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#### Abstract

Seafood is among the most important recommended foods due to its rich protein, mineral and fat content. In recent years, food has become an extremely important product in terms of maintenance due to increasing food demand and decreasing contractual production. In the current research, face-to-face questions were examined with 128 people living in Kütahya province and its central districts, and the answers given were evaluated with the Chi-square interval test. 76 women ( $59.4 \%$ ) and 82 men ( $40.6 \%$ ) that participated in this survey. According to the age analysis, $21.8 \%$ are under $21 ; 9.4 \%$ are between $21-30 ; 21.8 \%$ were between $31-40 ; 21.8 \%$ were between 41-50; $6.4 \%$ were between 51-60 and $18.8 \%$ were between 61-70. It was observed that there was a relationship between increasing income and fish meat consumption, and fish meat was preferred at a higher level of income. In addition, it has been an important data that young people in later periods consume more fish.
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## 1. Introduction

Decreasing food resources and increasing world population are considered to be the source of an important nutritional problem for the future. Experts on the subject emphasize that food production should double in parallel with the increase in world population in the 2050s. The sector that can meet this need apart from agricultural production is aquaculture, considering its current potential (Arslan, 2019).

It has been observed that the sector has been growing faster than other food sectors worldwide in recent years. In this sense, it is predicted that the aquaculture sector will continue to increase its importance in terms of food supply in the near future (Arslan \& Oğuzhan Yıldız, 2021).

The development of the aquaculture sector and similarly all

[^0]sectors is undoubtedly closely related to the balance of supply and demand. In this sense, fish consumption is extremely important for the development of the sector. Many studies have been conducted at different times and regions on fish consumption habits in Türkiye (Atay et al., 2002; Aydın \& Karadurmuş, 2012; Beyazbayrak, 2014; Çiçek et al., 2014; Abdikoğlu et al., 2015; Ercan \& Şahin, 2016; Gürel et al., 2017; Şen \& Şahin, 2017; Arslan, 2019).

These studies show that fish consumption in our country is below the world average. As of 2019, our annual fish consumption per capita in our country was 6.26 kg (TÜİK, 2019). This situation is also important for the development of the sector. A significant part of the consumption took place in coastal areas. For this reason, studies in provinces that do not have a coastline can be data sources regarding low
consumption.
In this study, fish consumption habits of Kütahya province were examined. Data was collected by asking face-to-face questions to 128 participants, taking into account gender, age, education level, income level and occupational characteristics.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Our study was conducted by asking face-to-face questions to 128 people residing in Kütahya in September and October 2023, and evaluating the data obtained with the Chi-square independence test. Kütahya Province is a province in the Aegean region of Türkiye. Its area is $11,634 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and its population is 580,701 in 2022 (Wikipedia, 2024).

## 3. Results and Discussion

In this part of the study, descriptive statistics of the individuals participating in the survey and findings and comments obtained as a result of data analysis are included.

### 3.1. Demographic Characteristics and Frequency Distributions of the Individuals Participating in the Study

The demographic characteristics of the individuals participating in the study and the frequency distributions of their answers to the questions asked about the study are given in the Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and frequency distributions of the individuals participating in the study.

| Gender | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 52 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 40.6 | 59.4 | $21-30$ | $31-40$ | $41-50$ | $51-60$ |
| Age | $<21$ | 12 | 28 | 28 | 8 | 24 |
| N | 28 | 9.4 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 6.4 | 18.8 |
| $\%$ | 21.8 | High school | University | Postgraduate |  |  |
| Educational status | Primary school | H2 | 28 | 8 |  |  |
| N | 40 | 40.6 | 21.8 | 6.2 |  |  |
| $\%$ | 31.25 | $<4000$ | $4000-6000$ | $6000-8000$ | $8000-10000$ | $10000+$ |
| Income level | 24 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 72 | 56.2 |
| N | 18.8 | Public | Private sector | Student | Retire | Self-employment |
| $\%$ | 12 | 32 | 24 | 12 | Housewife |  |
| $\mathbf{O c c u p a t i o n a l ~}$ | 6.4 | 9.4 | 25.2 | 18.8 | 9.4 | 40 |
| N |  |  |  |  | 31.2 |  |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

