danger of extinction (see Doerfer, 1999; Kırıl, 2000; Bosnalı, 2010, 2012; Tezcan, 2018; Ragagnin 2020; Rahimi, 2020; Akkuş 2021; Güzel 2021). Studies performed on Khalaj show that the competence level of the mother tongue of Khalaj people is low (Bosnalı, 2010; 2016) and its usage area is getting narrower every day. This judgment is usually true for all Khalaj villages and dialects, however, there are differences in the extinction processes of the variants.

Talkhab: Language Ecology

According to 2016 data, there are 3681 people living in Talkhab. Since ethnic characteristics are not reflected in the population censuses, we do not know the exact population of Khalaj in the village, but according to our observations, the Khalaj people constitute the majority of the village. There are also Oghuz Turks and Persians in the village.

In Talkhab, generally, Persian dominance is observed. Although Khalaj is frequently heard in the village squares, cemeteries, etc., which are the socializing areas of the village, the number of native speakers is decreasing every day. Until a short time ago, the Khalaj people continued to live in isolation in villages far from the center. The usage area of Khalaj in the village life and in the family is wider. Because of the socio-economic reasons, the disconnection between the village and the city disappeared due to factors such as migrations etc. and the function of Khalaj slowly decreased. The use

of Persian in village life has become even more common. It has been observed that the functional area of Khalaj in Talkhab (KT) is compressed into the family. In Talkhab, the functional area of Khalaj is broad in the domestic sense. In addition to this, families are generally multilingual. Everyone knows Persian. Persian is used in most cases where the mother tongue is inadequate. It was also determined that the Oghuz language was spoken in the homes of Khalaj people of Talkhab who married Oghuz Turks. Persian is the language most used by the multilingual families. In this way, the effectiveness of Khalaj within the family is decreasing every day. This situation shows that the Khalaj is in serious danger. Although the number of people speaking Khalaj is higher when it is compared to other villages, it is a negative situation for the sustainability of the language because the population of the village is mostly middle-aged and elderly.

Data and Method

The data in this article were mostly collected in three field research performed in Talkhab in 2017. In addition to this, various questionnaires were applied in dozens of interviews performed over Telegram, Whatsapp etc. between 2017 and 2022, and data were obtained from a large number of people in different age ranges. Data obtained from 16 people were evaluated in this article. 13 of the participants interviewed within the scope of the research were men and 3 were women. While 5 of the participants were in the 30-55 age group, 5 of them were selected from the 60-80 age group. Other participants were selected from people under the age of 30. 2 of the participants do not have any education. 12 participants are graduated from primary school graduates, and 1 participant is graduated from university. All of these participants expressed that they knew Khalaj and Persian well.

The data in this article were evaluated in accordance with the Lars Johanson's *code copying model*. In the model developed by Johanson based on Turkic languages (see Johanson 1992, 1993, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2002a, 2002b) copies are divided into three groups as global, selective and mixed. In this article, only global copies were evaluated.

Global Persian Copies in Khalaj

It is seen that Turkic languages in Iran are under the intense influence of Persian today. Although its social function continues in some villages, Khalaj is also under this intense influence (Johanson, 1998, p. 326). It was determined that there are many Persian copies in Khalaj, whose social function is decreasing every day.

In global copying, where the most examples are seen in language contacts, an element of language B is copied into the framework in language A, together with all its structural features (sound, meaning and frequency). These structures can be lexical as well as grammatical morphemes. In this study on our Khalaj texts, many examples of global copies have been determined.

1.1. Basic Lexical Copies

Most Persian copies in Khalaj are lexical morphemes. As expected among lexical morphemes, free morphemes are the most copied structures. Concrete nouns with two or three syllables that do not have a high degree of cohesiveness among the free units that create the basic vocabulary are more preferred. For example, the morphemes of

Khal. *läşgär* “soldier” (<Persian *laškar*), Hal. *guldān* “vase” (<Persian *goldān*), THal. *häktār* “hectare” (<Persian *häktār*), THal. *gorāz* “pig” (<Persian *gorāz*), THal. *tōmān* (<Persian *tūmān*) were copied from Persian:

(1)

mān läşgär olduqta sörä bi yirkä varmadiqam
 I soldier become: CONV after a place-DAT go: NEG-PAST.1PL
 “I did not go anywhere after I became a soldier.”

(2)

ū°n tōmān bizdä aldilar kāräk üniy aliyax
 ten toman we-ABL take: PAST.3PL necessity it: ACC take: OPT.1PL
 “They took ten toman from us, we must take it.”

Abstract concepts are language units that do not make much space in the consciousness of speakers. The units that are not repeated consistently are stated with Persian equivalents in Khalaj, whose functions are constantly decreasing, and the usage area is narrowing. Abstract units in Persian are frequently copied into Khalaj. For example, *hofsulasuz* “impatient” (<Persian *housala*), *gamgīn* “sad” (<Persian *gamgīn*).

