Diverticulitis Surgery Outcomes: Insights from Our Clinical Practice

DUğur Topal', DYunus Kaycı', Burak Yavuz¹, Dishak Aydın', Kubilay Dalcı', Dorçun Yalav', ismail Cem Eray'

1 Cukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery, Adana, Türkiye

Abstract

Aim: To assess outcomes of diverticulitis surgery, focusing on various patient phases at a tertiary center and incorporating literature insights.

Methods: Analysis included diverticular disease surgeries at Cukurova University's General Surgery Clinic over five years, examining demographics, disease specifics, surgical details, stoma aspects, and complications. Patients were categorized into emergency and elective groups for comparison based on Hinchey scores and stoma status **Results:** Of the patients, 72% were male, with an average age of 58.46. The sigmoid colon was predominantly affected (84%). Percutaneous drainage was used preoperatively in 44%, and 56% required a stoma, primarily Hartmann colostomies (36%). The median stoma closure time was 5 months, with 10 patients unable to have their stoma closed. Emergency surgeries were associated with higher Hinchey stages (III-IV) and an increased need for stoma creation (81% vs. 16% in elective surgeries).

Conclusions: The study indicates a median 5-month duration for stoma reversal, with sigmoid colon being the common site regardless of gender. Emergency surgeries showed a higher rate of stoma creation, suggesting elective surgeries could reduce stoma necessity. Further investigation is needed for broader applicability.

Keywords: Diverticular disease, Hinchey classification, Hartmann procedure, complications, stoma closure

1. Introduction

Diverticular disease of the colon is an acquired condition resulting from herniation of the mucosa through defects in the muscle layer. Colonic diverticular disease is a common aliment of the digestive system. Diverticulosis has become increasingly prevalent in industrialized societies over the last century. Particularly in Western countries, it is found in about 50% of individuals over the age of 60. Most patients with diverticular disease remain asymptomatic, but up to 25% of patients with diverticulosis will develop symptoms of diverticulitis such as abdominal pain, bloating, and changes in bowel habits over their lifetime. Complications such as abscess, fistula, obstruction, bleeding, or perforation will develop in up to 20% of patients with diverticular disease.¹⁻⁴

Diverticular disease encompasses various stages of illness and, consequently, treatment strategies. While acute diverticular perforation is considered an absolute indication for emergency surgery, the surgical approach to acute diverticular disease,

Corresponding Author: Uğur Topal, sutopal2005@hotmail.com, Received: 07.03.2023, Accepted: 28.04.2024, Available Online Date: 30.06.2024 Cite this article as: Topal U, Kaycı Y, Yavuz B, et al. Diverticulitis Surgery Outcomes: Insights from Our Clinical Practice. J Cukurova Anesth Surg. 2024; 7(2): 81-4.

https://doi.org/10.36516/jocass.1448514 Copyright © 2024 This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CC-BY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

whether complicated or uncomplicated, largely depends on the stage and the patient.^{5,6} Due to the challenges in the disease course and individual differences in management, numerous guidelines have been published.⁶⁻⁸ Recently, the latest updated version of the German national guideline on sigmoid diverticular disease was published.⁸ After a successful resolution of an acute diverticulitis episode, the purpose of proceeding with elective colon resection in patients is defined as the prevention of future hospitalizations, reducing the risk of needing emergency colon resection, and achieving long-term recovery without abdominal symptoms or recurrent diverticulitis, even though the literature does not fully support this recommendation.^{9,10}

This study aims to present the findings related to the presentation, preoperative, perioperative, and postoperative periods of patients operated for diverticular disease of the colon at a tertiary center, alongside a review of the literature.

2. Materials and methods

After obtaining permission from the local ethics committee dated 08.12.2023 and numbered 139/37, patients who underwent surgery for diverticular disease of the colon at the General Surgery Clinic of Cukurova University in the last five years were included in

our study. Patients with incomplete data or malignancy in pathology results were excluded. Data were retrospectively analyzed using the hospital's electronic medical records system.

