Journal of Aerospace Science and Management
Vol: 2, No: 1, 2024 (81-95)
E-ISSN: 3023-5928
(Derleme)

https://jasam.erciyes.edu.tr/ https://havacilik.erciyes.edu.tr/

Emniyet, Verimlilik ve Performans: Havacılıkta İnsan-Bilgisayar Etkileşiminin Gücü

Ezgi Yıldız
Havacılık Psikolojisi Araştırmaları Enstitüsü, İstanbul Üniversitesi, İstanbul, Türkiye

Alınma
26 Mart 2024
Kabul
16 Nisan 2024

*Sorumlu yazar.
e-mail: ezgiyildiztaskin@istanbul.edu.tr

Anahtar Kelimeler:
- Emniyeti Arttırma
- Kokpit Tasarımı
- Havacılıkta Emniyet
- İnsan Faktörleri ve Otomasyon
- Yapay Zeka

ÖZET

İnsan-Bilgisayar Etkileşimi, emniyet, verimlilik ve optimal performans için insanlar ile bilgisayar sistemleri arasındaki iş birliğinin hayati önem taşıdığı havacılık endüstrisinde önemli bir role sahiptir. Bu makale, havacılıkta insan-makine etkileşiminin önemini, emniyet ve verimlilik üzerindeki etkisini ve bu dinamik alandaki zorlukları ve ilerlemeleri ele almaktadır. Havacılıkta insan-makine etkileşiminin evrimi, manuel kontrollerden sofistike bilgisayar destekli ortamlara uzanan süreçte incelenmekte ve insan yetenekleri ile teknolojik ilerlemeler arasında uyumlu bir denge sağlamanın önemi vurgulanmaktadır. Kokpit tasarımı, bilgi ekranları, ses tanıma sistemleri, Head-Up Display'ler (HUD), hava trafik yönetim sistemleri, eğitim simülatörleri, emniyet iyileştirmeleri ve operasyonel verimlilik gibi insan-makine etkileşiminin çeşitli uygulamaları üzerinde durulmaktadır. İnsan-makine etkileşiminde Yapay Zeka ve Makine Öğrenimi entegrasyonu ile öngörücü analitik, uyarlanabilir ara yüzler ve kişiselleştirilmiş kullanıcı deneyimleri gibi yeni sınırlar zorlanmaktadır. HCI prensipleri, kullanıcı dostu ara yüzler tasarlamak, karmaşık görevleri basitleştirmek, iletişimi güçlendirmek ve işbirlikçi karar alma süreçlerini desteklemek gibi konularda kilit bir rol üstlenmektedir. Makale, havacılık endüstrisinde emniyet, eğitim etkinliği ve genel verimlilik üzerinde insan-makine etkileşiminin sağladığı katkıları vurgulamaktadır.

Advancing Aviation Through Human-Computer Interaction: A Focus on Safety, Efficiency, and Performance

Ezgi Yıldız
Institute for Aviation Psychology Research, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Received
26 Mart 2024
Accepted
16 Nisan 2024

* Corresponding author.
e-mail:ezgiyildiztaskin@istanbul.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

Keywords:
- Safety Enhancement
- Cockpit design
- Aviation Safety
- Human Factors and Automation
- Artificial İntelligence

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is a critical aspect of the aviation industry, where collaboration between humans and computer systems is paramount for safety, efficiency, and optimal performance. This article explores the significance of HCI in aviation, its impact on safety and efficiency, and the challenges and advancements within this dynamic field. The evolution of HCI in aviation is traced from manual controls to sophisticated computer-driven environments, emphasizing the importance of achieving a harmonious balance between human capabilities and technological advancements. Various applications of HCI in cockpit design, information displays, voice recognition systems, Heads-Up Displays (HUDs), air traffic management systems, training simulators, safety enhancement, and operational efficiency are discussed. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) in HCI opens new frontiers, enabling predictive analytics, adaptive interfaces, and personalized user experiences. HCI principles play a pivotal role in designing user-friendly interfaces, streamlining complex tasks, enhancing communication, and supporting collaborative decision-making. The article highlights HCI's contribution to safety, training effectiveness, and overall efficiency in the aviation industry.

1. Introduction

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is crucial in the aviation industry, where seamless collaboration between humans and computer systems is fundamental for ensuring safety, efficiency, and peak performance. As aviation technology evolves, the design and integration of user interfaces and interaction systems become paramount. This article delves into the significance of HCI in aviation, examining its impact on safety and efficiency, and discussing the field's challenges and advancements. It aims to enrich the literature by dissecting the evolution, challenges, and progress of HCI within the aviation sector. The current article traces HCI's evolution from its inception with manual controls to today's advanced computer-driven interfaces, highlighting the vital balance between human capabilities and technological advances. It explores HCI's role in enhancing safety, efficiency, and decision-making through cockpit design, information displays, voice recognition systems, and Head-Up Displays (HUDs). Additionally, the article spotlights the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in HCI, paving the way for predictive analytics, adaptive interfaces, and tailored user experiences. Emphasizing HCI principles in creating user-friendly interfaces, the article stresses the importance of streamlined tasks, enhanced communication, and collaborative decision-making support in boosting aviation safety, training efficacy, and operational efficiency. This thorough examination of HCI's role in aviation showcases the field's dynamic nature and its ongoing adjustments to meet the industry's changing demands, offering valuable insights for future research and development in aviation technology and human factors engineering.

