Factors Affecting Efficiency of Smallholder Cocoa Farmers: A Tobit Model Application in Malaysia

Wan Roshidah Fadzim[1]*, Mukhriz Izraf Azman Aziz[2], Siti Hadijah Che Mat[3], Selamah Maamor[4]

[1]School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia, [2]School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia, [3]School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia, [4]School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia. *Email: mukhriz@uum.edu.my

ABSTRACT

The determinants technical efficiency among smallholder cocoa farmers has been well studied in agricultural literature. Among the factors identified are the demographic characteristics that affect farmers' decision-making process and the ability of farmers to execute the decision effectively. In Malaysia, cocoa production is characterized by several problems that lead to low productivity. The low productivity has resulted in the continuous fall in percentage share of cocoa output since 2001. Accordingly, this study explores the determinants of technical efficiency among cocoa farmers in Malaysia. The study relies upon primary data gathered during the 2013 production season. Data are collected from a set of structured questionnaire administered on 375 smallholder cocoa farmers throughout Malaysia. Results of the analysis show that record keeping, level of knowledge and status of farmers (either part-time or full-time basis) affects efficiency. This finding suggests that policies that would directly affect these identified variables should be pursued.

Keywords: Cocoa, Technical Efficiency, Tobit Analysis
JEL Classifications: D24, Q12

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1990, Malaysia was the fourth largest cocoa producing countries in the world after Ivory Coast, Ghana and Brazil. However, in 2010, Malaysia was ranked 13th in the world. The decline of Malaysia's position as a major exporter of cocoa in the world was due to the reduction in the local production of cocoa beans. According to Malaysian Cocoa Board (MCB hereafter), small-scale farmers prefer to grow oil palm and rubber trees instead of cocoa. The preference for oil palm and rubber trees is attributed to the simplicity in the plantation process. About 90% of cocoa production in Malaysia are manage by small-scale farmers (MCB). Despite the initiatives taken by MCB to increase the efficiency among the small-scale farmers, the industry has not yet able to reach its targeted production level of 40,000 tonnes of cocoa per annum. In 2014, the industry only managed to produce 2,665 tonnes of dry cocoa beans. Therefore in order to encourage farmers

to grow cocoa and increase productivity of cocoa production, a number of incentives and programmes have been introduced by MCB. These include among others the Cocoa Smallholder Development Program), Consolidated Group Development Program, Entrepreneur Development Cocoa Program and Capacity Building Program.

The main objective of these programmes is to improve production efficiency among small-scale farmers from the average of 0.5 tonnes (per hectare/per year) to 1.5 tonnes (per hectare/per year). If this output level is reached, the farmers are considered efficient by MCB definition. Nevertheless, production efficiency can also be measured by decomposing the production efficiency into its technical and scale components. This is important because the production efficiency can also be influenced by factors such as age, education level and family size as discussed by Amos (2007). Therefore, this study investigates the sources of technical

efficiency among cocoa farmers in Malaysia. For this purpose, the study will utilize Tobit estimator to investigate the determinant factors of technical efficiency.

The rest of the study is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews measures of technical efficiency and literatures on determinants of production efficiency. Section 3 presents the empirical model and data. Section 4 discusses the empirical results and section 5 concludes.

2. TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY MEASURES AND ITS DETERMINANTS

2.1. Technical Efficiency Measures

Parametric frontier models and non-parametric methods have monopolized the recent literature on productive efficiency measurement. Parametric approach involves testing procedures that are based on a number of assumptions. It requires the construct of a production function to describe the level of technology, normality assumptions that need to be met and mathematical modeling in the form of time series analysis. According to Coelli et al. (2005), the measurement efficiency can be categorized in two functions; the stochastic frontier production and cost functions.

In the parametric approach, the stochastic frontier production is based on the Cobb-Douglas production function incorporated into various estimation methods such as ratio analysis, ordinary least square, total factor productivity and stochastic frontier analysis (SFA). Of these three methods, SFA is the most commonly employed technique in literatures. The non-parametric method that is commonly employed in literature on productive efficiency is data envelopment analysis (DEA). It is a linear programming model, assuming no random mistakes, used to measure technical efficiency of decision making units.

