

TURKISH JOURNAL OF VETERINARY RESEARCH TJVR 2017; 1(1):7-11 Published online at www.dergipark.gov.tr/tjvr

Research Article



Investigation of the Prevalence of Brucella canis in Dogs, Veterinarians and Veterinary Faculty Students in Different Part of Turkey

Idil BASTAN¹, Bulent BAS²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey ²Department of Microbiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 11.11.2017 Accepted: 09.12.2017

ABSTRACT

Objective: Canine Brucellosis, the caused by *Brucella canis* (*B. canis*), is seen all over the world and the disease is characterized by abortion and infertility. *Brucella canis* is a zoonotic agent and is transmitted to humans by contact with infected dogs or dog secretions. The aim of this study was to determine the presence of antibodies against *Brucella canis* in dog serum collected from different provinces of Turkey and the seroprevalence in veterinarians and veterinary faculty students in some of the provinces using Microagglutination Test (MAT).

Materials and Methods: Blood samples collected from 1559 dogs and 225 veterinarians and veterinary faculty students were examined for *B. canis* antibody titers using MAT method.

Results: As a result of the study, *B. canis* antibody was found to be positive in 12 (0.8%) of 1559 dog serum and in 13 (5.8%) of 225 human serum.

Conclusion: 5.8% of the *B.canis* seropositivity we have identified in the risk groups can give an idea of the state of the infection between the Turkish veterinarians and veterinary faculty students.

Keywords: Brucella canis, antibody, Microagglutination Test, seroprevalence, seropositivity.

INTRODUCTION

Brucella canis was isolated for the first time in 1966 by Carmichael in the USA as an effective contagious abort of dogs. Dogs are known to be the only animal species that can naturally be infected by *B. canis*. The agent causes abortus in female dogs and epididymitis, testis atrophy and sterility in male dogs. Sometimes the disease can persist with lymphadenitis without any obvious clinical picture. Clinical diagnosis of the infection can be difficult because of not having many clinical symptoms in both disease forms (Aydın N, 2006; Bosu WTK and Prescott JFA, 1980; Corrente M et al., 2010; Flores-Castro R and Segura RA, 1976; Lucero NE et al., 1976; Öncel T, 2005; Wanke MM, 2004).

Corresponding author: Bulent BAS

The agent is infected with dogs and cats in the abortion of abort materials or drinking of infected milk. Thus, vaginal discharge and wastes are important for transmission. Disease symptoms are high in the period when the number of exogenous bacteria to the external environment is higher (during reproduction period) (Aydın N, 2006; Hollett RB, 2006; Öncel T, 2005; Özlem M, 1998).

B. canis can be transmitted to humans through direct contact with infected dog or dog secretions and laboratory accidents. The main transmission to humans is by way of contact with dogs that recently aborted or gave birth (Aydın N, 2006; Lucero NE et al., 1976; Yılmaz B, 2010). Clinical signs and symptoms of *B.canis* infection in humans are similar

E-mail: bbas@ankara.edu.tr

Bastan et al.

to those of brucellosis caused by the other *Brucella* species (Polt SS and Dismukes WE, 1982). Although the disease is seen with different clinical symptoms, deaths due to cardiovascular (infective endocarditis) and central nervous system complications can be seen in untreated cases. Diagnosis of the disease is done either by isolation of the agent or by serological tests. Nowadays, serological tests are preferred more

because of its easy, practical and fast results in the diagnosis the disease (Wanke MM, 2004; Yılmaz B, 2010). This study aims to reveal with the MAT the presence of *B.canis* antibodies in the bloods taken from the dogs from different provinces of Turkey and the veterinarians and veterinary faculty students.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, serum samples were collected from 225 veterinarians and veterinary faculty students and 1559 dogs from various provinces of Turkey. The presence of *B. canis* antibodies in serum samples was investigated by MAT method. In the MA test, two-fold dilutions of serum samples were made with PBS in U-base microplates and an equal volume (25 μ l) of *B.canis* antigen solution (Kitasato Institute, Tokyo, Japan) stained with Safranin-O was added. The plates

were incubated in a humidified environment for 24 hours at 50 °C after lightly shaking for 20 seconds. As a positive control, hyperimmune serum obtained from the *B. canis* strain in the Public Health Agency of Turkey was used. $\geq 1/160$ titer was considered positive in the MA test in dogs (George LW, 1979; Monroe PW et al., 1975). However, since the diagnostic titer for *B. canis* infection is not yet definitive in humans, an antibody titer of $\geq 1/50$ was considered positive in accordance with the previous studies (Sayan M et al., 2011a).