1.1. Importance of HCI in Aviation Industry

The contribution of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) to the aviation industry is substantial, addressing safety, efficiency, and performance through innovative interface designs and interaction systems. The evolution of HCI in aviation is captured through various studies; Josh et al. (2022) discuss the significance of leap motion controllers, multi-touch displays, and speech recognition in enhancing the interaction between humans and devices within the aviation sector, aiming to improve system accuracy while reducing equipment and e-waste. Ren et al. (2020) explore multi-modal human-machine interaction systems that incorporate eye movement, touch, gesture, and voice interactions, introducing new dimensions to cockpit applications for basic flight control. Further emphasizing the role of HCI, Mamessier & Feigh (2013) propose a computational approach to simulate human-automation interaction, highlighting the development of advanced human agent models that account for workload limitations and mental models. Hoc (2000) shifts the discourse from interaction to cooperation, underscoring the necessity for new function allocations between humans and machines in dynamic environments such as aircraft piloting and air-traffic control. Lastly, Lim et al. (2018) present Cognitive Human-Machine Interfaces and Interactions (CHMI2) for Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Ground Control Stations (GCS), which introduce adaptive functionalities to enhance human-machine teaming efficiency and effectiveness. These contributions collectively showcase the transformative journey of HCI in aviation, from facilitating basic interactions to enabling advanced, cooperative systems that promise safer and more efficient aviation operations.

The aviation industry has undergone a transformative journey over the years, evolving from manual, analog systems to highly sophisticated, computer-driven environments. HCI, the interdisciplinary field that examines the interaction between humans and computers, is at the forefront of this transformation. In aviation, effective HCI is essential for achieving a harmonious balance between human capabilities and technological advancements. The history of HCI in aviation can be traced back to the early days of flight, where manual controls and rudimentary instruments were the norm. With the advent of automation in the mid-20th century, cockpit layouts became increasingly complex, necessitating thoughtful design to ensure pilot understanding and control. The evolution of Flight Management Systems (FMS) and Glass Cockpit displays exemplifies the ongoing efforts to enhance the user experience and reduce cognitive workload (Billings, 2018; Ferris et al., 2010).

HCI has permeated various facets of aviation, contributing to enhanced safety, efficiency, and decision-making (Montano, 2011). Cockpit design, information displays, voice recognition systems, and Heads-Up Displays (HUDs) are just a few examples where HCI principles are applied (Chaparro et al., 2023; Thomas et al., 2015). The collaborative efforts between pilots and computers extend beyond the cockpit to include air traffic control systems, maintenance procedures, and training simulators (Prevot, 2012). Furthermore, the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) has opened new frontiers in HCI applications, enabling predictive analytics, adaptive interfaces, and personalized user experiences tailored to individual pilot preferences and skills.

2. Exploring Human-Computer Interaction in the Aviation Sector

2.1. Cockpit Design and Information Displays

HCI plays a crucial role in aviation, particularly in cockpit design and information displays, focusing on integrating controls into intuitive graphical interfaces, as seen in modern Glass Cockpit displays and Boeing's advanced avionics in the 787 Dreamliner. These systems, which include touch-sensitive screens for interacting with navigational charts and performance data, exemplify a user-centric approach, aiming to enhance workflow efficiency and reduce dependency on physical documents (Landry, 2007; Metzler et al., 1997; Castillo & Couture, 2016; Fitzsimmons, 2002). Cockpit design, influenced by HCI principles, prioritizes intuitive, user-friendly interfaces tailored to pilots' cognitive abilities, ergonomic controls, and easy access to essential information. Studies on human factors inform decisions on control ergonomics, instrument placement, and cockpit aesthetics, ensuring that modern cockpits, with their shift towards digital displays, effectively reduce workload and improve situational awareness (Kiss, 2018; Thomas et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2022; Parnell et al., 2023; Marusic et al., 2018; Socha et al., 2020).

Information displays, including Heads-Up Displays (HUDs) and Multifunctional Displays (MFDs), are key HCI applications in cockpits, offering clear, concise, and interpretable presentation of critical data like navigation charts and weather conditions. HUDs project vital flight information into the pilot's field of view, enhancing safety by reducing the need to look away from the flight path, while MFDs consolidate information into a customizable, easy-to-navigate interface (Fadden et al., 2001; Newman, 2017; Chamberlain et al., 2013; Francis & Reardon, 1997). Moreover, HCI in cockpit design extends to user-centered interfaces, including voice recognition systems and touchscreen interfaces, facilitating seamless communication between pilots and the aircraft's computers. Voice-activated controls, in particular, allow for hands-free operation during critical flight phases, improving efficiency and minimizing distractions.