According to the demographic characteristics given in Table 1, $40.6 \%$ of the individuals participating in the survey are male and $59.4 \%$ are female. In the analysis made according to ages, It was determined $21.8 \%$ are under $21 ; 9.4 \%$ are between 21-30; $21.8 \%$ were between 31-40; $21.8 \%$ were between 41-50; $6.4 \%$ were between 51-60 and $18.8 \%$ were between 61-70. In the study conducted by Oğuzhan Yıldız and Arslan (2021) in Erzurum, the lowest age group of the participants was determined as the 61-70 age group with $4.92 \%$, while the highest age group was determined as the 21-30 age group with 41.80\%.

Considering the answers given by the individuals participating in the survey according to their education level, it was revealed that $31.25 \%$ were primary school graduates, $40.6 \%$ were secondary school graduates, $21.8 \%$ were university graduates and $6.2 \%$ were postgraduates. Considering the income levels, $18.8 \%$ are below $4000,3.2 \%$ are between 4000$6000,9.4 \%$ are between $6000-8000,12.8 \%$ are between 8000 10000 and $56.2 \%$ are It was determined that 2 of them had an
income of 10000 or more. When the occupations of the individuals participating in the study are examined, $6.4 \%$ work in the public sector, $9.4 \%$ work in the private sector, $25.2 \%$ are students, $18.8 \%$ are retired, $9.4 \%$ are self-employed and $31.2 \%$ are self-employed. It was determined that 2 of them were housewives. In the study conducted by Karakaya and Kırıcı (2016) in Bingöl, $6.8 \%$ of the consumers are tradesmen, $7.3 \%$ are retired, $7.8 \%$ are self-employed, $8.3 \%$ are workers, $18 \%$ are $5 \%$ were students, $23.4 \%$ were housewives and $27.9 \%$ were civil servants.

### 3.2. Analysis of the Answers Given to Questions Related to Demographic Characteristics using the Chi-Square Independence Test

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more" differs depending on gender ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $68.4 \%$ of women preferred chicken meat, this rate was found to
be $30.8 \%$ for men. Additionally, while $23.1 \%$ of men consume fish meat, $5.3 \%$ of women consume fish meat. In the study conducted by Olgunoğlu et al. (2014) in Adıyaman, it was
found that the consumption rate of red meat and chicken was higher than the consumption rate of fish.

Table 2. Distribution of the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more?" depending on gender.

|  | Which type of animal product do you consume more? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Chicken | Fish | Meat |
| Gender | 16 | 12 | 24 |  |
|  | Male | $30.8 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ |
|  |  | 52 | 4 | 20 |
|  | Female | $68.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 19.612, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.
Table 3. Distribution of the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish?" depending on gender.

|  |  | What do you pay attention to when buying fish? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Price | Taste | Freshness | Small Fishbone | All |
| Gender | 0 | 4 | 24 | 8 | 12 |  |
|  | Male | $0.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
|  |  | 4 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 36 |
|  |  | $5.9 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 26.953, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish differs depending on gender?" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While
$50 \%$ of men pay attention to freshness, this rate was found to be $23.5 \%$ for women. Additionally, while $5.9 \%$ of women pay attention to the price, $0 \%$ of men do not pay attention to the price.

Table 4. Distribution of the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more?" depending on age.

|  |  | Which ty | consum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Chicken | Fish | Meat |
| Age | $<21$ | 20 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 71.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% |
|  | 21-30 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% |
|  | 31-40 | 20 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 71.4\% | 14.3\% | 14.3\% |
|  | 41-50 | 12 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  | 42.9\% | 0.0\% | $57.1 \%$ |
|  | 51-60 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 12 | 8 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 50.0\% | 33.3\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 65.039, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more" varies depending on age ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of the 51-60 age group consumed chicken meat, this rate was
found to be $16.7 \%$ in the 61-70 age group. In addition, while $50 \%$ of individuals between the ages of 61-70 consumed fish, it was found to be $0 \%$ in the age ranges under 21, 21-30, 41-50 and 51-60.