RESULTS

The results of 1559 dogs collected from 13 provinces of Turkey are given in Table 1 and the results of the veterinarians and veterinary faculty students are given in Table 2. Antibodies with positive titer ($\geq 1/160$) were detected in 12 (0.8%) of dog sera, while antibodies with $\geq 1/20$ titer were detected in 512 (32.8%). When the distribution of positivity between provinces was examined, the positivity ranged 0-4% was determined and the highest positivity was found in Diyarbakır province where *B. canis* antibody was detected in 4 of 100 sera (4%). The positives were not

	MAT Titer								
Province	Negative	1/20	1/40	1/80	1/160	Total	Positivity %		
Aydın	34	5	8	3	-	50	%0		
Amasya	13	3	3	-	-	19	%0		
Kars	103	38	24	12	1	178	%0.6		
Diyarbakır	36	38	20	2	4	100	%4		
Hatay	77	32	7	-	-	116	%0		
Burdur	25	16	5	1	-	47	%0		
Erzurum	47	12	10	1	-	70	%0		
İstanbul	55	14	21	5	2	97	%2		
Ankara	304	41	13	5	4	367	%1.1		
Sivas	78	18	29	22	-	147	%0		
Şanlıurfa	66	-	-	-	-	66	%0		
Kırıkkale	69	8	22	10	1	110	%0.9		
Bursa	140	29	22	1	-	192	%0		
Total	1047	254	184	62	12	1559	%0.8		

Table 1: Results of dog sera collected from different provinces of Turkey.

	MAT Titer							
Provinces	Negative	25	50	100	200			
Ankara	119	6	-	2	1			
Hatay	47	1	-	-	-			
Aydın	37	1	-	7	3			
Total	203	9	-	9	4			

Table 2: Results of the veterinarians and veterinary faculty students.

found in the samples taken from dogs in provinces of Aydın, Amasya, Hatay, Burdur, Erzurum, Sivas, Sanliurfa and Bursa. Considering the positivity in female and male dogs, positive titer antibodies were detected in 7 (0.85%) of 821 female dogs and 5 (0.67%) of 738 male dogs.

Positivity was determined in 13 (5.8%) of 225 sera collected from the veterinarians and veterinary faculty students. On provincial basis, the highest positivity was found in Aydın (20.8%).

DISCUSSION

Although there is considerable information and evidence of Brucella infections in the world, studies on B. canis infections in dogs and in humans are extremely limited. The seroprevalence of B. canis has been reported to vary between 1.1% and 60.6% in serological studies on dogs conducted in different countries. In a study conducted in Italy, 25 (1.1%) of 2328 sera (Ebani VV et al., 2003) were found to be positive and 20 (60.6%) of 33 sera were found to be positive in a study conducted in Canada (Brennan SJ et al., 2008). B. canis antibodies were found to be positive in 12 of 485 sera (2.5%) in Japan (Kimura M et al., 2008); in 5 of 102 (4.9%) samples in Iran (Mosallanejad B et al., 2009); in 12 of 113 (10.6%) blood sera in another study conducted in Iran (Behzadi MA and Mohheiseh A, 2012); in 100 of 2000 (5%) sera in another study conducted in Canada (Bosu WTK and Prescott JFA, 1980); in 16 of 219 (7.3%) sera in Argentina (Boeri E et al., 2008); in 33 of 224 (14.7%) sera in another study conducted in Argentina (Lopez G et al., 2009); in 72 of 280 (25.7%) sera in Brazil (Barrouin-melo SM et al., 2007); in 85 of 317 (26.8%) sera in the USA (Brower A, 2007); and in 181 of 463 (39.1%) samples in Korea (Kim JW et al., 2007).

It has been reported that the seroprevalence in dogs changed between 5.4 and 7.7 in studies conducted in our country. In their study on 40 military dogs in Van, Ceylan et al. (2006) did not find *Brucella Canis* antibodies in the serum samples of dogs. *B. canis*

antibodies were found positive in 6 of 111 (5.4%) sera by Yilmaz and Gumussoy (2010); in 14 of 222 (6.3%) samples by Diker et al. (1987); in 9 of 134 (6.7%) sera by İstanbulluoğlu and Diker (1983); and in 28 of 362 (7.7%) samples by Oncel et al. (2005). In these studies, mercaptoethanol tube agglutination test was applied (Diker KS et al., 1987; Istanbulluoglu E and Diker KS, 1983; Yılmaz B and Gümüşsoy KS, 2010). In the present study, 1559 canine sera collected from different provinces of Turkey were examined using MAT and a positive rate of 0.8% was determined for B. canis antibody. When the results of the present study were compared with those of previous studies, B. canis antibodies were found to be positive in a smaller number of samples. Rate difference in the positivity sample size is dependent on the difference of the strains used for antigen preparation. Wanke (2004) reported that 62% false positivity reactions were observed in studies using antigen from B.canis (RM6/66) strain, and less false positives were observed in studies using antigen from B. Canis (M-) strain, a less mucoid strain. Less false positivity and therefore seropositivity at lower rates may be determined due to the antigen prepared from commercial (M-) B. canis strain used in the present study.

