	SAKARYA ÜNİVERSİTESİ FEN BİLİMLERİ ENSTİTÜSÜ DERGİSİ SAKARYA UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE		and in the	DECH. BHFBMX
SAU	e-ISSN: 2147-835X Dergi sayfası:http://dergipark.gov.tr/saufenbilder		suura Lano ranas	SAKARYA ÜNİVERSİTESİ FEN BİLİMLERİ
)	<u>Geliş/Received</u> 07-06-2017 <u>Kabul/Accepted</u> 05-09-2017	<u>Doi</u> 10.16984/saufenbilder.319522	: Common De Tones	ENSTITUSU DERCISI

Continuous dependence of a coupled system of Wave-Plate Type

Yasemin Başcı^{*1}, Şevket Gür²

ABSTRACT

In this study, we prove continuous dependence of solutions on coefficients of a coupled system of waveplate type.

Keywords: Wave-plate type, continuous dependence.

Wave-Plate Tipi denklem sisteminin sürekli bağımlılığı

ÖZ

Bu çalışmada, wave-plate tipi denklem sisteminin çözümlerinin katsayılara sürekli bağımlılığı ispatlanmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Wave-plate tipi, sürekli bağımlılık.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following coupled system of wave-plate type:

$$\alpha u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t + a \Delta v = 0, \ x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0$$
 (1)

$$\beta v_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v + a \Delta u - h \Delta v_t = 0, \ x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0$$
 (2)

$$(u(x,0),v(x,0)) = (u_0(x),v_0(x)), x \in \Omega,$$
(3)

$$(u_t(x,0), v_t(x,0)) = (u_1(x), v_1(x)), x \in \Omega,$$
(4)

$$u = v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \, t > 0.$$
 (5)

Here Ω is a open set of \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu$, a and h are positive constants.

Continuous dependence of solutions of problems in partial differential equations on coefficients in the equations is a type of structural stability, which reflects the effect of small changes in coefficient of equations on the solutions. This type has been extensively studied in recent years for a variety of problems. Many results of this type can be found in the literature (see, 1-14, 16, 17, 20-22, 24). Most

^{*} Sorumlu Yazar / Corresponding Author

¹ Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Matematik Bölümü, 14280 Gölköy Bolu

² Sakarya Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Matematik Bölümü, 54050 Serdivan Sakarya

of the paper in the literature study structural stability for various systems in a finite region. For a review of such works, one can refer to [4, 18-20] and papers cited therein. Also, many papers in the literature have studied the Brinkman, Darcy, Forchheimer and Brinkman Forchheimer equations, see [2, 3, 8-16].

In [15], Santos and Munoz Rivera studied the analytic property and the exponential stability of the C_0 -semigroup associated with the following coupled system of wave-plate type with thermal effect:

$$\rho_{1}u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_{t} + \alpha \Delta v = 0, \qquad (6)$$

$$\rho_2 v_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v + a \Delta u + m \Delta \theta = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\tau \theta_t + k \Delta \theta - m \Delta v = 0, \tag{8}$$

where the functions u and v represent the vertical deflections of the membrane and the plate, respectively, θ is the difference between the two temperatures and finally $\rho_1, \rho_2, \mu, \gamma, k, m$ and τ are positive constants. The above model can be used to describe the evolution of a system consisting of an elastic membrane and an elastic plate, subject to a thermal effect and attracting each other by an elastic force with coefficient $\alpha > 0$.

In 2014, Tang, Liu and Liao [23] studied the spatial behavior of the following coupled of the wave-plate type:

$$\rho_1 u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t + a \Delta v = 0, \qquad (9)$$

$$\rho_2 v_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v + a \Delta u - \frac{m^2}{k} \Delta v_t = 0.$$
 (10)

The authors got the alternative results of Phragmen-Lindelof type in terms of an area measure of the amplitude in question based on a first-order differential inequality. They also got the spatial decay estimates based on a second-order differential inequality.

Throughout in paper, $\|.\|$ and (,) denote the norm and inner product $L^2(\Omega)$.

2. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Theorem 1. Let u and v be the solutions of the problem (1)-(5). Then the following estimate holds:

$$\|u_{tt}\|^{2} \leq D_{1}(t), \|v_{tt}\|^{2} \leq D_{2}(t),$$

$$\|\nabla u_{t}\|^{2} \leq D_{3}(t), \|\Delta v_{t}\|^{2} \leq D_{4}(t),$$
(11)

where $D_1(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} D_0(t)$, $D_2(t) = \frac{2}{\beta} D_0(t)$,

$$D_3(t) = 2D_0(t)$$
, $D_4(t) = \frac{2}{\gamma}D_0(t)$, and $D_0(t)$ is a

function depending on the initial data and the parameters of (1)-(2).