Demographic data, history, and localization of diverticular disease, mode of presentation, type of operation, necessity for stoma creation, type of stoma, and timing of stoma closure, as well as perioperative complications, were analyzed. Additionally, patients were divided into emergency and elective groups, and these groups were analyzed based on their Hinchey scores and stoma statuses.

Patients in the diverticulitis group were divided into four stages according to the Hinchey classification based on abdominal CT findings. 11 Our institution considered the recommendations of the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons for elective and emergency management of diverticular disease. 12

2.1.Statistical evaluation

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp) software. The normal distribution fit of numerical data was assessed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Numerical variables with normal distribution were presented as mean \pm standard deviation; those without normal distribution were presented as median (minimum-maximum) values. Categorical data were expressed as numbers and percentages (%). Differences between categorical data were analyzed using the chi-square test. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Fifty patients were included in our study. The average age was 58.46. Male gender was predominant (72%). The average number of episodes was 2. The most common location was the sigmoid colon (84%), and percutaneous drainage was performed in 44% of the patients. The average duration of the disease was 12 months, with the most common Hinchey stage being 4 (42%). Demographic and clinical data are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Demographic and clinical data

Variable		
Gender	Male	72% (n:36)
Gender	Female	28% (n:14)
Age		58.46±14.38
Number of episodes		2 (1-4)
Smoking		60% (n:30)
-	Sigmoid Colon	84% (n:42)
Localization	Ascending Colon	6% (n:3)
	Total Colon	6% (n:3)
Percutaneous Drainage	44% (n:22)	
Disease length (month)	12 (0.1-84)	
Median(min-max)		
Hinchey Score		
• 1	24% (n:12)	
•	12% (n:6)	
•	22% (n:11)	
• IV	42% (n:21)	

Emergency presentations were frequent, with loop colostomy being the most common stoma type (36%), and 70% of patients had undergone open surgery. The most common complication was surgical site infection. Perioperative period data are shown in Table 2.

Emergency surgery patients had higher stages of Hinchey

classification (III-IV) (p<0.001). Also, the study found a higher need for stoma in emergency cases (81% vs 16% p<0.001). Hartmann colostomy was the most common type of stoma in both emergency and elective cases (64% vs 67% p=0.927). Comparative analyses are shown in Table 3.

Table 2	
Peroperative	e Period

Drocontation Type	^	Emergency	64% (32)
Presentation Type		Elective	36% (18)
Stoma Requirement		End Colostomy	36% (18)
		Loop Ileostomy	20% (10)
On another Temp		Open	70% (35)
Operation Type	Laparoscopic	30% (15)	
Complications		, ,	` %,n
Abscess			8% (n:4)
Anastomotic Leal	(4%(n:2)
Incisional Hernia			6% (n:3)
lleus			8% (n:4)
Surgical Site Infe	ction		14% (n:7)
Stoma Closure	Closed		64.2% (n:18)
	Not Closed		35.7% (n:10)

Table 3

Comperative Analysis

			Er	Emergency Electiv		P value	
			Surgery		Surgery	r value	
	IA			0a	66.7%(12)		
Hinchey	II		3	3.1a%(1)	27.8%(5)	10.004	
Classification	III		3	1.3%(10)	5.6%(1)	<0.001	
	IV		6	5.6%(21)	0 ` ´		
Stoma	Yes		8	1.3%(26)	16.7%(3)	10.004	
Requirement	No		1	8.8%(6)			
Charac Turns Hartmann			(64%(16)	66.7%(2)	2) 0.927	
Stoma Type	Loop Ileostomy			36%(9)	33.3%(1)	0.927	
Ctama Ctatus	Closed 68%		38%(17)	33.3%(1)	0.000		
Stoma Status	Not Close	Not Closed 32%(8)		32%(8)	66.7%(2)	0.236	
Stoma Closure				. ,	Ma	dian E (2.24)	
Time					ivie	dian 5 (3-24)	
Hinchey	IA	Ш	III	IV	P value		
Classification	IA	II	Ш	IV			
Stoma Closure	20%(2)	0	0	80%(8)	<0.05		
Failure	20 /0(2)	U	U	00 /0(0)			