Table 5. Distribution of the question "If your answer is no, what is your reason?" depending on age.

| Age | 21-30 | If your answer is no, what is your reason? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Taste | Price | Difficulty purchasing |
|  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 31-40 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | 31-40 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 41-50 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 41-50 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 61-70 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 32.000, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is no, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test performed to determine whether the question "What is your reason?" varies depending on age ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of individuals between the ages of 21-30 and 41-50 stated that they do not
consume fish due to taste incompatibility, individuals between the ages of 31-40 stated that they do not consume fish due to its price. $100 \%$ of individuals between the ages of 61-70 stated that they do not consume fish due to the difficulty of purchasing it.

Table 6. Distribution of the question "If your answer is yes, how often do you consume fish" depending on age.

| Age |  | If your answer is yes, how often do you consume fish |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Once a week or more | Once a month or more | Once a year or more |
|  | $<21$ | 8 | 4 | 16 |
|  |  | 28.6\% | 14.3\% | 57.1\% |
|  | 21-30 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 31-40 | $4$ | 12 | 8 |
|  |  | $16.7 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
|  | 41-50 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16.7\% | $50.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
|  | 51-60 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 12 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 60.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 38.076, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is yes, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test performed to determine whether the question "How often do you consume fish differs depending on age" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $60 \%$ of individuals aged 61-70 consume fish once a week or more, $20 \%$
stated that they consume fish once a year or more. While $100 \%$ of individuals aged 51-60 stated that they consumed fish once a year or more, $14.3 \%$ of individuals under 21 years of age stated that they consumed fish once a month or more.

Table 7. Distribution of the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most?" depending on age.


Pearson Chi-Square: 17.216, $\mathrm{P}=0.004$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most" varies depending on age ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). When the individuals participating in the survey were asked about the type of seafood they consume most, $100 \%$ of individuals in the 31-40 age group stated that they consumed marine fish, while $50 \%$ of individuals in the 21-30 and 51-60 age groups stated that they consumed
marine fish. While the rate of participants consuming freshwater fish is $50 \%$ between the ages of 21-30 and 51-60, this rate is $14.3 \%$ for participants under 21. In the study conducted by Bolat and Telli (2019) in Denizli, $72 \%$ of the individuals participating in the survey preferred marine fish, while the rate of those who preferred both marine fish and freshwater fish was $23 \%$. The rate of those who prefer freshwater fish remained at $5 \%$.

Table 8. Distribution of the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" depending on age.

|  |  | Which | do you con |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trout | Anchvoy | Bonito |
| Age | $<21$ | 8 | 16 | 4 |
|  |  | 28.6\% | 57.1\% | 14.3\% |
|  | 21-30 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 31-40 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 41-50 | 4 | 16 | 4 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 66.7\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 51-60 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 20 | 0 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 83.3\% | 0.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 27.816, $\mathrm{P}=0.002$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" varies depending on age ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). The proportion of individuals participating in the survey who consume trout is $50 \%$ in the 21-30 and 51-60 age range, while it is $0 \%$ in the $31-40$ age range. While $100 \%$ of individuals between the ages of $31-40$ consumed anchovies, $14.3 \%$ of
individuals under 21 years old stated that they consumed bonito. Gürel et al. (2017) in the study conducted in the central district of Ağrı province, when the fish species most preferred by consumers were examined, it was found that $60.70 \%$ consumed anchovy, $13.55 \%$ consumed trout and at least $2.71 \%$ consumed fish. It was determined that they consumed horse mackerel.

Table 9. Distribution of the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish" depending on age.

|  |  | What do you pay attention to when buying fish? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Price | Taste | Freshness | Small Fishbone | All |
| Age | $<21$ | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 42.9\% | 0.0\% | 42.9\% |
|  | 21-30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 0,0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 31-40 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 41-50 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 51-60 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 81.752, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was performed to determine whether the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish varies depending on age" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). $66.7 \%$ of
individuals between the ages of 31-40 stated that they pay attention to freshness when buying fish. $14.3 \%$ of the participants under 21 stated that they pay attention to the taste.