2.2. Determinants of Production Efficiency

Studies on factors affecting the level of efficiency are as important as the study estimating the level of efficiency (Chirwa, 2007). Efficiency of cocoa farmers could be improved if the factors influencing the efficiency can be determined. In practice, it is rather difficult for farmers to reach the desired level of efficiency even with employing the optimum combination of technology and inputs available. This is because the final output is not only dependent upon the optimum combination of inputs available but also subject to internal and external factors that would ultimately affect the final output produced (Coelli et al., 2005).

Tauer and Belbase (1987) opined that systematic record keeping significantly affect efficiency of farmers. Similaly, Zepeda (1994) found that good record-keeping practices greatly influence the efficiency of dairy farm farmers in California. Knowledge of good farming techniques is equally important factor influencing efficiency (Godtland et al., 2004; Rasula et al., 2012; Gholami et al., 2013; Abang et al., 2014). Wadud and White (2000) analyzed the technical efficiency of 150 farmers using DEA method. The study showed that the coefficient on years of schooling is positive indicating that the farmers with more years of schooling tend to be more technically efficient in agricultural production. Asadullah and Rahman (2009) found that education level among farmers is significant in reducing production inefficiency, increasing productivity and total output.

In addition, Nyagaka et al. (2010) found that demographic factors such as age, gender and education level have positive influence on efficiency. In other related work by Aneani et al. (2011), they found that age of farmers greatly influence cocoa output in Ghana. The authors recommend greater involvement of young households in farming activities to help increase cocoa output.

3. DATA AND EMPIRICAL MODEL

3.1. Sampling Method and Data Collection

This study uses cross-section data for the production year 2013. The data for this study is collected through a cross sectional survey of cocoa farmers in the West and East Malaysia involving 375 smallholder cocoa farmers using simple cluster random sampling. Information are gathered using face-to-face interview via structured questionnaire designed for collecting information on output, inputs, prices of variables, and some important socio economic variables about the farmers. These include characteristics of farmers such as age, education level, experience, and other relevant information. Prior to data collection, a pilot study was conducted to test the understanding of cocoa farmers on questions pertaining to the use of input and output produced.

From the 375 samples collected, 65% (or 244 samples) are obtained from West Malaysia (i.e., Peninsular Malaysia) and the remaining 35% are sourced from East Malaysia (i.e., Sabah and Sarawak). One may argue that the samples gathered may not be representative of cocoa industry in Malaysia. As of 2014, 76% from the total of 16,102 hectares of cocoa cultivated farms are in East Malaysia and the remaining 3,822 hectares are in Peninsular Malaysia. The disproportionate sampling distribution is inevitable due to logistic constraints encountered upon accessing the cocoa farms and collecting the questionnaires distributed.

The questionnaires are distributed to various districts covering several states in the Peninsular Malaysia namely Beseri in Perlis, Kampung Pulau Nyiur and Bukit Wang in Kedah, Batu kawan and Cerok Tok Kun in Penang, Terong, Grik and Pengkalan Hulu in Perak, Kuala Selangor district, Sabak Bernam, Klang in Selangor, Kuantan in Pahang, Ledang and Muar in Johor, Dungun in Terengganu and Machang district in Kelantan. In Sabah and Sarawak, the areas covered are Tenom, Tawau, Miri and several other districts.

3.2. Empirical Model: Tobit Estimator

In technical efficiency literatures, the Tobit estimator is usually applied in a two-stage analysis procedure; the first stage normally involves estimating a parametric or non-parametric measure such SFA or DEA. Result from the first stage analysis (in this case the efficiency score index) enters the second stage analysis to determine sources of technical efficiency among cocoa-producing farmers. This study will not discuss result from the first stage

analysis to conserve space[1]. The maximum likelihood Tobit regression specified for Malaysian cocoa farmers is as follows:

$$y_{t*} = \beta x_t + \mu_t$$

$$y_t = y_{t*} \text{ jika } y_{t*} > 0; \text{ and } y_t = 0, \text{ otherwise} \qquad (1)$$

Using maximum likelihood function, Equation 1 can be solved by:

$$L = \prod_{y_t=0} (1 - Ft) \prod_{y_t>0} \frac{1}{(2\prod \sigma^2)^{1/2}} \bar{x} e^{-1/2\sigma^2)(y_t - \beta_{xt})^2}$$

With,

$$F_t = \square \int_{\to \infty \square}^{fxt/\sigma} \frac{1}{(2\prod \square)^{1/2}} e^{-t^2/2} dt \qquad (2)$$