2.2. Voice Recognition Systems in the Aviation Industry

Voice recognition systems (VRS) have become integral in aviation, offering hands-free operation and reducing pilot workload, significantly enhancing both operational efficiency and safety (Andriyanov & Andriyanov, 2021; Rogalski & Wielgat, 2010). Garmin's G3000 avionics suite exemplifies this technology, allowing pilots to use voice commands for tasks like setting frequencies and adjusting navigation, without the need for manual inputs (Kaminani, 2011). VRS facilitates efficient cockpit communication, enabling control over navigation, communication, and monitoring through voice commands. Pilots can make flight plan adjustments, request weather updates, and interact with air traffic control while keeping their hands on the controls. This feature extends to managing in-flight entertainment, lighting, and environmental systems, streamlining cockpit operations and allowing pilots to concentrate on flying and decision-making (Barbato, 1998; Barry et al., 1997).

The safety benefits of VRS are notable, minimizing distractions and promoting focus during crucial flight phases by allowing pilots to operate systems without looking away from their flight path. This hands-free interaction is invaluable during takeoff and landing, where attention to the external environment is critical. Additionally, VRS enables quick access to vital information like weather conditions and air traffic updates, enhancing situational awareness and facilitating proactive risk management. A key advantage of VRS is the reduction of pilot workload, offering a natural interaction method that bypasses complex menu navigation and physical controls. This efficiency allows pilots to devote more attention to critical decision-making and flight monitoring, ultimately improving operational efficiency (Geacar, 2010; Helmke et al., 2016).

2.3. Heads-Up Displays (HUDs) in the Aviation Industry

Heads-Up Displays (HUDs) are integral to modern aviation, displaying crucial flight information directly within the pilot's line of sight, enhancing situational awareness and safety. Originally developed for military use, HUDs have become a standard feature in commercial aircraft, enabling pilots to access essential data like airspeed, altitude, and navigation cues without looking away from their flight path (Ingman, 2005; Egan & Goodson, 1978). Collins Aerospace's Head-up Guidance Systems (HGS) exemplify this technology's adoption in both commercial and military planes (Wood & Howells, 2017). The integration of HUDs with HCI has transformed cockpit design, allowing for seamless interaction with flight data. HUDs project information such as flight parameters, navigation data, and synthetic vision onto a transparent screen in the pilot's view, facilitating a focus on the external environment while receiving augmented reality-like guidance.

HUDs significantly augment pilots' situational awareness, overlaying critical flight information onto their field of view, crucial during takeoff, landing, and adverse weather conditions (Rebensky et al., 2022; Stanton et al., 2019). They provide intuitive navigation and approach guidance, reducing pilot workload and enhancing operational safety (Blundell et al., 2020; Bos et al., 2018). Enhanced Vision Systems (EVS) integration further improves visibility in low-visibility conditions by projecting infrared sensor and camera captures onto the HUD, aiding navigation through obstacles like clouds, fog, or darkness (Bailey et al., 2006; Hecker et al., 1999). HCI principles play a vital role in HUD design, emphasizing user-friendly interfaces, customization for individual preferences, and incorporating features like gesture recognition and voice commands for a better user experience. Furthermore, HUDs are utilized in pilot training through flight simulators, offering realistic experiences to improve proficiency in using HUD technology effectively.

2.4. Air Traffic Management Systems: Advancements in Aviation Safety and Efficiency

Beyond the cockpit, HCI is vital in air traffic management systems (ATMS), facilitating crucial collaboration between pilots and air traffic controllers (ATCs). NAVBLUE's Electronic Flight Bag (EFB) solution exemplifies this by enhancing communication and data sharing for more efficient flight planning and in-flight operations (Mercan et al., 2020; Sachs et al., 2022). HCI's role in ATMS includes designing user interfaces and control systems that enable seamless interaction between ATCs and their technological tools (Lee, 2005; Prevot et al., 2012). Modern ATMS feature advanced graphical user interfaces (GUIs) that display critical real-time information, such as airspace activity and weather conditions, in a clear, intuitive format (Chumpitaz et al., 2019; Li et al., 2006). Technologies like touchscreens, voice recognition, and gesture-based controls reduce ATCs' cognitive workload, allowing for quicker, more informed decisions (Ohneiser et al., 2018).

Collaborative decision-making is also a focus of HCI in ATMS, with communication tools and shared situational awareness platforms facilitating information exchange among ATCs, pilots, and airline operators. This collaboration promotes efficient traffic flow and reduces delays (Hoc & Lemoine, 1998). Predictive analytics and automation further demonstrate HCI's impact on ATMS. Predictive analytics help forecast congestion and disruptions, aiding ATCs in optimizing routes and schedules (Yang & Qian, 2019). Automation supports ATCs in routine tasks, enhancing safety and operational efficiency by reducing human error risks. Finally, HCI is key in developing training and simulation systems for ATCs. The integration of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies into training provides realistic environments for skill enhancement, preparing controllers for new technologies and procedures in a safe setting (Reisman & Brown, 2006; Safi et al., 2019).

