# Bitki Koruma Bülteni / Plant Protection Bulletin

http://dergipark.gov.tr/bitkorb

Original article

# Nematophagous fungi species from Erzurum and Erzincan provinces in Türkiye

Türkiye'de Erzurum ve Erzincan illerinden elde edilen nematofag fungus türleri

Tuba GENÇ KESİMCİ<sup>a\*</sup>, Erkol DEMİRCİ<sup>b</sup>

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2022-0193, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7176-1654

<sup>a</sup>Iğdır University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, 76000, Iğdır, Türkiye <sup>b</sup>Karadeniz Technical University, Faculty of Science, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, 61080, Trabzon, Türkiye

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:* DOI: 10.16955/bitkorb.1385592 Received : 03-11-2023 Accepted : 05-03-2024

Keywords:

Arthrobotrys, Harposporium, nematophagous fungi, rDNA-ITS region

\* Corresponding author: Tuba GENÇ KESİMCİ <u>tuba.genc@igdir.edu.tr</u>

# ABSTRACT

In Erzurum and Erzincan provinces, some nematophagous fungi were determined on roots of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.), potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), and strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duchesne) plants during 2009-2011, and identification of fungi species was performed by classical and/or molecular techniques. Purified 5 isolates were determined as Arthrobotrys cladodes Drechsler 1937 (1 isolate), Arthrobotrys conoides Drechsler 1937 (1 isolate) and Arthrobotrys superba Corda 1839 (3 isolates). Identification of Arthrobotrys isolates was also confirmed by ribosomal DNA (rDNA)-ITS (internal transcribed spacer) sequence analysis. Harposporium genus was also determined considering the morphological characteristic of fungal spores growing on nematodes found in two samples, but these fungi could not be purified. Harposporium species were identified as Harposporium anguillulae Lohde emend. Zopf 1888 and Harposporium crassum A.M. Sheph 1955 according to the morphological characteristic. To our knowledge, all Arthrobotrys and Harposporium species identified in this study are reported for the first time in Türkiye.

# INTRODUCTION

Plant-parasitic nematodes cause serious damage to many important crops such as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), and strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duchesne). Fungi are a group of organisms that are highly abundant in soils, and some of these fungi species feed on various nematode species. In contrast, others infect many plant species or survive as saprophytic in the soil. More than 700 species of nematophagous (nematode-destroying) fungi can control plant-parasitic nematodes through antagonistic behavior (Li et al. 2015). Nematophagous fungi are potentially important for biological control, an indispensable component in sustainable agriculture, and play a major role in integrated pest management programs (Eken et al. 2023, Yadav et al. 2023).

Nematophagous fungi were divided into four main groups according to their mechanism of action: nematodetrapping, nematode egg and female parasites, endoparasitic, and toxin-producing fungi (Hyde et al. 2014). Species belonging to the genera *Arthrobotrys, Cystopage, Dactylella*, Dactylellina, Drechslerella, Hohenbuehelia, Hyphoderma, Monacrosporium, Nematoctonus, Orbilia, Stylopage, Tridentaria, Triposporina and Zoophagus are nematodetrapping fungi; Drechmeria, Harposporium, Hirsutella, Nematoctonus and Myzocytium are endoparasitic fungi; Lecanicillium, Nematophthora, Paecilomyces and Pochonia are egg and cyst parasitizing fungi; Coprinus and Pleurotus are toxin-producing fungi (Yang and Zhang 2014, Zhang et al. 2011). These species belong to fungal taxa, including Chytridiomycota, Blastocladiomycota, Oomycota, Zygomycota, Ascomycota, and Basidiomycota (Li et al. 2015, Yang and Zhang 2014).

There are few studies on the isolation of *Arthrobotrys* species in Türkiye. *Arthrobotrys arthrobotryoides* (Berl.) Lindau 1905 was isolated from outdoor air (İmalı 2005, İmalı et al. 2011, Kalyoncu and Ekmekci 2008), *Arthrobotrys oligospora* Fresen. 1850 from egg masses and females of *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White 1919) Chitwood 1949 (Tylenchida: Heteroderidae) nematode species (Karakas 2015), and *Arthrobotrys* sp. from wheat and barley seeds (Yurdakul 2019).