Table 10. Distribution of the question "What is the most important reason for fish consumption?" depending on age.

|  |  | What is the most important reason for fish consumption? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delicious | Healthy | Both of them |
| Age | $<21$ | 8 |  | 20 |
|  |  | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 21-30 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 31-40 | 4 | 12 | 8 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 50.0\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 41-50 | 0 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |
|  | 51-60 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 61-70 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 83.3\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 73.434, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was performed to determine whether the question "What is the most important reason for consuming fish?" varies depending on age ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of the surveyed individuals between the ages of 21-30 stated that they consume fish because it is delicious, $16.7 \%$ of
individuals between the ages of 61-70 stated that they consume fish because it is healthy. Yüksel et al. (2011) in their study in Tunceli province, when asked about their reasons for choosing fish, $31 \%$ stated that they consumed fish only for a healthy and balanced diet, $7 \%$ stated that they consumed fish only for taste, and $62 \%$ stated that they consumed fish for both reasons.

Table 11. Distribution of the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more?" depending on education level.

|  | Which type of animal product do you consume more? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Chicken | Fish | Meat |
| Educational status | Primary school | 32 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | $80.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
|  | High school | 32 | 8 | 12 |
|  |  | $61.5 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
|  | University | 4 | 4 | 20 |
|  |  | $14.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
|  | Postgraduate | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 50.000, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more" differs depending on education level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $80 \%$ of primary school graduates consume more chicken
meat, $14.3 \%$ of university graduates stated that they consume chicken meat. While $100 \%$ of postgraduate graduates consumed more red meat, $10 \%$ of primary school graduates stated that they consumed fish.

Table 12. Distribution of the question "Do you consume fish" depending on education level.

|  |  | Do you consume fish? |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Yes | No |
| Educational status | Primary school | 32 | 8 |
|  |  | $80.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
|  |  | 52 | 0 |
| University | $100.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 20 | $28.6 \%$ |
| Postgraduate | $71.4 \%$ | 0 |  |
|  |  | 8 | $0.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 17.241, $\mathrm{P}=0.001$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Do you consume fish" differs depending on education level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of the individuals
who participated in the survey with secondary and postgraduate education stated that they consumed fish, $20 \%$ of primary school graduates and $28.6 \%$ of university graduates stated that they did not consume fish.

Table 13. Distribution of the question "If your answer is no, what is your reason?" depending on education level.

|  | If your answer is no, what is your reason? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Taste incompatibility | Price | Difficulty purchasing |
| Educational status | Primary school | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | University | 8 | $50.0 \%$ |
|  | $100.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |

Pearson Chi-Square: $16.000, \mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is no, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What is your reason?" differs depending on education level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

Although $100 \%$ of the participants who were university graduates stated that they did not consume fish due to incompatibility of taste, $50 \%$ of the primary school graduates stated that they did not consume fish due to the difficult price
and $50 \%$ due to the difficulty of purchasing.
Table 14. Distribution of the question "If your answer is yes, how often do you consume fish" depending on education level.

|  |  | If your answer is yes, how often do you consume fish? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Once a week or more | Once a month or more | Once a year or more |  |
| Educational status | Primary school | 8 | 4 | 20 |
|  |  | $25.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
|  | High school | 8 | 24 | 20 |
|  |  | $15.4 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
|  | Postgraduate | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 28.100, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is yes, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "How often do you consume fish differs depending on education level?" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $60 \%$ of university graduates stated that they
consumed fish once a week or more, $12.5 \%$ of primary school graduates stated that they consumed fish once a month or more. $62.5 \%$ of primary school graduates and $20 \%$ of university graduates stated that they consume fish once or more a year.