2.5. Training Simulators in the Aviation Industry

HCI is crucial in pilot training, offering realistic simulations that closely replicate actual flight conditions, employing advanced technologies to mimic various aircraft models and scenarios (Li et al., 2021). These simulations, highlighted by CAE's use of interactive interfaces and realistic controls, allow pilots to practice emergency procedures and improve decision-making in a risk-free environment. The creation of immersive environments in simulators, featuring advanced graphics and high-fidelity sound, mirrors the complexity of real-world flying (Abate et al., 2009; Lathan et al., 2022). Interface design, facilitated by HCI, ensures the cockpit controls and displays are intuitive, aiding in seamless transitions between simulation training and actual flight (Liggett & Calhoun, 2008; Palanque et al., 2009).

Adaptive learning technologies further personalize the training experience, adjusting scenarios to the trainee's strengths and weaknesses, thus optimizing the learning process (Farelo et al., 2022; Sheets & Elmore, 2018). HCI also enables the simulation of diverse weather conditions and emergency situations, enriching trainees' decision-making skills in a controlled setting (Alessi, 2017; Pustejovsky & Krishnaswamy, 2021). Collaborative training environments created through HCI enhance crew resource management skills by enabling team-based training scenarios, preparing pilots for the collaborative aspects of real flight operations. Moreover, real-time performance monitoring and immediate instructor feedback facilitate continuous improvement in pilot skills, aligning training with industry standards.

2.6. Human-Computer Interaction in Safety Enhancement in Aviation Industry

HCI principles play a crucial role in aviation by enhancing cockpit interfaces and controls to improve user experience for pilots and air traffic controllers. Incorporating user-friendly layouts and intuitive controls reduces error risks during critical flight phases (Landry, 2007; Naranji, 2015). Automation system designs in aviation, streamlined by HCI, alleviate pilots' cognitive workload, focusing their attention on essential decisions in stressful situations, thereby boosting safety and operational efficiency. In emergencies, the significance of effective communication is heightened. HCI designs alerting and warning systems for clear, prioritized notifications, aiding flight crews in making swift, informed decisions (Metzler et al., 1997; Rozzi, 2016). Moreover, HCI's influence on pilot training simulators prepares pilots for emergencies and challenging conditions, enhancing skill development and response effectiveness.

Data visualization tools, refined by HCI, improve situational awareness by presenting complex information clearly (Jiang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2021), crucial for accident prevention and safe navigation. Integrating human factors research into HCI ensures designs consider human limitations and cognitive processes, reducing errors and enhancing safety. HCI also promotes collaborative decision-making through interfaces that support effective cockpit and air traffic control communication, ensuring smooth operations. Recognizing human factors' impact on performance, HCI develops systems to monitor and mitigate pilot fatigue, focusing on workload and sleep patterns to maintain alertness and decision-making capabilities during long flights. Real-time monitoring of aircraft systems and pilot performance through HCI enables immediate feedback for prompt adjustments, improving safety. Additionally, HCI's focus on regulatory compliance ensures the development of user-centered systems, making compliance intuitive and standardizing safety across the industry.

2.7. Efficiency in Operation and Decision-Making in Aviation Industry

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is pivotal in boosting operational efficiency and decision-making in the aviation industry (Carrol & Dahlstrom, 2021; Landry, 2007). By applying HCI principles to cockpit interface design, pilots can smoothly interact with the aircraft's avionic systems, reducing cognitive workload and focusing on essential tasks. Automation in aircraft, including autopilots and navigation systems, is designed with HCI at its core to ensure these features are user-centric and enhance flight operation efficiency. Effective data visualization, facilitated by technologies such as Head-Up Displays (HUDs) and Multi-Function Displays (MFDs), allows for the rapid assimilation of critical information, speeding up decision-making processes. HCI also plays a vital role in supporting communication and collaboration across the aviation ecosystem, including air traffic controllers, pilots, and ground crew, by ensuring smooth interaction with various computer systems.

In decision-making, HCI underpins the development of support systems that help analyze information swiftly, enabling informed decisions through well-designed interfaces. Moreover, HCI's contribution extends to training simulators, offering realistic environments for practicing decision-making skills, thereby improving real-world operation efficiency. Adaptive HCI systems, which adjust to user preferences and behaviors, further personalize interfaces for pilots and aviation professionals, enhancing decision-making efficiency (Clamann & Kaber, 2004; Sikirda et al., 2021). Usability testing ensures interfaces meet user needs, minimizing errors and enhancing system efficiency. HCI designs anticipate human errors, offering prevention and recovery mechanisms critical in aviation. This focus on continuous improvement, guided by user feedback and system performance, underscores HCI's essential role in advancing aviation efficiency and decision-making.

3. Challenges in Implementing Human-Computer Interaction in the Aviation Industry

As the aviation industry advances, integrating Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) and Head-Up Displays (HUDs) brings challenges critical for improving situational awareness and efficiency. Designing cockpit interfaces is complex, demanding a balance between integrating numerous avionic systems and ensuring user-friendly, intuitive designs to avoid information overload. This complexity may increase pilots' cognitive workload, leading to potential visual and cognitive overload, where crucial cues are missed, and high cognitive effort is required. The growing dependence on automation, meant to streamline operations, can lead to automation complacency and erode pilots' manual flying skills, underscoring the need for HCI designs that maintain pilot proficiency in critical scenarios. Another hurdle is interoperability and standardization across various aircraft, making it challenging for pilots to adapt to different interfaces. Modern aircraft's data abundance calls for HCI solutions that allow pilots to efficiently interpret vital information without being swamped by irrelevant details. With aviation systems becoming more interconnected, cybersecurity is a critical concern, necessitating HCI implementations that include stringent security measures to thwart cyber-attacks.