Proof. Firstly, we differentiate (1) and (2) with respect to t:

$$\alpha u_{ttt} - \Delta u_t - \mu \Delta u_{tt} + a \Delta v_t = 0, \qquad (12)$$

and

$$\beta v_{ttt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v_t + a \Delta u_t - h \Delta v_{tt} = 0.$$
⁽¹³⁾

Multiplying (12) and (13) by u_u and v_u in $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{1}(t) + \mu \|\nabla u_{tt}\|^{2} + h \|\nabla v_{tt}\|^{2} =
a(\nabla u_{t}, \nabla v_{tt}) + a(\nabla v_{t}, \nabla u_{tt}),$$
(14)

where

$$E_{1}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|u_{tt}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v_{tt}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\Delta v_{t}\|^{2}.$$

Using the Cauchy's inequality with ε and the Sobolev inequality two terms on the right hand side of (14) we obtain

$$a\left(\nabla u_{t}, \nabla v_{tt}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{1} \left\|\nabla v_{tt}\right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{1}} \left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2}$$
(15)

and

$$a\left(\nabla v_{t}, \nabla u_{tt}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{2} \left\|\nabla u_{tt}\right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{2}} \left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_{2} \left\|\nabla u_{tt}\right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{2}} d_{1} \left\|\Delta v_{t}\right\|^{2},$$
(16)

where d_1 is the positive constant in the Sobolev inequality. From (15) and (16) with ε_1 and ε_2 are selected sufficiently small we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) \le M_1 E_1(t), \tag{17}$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending on the parameters of (1) and (2). So

$$\|u_{tt}\| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} D_0(t), \|v_{tt}\| \leq \frac{2}{\beta} D_0(t),$$

 $\|\nabla u_t\| \leq 2D_0(t), \|\Delta v_t\| \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} D_0(t),$

where $D_0(t) = E_1(0)e^{M_1 t}$. Therefore (11) is satisfied.

3. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE ON PARAMETERS

In this section, we prove that the solution of the problem (1)-(5) depends continuously on μ and h.

Now assume that (u_1, v_1) is the solution of the problem

$$\alpha(u_1)_{tt} - \Delta u_1 - \mu_1 \Delta(u_1)_t + a \Delta v_1 = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0$$

$$\beta(v_1)_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v_1 + a \Delta u_1 - h \Delta(v_1)_t = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0$$

$$(u_1(x,0), v_1(x,0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$((u_1)_t(x,0), (v_1)_t(x,0)) = (u_1(x), v_1(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u_1 = v_1 = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0$$

and (u_2, v_2) is the solution of the following problem

$$\alpha \left(u_{2}\right)_{tt} - \Delta u_{2} - \mu_{2} \Delta \left(u_{2}\right)_{t} + a \Delta v_{2} = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

$$\begin{split} \beta (v_2)_{tt} &+ \gamma \Delta^2 v_2 + a \Delta u_2 - h \Delta (v_2)_t = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0 \\ (u_2(x,0), v_2(x,0)) &= (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \quad x \in \Omega, \\ ((u_2)_t(x,0), (v_2)_t(x,0)) &= (u_2(x), v_2(x)) \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_2 &= v_2 = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \end{split}$$

Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $v = v_1 - v_2$ and $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. Then (u, v) satisfies the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu_1 \Delta u_t - \mu \Delta (u_2)_t \\ + a \Delta v = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\beta v_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v + a \Delta u - h \Delta v_t = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \ (19)$$

$$(u(x,0), v(x,0)) = (0,0) \quad x \in \Omega,$$
(20)

$$(u_t(x,0), v_t(x,0)) = (0,0) \quad x \in \Omega,$$
(21)

$$u = v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0.$$
(22)

Firstly the following theorem establishes continuous dependence of the solution of (1)-(5) on the coefficient μ .