(3)

hāri hāldiktä sörä xäyli gamgīn oldi
 husband: POSS.3SG die: CONV after very sad
 become: PAST.3SG
 “After her husband died, she was very upset.”

Adjectives are the most frequently copied word type with nouns among free units. It is seen that many adjectives that are often used in Khalaj are copied from Persian. It has been determined that the elements of qualification (*āsān* “easy” < Persian *āsān*) among these units are intense:

(4)

iç yıl işlättim ämmā bō āsān iş dāy
 three year work: AOR-COP.PAST.1SG but this easy work NEG
 “I worked for three years, but it is not an easy work.”

Although there are cardinal number (*äkki* “two”, *iç* “three”) and ordinal number words (*biyimci* “first”, *äkkinçi* “second”) in the vocabulary of speakers in Talkhab, in many cases units copied from Persian (*çehār* “four” < Persian *çahār*; *yäk* “one” < Persian *yäk*; *dowwomin* “second” < Persian *dowwomin*) are used.

(5)

u nāfär çehār māzrā eydisirti
 that person four field owner-POSS.-COP.PAST.3SG
 “That person owned four fields.”

(6)
 bō kūça yā ū dowwomin kūça istiçä ū
 narattuq
 this street or that second street top: POSS.3SG-LOC
 play: AOR-COP.PAST.1PL
 “We were playing on this street or that second street.”

In KT, examples can often be found, showing that adjective phrases in noun phrases are formed with units copied from Persian.

(7)
 bād bō sä boländ cuwānlar hoγruluqqa varmuş
 after this three tall young:PL steal: DAT go: PAST.3SG
 “Then these three tall young people went to steal.”

Adverbs also have an important place among the simple word copies made from Persian. Such copies have different functions such as interrogative adverb (*key* “when” < Persian *key*, *çerā* “why” < Persian *çerā*), place-direction (*bālā* “up” < Persian *bālā*, *nezdik* “near” < Persian *nezdik*, *dūr* “far” < Persian *dūr*); method (*āhüstā* “slow” < Persian *āhüstā*; *hergiz* < Persian *hergiz*; *hāmīşā* “always” < Persian *hamīşā*), time (*bādān* “later” < Persian *bādān*, *dīrūz* “yesterday” < Persian *dīrūz ālān* < Persian *āl’ān*).

(8) (Part. 1)
 bāba çerā xälāç tili mohimär?
 INT why Khalaj language: POSS.3SG important: COP
 “Why is the Khalaj language important?”

(9) (Part. 8)
 holundu kaldi ūniy hävi nezdikär
 come back: PAST.3SG come: PAST.3SG his house: POSS.3SG close: COP
 “He is back, his house is close (here).”

(10) (Part. 3)
 älān Abdullah, Sāvā yovuγ bi baluq vārar,
 now Abdullah, Save close a village existent:COP
 uça yaşör o xāli toqör.
 there: LOC live: PRES.3SG and carpet weave: PRES.3SG
 “Abdullah lives and weaves carpets in a village close to Save now.”

Global copies are generally placed morphologically to fit the true morphosyntactic framework of the main code. Copies of Persian lexical verbs are mostly based on global copies of past participle forms. Auxiliary verbs such as *et-*, *ol-* are used to adapt them, i.e. to prepare them to place. Such copies are also seen in other Turkic languages spoken in Iran (Johanson, 1998, p. 328) are also seen in Khalaj: *pūşidä* ‘*et-* - “to cover” <

Persian *pūšīdan*, *oftādā ol-* "to fall" < Persian *oftādan*, *şikāstā 'et* - "to break" < Persian *şikāstān* "to break" (also see Doğan, 2017).

(11)

bø hikmäkläriy pūšīdā 'etōm ki sowuq olmaya
this bread: PL-ACC cover: PRES.1SG CONJ cold become: NEG-
OPT

"I cover these breads so that they do not get cold."

1.2. Basic Grammatical Copies

Linguistic units with a simple structure can be copied into the new code in Khalaj with both their structural and functional features. These units have a large usage area in Khalaj syntax, and they can be free as well as bound.

1.2.1. Basic Free Grammatical Copies

tā̄, is a time marker that emphasizes purpose or endpoint in Persian. Persian *raftam tā̄ dānešgāh* "I went to school." It is observed that this structure was copied into Khalaj in two different ways, either in patterns or independently. It has been determined in the following examples that the form *tā̄* was copied into Khalaj together with the phrase, *sār tā̄ sār* "from beginning to end".

(12)

sār tā̄ sār nuxudlari yiyillär
from beginning to end chickpea: PL-ACC pile: AOR.3PL

"They used to pile chickpeas from beginning to end."