The first part of Equation 2 refers to efficient farmers (y=1) and second part of Equation 2 represents inefficient farmers (y < 1). Drawing from the literature discussed above, the following equation is specified:

$$TE_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RATIO_i + \beta_2 AGE_i + \beta_3 EXP_i + \beta_4 EDU_i + \beta_5 INVOLVE_i + \beta_6 DIST_i + \beta_7 RECORD_i + \beta_8 KNOW_i + \beta_9 STATUS_i + \mu_i$$

Where:

4. RESULTS

Table 2 shows results of Tobit regression analysis. Results show that variables RATIO, EXP, RECORD, KNOW and STATUS are statistically significant at 1% level of significance. Meanwhile, variables AGE, EDU, DIST and INVOLVE are not statistically significant. These results show that farmers' experience and socio-economic factors such as level of knowledge, record keeping and farmer's status could affect productivity. For KNOW, it reveals that farmers who possess basic skills and knowledge on cocoa farming are more efficient and productive. This finding supports the studies by Gotland et al. (2003), Rasula et al. (2012) and Abang et al. (2014). In Malaysia, cocoa harvesting are not yet mechanized. The cocoa pods are still hand-harvested throughout Malaysia, making this industry more labor-intensive than other agricultural sectors. Thus causing productivity of labor strongly correlated with farm size and age of farmers. For record keeping, the study finds a statistically significant relationship with efficiency index of cocoa farmers. It proves that cocoa farmers with proper record keeping tend to be more efficient than farmers who do not.

Finally, variables that explain education, age and involvement of spouse or partner in farming are not statistically significant in determining the technical efficiency of cocoa farmers in Malaysia. This is not surprising because most of the farmers interviewed are those age 55 and above with only primary or secondary level

---

1 This study uses DEA method to estimate the technical efficiency index of Malaysian cocoa farmers. Results are available upon request from the author.

Table 1: ??? AQ1

TE	Technical efficiency
RATIO	Ratio of labor usage to land size
AGE	Age of farmer as of January 2013
EXP	Experience of farmers in cocoa plantation (years)
EDU	Education level, entered between scale 1-9
INVOLVE	Score for spouse involvement, 0 to 48
DIST	Distance between house and farm (kilometers)
RECORD	Quantity of information recorded, maximum 30
KNOW	Score of correctly answered questions regarding basic cocoa farming skills; minimum 0, maximum 20
STATUS	Farmer status; 0 if part time basis, 1 if full time basis

Table 2: Results of Tobit regression analysis

Variable	Coefficient
C	−0.1035 (0.1103)
RATIO	0.0370 (0.0088)***
AGE	0.0018 (0.0013)
EXP	0.0038 (0.0015)**
EDU	−0.01003 (0.0074)
INVOLVE	−0.0016 (0.0024)
DIST	0.0016 (0.0031)
RECORD	0.0217 (0.0063)***
KNOW	0.0174 (0.0042)***
STATUS	0.3226 (0.0282)***
Respondent	375
P>Chi-square	0.000
Pseudo R²	0.6780

*,**,***Denotes significance level at 10%, 5% dan 1% respectively. Standard errors are in parentheses AQ2

of education. Although spouse or partner's involvement is an important determinant of productivity, this study finds only a small number of farmers received assistance from spouse.

4.1. Demographic and Socio Economic Factors Affecting Efficiency
This section discusses the demographic and socio economic factors that significantly affect efficiency of cocoa farmers in Malaysia.

4.1.1. Record keeping (RECORD)
Record is an important tool for cocoa farmers to keep track on cocoa farming activities. A proper and consistent record entry would allow farmers to make informed decision on steps or actions to be taken to ensure production is consistently optimized. Besides, proper record keeping would allow others namely spouse, partner or children to continue plantation activities should the farmers are unable to carry on farming. Moreover, farmers who keep proper records tend to be more efficient than those who do not. Based on the information gathered, farmers who keep track on farming activities record at least five important elements. These include records of farm history, farm maintenance, cocoa pods yields, receipts of government assistance and subsidies and other related information.

Finding from Tobit regression reveals that RECORD is positively significant at 1% significance level. From 375 respondents interviewed, less than 5% of them kept records on more than five elements of cocoa farming activities while 35% of respondents kept at least one important element on farming activities. This result supports the findings of Othman (1990). He found that less

than 10% of respondents kept proper records on cocoa farming activities. He further showed that RECORD positively affects the efficiency of farmers.