Table 15. Distribution of the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" depending on education level.

|  |  | Trout | Anchovy | Bonito |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary school | 12 | 24 | 0 |  |
|  |  | $33.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
|  | High school | 8 | 40 | 4 |
|  |  | $15.4 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
|  | University | 4 | 12 | 4 |
|  |  | $20.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
|  | Postgraduate | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 14.787, $\mathrm{P}=0.022$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" varies depending on educational level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $33.3 \%$ of primary school graduates stated that
they consumed more trout, $100 \%$ of postgraduate graduates stated that they consumed more anchovies. In addition, $20 \%$ of university graduates stated that they consumed bonito more, while $7.7 \%$ of secondary school graduates stated that they consumed bonito more.

Table 16. Distribution of the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish, depending on education level?"

|  |  | What | pay atte | when buy | pending on edu | n level? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Price | Taste | Freshness | Small Fishbone | All |
| Educational status | Primary school | 4 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 44.4\% |
|  | High school | 0 | 8 | 16 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 30.8\% | 15.4\% | 38.5\% |
|  | University | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% |
|  | Postgraduate | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 37.252, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish varies depending on education level?" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $11.1 \%$ of primary school graduates stated that they pay
attention to the price when buying fish, $100 \%$ of postgraduate graduates pay attention to its freshness; While $20 \%$ of university graduates stated that they pay attention to whether it is delicious, $15.4 \%$ of secondary school graduates stated that they pay attention to whether it has fewer strings.

Table 17. Distribution of the question "What is the most important reason for consuming fish?" depending on education level.

|  |  | What is th | ortant rea | suming fish? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delicious | Healthy | Both of them |
| Educational status | Primary school | 0 | 8 | 28 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 22.2\% | 77.8\% |
|  | High school | 16 | 16 | 20 |
|  |  | 30.8\% | 30.8\% | 38.5\% |
|  | University | 4 | 4 | 12 |
|  |  | 20.0\% | 20,0\% | $60,0 \%$ |
|  | Postgraduate | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 24.471, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was performed to determine whether the question "What is the most important reason for consuming fish?" varies depending on education level
( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $30.8 \%$ of secondary school graduates stated that they consume fish because it is delicious, $30.8 \%$ stated that they consume fish because it is healthy. $100 \%$ of postgraduate graduates stated that they consume fish for both reasons.

Table 18. Distribution of the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more?" depending on income level.

|  |  | Which ty | ct do you |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Chicken | Fish | Meat |
| Income level | <4000 | 24 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 4000-6000 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 6000-8000 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 8000-10000 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | $75.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 25.0\% |
|  | $10000>$ | $32$ | 4 | 36 |
|  |  | $44.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: $125.576, \mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more" varies depending on income level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Among the respondents, the rate of those who consume chicken meat more is $100 \%$ among those with an income of less than

4,000 , while it is $44.4 \%$ among those with an income of over 10,000 . While $100 \%$ of the participants between 6000-8000 stated that they consume fish meat more, the rate of those consuming fish meat more is 5.6 among participants over 10000.

Table 19. If your answer is yes, distribution of the question "How often do you consume fish" depending on income level.


Pearson Chi-Square: $38.267, \mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is yes, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test performed to determine whether the question "How often do you consume fish differs depending on income level?" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Of the individuals who said they consumed fish, $83.3 \%$ of those with an income below 4000 stated that they consumed fish once a year or more, while $33.3 \%$ of individuals between 8000-10000 stated that they consumed fish once a month or more. Those
who consume fish once a month or more include $100 \%$ of the individuals in the range of 4000-6000. Karakaya et al. (2020) in the study conducted in Erzincan province, when asked about the frequency of fish consumption, the rate of people consuming fish once every five days was found to be $50 \%$, the rate of people consuming fish once a month was $40 \%$, and the rate of people consuming fish once a week was $10 \%$.

Table 20. Distribution of the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most?" depending on income level.

|  |  | What kind of seafood do you consume most? <br> Marine fish |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income level | Freshwater fish |  |  |

Pearson Chi-Square: 16.014, $\mathrm{P}=0.003$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most" varies depending on income level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). When the participants were asked about the marine fish they consume most, $100 \%$ of individuals with incomes between 4000-6000
and 6000-8000 stated that they consumed marine fish, while $13.3 \%$ of individuals with income over 10000 and $16.7 \%$ of individuals with incomes between 4000-6000 stated that they consumed freshwater fish. They stated that they consumed more freshwater fish.