The study aims to identify nematophagous fungi by using morphological and/or molecular methods. These fungi were collected during the studies to determine the fungal plant pathogens that cause disease in the roots of alfalfa, potato and strawberry plants in Erzurum and Erzincan provinces.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Isolation of nematophagous species

Nematophagous fungi were isolated during the studies to determine the fungal plant pathogens that cause disease in the roots of various plants. Diseased alfalfa, potato, and strawberry plants were collected from fields in Erzurum and Erzincan provinces during 2009-2011. The roots of the plants were washed in running tap water to eliminate the soil. Plant parts (1.5 cm long) were disinfected in 1% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 1 min, immersed with sterile distilled water, and placed on 1.5% Water Agar (WA) supplemented 50 mg/l streptomycin sulfate. After a 3-7 day incubation period at 25 °C in the dark, it was observed that nematodes growing in some Petri dishes were caught by various fungal structures. These plates were periodically examined for growth of nematophagous fungi for an additional 10-15 days. Nematophagous fungi were purified using the single spore isolation method, then transferred to a slant containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and stored at 5 °C.

#### Morphological and/or molecular identification of isolates

Nematophagous fungi species were determined using classical and/or molecular methods. In the classical method, fungi were characterized based on their morphological characteristics (Karling 1938, Shepherd 1955, Yu et al. 2014). Five purified isolates were grown on PDA in the dark at 25 °C for 7-14 days to determine differences in conidia size, colony morphology, and radial growth rate. A five mm diameter agar disk from the edge of the colony of the isolate was placed in the center of a 9 cm diameter Petri dish containing PDA, and colony diameter was determined after 7 days. Four Petri dishes were used for each isolate, and the average of the colony diameter measurements made at right angles to each other was taken to determine the measurement value in each replicate. Conidia size was determined for each isolate by measuring conidia (n = 50)at right angles longitudinally and transversely using a phase contrast microscope. Measurements values were recorded as minimum-maximum (average). The morphological features of the fungi in the two unpurified samples were examined only with the phase contrast microscope. All micromorphological features were photographed with an Olympus BH2 microscope.

Purified five isolates were also identified molecularly using ribosomal DNA (rDNA)-ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer) regions (ITS1, 5.8, ITS2). Mycelia of the isolates to be used in DNA isolation were obtained as described by Genc Kesimci et al. (2022). Genomic DNA isolation, PCR amplification using ITS1 and ITS4 primers (White et al. 1990), and sequence analysis from these isolates were performed by REFGEN (Ankara University Technopolis, Ankara, Türkiye). The sequences of each isolate were edited using BioEdit software, version 7 (Hall 1999), and aligned using the Clustal W algorithm (Thompson et al. 1994). The sequences of isolates were performed by BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) analysis and compared with the other sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. Sequence similarity of 97% or above was taken into account when determining the species. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighborjoining method (Saitou and Nei 1987) implemented in MEGA software, version 6 (Tamura et al. 2013) using reference isolates (GenBank MH857992.1, MH179686.1, and MZ427475.1) whose base sequences were obtained from the NCBI database, and Dactylellina appendiculata (Anastasiou) M. Scholler, Hagedorn & A. Rubner 1999 (GenBank MH858419.1) as the distant species, and 1000 bootstrap replicates. The sequences of 5 isolates were deposited in the NCBI database and accession numbers were obtained (Table 1).

Species	Isolate	Isolation Source	Year	Location	Genbank Accession Number
Arthrobotrys cladodes	S-KHB-14	Strawberry	2009	Yakutiye-Erzurum	OR149151
Arthrobotrys conoides	A-2	Potato	2011	Pasinler-Erzurum	OR149152
Arthrobotrys superba	NF-1	Strawberry	2009	Yakutiye-Erzurum	OR149153
Arthrobotrys superba	SS10-6	Strawberry	2009	Yakutiye-Erzurum	OR149154
Arthrobotrys superba	MTT-13	Alfalfa	2010	Pasinler-Erzurum	OR149155

**Table 1.** Isolate code, isolation source, sampling year, location, and GenBank accession number of isolates of Arthrobotrys species identified by classical and molecular techniques

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Five isolates were obtained from roots of alfalfa (1 isolate), potato (1 isolate), and strawberry (3 isolates) plants in Erzurum province (Table 1). These isolates were identified as Arthrobotrys genus by the classical identification techniques (Yu et al. 2014). Based on morphological descriptions, the 5 isolates were defined as Arthrobotrys cladodes Drechsler 1937 (teleomorph: Orbilia cladodes (Drechsler) E. Weber & Baral) (1 isolate), Arthrobotrys conoides Drechsler 1937 (teleomorph: unknown) (1 isolate) and Arthrobotrys superba Corda 1839 (teleomorph: Orbilia auricolor (A. Bloxam) Sacc.) (3 isolates). The Arthrobotrys isolates were examined for their morphological characteristics, including colony features (Figure 1 and Table 2) and conidia (Figure 2 and Table 2). Conidia size was given as minimum-maximum (average) measurements.