Moreover, innovating within HCI while adhering to strict safety regulations and standards presents a nuanced challenge, ensuring safety improvements don't sacrifice regulatory compliance. Human factors add complexity, requiring interfaces that cater to diverse human capabilities and cognitive abilities for a holistic understanding of aviation human factors. The significant costs of advancing HCI technologies—for research, development, training, and retrofitting—pose financial challenges, particularly for budget-constrained airlines. Resistance to adopting new technologies within the industry highlights the need for effective change management strategies to ensure smooth transitions. In conclusion, advancing HUDs and HCI technologies in aviation demands a balanced approach, weighing the potential to enhance flight safety and efficiency against the challenges of technological integration.

4. Future Trends and Innovations in Human-Computer Interaction in the Aviation Industry

The aviation industry is on the cusp of a transformative era, driven by advancements in human-computer interaction (HCI) that promise to integrate humans and technology seamlessly, both in the cockpit and throughout aviation operations. Central to this shift is cognitive engineering, which emphasizes the need to align emerging technologies with human cognitive capabilities and limitations to ensure that new aircraft systems are human-centered, safe, and efficient. Boy (1999) underscores the vital role of HCI specialists in navigating the aviation sector towards improved safety and efficiency through the thoughtful design of technology. Technological advancements, particularly in cyber-physical systems (CPS), are fundamental to this evolution. CPS integrate digital computing with physical operations, enhancing aircraft and air transport systems. This integration is crucial for advancing aviation capabilities and promises significant impacts across the sector (Sampigethaya & Poovendran, 2013).

Innovations in HCI, including voice commands, touchscreen interfaces, and gesture controls, further advance pilot-system interactions and open new research avenues for industry revolutionization (Hasan & Yu, 2015). These innovations improve the ways in which humans interact with aviation technologies. Additionally, the evolving nature of work in aviation, influenced by HCI changes, focuses on designing systems that support workers' values and aspirations amid technological shifts. The c.a.s.e. collective et al. (2022) discuss the importance of enhancing worker wellbeing and operational safety and efficiency, indicating a trend towards balancing technological progress with the human experience in aviation.

In conclusion, the integration of cognitive engineering, technological advancements, and innovative interaction paradigms shapes the future of HCI in aviation. This direction emphasizes intuitive, efficient, and safe system designs, highlighting the importance of a human-centered approach. The collaboration between HCI experts, engineers, and aviation professionals is essential in fully realizing these innovations, ensuring a future where technology enhances human capability within the aviation industry.

5. Recommendations for Future Studies

Given the rapid technological advancements and the increasing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in aviation HCI, there's a pressing need to investigate the implications of these technologies on pilot performance, safety, and operational efficiency further. Specifically, future research could focus on the development and evaluation of adaptive interfaces that dynamically adjust to pilots' cognitive load and environmental conditions, enhancing decision-making processes and situational awareness. Additionally, studies exploring the human factors associated with the transition from traditional cockpit environments to next-generation "glass cockpits" could provide valuable insights into training requirements and interface design principles, ensuring that pilots can seamlessly adapt to new technologies. Another promising area involves examining the security aspects of HCI systems in aviation, particularly in mitigating cybersecurity threats to interconnected avionic systems. Lastly, the exploration of novel interaction modalities, such as gesture and voice recognition, in the cockpit context could open new avenues for designing more intuitive and efficient pilot-computer interaction frameworks. Addressing these research themes will not only contribute to the advancement of HCI in aviation but also to the enhancement of overall flight safety and efficiency.

6. Conclusion

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is crucial in the aviation industry, enhancing safety, efficiency, and decision-making. From early manual controls to today's advanced Glass Cockpits and Electronic Flight Bags, HCI's evolution demonstrates its adaptability to the sector's growing sophistication. Its current applications, such as user-friendly cockpit interfaces, voice recognition systems, and Heads-Up Displays, significantly improve flight safety by enhancing communication, reducing distractions, and increasing situational awareness. HCI also plays a vital role in air traffic management and pilot training simulators, streamlining communication and providing realistic training environments, respectively. However, challenges like information overload, system interoperability, and maintaining a balance between automation and manual control necessitate careful consideration. The field is evolving, incorporating trends like augmented reality, brain-computer interfaces, and artificial intelligence to further advance aviation. The advent of biometric technologies, wearable devices, and emotion recognition systems, alongside robust cybersecurity measures, points to a transformative future for HCI in aviation. This article contributes to the discourse by offering a comprehensive overview of HCI's impact, challenges, and the potential of emerging technologies. It underscores the need for a multidisciplinary approach, combining engineering, psychology, and design insights to optimize HCI applications and enhance pilot-technology synergy. Ultimately, this piece highlights HCI's ongoing role in driving aviation innovation and safety, advocating for a future where technology and human expertise are seamlessly integrated.