Table 21. Distribution of the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" depending on income level.

|  |  | Which of the following fish do you consume more? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trout | Anchovy | Bonito |
| Income level | <4000 | 4 | 16 | 4 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 66.7\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 4000-6000 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 6000-8000 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | $0.0 \%$ | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 8000-10000 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 10000> | 12 | 44 | 4 |
|  |  | 20.0\% | 73.3\% | 6.7\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 18.597, $\mathrm{P}=0.017$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" varies depending on income level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $50 \%$ of individuals with income between 8000-100000
stated that they consumed more trout, $50 \%$ stated that they consumed more anchovy. While $6.7 \%$ of individuals with income over 10000 stated that they consumed bonito more, $100 \%$ of individuals with income between 4000-6000 and 6000-8000 stated that they consumed anchovy more.

Table 22. Distribution of the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish?" depending on income level.

|  |  | What do you pay attention to when buying fish? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Price | Taste | Freshness | Small Fishbone | All |
| Income level | <4000 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | $50.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 16.7\% |
|  | 4000-6000 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 6000-8000 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 8000-10000 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 10000> | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 32 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.7\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: $142.454, \mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What do you pay attention to when buying fish varies depending on income level" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). When the participants were asked about the features they pay attention to when buying fish, $33.3 \%$ of individuals with an income between 6000-8000 said that they pay attention to the price,
while $100 \%$ of individuals with an income between 4000-6000 said that they pay attention to whether it has small fishbones. While $50 \%$ of individuals with an income below 4000 pay attention to its freshness, $25 \%$ of individuals with an income between 8000-10000 stated that they pay attention to its deliciousness. $53.3 \%$ of individuals with income over 10,000 stated that they pay attention to all of these features.

Table 23. Distribution of the question "What is the most important reason for consuming fish?" depending on income level.

|  |  | What is the most important reason for consuming fish? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delicious | Healthy | Both of them |
| Income level | <4000 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 50.0\% | 16.7\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 4000-6000 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 6000-8000 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |
|  | 8000-10000 |  | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 10000> | 0 | 16 | 44 |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 73.3\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 53.418, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What is the most important reason for consuming fish?" varies depending on income level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $25 \%$ of individuals with income between 8000-10000 stated that the most important reason for consuming fish is its taste, $100 \%$ of individuals with income between 4000-6000
stated that they consume fish for its taste. While $16.7 \%$ of individuals with incomes between 4000-6000 before while $16.7 \%$ of the individuals with an income over 10000 people stated that they consume fish because it is healthy. $73.3 \%$ of individuals with income over 10,000 stated that they consume fish for both reasons.

Table 24. Distribution of the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more?" depending on the profession.

|  |  | Which type of animal product do you consume more? <br> Chicken |  | Fish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Pearson Chi-Square: 68.677, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which type of animal product do you consume more" differs depending on the profession ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Among the respondents, $75 \%$ of students who were students
stated that they consumed chicken meat more, while $10 \%$ of housewives stated that they consumed fish meat more. While $16.7 \%$ of retirees stated that they consumed more chicken meat, $50 \%$ stated that they consumed more fish meat.

Table 25. Distribution of the question "Do you consume fish" depending on profession.

|  |  | Do you consume fish? <br> Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | Private sector | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  | 8 | 4 |
| Student | $66.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 32 | 0 |
| Retire | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
|  | 24 | 0 |  |
|  |  | $100.0 \%$ | 0 |
|  |  | 12 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  | Housewife | $100.0 \%$ | 12 |
|  |  | 28 | $30.0 \%$ |

Pearson Chi-Square: 26.819, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was performed to determine whether the question "Do you consume fish" differs depending on the profession ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of public employees,
students, retirees and self-employed people state that they consume fish, this rate is $66.7 \%$ for private sector employees. $33.3 \%$ of private sector employees and $30 \%$ of housewives stated that they do not consume fish.

Table 26. If your answer is yes, distribution of the question "How often do you consume fish" depending on your profession.