4. Discussion

In this study, which presents the outcomes of surgical treatment for diverticular disease of the colon, we found that the most common site of diverticula was the sigmoid colon. The highest rate of presentation was at Hinchey stage 4. The majority of patients underwent conventional surgery under emergency conditions. There was a greater need for stoma in emergency presentations, with one-third of patients who had a stoma ending up with it being permanent. Patients with non-closable stomas were mostly classified as Hinchey 4 at the time of presentation.

The severity of diverticulitis is categorized using the modified Hinchey classification. Uncomplicated diverticulitis includes stage 0 (clinically mild diverticulitis) and stage Ia (pericolic inflammation), successfully treated non-surgically in 70 to 100% of cases. Complicated diverticulitis includes stage Ib (abscess near primary inflam-

mation <5 cm), stage II (intraperitoneal, pelvic, or retroperitoneal abscess, or abscess distant from primary inflammation), stage III (generalized purulent peritonitis), and stage IV (fecal peritonitis). ¹³ Evaluating our patient population, the high frequency of Hinchey 4 suggests a composition of complicated cases, which could explain the high rates of emergency surgery and stoma.

Historically, the Hartmann procedure was the gold standard for the surgical treatment of perforated diverticulitis. Its advantages include a relatively short operation time and avoidance of anastomosis; it was especially applied in unstable patients. However, current surgical practices are evolving, emphasizing adaptation to the Hinchey stage.3 Laparoscopic lavage has emerged as an acceptable alternative to resection for patients presenting with generalized purulent peritonitis (Hinchey III disease). Three randomized clinical trials compared outcomes following laparoscopic lavage with the standard Hartmann procedure. Overall, results from all three trials were similar, suggesting that laparoscopic lavage may have lower mortality, fewer stoma and wound-related issues, but potentially higher postoperative interventions. 14-16 Primary sigmoid resection and anastomosis (PRA) have emerged as an alternative for perforated diverticulitis with purulent or feculent peritonitis (Hinchey III/IV). Various systematic reviews and meta-analyses have compared PRA with the Hartmann procedure (HP).^{17,18} Ryan OK et al. included 12 studies with 918 patients in their meta-analysis and found no difference in 30-day mortality between groups, but overall mortality and major postoperative complications were lower after PRA. The initial and permanent stoma rates were also lower in the PRA group.¹⁹ In our population, the rate of Hartmann procedure was 36%, and our stoma rate was 58%, with one-third of these being permanent. Our permanent stoma rate was associated with Hinchey 4 classification. We did not observe a relationship between the urgency of the operation (emergency or elective) and the permanence of the stoma.

The literature shows that patients experiencing more than two attacks do not have higher morbidity and mortality risks when compared to patients with fewer attacks. This suggests that the nature of this disease process is not progressive and that individuals presenting with complicated disease are likely to do so at their initial presentation. When discussing surgery with a patient, treatment should be individualized, and the surgeon should consider the patient's medical condition, the risks of surgery, the impact of recurrent attacks on the patient's lifestyle (occupational and personal), the possibility of undiagnosed carcinoma, the severity of the attacks, and chronic or persistent symptoms.^{2,12} In our population, all patients were individually assessed when making decisions about elective colectomy. In our population with a median number of two attacks, 44% had a history of percutaneous drainage, and some had experienced four attacks; colectomies were planned with all these parameters in mind.

The main limitations of our study were its retrospective and single-center nature. The limited number of patients reduced the level of scientific evidence.

5. Conclusion

Diverticular disease represents a spectrum of clinical presentations ranging from mild, self-limiting diverticulitis to free colonic perforation requiring the resection of the diseased colon, with or without permanent colostomy. Surgical decision-making is increasingly based on a combination of individual patient and disease factors. Careful preoperative planning, intraoperative decisions, and technical considerations are crucial for successful postoperative outcomes in this patient population.