It is observed that the suffix *tā̄* in the Khalaj of Talkhab is used as an independent structure, apart from the patterns as in Persian. While the element that comes after such structures in Persian does not take a bound morpheme, the element that comes after the suffix *tā̄* in the KT takes the bound morpheme *-(K)A*.

(13)

qalmuşattuq tā̄ nowrūzqa unda'sōy holunmuşattuq
stay: PAST.1PL to nowrooz: DAT after that come back: PAST-
COP.PAST.1PL

"We stayed until Nowrooz and then we turned back."

tā̄ can also be used before a noun in Khalaj. In this usage, *-(K)A* is added to the preceding structure.

(14)

män däq hişōrum biş hāyqa tā̄ Torkiyaqa kalgām.
I CONJ want: PRES.1SG five month-DAT to Turkey:DAT come:
OPT.1SG

"I also want to come to Turkey in five months."

(15)

Şirazqa tã vardum yorğan tikäm
 Shiraz-DAT to go: PAST.1SG guilt sew: OPT.1SG
 "I went to Shiraz to sew a quilt."

There is another special use of the copy unit of *tã* in Khalaj. The suffix *tã* comes to both sides of the structure and states either the endpoint in time or the purpose. This was found only in one example.

(16)

lãlasiy hãydä ke tã şãm tã mädräsäçä dãrs
 hoq'ur.
 brother: POSS.3SG say: ABL CONJ to evening to school: LOC
 study: AOR.3SG
 "His brother told that he was studying at the madrasah until the evening."

The use of this unit as a postposition is not used in other Turkic languages in Iran, but it is used in Khalaj. This function is also not found in the original copy of *tã*. There is no such use as *ferda tã* in Persian. Doerfer uses the expression "the contradiction between Turkish and Persian language perception" when it comes to use of the postposition *tã* in Khalaj (Doerfer, 1988, p. 99).

vãra which is often used in the KT, as in other dialects of the Khalaj, was global copied from Persian (< Persian *vãra*).

(17)

nãsä vãra hayrusiy vãrar?
 What PP pain: POSS.3SG existent: COP
 "What kind of pain does she have?"

One of the typical features of the Khalaj is that it has the internal suffix *-di-*. As it is seen in the examples below (18, 19), when the postposition *vãra* is used after pronouns, the internal suffix *-di-* is also added to the pronoun.

(18)

mundi vãra yeyin hat yu^oqumuz qardaş
 this such fast horse absence: POSS.1SG brother
 "Brother, we do not have fast horses like this one."

(19)

undi vãra kılãkluy şikãr kãrmädik
 that such funny hunt see: NEG-PAST.1PL
 "We have not seen such a funny hunt like this one."

berāy “for” which is copied from Persian can be used both as a preposition and a postposition. This unit was also global copied into Khalaj. It is observed that the back vowel in the first syllable of the word frequently changes to the front vowel in the KT.

(20)

nāsāy berāy hāmmu bōdā kikārmōllar
 what PP all here grow: NEG.PRES.3PL
 “Why does not it all grow here.”

The functional morpheme *sāvā* “apart from, other than” which is not usually used in the KT, is global copied from Persian (<Persian *sava*). This morpheme is found in the example below.

(21)

hāvidā sāvā bī yir bilmōr
 house: POSS.3SG-ABL other a place know: PRES.NEG.3SG
 “He does not know any place other than his home.”

yā, which is used as a conjunction used frequently in Persian, is also copied into the KT. This global copy binds both sentences and phrases.

(22)

bucur zāndāgiluq tētōriyz boɣda hākōriyz
 this way live: PRES.1PL wheat plant: PRES.1PL
 yā arpa hākōriyz
 or barley plant: PRES.1PL
 “This is how we live, we plant wheat or we plant barley.”

(23)

ālān baluqça xālācī yā torqī hāyōriyz
 now village: LOC Khalaj language CONJ Turkish speak: PRES.1PL
 “Now we speak Khalaj or Turkish in the village.”

çon ~ *çonke* connects the finite sentence that comes after it with the finite sentence that comes before it, with cause function in Persian. It is used very often in the KT, as in all dialects of Khalaj.

(24)

Tehrānda hişāmāzāttim kālgām çon
 Tehran:ABL want:NEG-COP.PAST.1SG come:OPT.1SG because
 kāşavārzlikgā īzim hişāmāzāttim varyam
 farming: DAT own: POSS.1SG want: NEG-COP.PAST.1SG go: OPT.1SG

“I did not want to come back from Tehran, because I did not want to be engaged in farming.”

vāli, which is one of the frequently used conjunctions in Persian is used in Khalaj as a global copy. It is used in the “contrast and warning” functions, while connecting finite sentences with other finite sentences.