4.1.2. Knowledge on cocoa farming (KNOW)

Most smallholder cocoa farmers in Malaysia attend at least two short courses organized by MCB during their cocoa plantation career. These two courses expose the farmers on the basic skills and technology required in the cocoa plantation activities. These skills include technical knowledge on cocoa harvesting, tree pruning, steps to extract and drying the cocoa seeds and the use pesticides on cocoa trees and pods. Upon attending these two courses, the MCB aims for improved efficiency among cocoa farmers and help the farmers to increase potential cocoa output. The effectiveness of these courses in achieving the said objectives is evaluated in this study as well. Farmers' farming knowledge is assessed from 21 questions in the questionnaires. Out of 21 questions, 108 respondents got less than 15 correct answers. Most of the incorrect answers are questions related to technical or theoretical aspects of farming such as distance between trees and pruning skills. Nevertheless, farmers answered correctly for questions related to pesticides control, technique to plucking and opening cocoa pods and drying the cocoa beans.

Result from Tobit regression shows a positively significant relationship between efficiency and KNOW. This indicates that farmers could be more efficient in farming when they have acquired the basic knowledge and skills on cocoa farming activities. Findings from Gotland et al. (2003), Rasula et al. (2012) and Abang et al. (2014) lend support to the results of this study.

4.1.3. Status of farmers (STATUS)

Full-time cocoa farmers tend to be more efficient than part-time farmers. This is evident from the statistically significant relationship between STATUS and efficiency score at 1% significance level. Full-time cocoa farmers are more efficient than part-time farmers because the longer time spent on farm allowed the former to produce better quality farming which result in higher cocoa yields. Moreover, full-time farmers are generally more dependent on income from the sale of dry cocoa beans. Thus, farmers are willing to work harder to earn more. This finding supports the work of Bagi (1984) and Anyaegbunam et al. (2012).

4.1.4. Ratio of labor usage to land size (RATIO)

Labor and farm size are important components in agriculture. The positively significant relationship between RATIO and efficiency score indicates that efficiency in cocoa production could be increased by raising RATIO. Although farm size differs among farmers in this study; from the smallest size of 0.1 hectare to the largest size of 10 hectares, the number of farmers working on a particular farm differs as well. The fact that most cocoa pods are still hand-harvested, reliance on the use of labor is still high in the Malaysian cocoa industry. Information retrieved from questionnaires revealed that small-scale cocoa farmers use more labors during cocoa harvesting season. This is because cocoa pods must be processed after two days of being harvested. Normally, farmers would get assistance from family members to harvest the ripe cocoa pods. Since most cocoa trees measure around 4-8

meters high, harvesting the cocoa pods can usually be done by children age 12 and above.

Besides, study by Azhar and Lee (2004) explains how appropriate labor use could increase efficiency among small-scale cocoa farmers. According to them, a farmer working on a 3.6 hectare farm can produce up to 3,000 tons per hectare per year compared to only 1,000 tons per hectare per year for a farmer who manages a 5.6 hectare farm. This means that production would increase if the size of the farm is plotted on a reasonable smaller size as it makes farming more organized and systematic. Consequently, the formation of plots allows farmers to divide the tasks and activities between workers and improves farm management. The division of tasks that goes with smaller plots helps farmers to be more efficient. However, the formation of plots is appropriate for farm size exceeding 1.0 hectare. This is because the use of plots for farm size <1.0 hectare may lead to inefficient use of labor.

4.1.5. Experience of farmers in cocoa plantation (EXP)

Efficiency of small-scale cocoa farmers tends to increase with experience of the farmers (i.e. the number of years spent in the cocoa farming). The knowledge and expertise obtained from farming activities help farmers to get better in cocoa cultivation and management of inputs. The importance of experience (EXP) in improving efficiency is evident from the Tobit regression analysis. Specifically, EXP is positively significant at 5% significance level. This finding is in line with results from Mochebelele and Winter-Nelson (2000), Alemdar and Oren (2006) and Gul et al. (2009).

5. CONCLUSION

The efficiency of smallholder cocoa farmers in Malaysia could be improved by understanding the sources of efficiency for these farmers. Results from Tobit regression show that factors such as ratio of labor per land size (RATIO), farmers' experience (EXP), record keeping (RECORD), basic knowledge about cocoa farming (KNOW) and status of farmers who are involved in cocoa plantation (STATUS) are significant determinants of efficiency among smallholder cocoa farmers in Malaysia. Based on the findings, formulation of policies and programs from governing agencies such as MCB should factor in these elements. These would ensure the cocoa farmers could benefit and ultimately increase their efficiency and output level.