**Figure 1.** Colony of *Arthrobotrys* spp. on Potato Dextrose Agar media. a) *Arthrobotrys cladodes* (S-KHB-14); b) *Arthrobotrys conoides* (A-2); c-e) *Arthrobotrys superba* (NF-1, SS10-6 and MTT-13, respectively)



**Figure 2.** Conidia of *Arthrobotrys* spp. on Potato Dextrose Agar media. a) *Arthrobotrys cladodes* (S-KHB-14); b) *Arthrobotrys conoides* (A-2); c-e) *Arthrobotrys superba* (NF-1, SS10-6 and MTT-13, respectively). Scale bars: a-e= 20 μm

Arthrobotrys cladodes was isolated from strawberry root in Yakutiye-Erzurum, A. conoides from potato root in Pasinler-Erzurum, and A. superba from alfalfa and strawberry roots in Pasinler-Erzurum and Yakutiye-Erzurum, respectively (Table 1).

Arthrobotrys cladodes isolate (S-KHB-14) on PDA was whitish, then turned greenish-yellow and cottony (Figure 1a), growing rapidly to reach an 85 mm diameter after 7 days in the incubator at 25 °C (Table 2). Conidia ellipsoid, 1-septate at the center of the spore (Figure 2a), measuring 14.2-19.8 (16.6) x 6.6-11.2 (8.5)  $\mu$ m (Table 2).

Arthrobotrys conoides isolate (A-2) on PDA was initially whitish, then turned to reddish-orange, with white superficial hyphae towards the center (Figure 1b), growing rapidly to reach an 80 mm diameter after 7 days in the incubator at 25 °C (Table 2). Conidia elongate obconical, 1-septate about one-third from the basal end, and constricted at the septum (Figure 2b), measuring 21.1-38.4 (30.2) x 6.9-12.4 (9.5)  $\mu$ m (Table 2).

Species	Isolate	Colony Diameter (mm)	Conidia Length* (μm)	Conidia Width (µm)
Arthrobotrys cladodes	S-KHB-14	85	14.2-19.8 (16.6)	6.6-11.2 (8.5)
Arthrobotrys conoides	A-2	80	21.1-38.4 (30.2)	6.9-12.4 (9.5)
Arthrobotrys superba	NF-1	54	19.2-30.9 (24.2)	6.8-10.2 (8.4)
Arthrobotrys superba	SS10-6	54	21.8-33.8 (28.0)	7.0-10.0 (8.7)
Arthrobotrys superba	MTT-13	44	20.5-28.9 (24.5)	8.0-13.3 (10.1)

Table 2. Colony diameter, conidia length, and width of Arthrobotrys isolates

\*: Minimum-Maximum (Average)

Arthrobotrys superba isolates (NF-1, SS10-6, and MTT-13) on PDA were initially whitish, then turned to yellowishorange and cottony (Figure 1c-e), growing moderately to reach diameters of 54, 54, and 44 mm after 7 days in the incubator at 25 °C, respectively (Table 2). Conidia elliptical, 1-septate at the center of spore, slightly constricted at the septum (Figure 2c-e), measuring 19.2-33.8 (25.6) x 6.8-13.3 (9.1)  $\mu$ m (Table 2).

The Arthrobotrys cladodes, A. conoides and A. superba isolates obtained in this study are nematode-trapping fungi and capture nematodes using three-dimensional adhesive networks (Figure 3). According to our observations during the study, when Arthrobotrys species and nematodes were co-inoculated into Petri dishes containing 1.5% WA, abundant three-dimensional adhesive networks were formed in the entire colony, and nematode growth was 100% inhibited within two weeks. In the nematode-free Petri dishes, it has been observed that there is no adhesive network formation in the fungal colony or it was very few in the center of the colony, so three-dimensional adhesive network formation occurs in response to the presence of nematodes. The nematode species used in this study were not identified.