(25)

kāliniy nānāsi vārrattu vāli dādāsiy
 bride: GEN mother: POSS.3SG existent-EKF.PAST.3SG but father-POSS.3TK
 yu^oqattu
 absence: COP.PAST.3SG

“The bride had a mother, but no father.”

The conjunction *o* which is used very often in Khalaj, was copied from Persian. The semantical dependence of the units acting together in the choice of this conjunction and especially the speech pauses (Sprechpausen) play an important role (Kıral, 2001, p. 13). It was determined in the records performed that the conjunction *o* was copied in two ways into Khalaj. This conjunction has been copied either as a pattern in global copies or as an free unit.

(26)

iç qāzim o äkki oylum vārar
 three daughter: POSS.1SG and two son: POSS.1SG existent: COP

“I have three daughters and two sons.”

The conjunction *o ~ o* in the KT is used in the function of connecting and relating the interconnected actions performed in sequence:

(27)

yāyällär o çalöllar o ū^onaöllar
 eat: AOR.3PL and play: PRES.3PL and oyna: PRES.3PL

“They are eating and playing and dancing.”

The conjunction *o ~ o* in the KT has also function to connect two main sentences:

(28)

yovayn Qomqa o bi māşin yitkäyn
 go: IMP.2PL Kom: DAT and one car bring: IMP.2PL

“Go to Kum and bring a car.”

The conjunction *o ~ o* in Khalaj sometimes expresses a “language pause” which the speaker uses to change to a new sentence, rather than connecting two sentences together:

(29)

käldi Seferali yāniya o hāydi ke
 come: PAST.3SG Seferali side: POSS.3SG-DAT and say: PAST.3SG
 CONJ

bä'li hekâyat buläri
yes story so: COP

“He came to Seferali and said ‘yes, that is the story.’”

Expressions stating condition in Turkic are made by adding the *-sA* conditional modal modifier to the end of the verb. As in the example of *pul ossa käräk kalgäm* “if there is money, I must come”, this structure is also common in Khalaj. In addition to this, the conjunction *ägär* (< Persian *agar*), which is global copied from Persian, is used for conditional expressions in Khalaj, as in the other Turkic languages. This copied conjunction usually encodes a conditional subordination with the adverb-verb marker *-sA*. In other words, this structure has a double subordination coding (Aydemir, 2020, p. 71). It was detected in our records that this unit is used at the beginning of infinite sentences.

(30)

ägär bänä zäng vursa yägulük hişägäm
if me call: AOR.COND.3SG food want: FUT.1SG

“If he calls me, I will ask for food.”

The structure of *ägär + ki + ...sA* examples of which we encounter in the spoken language is used very often in the Khalaj of Talkhab, because of the influence of Persian, although it is not usually used in the written language of Turkish.

(31)

ägär ki u yäkkä çäkmä mänä yarasa üniy käräk yietgärim
if CONJ it big boot me fit: COND it necessity
carry: AOR.1SG

“If the big boot fits me, I have to carry it along with.”

It has been detected that the conjunction *ägär* in the KT connects two infinite sentences in some examples. It is not double subordination here, but it is a single subordination with a conditional function.

(32)

ägär bi zād hälir hälisahabiy cār vurar
if one person die: AOR.3SG family of dead: POSS.3TK
announce: AOR.3SG

“If a person dies, the funeral home announces the (death).”

It has been detected that some double conjunctions such as *häm.... häm...., yā... yā...*, which are used in Persian, were copied into the KT with their structural and functional features and were frequently used.

(33)

häm Molla Näsroddin häm Qõruyli bilimiz
 CONJ Molla Nasroddin CONJ Qorogli know: AOR.1PL
 “We know both Nasreddin Hodja and Qorogli.”

(34)

yā yovqaq baluqqa yā qalgaq bõdā
 CONJ go: IMP.1PL village:DAT CONJ stay: OPT.1PL here
 “We either go to the village or stay here.”

The inflectional typology of Khalaj and Persian is considerably different. The inflectional morphemes in Khalaj come after the noun according to the Turkic language typology. In some cases, it has been determined that copy units are copied despite different language typologies. Examples are recorded where Persian inflectional morphemes were copied in the village of Feyzabad, where the Persian influence is strong, and in Talkhab, where bilingualism is common.

(35)

bā'li äz mutur tüştü
 yes off motorcycle fell: PAST.3SG
 “Yes, he fell off the motorcycle.”

The ablative case suffix *-DA* in Khalaj and ablative marker *äz* in Persian are sometimes used together. The combination of practical functional structures of both languages is the result of the common bilingualism in Talkhab.