References

Abate, A. F., Guida, M., Leoncini, P., Nappi, M., & Ricciardi, S. (2009). A haptic-based approach to virtual training for aerospace industry. Journal of Visual Languages & Computing, 20(5), 318-325.

Alessi, S. M. (2017, May 15). Simulation Design for Training and Assessment. Routledge eBooks. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315243092-5

Andriyanov, N., & Andriyanov, D. (2021, May 13). Intelligent Processing of Voice Messages in Civil Aviation: Message Recognition and the Emotional State of the Speaker Analysis. 2021 International Siberian Conference on Control and Communications (SIBCON). https://doi.org/10.1109/sibcon50419.2021.9438881

Aricò, P., Borghini, G., Di Flumeri, G., Colosimo, A., Pozzi, S., & Babiloni, F. (2016). A passive brain–computer interface application for the mental workload assessment on professional air traffic controllers during realistic air traffic control tasks. Progress in brain research, 228, 295-328.

Bailey, R. E., Kramer, L. J., & Prinzel III, L. J. (2006, May). Crew and display concepts evaluation for synthetic/enhanced vision systems. In Enhanced and Synthetic Vision 2006 (Vol. 6226, pp. 154-171). SPIE.

Barbato, G. (1998). Integrating Voice Recognition and Automatic Target Cueing to Improve Aircrew-System Collaboration for Air-to-Ground Attack. In Proceedings of the NATO Research and Technology Organization System Concepts and Integration Symposium.

Barry, T. P., Liggett, K. K., & Williamson, D. T. (1997). Human-Electronic Crew Communication: Applications for Speech Recognition in the Cockpit. The Human-Electronic Crew: The Right Stıff?, 26, 123.

Billings, C. E. (2018). Aviation automation: The search for a human-centered approach. CRC Press.

Blundell, J., Scott, S., Harris, D., Huddlestone, J., & Richards, D. (2020). Workload benefits of colour coded head-up flight symbology during high workload flight. Displays, 65, 101973.

Bos, T. J. J., de Reus, A. J. C., Suijkerbuijk, H. C. H., Groeneweg, J., & Rouwhorst, W. F. J. A. (2018). Interactive head up display in the cockpit to reduce crew workload.

Boy, G. (1999). Human-computer interaction in aeronautics: a cognitive engineering perspective. Air & Space Europe, 1, 33-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1290-0958(99)80034-3.

Cao, K., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Y., Zeng, Y., Cui, B., & Dong, W. (2022, May 4). A Civil Aircraft Cockpit Layout Evaluation Method Based on Layout Design Principles. Aerospace, 9(5), 251. https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace9050251

Carroll, M., & Dahlstrom, N. (2021). Human computer interaction on the modern flight deck. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 37(7), 585-587.

Castillo, J. A. L. D., & Couture, N. (2016, September). The aircraft of the future: towards the tangible cockpit. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction in Aerospace (pp. 1-8).

Chamberlain, R. M., Heers, S. T., Mejdal, S., Delnegro, R. A., & Beringer, D. B. (2013). Multi-Function Displays: A Guide for Human Factors Evaluation (No. DOT/FAA/AM-13/21). United States. Office of Aerospace Medicine.

Chaparro, A., Miranda, A., & Grubb, J. (2023). Aviation displays: Design for automation and new display formats. Human Factors in Aviation and Aerospace, 341-371.

Chumpitaz, D., Pereda, K., Espinoza, K., Villarreal, C., Perez, W., Moquillaza, A., Díaz, J., & Paz, F. (2019). Developing QR Authentication and Fingerprint Record in an ATM Interface Using User-Centered Design Techniques. , 420-430. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23535-2_31.

Clamann, M., & Kaber, D. B. (2004). Applicability of usability evaluation techniques to aviation systems. The international journal of aviation psychology, 14(4), 395-420.

Collective, c., Karusala, N., Ch, N., Tosca, D., Ansah, A., Brulé, É., Fereydooni, N., Huang, L., Ismail, A., Jain, P., Khoo, Y., Muñoz, I., Schartmüller, C., Verma, H., Vyas, P., Boll, S., Fox, S., Raval, N., Wilson, M., Cox, A., Janssen, C., Mentis, H., Kumar, N., Shaer, O., & Kun, A. (2022). Human-Computer Interaction and the Future of Work. CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts. https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3516407.

Egan, D. E., & Goodson, J. E. (1978). Human factors engineering for head-up displays: A review of military specifications and recommendations for research.

Fadden, S., Ververs, P. M., & Wickens, C. D. (2001). Pathway HUDs: are they viable?. Human Factors, 43(2), 173-193.

Farelo, D. G., Bracaglia, L., Dailey, P., Tamrakar, S., Palladino, A., Carroll, M., & Valenti, A. (2022, June). An adaptive learning model for predicting and analyzing student performance on flight training tasks. In Signal Processing, Sensor/Information Fusion, and Target Recognition XXXI (Vol. 12122, pp. 278-286). SPIE.