In addition to identification based on morphological characteristics, *Arthrobotrys* isolates were also identified based on sequence analysis of rDNA-ITS regions. A sequence similarity of 97% or above was considered for species determination. A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of the 5 *Arthrobotrys* isolates, reference isolates, and distant species is shown in Figure 4. Ultimately, *Arthrobotrys* isolates (Table 1) were confirmed as *A. cladodes* (1 isolate), *A. conoides* (1 isolate), and *A. superba* (3 isolates).

The *Harposporium* genus was determined on the morphological characteristic of fungal spores growing on nematodes in two samples from strawberry plants in 2009 (Figure 5), although these fungi could not be purified. *Harposporium* species were classified as *Harposporium* anguillulae Lohde emend. Zopf 1888 and *Harposporium* crassum A.M. Sheph. 1955 based on the morphological characteristics of the conidia and/or conidiophores (Karling



**Figure 3.** The trap formation process and interaction between the nematophagous fungi *Arthrobotrys* sp. and nematode on Water Agar surface. a) Three-dimensional adhesive network; b) Trapped nematode in three-dimensional adhesive network at a point; c) Conidia and conidiophores of *Arthrobotrys* sp., and nematode trapped in three-dimensional adhesive networks at various points. Scale bars:  $a = 40 \mu m$ ; b,  $c = 80 \mu m$ 

#### Bitki Koruma Bülteni / Plant Protection Bulletin, 2024, 64 (3) : 13-19



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree based on rDNA-ITS sequences constructed using the neighbor-joining method for *Arthrobotrys* isolates. The numbers on the tree branches indicate the bootstrap values from 1000 replicates using Mega 6.0. The outgroup, *Dactylellina appendiculata* was used to root the tree. A: Reference isolates, •: Outgroup

1938, Shepherd 1955, Wang et al. 2007). Harposporium anguillulae conidia were sickle-shaped, pointed at both ends, and non-septate (Figure 5a), measuring 8-12 (9.7) x 2  $\mu$ m. Harposporium crassum conidia were arcuate, pointed at both ends, non-septate (Figure 5b), measuring 12-20 (14.5) x 2  $\mu$ m. The spores of *H. anguillulae* are smaller than those of *H. crassum. Harposporium anguillulae* and *H. crassum* were determined in the samples collected from Yakutiye-Erzurum and Üzümlü-Erzincan, respectively.



**Figure 5.** Conidia and/or conidiophores of *Harposporium* spp. on nematode body. a) *Harposporium anguillulae*; b) *Harposporium crassum*. Scale bars: a,  $b = 40 \mu m$ 

In this study, *Arthrobotrys* and *Harposporium* species were determined from the roots of various plants or nematodes living on plant roots. These fungi were collected during the studies carried out for the detection of fungal pathogens that cause root rot diseases. In many studies, nematode-fungus disease complexes were reported in several host crops as they occupied the same ecological niche (Back et al. 2002). The fact that they are the most abundant organisms in the soil habitat causes multiple interactions as antagonistic and synergistic interactions between these two groups (Zhang et al. 2020). It is stated that nematophagous fungi are efficient in the biocontrol of parasitic nematodes in antagonistic interaction (De Freitas Soares et al. 2023).

Nematophagous fungi are natural enemies of nematodes and have cosmopolitan distribution (De Freitas Soares et al. 2018). Arthrobotrys is the most complex, wide, and dominant group genus of nematophagous fungi in most habitats representing 59 accepted species (Zhang et al. 2022). Also, Harposporium are commonly known nematode-trapping fungi genera (Yang and Zhang 2014). In Türkiye, A. arthrobotryoides was isolated from outdoor air samples in Corum, Manisa and Van province (İmalı 2005, İmalı et al. 2011, Kalvoncu and Ekmekci 2008), A. oligospora from egg masses and females of M. incognita from tomato fields in Ankara province (Karakas 2015) and Arthrobotrys sp. from wheat and barley seeds in Konya province (Yurdakul 2019). It was reported that 3 Arthrobotrys species obtained in this study were isolated from the field and forest soil (Yu et al. 2014).

Nematode-trapping fungi form specific trapping structures on hypha, such as adhesive networks, adhesive knobs, and constricting rings (Rubner 1996). It is determined that *Arthrobotrys cladodes*, *A. conoides* and *A. superba* are nematode-trapping fungi and capture nematodes by threedimensional adhesive networks in this study; however, *Harposporium* species are endoparasitic fungi and have specially shaped spores that are ingested by nematodes. Because of their shape, the spores get stuck in the esophagus of the nematodes and start the infection from there (Aschner and Kohn 1958). A nematode containing the species *H. anguillulae* or *H. crassum*, conidia and conidiophores form outside of the nematode cadaver.