(36)

äz dār-e mädräsädä hünärrättik o
 from door-EZF school:ABL get out: AOR-COP.PAST.1PL and
 tunõrda hikmäk alirrattuq
 tandoor: ABL bread take: AOR-COP.PAST.1PL
 “We used to get out of the school gate and take bread from the tandoor.”

The dative case marker *-KA* in Khalaj is also often used in the Khalaj in Talkhab. In addition to this, it is also determined that there are examples where the Persian dative marker *be* was used, particularly by Khalaj people in Talkhab under the age of twenty five.

(37)

şā Abbās bi kini varmuş be Esfahan
 Shah Abbas one day: ART go: PAST.3SG to Esfahan
 “Shah Abbas went to Esfahan one day.”

The dative case marker *-KA* in Khalaj and *be* in Persian are sometimes used together.

(38)

be teïrmänkä tã hãr yir tãşluqarti
to mill-DAT to all place boulder: COP.PAST.3SG

“It was bouldery all the way to the mill.”

In most cases, the privative suffix *-sUz* is preserved in Khalaj. However, it has been detected that the Persian prefix *bi* is also used in the privative function in many examples.

(39)

ũ bĩ tik ki mãnim bozorgbãbãm şikãrçiri ha,
he without hair CONJ my grandfather: POSS.1SG hunter: COP INT
xãyli şahudu vãrar
many witness: POSS.3TK existent: COP

“The man without hair is my grandfather, he is a hunter, he has many witnesses.”

1.2.2. Basic Bound Grammatical Copies

As in the other Turkic languages, there is no special article in Khalaj to specify that the names are indefinite or unspecified. However, it is seen that *bi* “one” (< bir) unit point out the indefinite and unspecified names.

(40)

bi kin bĩ tãvãnin xãyli yiki vãramuş
one day one camel: GEN very burden: POSS.3SG existent:
COP.PAST.3SG

“One day a camel had a lot of burden.”

Apart from this, the indefinite article *-ĩ* unit in Persian is frequently used as in the other Khalaj dialects. This marker shows that the name is indefinite by being added to the end of the names. When we look at the examples in which this unit is included, it is observed that it is frequently used with question words (for examples in other dialects, see Doerfer, 1988, p. 95).

(41)

nãççã kinĩ qãr yağõr
how many day: ART snow: AOR.3SG

“It has been snowing for days on end.”

Considering typical characteristics of Turkic languages, the noun modified by *bir* does not take a plural suffix. It has been observed that nouns can take plural marker after *bĩ* in Khalaj. This situation has developed with the effect of the Persian word for the number *yek*. Nouns can take plural suffix after the *yek* marker in Persian. Persian *yek çizhã* “something” (Doerfer, 1988, p. 94-95).

(42)

ū moḡay ki hävkä kälmiş körmüş o
hävçä

that moment CONJ house: DAT come: PAST.3SG see: PAST.3SG and
house: LOC

bî zādlar yu°qamuş
one thing: PL absence: COP.PAST.3SG

“The moment they came home, they saw that there was nothing in the house.”

(43)

Mullā bî hädiyälär däq ullarqa vi°rmiş

Mullah one present: PL CONJ they: DAT give: PAST.3SG

“The mollah gave them some presents as well.”

The indefinite marker *-i*, which is copied from Persian, can be shortened if it is followed by a morpheme.

(44)

näcūr kiniri bāba?

what kind of day: ART-COP INJ

“Dad, what kind of day is this?”

It is observed that the indefinite marker *bi* in Khalaj and the *-i* unit copied from Persian are used together. There is therefore double coding in such examples.

(45)

bi kiniçä bi mämläkätikä bi qarru kişi vārattu

one day: ART-LOC one country: ART-DAT one old woman
existent: COP.PAST.3SG

“Once upon a time, there was an old woman in a country.”

There are typological differences between comparative structures in Turkic and Persian. While there is a special comparative structure in Persian (such as *noun + üz + noun + -tär*), there is no special comparative structure in Khalaj, as in the other Turkic languages. As a result of the strong influence of Persian, it has been detected that the comparative structures of Persian were copied into Khalaj.

(46)

hottuz äkki yaşum vārätti ke ū zāmān

thirty two old: POSS.1SG existent: COP.PAST.3SG CONJ that time
sändä cāwāntärättim.

you: ABL young: COMP-COP.PAST.1SG

“I was thirty two years old, at that time, I was younger than you.”

Persian *-tärin* is added to the adjectives in comparative structures. It grades the adjective and gives the adjective the meaning of “superlative”. For example, *dāneşgāh-ä bozortärin äst* is “the biggest university.” The superlative structure of Persian was copied into the Khalaj of Talkhab as a result of the language relations. *-tärin*, which is a general copy in Khalaj, is added to both Persian origin words and Khalaj words.