Theorem 2. Let u and v be the solutions of the problem (18)-(22). Then the following estimate holds:

$$\|u_t\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 \le (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2 A_1(t), \quad \forall t > 0$$
(23)

Proof. Multiplying (18) and (19) by u_t and v_t in $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively and adding the obtained relations, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) + \mu_{1} \|\nabla u_{t}\|^{2} + h \|\nabla v_{t}\|^{2} + \mu (\nabla (u_{2})_{t}, \nabla u_{t}) - a (\nabla u_{t}, \nabla v) - a (\nabla v_{t}, \nabla u) = 0,$$
(24)

where

$$E_{2}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|u_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\Delta v\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^{2}.$$

Using the Cauchy's inequality with ε for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 > 0$, we can write the following inequality:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t)+(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2})\|\nabla u_{t}\|^{2}+(h-\varepsilon_{3})\|\nabla v_{t}\|^{2} \leq \frac{\mu^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{1}}\|\nabla(u_{2})_{t}\|^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{2}}\|\nabla v\|^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{3}}\|\nabla u\|^{2}.$$
(25)

Then there exist $\mu_1 \ge \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ and $h \ge \varepsilon_3$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) \leq \frac{\mu^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{1}} \left\| \nabla \left(u_{2} \right)_{t} \right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \left\| \nabla v \right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{3}} \left\| \nabla u \right\|^{2}.$$
(26)

So, by using the Sobolev inequality in (25) we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) \leq \frac{\mu^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{1}}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{2}\right)_{t}\right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}d_{2}}{2\varepsilon_{2}}\left\|\Delta v\right\|^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{4\varepsilon_{3}}\left\|\nabla u\right\|^{2},$$
(27)

where d_2 is a positive constant in the Sobolev inequality. Inequality (26) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}\left(t\right) \leq \frac{\mu^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{1}}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{2}\right)_{t}\right\|^{2} + M_{2}E_{2}\left(t\right), \qquad (28)$$

where $M_2 = a^2 \max\left\{1, \frac{d_2}{\varepsilon_2 \gamma}, \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3}\right\}$. If we choose

$$\varepsilon_{1} = \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} \text{, then we can write}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) - M_{2}E_{2}(t) \leq \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{1}} \left\| \nabla (u_{2})_{t} \right\|^{2}. \quad (29)$$

Finally, Gronwall's inequality gives

 $E_2(t) \le \mu^2 A_1(t),$

where

$$A_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{\mu_{1}} e^{M_{2}t} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \nabla \left(u_{2} \right)_{s} \right\|^{2} ds.$$

Hence the statement of the theorem holds and we have

$$\|u_t\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 \to 0$$

as $\mu \to 0$.

Finally, we show that the solution of the problem (1)-(5) depends continuously on the coefficient

h. Assume that (u_1, v_1) is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u_1)_{tt} - \Delta u_1 - \mu \Delta(u_1)_t + a \Delta v_1 &= 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \beta(v_1)_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v_1 + a \Delta u_1 - h_1 \Delta(v_1)_t &= 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(u_{1}(x,0),v_{1}(x,0)) = (u_{0}(x),v_{0}(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$((u_{1})_{t}(x,0),(v_{1})_{t}(x,0)) = (u_{1}(x),v_{1}(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u_{1} = v_{1} = \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

and (u_2, v_2) is the solution of the following problem

$$\alpha(u_2)_{tt} - \Delta u_2 - \mu \Delta (u_2)_t + a \Delta v_2 = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

$$\beta(v_2)_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v_2 + a \Delta u_2 - h_2 \Delta(v_2)_t = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(u_2(x,0),v_2(x,0)) = (u_0(x),v_0(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$((u_2)_t(x,0),(v_2)_t(x,0)) = (u_2(x),v_2(x)) \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u_2 = v_2 = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0.$$

Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $v = v_1 - v_2$ and $h = h_1 - h_2$. Then (*u*,*v*) satisfies the problem

$$\alpha u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t + a \Delta v = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\beta v_{tt} + \gamma \Delta^2 v + a \Delta u - h_1 \Delta v_t - h \Delta (v_2)_t = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$
(31)

$$(u(x,0),v(x,0)) = (0,0) \quad x \in \Omega,$$
(32)

$$(u_t(x,0), v_t(x,0)) = (0,0) \quad x \in \Omega,$$
 (33)

$$u = v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0.$$
 (34)

The last result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let u and v be the solutions of the problem (30)-(34). Then the following inequality holds:

$$\|u_t\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 \le (h_1 - h_2)^2 A_2(t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$
(35)

Proof. Multiplying (30) and (31) by u_t and v_t in $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively and adding the obtained relations, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) + \mu \|\nabla u_{t}\|^{2} + h_{1}\|\nabla v_{t}\|^{2} + h(\nabla(v_{2})_{t}, \nabla v_{t}) + a(\nabla u_{t}, \nabla v) - a(\nabla v_{t}, \nabla u) = 0.$$
(36)

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the following inequality from (36):

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) \leq \frac{h^{2}}{h_{1}} \left\| \nabla \left(v_{2} \right)_{t} \right\|^{2} + M_{3}E_{2}(t), \qquad (37)$$

and so, this completes the proof of Theorem 3. Here $E_2(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2$ and M_3 is a positive constant depending on the parameters of (1)-(2).