Accurate identification of the biological agents is the most important condition for success in biological control. Morphological identification of fungi is a traditional method that has been used for many years. However, distinguishing morphologically similar species in this way may lead to misconceptions for scientists who do not have sufficient experience. Therefore, in addition to the classical diagnostic method, ribosomal DNA-ITS regions are widely used for molecular identification of fungi species (Li et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2022). In this study, the identification of *Arthrobotrys* isolates was also confirmed by rDNA-ITS sequence analysis. Similar to the previous study, molecular techniques are used to identify fungi (Zhang et al. 2022). In this study, all *Arthrobotrys* and *Harposporium* species were determined for the first time from Türkiye. Studies on nematophagous fungi in Türkiye, which has a potential in terms of biological control, should be increased.

# **Author's Contributions**

Authors declare the contribution of the authors is equal.

# Statement of Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

# ÖZET

Erzurum ve Erzincan illerinde vonca (Medicago sativa L.), patates (Solanum tuberosum L.) ve çilek (Fragaria x ananassa Duchesne) bitkilerinin köklerinde 2009-2011 yıllarında bazı nematofag funguslar belirlenmiş ve fungus türlerinin tanılanması klasik ve/veya moleküler tekniklerle gerçekleştirilmiştir. Saflaştırılan 5 izolatın Arthrobotrys cladodes Drechsler 1937 (1 izolat), Arthrobotrys conoides Drechsler 1937 (1 izolat) ve Arthrobotrys superba Corda 1839 (3 izolat) türlerine ait olduğu belirlenmiştir. Arthrobotrys izolatlarının tanılanması ribozomal DNA (rDNA)-ITS (internal transcribed spacer) baz dizi analizi ile de doğrulanmıştır. İki örnekte bulunan nematodlar üzerinde gelişen sporların morfolojik özellikleri dikkate alınarak Harposporium cinsi de belirlenmiş, ancak bu funguslar saflastırılamamıştır. Harposporium türleri morfolojik özelliklerine göre Harposporium anguillulae Lohde emend. Zopf 1888 ve Harposporium crassum A.M. Sheph 1955 olarak tanılanmıştır. Bildiğimiz kadarıyla bu çalışmada belirlenen tüm Arthrobotrys ve Harposporium türleri Türkiye'de ilk defa rapor edilmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Arthrobotrys, Harposporium, nematofag fungus, rDNA-ITS bölgesi

# REFERENCES

Aschner M., Kohn S., 1958. The biology of *Harposporium anguillulae*. The Journal of General Microbiology, 19 (1), 182-189.

Back M.A., Haydock P.P.J., Jenkinson P., 2002. Disease complexes involving plant parasitic nematodes and soilborne pathogens. Plant Pathology, 51 (6), 683-697.

De Freitas Soares F.E., Sufiate B.L., De Queiroz J H., 2018. Nematophagous fungi: far beyond the endoparasite, predator and ovicidal groups. Agriculture and Natural Resources, 52 (1), 1-8.

De Freitas Soares F.E., Ferreira J.M., Genier H.L.A., Al-Ani L.K.T., Aguilar-Marcelino L., 2023. Biological control 2.0: Use of nematophagous fungi enzymes for nematode control. Journal of Natural Pesticide Research, 4, 100025.

Eken C., Uysal G., Demir D., Çalişkan S., Sevindik E., Çağlayan K., 2023. Use of *Arthrobotrys* spp. in biocontrol of the rootknot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. European Journal of Biological Research, 13 (3), 173-180.

Genc Kesimci T., Demirer Durak E., Demirci E., 2022. *Rhizoctonia* species from strawberry plants in Erzincan, Turkey: anastomosis groups and pathogenicity. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 32 (3), 721-728.

Hall T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/ NT, pp. 95-98.

Hyde K.D., Swe A., Zhang K. Q., 2014. Nematode-trapping fungi. In: Nematode-trapping fungi. Fungal diversity research series. Zhang K.Q., Hyde K., (Eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, 1-12 p.

İmalı A., 2005. Microfungi flora in Van (city centre) atmosphere and seasonal distribution. The Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science of Yuzuncu Yil University, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, 110 p. Van.

İmalı A., Koçer F., Yalçınkaya B., 2011. Microfungus flora of indoor and outdoor air in primary schools, Çorum, Turkey. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5 (9), 2274-2278.