Statement of ethics

The study was established, according to the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by Institutional Review Board of the Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine 08.12.2023 and numbered 139/37. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and/or their legal guardian(s).

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with regard to the content of this report.

Funding source

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Author Contributions

All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Originality Assertion

The authors have not submitted this article to another journal previously.

References

1.Lin M, Raman SR. Evaluation of quality of life and surgical outcomes for treatment of diverticular disease. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2018;31(4):251-7. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1607969

2.Neale JA. Surgical management of diverticular disease in the elective setting. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2018;31(4):236-42.

https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1607962

3.Zaborowski AM, Winter DC. Evidence-based treatment strategies for acute diverticulitis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2021;36:467-75.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-020-03788-4

4.Nally DM, Kavanagh DO. Current controversies in the management of diverticulitis: a review. Dig Surg. 2019;36(3):195-205.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000488216

5.Germer CT, Buhr HJ. Sigmoid diverticulitis. Surgical indications and timing. Chirurg. 2002;73:681-9.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00104-002-0506-5

6.Hall J, Hardiman K, Lee S, et al. The American society of colon and rectal surgeons clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of left-sided colonic diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum. 2020;63:728-47.

https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.000000000001679

7.Galetin T, Galetin A, Vestweber KH, Rink AD. Systematic review and comparison of national and international guidelines on diverticular disease. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2018;33:261-72.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-017-2960-z

8.Leifeld L, Germer CT, Böhm S, et al. S3-Leitlinie Divertikel Krankheit/Divertikulitis - gemeinsame Leitlinie der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Gastroenterologie, Verdauungs- und Stoffwechselkrankheiten (DGVS) und der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Allgemein- und Viszeralchirurgie (DGAV). Z Gastroenterologe. 2022;60:613-88.

https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1741-5724

9.Stocchi L. Current indications and role of surgery in the management of sigmoid diverticulitis. World J Gastroenterol. 2010;16(7):804-17.

10.Simianu VV, Strate LL, Billingham RP, Fichera A, Steele SR, Thirlby RC, et al. The impact of elective colon resection on rates of emergency surgery for diverticulitis. Ann Surg. 2016;263(1):123-9.

https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000001053

11.Hinchey EJ, Schaal PG, Richards GK. Treatment of perforated diverticular disease of the colon. Adv Surg. 1978;12:85-109.

12.Feingold D, Steele SR, Lee S, Kaiser A, Boushey R, Buie WD, et al. Practice parameters for the treatment of sigmoid diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum. 2014;57(3):284-94.

https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.0000000000000075

13.Lué A, Laredo V, Lanas A. Medical treatment of diverticular disease: antibiotics. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2016;50(Suppl 1):57-9.

https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.000000000000593

14.Vennix S, Musters GD, Mulder IM, Swank HA, Consten EC, Belgers EH, et al. Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage or sigmoidectomy for perforated diverticulitis with purulent peritonitis: a multicentre, parallel-group, randomised, open-label trial. Lancet. 2015;386(10000):1269-77.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61168-0

15.Schultz JK, Yaqub S, Wallon C, et al. Laparoscopic lavage vs primary resection for acute perforated diverticulitis: the SCANDIV randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2015;314(13):1364-75.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.12076

16.Angenete E, Thornell A, Burcharth J, et al. Laparoscopic lavage is feasible and safe for the treatment of perforated diverticulitis with purulent peritonitis: the first results from the randomized controlled trial DILALA. Ann Surg. 2016;263(1):117-22.

https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000000106

17.Cirocchi R, Afshar S, Shaban F, et al. Perforated sigmoid diverticulitis: Hartmann's procedure or resection with primary anastomosis-a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised control trials. Tech Coloproctol. 2018;22(10):743-53.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10151-018-1819-9

18. Shaban F, Carney K, McGarry K, et al. Perforated diverticulitis: To anastomose or not to anastomose? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int | Surg. 2018 Oct;58:11-21.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.08.009