(47)

bö hāy bōztärinär kārāk bāhārda söre kälīm
 this month cold: SUP-COP necessity spring:ABL after come: OPT.1SG
 “This is the coldest month, I should come after spring.”

2. 1. Complex Lexical Copies

The sign of a concept, emotion or action in Persian can have a morphologically complex structure. In general, the basis of such structures is the *ezafe* structure (Johanson, 1998, p. 329-330). Such structures are also copied into Khalaj, which is strongly influenced by Persian. The structure of *'eyd-e nowruz* “spring festival, nowrooz” (<Persian *'eyd-e nowruz*) in Khalaj can be an example for this situation.

(48)

'eyd-e nowrüz tümām olduqi varratuq
 Erāq
 festival-EZF nowrooz end become-CONV go: AOR-COP.PAST.1PL
 Erak
 çon uça işlätirrättik şoyl-e i'ezimiz uçar
 because there work: AOR-COP.PAST.3SG iş-EZF own: POSS.1PL
 there: COP

“We used to go to Erak when the Nowrooz Festival is over, because we used to work, our own business is there.”

In the formation of some lexical units with complex structure, the prefixes of time markers in Persian are also effective (Johanson, 1998, p. 330). Such complex lexical structures are also seen in Khalaj, as in other Turkic languages in Iran. Such structures (THal. *qābl äz in* “before this” < Persian *qābl äz in*, THal. *bād äz un* “after this” < Persian *bād äz un*) is copied into Khalaj as a global copy.

(49)

bād äz un xolāsa boydalariy hākärrättik
 after this in short wheat: PL-ACC plant: AOR-COP.PAST.1PL
 “After this, in short, we planted wheat.”

2.2. Complex Grammatical Copies

In Khalaj, the locative is marked with the bound morpheme *-ç(A)*, which comes after the noun. For example, *sizçä gorbä varur?* “Do you have a cat?”. In the KT, *där*, which is copied from Persian, is also sometimes used for the locative case.

(50)

dār rah-e Ezmend tāylariy kârdin
 on way: EZF Azmand mountain:PL-ACC see: PAST.2SG
 “You saw the mountains on the way to Azmand.”

This unit is also used in the lexicalized *dârbare* “about” and *der mored* “about” in the KT. These lexicalized units become the first elements of the ezafe structures. These patterns were determined in two examples with the pronoun *û* “he/she/it” in the KT.

(51)

dâr mored-e û mândä yêtarätti, xâyli zâd bilôr
 about-EZF that I: ABL better: COP.PAST.3SG çok şey know:
 PRES.3SG

“He was better about it than me, he knows a lot.”

(52)

dârbare-ye û tehqiqat şuru’ olmuşattu
 about: EZF that research begin: PAST-COP.PAST.3SG
 “Research has begun about him”

The ablative case suffix is given with the *-DA*, which comes after the nouns, in the KT. *bi nafar fâmîlin-da ilçilikkâ yu’llâr*. “He sends a member of his family to the embassy.” In addition to this, the morpheme *âz* was copied from Persian instead of this unit, as a result of the strong influence of Persian. *âz* in Persian comes before the nouns and gives the function of ablative. For example, *âz kâşvâr* “from the country”. This structure is also used in the same function in the KT.

(53)

âz in ketâb bilôriy Kârbälâ şâ’riy hoqurätti
 from this book know: PRES.2SG Karbala poem: POSS.3SG read: AOR-
 COP.PAST.3SG

“He/she used to read the Karbala poem from this book, you know.”

The morpheme *âz* can be the first element of the ezafe structure in the KT as well as in Persian.

(54)

âz zâmîn-e Tâlxâb suw kâilir çon suwi
 tâlx olur
 from ground-EZF Talkhab water come: AOR.3SG because water: POSS.3SG
 bitter become: AOR.3SG

qâdim zâmân hâymuşallar Tâlxâb
 ancient time say: PAST.1PL Talkhab

“Water comes from the ground of Talkhab, they called it Talkhab in the ancient

times, because its water was bitter.”

The usage area of the *az* unit in Persian is wider and the usage frequency is more intense. Some structures of Persian, such as *bā'd az inkä* and *qäbl az inkä*, were copied into Khalaj as global copies. These units are more often used by Khalaj young people under the age of twenty five. The Khalaj young people who can speak Persian better, either change very often their code or speak a variant of Khalaj with mostly Persian copies.

(55)

qäbl äz inkä sän bä Torkiyä varsan käräk bö aşiş
yēşän

CONJ you to Turkey go: COND.2SG necessity bu
food: ACC eat: COND.2SG

“You should eat this food before you go to Turkey.”

The *be nāzār-e män* “according to me”, which is often used in Khalaj, was global copied from Persian as a whole. There is a morpheme with a case form and determinative group with an ezafe in this structure.