Kalyoncu F., Ekmekci S., 2008. Culturable airborne fungi in outdoor environments in Manisa, Turkey. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 17 (7a), 844-848.

Karakas M., 2015. Fungi associated with egg masses and females of plant parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* (Nematoda: Heteroderidae). Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 44 (3), 373-378.

Karling J.S., 1938. *Harposporium anguillulae*. Mycologia, 30, 512-519.

Li J., Hyde K.D., Zhang K.Q., 2014. Methodology for studying nematophagous fungi. In: Nematode-trapping fungi. Fungal diversity research series. Zhang K.Q., Hyde K., (Eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, 13-40 p.

Li J., Zou C., Xu J., Ji X., Niu X., Yang J., Huang X., Zhang K.Q., 2015. Molecular mechanisms of nematode-nematophagous

microbe interactions: basis for biological control of plantparasitic nematodes. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 53, 67-95.

Rubner A., 1996. Revision of predacious Hyphomycetes in the *Dactylella-Monacrosporium* complex. Studies in Mycology, 39, 1-134.

Saitou N., Nei M., 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 4 (4), 406-425.

Shepherd A.M., 1955. *Harposporium crassum* sp. nov. Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 38 (1), 47-48.

Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A., Kumar S., 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 30 (12), 2725-2729.

Thompson J.D., Higgins D.G., Gibson T.J., 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research, 22, 4673-4680.

Wang C.Y., Mo M.H., Li X., Tian B.Y., Zhang K.Q., 2007. Morphological characteristics and infection processes of nematophagous *Harposporium* with reference to two new species. Fungal Diversity, 26, 287-304.

Wang F, Wang K., Cai L., Zhao M., Kirk P.M., Fan G., Sun Q., Li B., Wang S., Yu Z., Han D., Ma J., Wu L., Yao Y., 2022. Fungal names: a comprehensive nomenclatural repository and knowledge base for fungal taxonomy. Nucleic Acids Research, 51 (D1), D708-D716.

White T.J., Bruns T., Lee S., Taylor J., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Innis M.A., Gelfand D.H., Sninsky J.J., White T.J., (Eds.). Academic Press, New York, 315-322 p.

Yadav B., Singh U.D., Malviya D., Vishwakarma S.K., Ilyas T., Shafi Z., Shahid M., Singh H. V., 2023. Nematophagous fungi: biology, ecology and potential application. In: Detection, diagnosis and management of soil-borne phytopathogens. Singh U.B., Kumar R., Singh H.B., (Eds.). Springer, Singapore, 309-328 p.

Yang J., Zhang K.Q., 2014. Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes by nematophagous fungi. In: Nematode-trapping fungi. Fungal diversity research series. Zhang K.Q., Hyde K., (Eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, 231-262 p.

Yu Z.F., Mo M.H., Zhang Y., Zhang K.Q., 2014. Taxonomy of nematode-trapping fungi from Orbiliaceae, Ascomycota. In: Nematode-trapping fungi. Fungal diversity research series. Zhang K.Q., Hyde K., (Eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, 41-210 p.

Yurdakul H., 2019. Determination of fungal contamination on wheat and barley seeds in Konya. Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences of Selçuk University, Unpublished Master Thesis, 92 p., Konya.

Zhang Y., Li G. H., Zhang K. Q., 2011. A review on the research of nematophagous fungal species (in Chinese). Mycosystema, 30 (6), 836-845.

Zhang Y., Li S., Li H., Wang R., Zhang K.Q., Xu J., 2020. Funginematode interactions: diversity, ecology, and biocontrol prospects in agriculture. Journal of Fungi, 6 (4), 206. https:// doi.org/10.3390/jof6040206

Zhang F., Boonmee S., Bhat J.D., Xiao W., Yang X.Y., 2022. New *Arthrobotrys* nematode-trapping species (Orbiliaceae) from terrestrial soils and freshwater sediments in China. Journal of Fungi, 8 (7), 671,. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8070671

**Cite this article:** Genç Kesimci, T., & Demirci, E. (2024). Nematophagous fungi species from Erzurum and Erzincan provinces in Türkiye. Plant Protection Bulletin, 64-3. DOI: 10.16955/bitkorb.1385592

Atıf için: Genç Kesimci, T., & Demirci, E. (2024). Türkiye'de Erzurum ve Erzincan illerinden elde edilen nematofag fungus türleri. Bitki Koruma Bülteni, 64-3. DOI: 10.16955/ bitkorb.1385592