REFERENCES

[1] K.A. Ames, L.E. Payne, "Continuous dependence results for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations backward in time," Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Math. Appl., 23, 103-113, 1994.

[2] A.O. Çelebi, V.K. Kalantarov, D. Uğurlu, "On continuous dependence on coefficients of the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations," Appl. Math.

Lett., 19, 801-807, 2006

[3] A.O. Çelebi, V.K. Kalantarov, D. Uğurlu, "Continuous dependence for the convective Brinkman-Forchheimer equations," Appl. Anal. 84 (9), 877-888, 2005.

[4] Changhao Lin, L.E. Payne, "Continuous dependence of heat flux on spatial geometry for the generalized Maxwell-Cattaneo system," Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 55, 575-591, 2004.

[5] F. Franchi, B. Straughan, "A continuous dependence on the body force for solutions to the Navier- Stokes equations and on the heat supply

in a model for double-diffusive porous convection," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 172, 117-129, 1993.

[6] F. Franchi, B. Straughan, "Continuous dependence on the relaxation time and modelling, and unbounded growth,"J. Math. Anal. Appl. 185, 726-746, 1994.

[7] F. Franchi, B. Straughan, "Spatial decay estimates and continuous dependence on modelling for an equation from dynamo theory," Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 445, 437-451, 1994.

[8] F. Franchi, B. Straughan, "Continuous dependence and decay for the Forchheimer equations," Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 459,3195-3202, 2003.

[9] Yan Li, C. Lin, "Continuous dependence for the nonhomogeneous Brinkman-Forchheimer equations in a semi-infinite pipe,"Appl. Mathematics and Computation 244, 201-208, 2014.

[10] C. Lin, L.E. Payne, "Continuous dependence on the Soret coefficient for double diffusive convection in Darcy flow," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342, 311-325, 2008.

[11] Y. Liu, "Convergence and continuous dependence for the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations," Math. Comput. Model. 49, 1401-1415, 2009.

[12] Y. Liu, Y. Du, C.H. Lin, "Convergence and continuous dependence results for the Brinkman equations," Appl. Math. Comput. 215, 4443-4455, 2010.

[13] L.E. Payne, J.C. Song and B. Straughan, "Continuous dependence and convergence results for Brinkman and Forchheimer models with variable viscosity," Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 45S, 2173-2190, 1999.

[14] L.E. Payne, B. Straughan, "Convergence and continuous dependence for the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations," Stud. Appl. Math. 102, 419-439, 1999.

[15] M.L. Santos, J.E. Munoz Rivera, "Analytic property of a coupled system of wave-plate type with thermal effect," Differential Integral Equations 24(9-10), 965-972, 2011.

[16] N.L. Scott, "Continuous dependence on boundary reaction terms in a porous medium of Darcy type," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 399, 667-675, 2013.

[17] N.L. Scott, B. Straughan, "Continuous dependence on the reaction terms in porous convection with surface reactions," Quart. Appl. Math. (in press).

[18] B. Straughan, "The Energy Method, Stability and Nonlinear Convection," Appl. Math. Sci. Ser., second ed., vol. 91, Springer, 2004.

[19] B. Straughan, "Stability and Wave Motion in Porous Media," Appl. Math. Sci. Ser., vol. 165, Springer, 2008.

[20] B. Straughan, "Continuous dependence on the heat source in resonant porous penetrative convection," Stud. Appl. Math. 127, 302-314, 2011.

[21] M. Yaman, Ş. Gür, "Continuous dependence for the pseudo parabolic equation," Bound. Value Probl., Art. ID 872572, 6 pp., 2010.

[22] M. Yaman, Ş. Gür, "Continuous dependence for the damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation," Math. Comput. Appl. 16 (2), 437-442, 2011.

[23] G. Tang, Y. Liu, W. Liao, "Spatial behavior of a coupled system of wave-plate type," Abstract and Applied Analysis volume 2014, Article ID 853693, 13 pages.

[24] H. Tu, C. Lin," Continuous dependence for the Brinkman equations of flow in doublediffusive convection," Electron. J. Diff. Eq. 92, 1-9, 2007.