(56)

tāyda ärä bī mäzra vār be nāzār-e män
munda bidiktärär

mountain: ABL beyond one field existent to aspect -EZF I
that: ABL big: COMP-COP.3SG

“There is a field beyond the mountain, according to me, it is bigger than that.”

Conclusion

The wide functional area of the language is definitely the most important element that provides the continuity of the language. The usage area of the Khalaj becomes narrow day by day. The main reason for this is that the Persian is the only official language in Iran and the functions of the other languages have not been developed. According to the records obtained from the participants, in Talkhab, which is a village far from the center, the Khalaj was used frequently in all areas of life until a short time ago, but this situation disappeared due to socio-economic reasons such as the disconnection between the village and the city, migrations, etc. and the function of the Khalaj slowly decreased. Although Khalaj is heard in places such as village squares, cemeteries, etc., which are the socializing areas of the village, this situation is decreasing every day. The usage of Persian is also becoming common in village life. It has been observed that the functional area of the KT is compressed into the family. The functional area of KT is generally wide. However, families are multilingual in general. Everyone knows Persian. Persian is used in most cases where the mother tongue is inadequate. At the same time, it was also determined that the Oghuz language was spoken in the homes of Khalaj people of Talkhab who married Oghuz Turks. Persian is

the language used mostly by multilingual families. Thus, the effectiveness of Khalaj within the family is slowly decreasing. This situation shows that Khalaj is in serious danger.

When the data of Khalaj spoken in Talkhab was examined, it was found that too many linguistic units were copied from Persian to Khalaj. Most of the copies are free morphemes, as in the other language interaction regions. It has been observed that the most lexical units such as adjectives and nouns are among the free morphemes. Although Khalaj and Persian are the languages with different typologies, we observe that the structures that are relatively difficult to copy are also copied. When stating Persian comparative structures, bound morphemes such as *-tā* ve *-tārin* are used, but it is not very often.

List of Abbreviation

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
ABL	ablative
ACC	accusative
ART	article
AUX	auxiliary verb
COMP	comparative
CONJ	conjunction
CONV	converb
COP	copula
CVB	converb
DAT	dative
EZF	ezafe particle
GEN	genitive
IMP	imperative
INT	interjection
KT	Talkhab Dialect of Khalaj
NEG	negative
OPT	optative
PL	plural
PAST	past tense
POSS	possessive
PRES	present
SUP	superlative

PARTICIPANTS

- P1: H. T., Talkhab, 1957, Primary School, 25.12.2017 Online Information
 P2: S.T, Talkhab, 1981, Primary School, 22.11.2021 in Talkhab
 P3: D. J., Talkhab, 1971, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P4: A. T., Talkhab, 2004, Primary School, 19.11.2021 Online Information
 P5: A. T., Talkhab, 1993, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P6: H. N., Talkhab, 2004, Primary School, 23.11.2021 Online Information
 P7: H. T., Talkhab, 1993, Bachelor, 01.12.2021 Online Information
 P8: M. T., Talkhab, 1993, Primary School, 23.11.2021 Online Information
 P9: F. T., Talkhab, 2004, High School, 24.12.2017, in Talkhab
 P10: M. T., Talkhab, 1989, High School, 19.12.2017, in Tehran
 P11: R. T., Talkhab, 2004, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P12: M. T., Talkhab, 1958, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P13: M. T., Talkhab, 1955, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P14: F. T. Talkhab, 1967, Primary School, 24.12.2017 in Talkhab
 P15: H. T., Talkhab, 1984, Bachelor, 01.12.2021 Online Information