REFERENCES

Abang, A.F., Kouamé, C.M., Abang, M., Hanna, R., Fotso, A.K. (2014), Assessing vegetable farmer knowledge of diseases and insect pests of vegetable and management practices under tropical conditions. International Journal of Vegetable Science, 20(3), 240-253.

Alemdar, T., Oren, M.N. (2006), Determinants of technical efficiency of wheat farming in Southeastern Anatolia, Turkey: A nonparametric technical efficiency analysis. Applied Science, 6, 827-830.

Amos, T.T. (2007), An analysis of productivity and technical efficiency of smallholder cocoa farmers in Nigeria. Journal of Social Sciences, 15(2), 127-133.

Aneani, F., Anchirinah, V.M., Asamoah, M., Owusu-Ansah, F. (2011), Analysis of economic efficiency in cocoa production in Ghana.

African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development, 11(1), 4507-4526.

Anyaegbunam, H.N., Nto, P.O., Okoye, B.C., Madu, T.U. (2012), Analysis of determinants of farm size productivity among small-holder cassava farmers in South East Agro Ecological Zone, Nigeria. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 2(1), 74-80.

Asadullah, M.N., Rahman, S. (2009), Farm productivity and efficiency in rural Bangladesh: The role of education revisited. Applied Economics, 41(1), 17-33.

Azhar, I., Lee, M.T. (2004), Perspective for cocoa cultivation in Malaysia: Re-look at the economic indicators. Malaysian Cocoa Journal, 1, 6-22.

Bagi, F.S. (1984), Stochastic frontier production function and farm-level technical efficiency of full-time and part-time farms in west Tennessee. North Central Journal of Agricultural Economics, 6, 48-55.

Chirwa, E.W. (2007), Sources of Technical Efficiency among Smallholder Maize Farmers in Southern Malawi (No. RP_172 Key words: Smallholder Maize Farmers, Technical Efficiency, Southern Malawi). Nairobi: African Economic Research Consortium.

Coelli, T.J., Rao, D.S.P., O'Donnell, C.J., Battese, G.E. (2005), An Introduction to Efficiency and Productivity Analysis. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.

Gholami, M.H., Asli, M.N., Nazari-Shirkouhi, S., Noruzy, A. (2013), Investigating the influence of knowledge management practices on organizational performance: An empirical study. Acta Polytechnica Hungarica, 10(2), 205-216.

Godtland, E.M., Sadoulet, E., De Janvry, A., Murgai, R., Ortiz, O. (2004), The impact of farmer field schools on knowledge and productivity: A study of potato farmers in the Peruvian Andes. Economic development and cultural change, 53(1), 63-92.

Gul, M., Koc, B., Dagistan, E., Akpinar, M. G., Parlakay, O. (2009), Determination of technical efficiency in cotton growing farms in Turkey: A case study of Cukurova region. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 4(10), 944-949.

Malaysian Cocoa Board (2004). Available from: http://www.koko.gov.my/lkmbm/industry/statistic/cocoacultivated.cfm.

Mochebelele, M.T., Winter-Nelson, A. (2000), Migrant labor and farm technical efficiency in Lesotho. World Development, 28(1), 143-153.

Nyagaka, D.O., Obare, G.A., Omiti, J.M., Nguyo, W. (2010), Technical efficiency in resource use: Evidence from smallholder Irish potato farmers in Nyandarua North District, Kenya. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 5(11), 1179-1186.

Othman, N.B. (1990), Factors affecting cocoa productivity among the smallholders in West Malaysia. ???: University of Sterling AQ3

Rasula, J., Vuksic, V.B., Stemberger, M.I. (2012), The impact of knowledge management on organisational performance. Economic and Business Review for Central and South-Eastern Europe, 14(2), 147-156.

Tauer, L.W., Belbase, K.P. (1987), Technical efficiency of New York dairy farms. Northeastern Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 16(1), 10-16.

Wadud, A., White, B. (2000), Farm household efficiency in Bangladesh: A comparison of stochastic frontier and DEA methods. Applied Economics, 32(13), 1665-1673.

Zepeda, L. (1994), Simultaneity of technology adoption and productivity. Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 5, 46-57.

Author Queries???
AQ1: Kindly provide caption for table 1 and also cite in the text part
AQ2: Kindly cite the significant value for table 2
AQ3: Kindly provide publisher name