Pearson Chi-Square: 37.675, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

If your answer is yes, a significant difference emerged as a result of the Chi-square independence test performed to determine whether the question "How often do you consume fish differs depending on the profession" ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). While $100 \%$ of the participants working in the public sector stated that they
consumed fish once a month or more, $62.5 \%$ of the students stated that they consumed fish once a year or more. While $50 \%$ of retirees stated that they consumed fish once a week or more, $16.7 \%$ stated that they consumed fish once a month or more.

Table 27. Distribution of the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most?" depending on profession.

|  |  | What kind of | onsume most? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Marine fish | Freshwater fish |
| Occupational | Public | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Private sector | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Student | 24 | 8 |
|  |  | 75.0\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Retire | 20 | 4 |
|  |  | 83.3\% | 16.7\% |
|  | Self-employment | 8 | 4 |
|  |  | $66.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
|  | Housewife | 28 | 4 |
|  |  | 87.5\% | 12.5\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 7.371, $\mathrm{P}=0.0194$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "What kind of seafood do you consume most" differs depending on the profession ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Among the participants, $100 \%$ of those working in the public and private
sectors stated that they consumed marine fish the most, while $75 \%$ of the students stated that they consumed marine fish the most. While $33.3 \%$ of self-employed workers stated that they consumed more freshwater fish, $12.5 \%$ of housewives stated that they consumed freshwater fish.

Table 28. Distribution of the question "Which of the following fish do you consume more?" depending on profession.

|  |  | Which | g fish do $y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trout | Anchovy | Bonito |
| Occupational | Public | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | Private sector | 0 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Student | 12 | 16 |  |
|  |  | 37.5\% | 50.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Retire | 4 | 20 | 0 |
|  |  | 16.7\% | 83.3\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Self-employment | 4 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | 33.3\% | $66.7 \%$ | 0.0\% |
|  | Housewife | 4 | 28 | 0 |
|  |  | 12.5\% | 87.5\% | 0.0\% |

Pearson Chi-Square: 43.155, $\mathrm{P}=0.000$.

A significant difference emerged as a result of the Chisquare independence test, which was conducted to determine whether the question "Which of the following fish do you consume most" differs depending on the profession ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). $100 \%$ of private sector employees stated that they consume more anchovy. While $12.5 \%$ of the students stated that they consumed more bonito, $12.5 \%$ of the housewives stated that they consumed more trout. While $87.5 \%$ of housewives report that they consume more anchovies, this rate is $50 \%$ for public employees.

## 4. Conclusion and Recommendations

According to the results of our study, 76 women ( $59.4 \%$ ) and 82 men ( $40.6 \%$ ) that participated in this survey of the individuals who participated in our survey were women and 52 were men. When asked which type of animal product they prefer, $68.4 \%$ of women answered chicken, while this rate was $30.8 \%$ for men. Therefore, it is seen that a significant portion of women living in the region choose chicken meat in their animal product choices. The fact that $50 \%$ of men responded to
the question of what do you pay attention to when buying fish, while this rate was $23.5 \%$ for women, also supports the previous consumer behavior. In age-related consumption preferences, the increase in fish meat preference as age increases indicates that fish is important for health. When asked which type of fish they consume, a significant portion of the participants answered sea fish, which can be attributed to the region's proximity to the sea. Regarding the relationship between education levels and fish consumption, it has been observed that fish consumption increases as the education level increases. In this sense, it is understood that the health benefits of fish meat are more clearly understood with the education level and thus it is preferred. Another conclusion drawn from the study that income level also affects preferences significantly is that there is a direct relationship between income level and fish consumption preference. In this case, it should be evaluated especially by sector officials. Parameters such as taste, health, and price change fish consumption preferences.

This study we have conducted is about how individuals shape their fish meat preferences; price, freshness and health significantly affect their preferences. The fact that participants with higher education levels know that fish is an important food item for health when it is associated with the level of education has led us to the fact that the health benefits of fish meat should be explained in this region and similar regions. These and similar studies are extremely valuable data sources for the future of our country, which has fish consumption well below the world average.
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