Ferris, T., Sarter, N., & Wickens, C. D. (2010). Cockpit automation: Still struggling to catch up…. In Human factors in aviation (pp. 479-503). Academic Press.

Fitzsimmons, F. S. (2002). The electronic flight bag: A multi-function tool for the modern cockpit (No. IITA Research Publication). Institute for Information Technology Applications.

Francis, G., & Reardon, M. J. (1997). Aircraft multifunction display and control systems: A new quantitative human factors design method for organizing functions and display contents. Fort Rucker, AL: US Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory.

Geacăr, C. M. (2010, September). Reducing pilot/ATC communication errors using voice recognition. In Proceedings of ICAS (Vol. 2010).

Hasan, M., & Yu, H. (2015). Innovative developments in HCI and future trends. International Journal of Automation and Computing, 14, 10-20. https://doi.org/10.1109/IConAC.2015.7313959.

Hecker, P., Doehler, H. U., & Suikat, R. (1999, July). Enhanced vision meets pilot assistance. In Enhanced and Synthetic Vision 1999 (Vol. 3691, pp. 125-136). SPIE.

Helmke, H., Ohneiser, O., Mühlhausen, T., & Wies, M. (2016, September). Reducing controller workload with automatic speech recognition. In 2016 IEEE/AIAA 35th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC) (pp. 1-10). IEEE.

Hoc, J. (2000). From human – machine interaction to human – machine cooperation. Ergonomics, 43, 833 - 843. https://doi.org/10.1080/001401300409044.

Hoc, J. M., & Lemoine, M. P. (1998). Cognitive evaluation of human-human and human-machine cooperation modes in air traffic control. The International Journal of Aviation Psychology, 8(1), 1-32.

Ingman, A. (2005). The Head Up Display Concept: A Summary with Special Attention to the Civil Aviation Industry.

Jiang, J., Karran, A. J., Coursaris, C. K., Léger, P. M., & Beringer, J. (2023). A situation awareness perspective on human-AI interaction: Tensions and opportunities. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 39(9), 1789-1806.

Josh, S., Suresh, M., Biju, M. R., Sreelakshmi, V., & Thomas, R. (2022). Human machine interface in aviation. International journal of engineering technology and management sciences, 6(5), 416-420.

Kaminani, S. (2011, October). Human computer interaction issues with touch screen interfaces in the flight deck. In 2011 IEEE/AIAA 30th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (pp. 6B4-1). IEEE.

Landry, S. J. (2007). Human-computer interaction in aerospace. In The Human-Computer Interaction Handbook, 747-766. CRC Press.

Lathan, C. E., Tracey, M. R., Sebrechts, M. M., Clawson, D. M., & Higgins, G. A. (2002). Using virtual environments as training simulators: Measuring transfer. Handbook of virtual environments: Design, implementation, and applications, 403-414.

Lee, P. U. (2005, October). Understanding human-human collaboration to guide human-computer interaction design in air traffic control. In 2005 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (Vol. 2, pp. 1598-1603). IEEE.

Li, Q., Li, B., Wang, N., Li, W., Lyu, Z., Zhu, Y., & Liu, W. (2021). Human-machine interaction efficiency factors in flight simulator training towards Chinese pilots. In International Conference on Applied Human Factors and Ergonomics (pp. 26-32). Springer, Cham.

Li, X., Schlegel, T., Rotard, M., & Ertl, T. (2006). A Model-Based Graphical User-Interface for Process Control Systems in Manufacturing. , 89-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045157-2/50022-5.

Liggett, K. K., & Calhoun, G. L. (2008). 5 Controls and Displays for Aviation Research Simulation. Human Factors in Simulation and Training, 75-113.

Lim, Y., Ramasamy, S., Gardi, A., Kistan, T., & Sabatini, R. (2018). Cognitive Human-Machine Interfaces and Interactions for Unmanned Aircraft. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 91, 755-774. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-017-0648-9.

Mamessier, S., & Feigh, K. (2013). Simulating the Impact of Mental Models on Human Automation Interaction in Aviation. , 61-69. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39173-6_8.

Marušić, Ž., Bartulović, D., Kezele, L., & Sumpor, D. (2018). General and ergonomic advantages of glass cockpit aircraft used for pilot training. In 7th International Ergonomics Conference ERGONOMICS 2018-Emphasis on Wellbeing (pp. 267-274).

Mercan, G., Godel, C., Gonzalez, P., Viry, B., Prats Menéndez, X., Rebaï, K., & Barret, C. (2020). SafeNcy-D1. 1: Technical Resources and Problem Definition.

Metzler, T. R., Boen, G. E., Williamson, J. E., Toms, M. L., & Cone, S. M. (1997). HCI Design Principles for Effective Cockpit Information Management. The Human-Electronic Crew: The Right Stuff?, 26, 5.

Montano, G. (2011). Dynamic reconfiguration of safety-critical systems: Automation and human involvement (Doctoral dissertation, University of York.

Naranji, E. (2015). Reducing human/pilot error in aviation using augmented cognition and automation systems in aircraft cockpit(Doctoral dissertation, The George Washington University).