References

- Akkuş, M. (2021). İran'da tehlike altındaki bir Türk dili değişkesi: Halaçça ve Halaç dil topluluğu". *İran ve Turan Araştırmaları Dergisi*, C. 4, Sayı 7, 55 - 77
- Bosnalı, S. (2010). Halaççanın yitim ve değişim sürecine tasarlama kipleri açısından bir bakış, *bilig* 53, 67-88.
- Bosnalı, S. (2012). Dil edimi açısından halaççanın konumu. *Karadeniz Araştırmaları* 32, 45-67.
- Bosnalı, S. (2016). Halaçlar ve dilleri. S. Eker & Ü. Çelik Şavk (Ed.), *Tehlikedeki Türk dilleri II* (269-292, Astana/Ankara: Uluslararası Türk Akademisi/ Hoca Ahmet Yesevi Türk Kazak Üniversitesi Mütevelli Heyet Başkanlığı.
- Bulut, C. (2016) Convergence and variation in the Turkic varieties of Iran: Examples from Qashqâ'î', É. Á. Csató, L. Johanson & A. Róna-Tas, and B. Utas (Ed.) in: *Turks and Iranians. Interactions in language and history*, 235-282, *Turcologica* 105, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Bulut, C. (2022). Turkic languages of Iran. L. Johanson & Csató, É. Á. (Ed.) in: *The Turkic languages*, 287-302, London and New York: Routledge.
- Doerfer, G. (1988). *Grammatik des Chaladsch* [Khalaj grammar], *Turcologica* 4, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Doerfer, G. and Hesche, W. (1989) *Südoğhusische materialien aus Afghanistan und Iran* [South Oghuz materials from Afghanistan and Iran], Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Doerfer, G. and Tezcan, S. (1994) *Folklore-Texte der Chaladsch* [Folklore texts in Khalaj], *Turcologica* 19, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Doerfer, G. (1998). Turkic Languages of Iran. L. Johanson & Csató, É. Á. (Ed.) in: *The Turkic languages*, 273-282, London and New York: Routledge.
- Doerfer, G. (1999). İran'daki Türk dil ve lehçeleri ile bunların hayatta kalma şansı, 3. *Uluslararası Türk Dil Konferansı*, Ankara: TDK.
- Doğan, T. (2017). Halaç Türkçesinde anlam kopyalarının bir kaynağı: Farsça zeden 'vurmak, vd.' fiili. XII. *Uluslararası büyük Türk dili kurultayı bildirileri kitabı*. Bükreş-Romanya: Meteksan Matbaacılık ve Teknik Sanayi Ticaret AŞ: 176-184.
- Gökdağ, B. A. and Doğan, T. (2016). *İran'da Türkler ve Türkçe*. Ankara: Akçağ.
- Güzel, H. (2019). Telhab Halaççası kiplik işaretleyicileri üzerine notlar. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Türkiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi* 30. 43-52.
- Güzel, H. (2020). Telhab Halaçları üzerine toplumdilbilimsel bir değerlendirme, E. Yılmaz, N. Demir, N. Tezcan (Ed.) *Semih Tezcan kitabı*, 429-440, Ankara: Nobel Yayınları.
- Johanson, L. (1998). Code-copying in Irano-Turkic. *Language Sciences* 20, 325-337
- Johanson, L. (2010). Turkic language contacts. In: R. Hickey (Ed.) *The handbook of language contact*, 652-672, Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Johanson, L. (1992). *Strukturelle faktoren in türkischen sprachkontakten* [Structural factors in Turkic linguistic contacts] (Sitzungsberichte der Wissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft an der J. W. Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, 29:5). Stuttgart: Steiner.

- Johanson, L. (1993). Code-copying in immigrant Turkish. G. Extra & L. Verhoeven (Ed.) *Immigrant languages in Europe, 197-221*, Clevedon & Philadelphia & Adelaide: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Johanson, L. (1996). Kopyierte satzjunktoren im Türkischen [Copied clause junctions in Turkic]. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung*, 49, 39-49.
- Johanson, L. (1997). Kopien russischer Konjunktionen in türkischen Sprachen [Copies of Russian conjunctions in Turkic]. D. Huber & E. Wörbs (Ed.) *Ars transferendi. Sprache, Übersetzung, Interkulturalität*, 115-121, Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Johanson, L. (1998). Code-copying in Irano-Turkic. *Language Sciences*, 20, 325-337.
- Johanson, L. 2000. Attractiveness and relatedness: Notes on Turkic language contacts. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistic Society, February 12-15, 1999. J. Good & A. C. L. Yu, (Ed.) *Special session on Caucasian, Dravidian, and Turkic linguistics*, 87-94, Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistics Society.
- Johanson, L. (2002a). *Structural factors in Turkic Language contacts* [With an introduction by Bernard Comrie]. London: Curzon.
- Johanson, L. (2002b). Contact-induced linguistic change in a code-copying framework. In M. C. Jones & E. Esch (Ed.) *Language Change: The Interplay of internal, external and extra-linguistic Factors*, 285-313, (Contributions to the Sociology of Language 86). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Johanson, L. (2021). *Turkic*, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Kıral, F. (2000). Copied relative constructions in Khalaj. *Studies on Turkish and Turkic languages. Proceeding of the ninth international conference on Turkish linguistics*, 181-188, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Kıral, F. (2001). *Das gesprochene Aserbajdschanisch von Iran. Eine Studie zu den syntaktischen Einflüssen des Persischen*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Ragagnin, E. (2020). Major and minor Turkic language islands in Iran with a special focus on Khalaj, *Iranian Studies* 53/3-4, 573-588.
- Rahimi, M. (2020). Dil canlılığı bağlamında Halaç Türklerinin durumu, *Modern Türklük Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 17/2, 131-145.
- Tezcan, S. (2018). 8. yüzyılda Afganistan'da Halaç prensesleri, günümüzde orta İran'da Halaçlar ve dilleri, *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Türkiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi*, C. 28, 2018, 231-254.