Newman, R. L. (2017). Head-up displays: Designing the way ahead. Routledge.

Ohneiser, O., Jauer, M., Rein, J. R., & Wallace, M. (2018). Faster Command Input Using the Multimodal Controller Working Position “TriControl”. Aerospace, 5(2), 54.

Palanque, P., Cockburn, A., Désert-Legendre, L., Gutwin, C., & Deleris, Y. (2019). Brace touch: a dependable, turbulence-tolerant, multi-touch interaction technique for interactive cockpits. In Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security: 38th International Conference, SAFECOMP 2019, Turku, Finland, September 11–13, 2019, Proceedings 38 (pp. 53-68). Springer International Publishing.

Parnell, K. J., Banks, V. A., Wynne, R. A., Stanton, N. A., & Plant, K. L. (2023). Human Factors on the Flight Deck: A Practical Guide for Design, Modelling and Evaluation. CRC Press.

Prevot, T., Homola, J. R., Martin, L. H., Mercer, J. S., & Cabrall, C. D. (2012). Toward automated air traffic control—investigating a fundamental paradigm shift in human/systems interaction. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 28(2), 77-98.

Pustejovsky, J., & Krishnaswamy, N. (2021, July). The role of embodiment and simulation in evaluating HCI: theory and framework. In International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 288-303). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Rebensky, S., Carroll, M., Bennett, W., & Hu, X. (2022). Impact of heads-up displays on small unmanned aircraft system operator situation awareness and performance: a simulated study. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 38(5), 419-431.

Reisman, R., & Brown, D. (2006, September). Design of augmented reality tools for air traffic control towers. In 6th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration and Operations Conference (ATIO) (p. 7713).

Ren, J., Cui, Y., Chen, J., Qiao, Y., & Wang, L. (2020, December). Multi-modal human-computer interaction system in cockpit. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1693, No. 1, p. 012212). IOP Publishing.

Rogalski, T., & Wielgat, R. (2010). A concept of voice guided general aviation aircraft. Aerospace Science and Technology, 14(5), 321-328.

Rozzi, S. (2016). The organisational precursors to human automation interaction issues in safety-critical domains: the case of an automated alarm system from the air traffic management domain (Doctoral dissertation, Middlesex University).

Sachs, F., Abdelmoula, F., & Vechtel, D. (2022). The Albatross Project–A European Initiative To Reduce Aviation's Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Large Scale In 33rd Congress of the International Council of the Aeronautical Sciences, ICAS 2022.

Safi, M., Chung, J., & Pradhan, P. (2019). Review of augmented reality in aerospace industry. Aircraft engineering and aerospace technology, 91(9), 1187-1194.

Sampigethaya, K., & Poovendran, R. (2013). Aviation cyber–physical systems: Foundations for future aircraft and air transport. Proceedings of the IEEE, 101(8), 1834-1855.

Sarter, N. B., & Woods, D. D. (1992). Pilot interaction with cockpit automation: Operational experiences with the flight management system. The International Journal of Aviation Psychology, 2(4), 303-321.

Sheets, T. H., & Elmore, M. P. (2018). Abstract to action: targeted learning system theory applied to adaptive flight training. Air Command and Staff College.

Sikirda, Y., Shmelova, T., Kharchenko, V., & Kasatkin, M. (2021, March). Intelligent System for Supporting Collaborative Decision Making by the Pilot/Air Traffic Controller in Flight Emergencies. In IntelITSIS (pp. 127-141).

Socha, V., Socha, L., Hanakova, L., Valenta, V., Kusmirek, S., & Lalis, A. (2020). Pilots' performance and workload assessment: Transition from analogue to glass-cockpit. Applied Sciences, 10(15), 5211-5228.

Stanton, N. A., Plant, K. L., Roberts, A. P., & Allison, C. K. (2019). Use of Highways in the Sky and a virtual pad for landing Head Up Display symbology to enable improved helicopter pilots situation awareness and workload in degraded visual conditions. Ergonomics, 62(2), 255-267.

Thomas, P., Biswas, P., & Langdon, P. (2015). State-of-the-art and future concepts for interaction in aircraft cockpits. In Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Access to Interaction: 9th International Conference, UAHCI 2015, Held as Part of HCI International 2015, Los Angeles, CA, USA, August 2-7, 2015, Proceedings, Part II 9 (pp. 538-549). Springer International Publishing.

Vere Michael Kiss, D. (n.d.). Human-Centered Design of the Enhanced Pilot Learning Interface for the Aviation Community. Scholarship Repository @ Florida Tech. https://repository.fit.edu/etd/762/

Wood, R. B., & Howells, P. J. (2017). Head-up display. Digital Avionics Handbook, 302-328.

Wright, S., & O'Hare, D. (2015). Can a glass cockpit display help (or hinder) performance of novices in simulated flight training?. Applied Ergonomics, 47, 292-299.

Yang, S., & Qian, S. (2019). Understanding and predicting travel time with spatio-temporal features of network traffic flow, weather and incidents. IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine, 11(3), 12-28.

Zhang, X., Sun, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Ontology modelling of intelligent HCI in aircraft cockpit. Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology, 93(5), 794-808.