Although B. canis infection is seen worldwide, the actual prevalence of the disease in humans is not fully known in many countries (Carmicheael LE, 1990; Polt SS and Dismukes WE, 1982). In our country, the studies on B. canis infection in human are very limited and there is not enough data to reveal the current state of the disease in our country. Determining the seroprevalence of B. canis infection in healthy individuals may be important to show the presence and source of the infection in the community. In the studies conducted in cases with the suspect of brucellosis in our country, B. canis antibody positivity generally varies between 1.6% and 9.2%. Diker et al. (1987) reported B.canis seropositivity in 2 (1.6%) of 123 brucellosis-suspected patients in Bursa region using 2-ME TAT method. Köksal et al. (1988) determined B. canis seropositivity as 8.3% (43/514) in patients who were suspected to have brucellosis in Adana using 2-ME TAT method.

Koylu et al. (2009) reported a positivity rate of 9.2% in a study in which seroprevalence of *B. canis* infection were investigated in 76 persons at risk in the province of Konya. Sayan et al. (2011a) determined *B.canis* antibody positivity as 3.7% in 1746 patients with brucellosis-like symptoms but RBPT-negative in all regions of our country with MA test. Sayan et al. (2011b) found *B. canis* seroprevalence as 1.6% (31/1930) in blood donors in Kocaeli region by blood agglutination test. Due to the differences in the study groups, serological diagnostic methods used, and the

Bastan et al.

accepted diagnostic titers, it is very difficult to compare the data of these studies with our data and to reveal the current status of *B. canis* infections in humans in our country. In our study, 1/50 *B.canis* antibody was detected in 13 (5.8%) of the 225 veterinarians and veterinary faculty students.

As a result; 5.8% of the *B.canis* seropositivity we have identified in the risk groups can give an idea of the state of the infection between the Turkish veterinarians and veterinary faculty students. Therefore, investigation for *B. canis* antibodies with particularly relevant epidemiological information and in cases with the suspect of brucellosis will enable studies on the epidemiology of infection in human to increase and to obtain healthier data on the disease at risk groups.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Aydın N. Brucella İnfeksiyonlari. In: Aydın N., Paracıkoğlu J, (eds). Veteriner Mikrobiyoloji (Bakteriyel Hastalıklar). 1st ed. İlke-Emek Matbaacılık ve Yayıncılık, Ankara, 2006; 145-163.
- Barrouin-melo SM, Poester FP, Ribeiro MB. Diagnosis of Canine brucellosis by ELISA using an antigen from wild *Brucella canis*. *Res Vet Sci* 2007; 83:340-346.
- Behzadi MA, Mohheiseh A. Epidemiological survey of *Brucella canis* infection in different breeds of dogs in Fars province. *Iran Pak Vet J* 2012; 32:234-236.
- Boeri E, Escobar GI, Ayala SM, Sosa-Estani S, Lucero NE. Canine brucellosis in dogs in the city of Buenos Aires. *Medicina* 2008; 68:291-297.
- Bosu WTK, Prescott JFA. Serological survey of dogs for *Brucella canis* in Southwestern Ontario. *Can Vet J* 1980; 21:198-200.
- Brennan SJ, Ngeleka M, Philibert HM, Forbes LB, Allen AL. Canine brucellosis in a Saskatchewan kennel. *Can Vet J* 2008; 49:703-708.
- Brower A, Okwumabua O, Massengill C. Investigation of the spread of Brucella canis

via the U. S. İnterstate dog trade. Int J Infect Dis 2007; 11:454-458.

- Carmicheael LE. *Brucella canis*, In: Nielsen K, Duncan JR (eds), Animal Brucellosis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1990; 335-350.
- Ceylan E, Berktaş M, Ağaoğlu Z. Van'daki Askeri Köpeklerde Brucellozisin Yaygınlığının Araştırılması. Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 2006; 2:55-57.
- Corrente M, Franchini D, Decaro N, et al. Detection of *Brucella canis* in a dog in Italy. *New Microbiologica* 2010; 33:337-341.
- Diker KS, Aydın N, Erdeger J, Özyurt MAA. Serological of dogs for *Brucella canis* and *Brucella abortus* and evaluation of mercaptoethanol microaglutination test. *A Ü Vet Fak Derg* 1987; 34:268-277.
- Ebani VV, Cerri D, Fratini F, Bey RF, Andreani E. Serological diagnosis of brucellosis caused by *Brucella canis. New Microbiol* 2003; 26:65-73.
- Flores-Castro R, Segura RA. Serological and Bacteriological Survey of Canine Brucellosis in Mexico. *Cornell Vet* 1976; 66:347-352.
- George LW, Duncan JR, Carmichael LE. Semen examination in dogs with canine brucellosis. *Am J Vet Res* 1979; 40:1589.
- Hollett RB. Canine brucellosis: Outbreaks and compliance. *Theriogenology* 2006; 66:575-587.
- Istanbulluoglu E, Diker K. S. *Brucella canis* üzerine seroljik incelemeler. *A U Vet Fak Derg* 1983; 30:14-18.
- Kim JW, Lee YJ, Han Y. Evaluation of immunochromatographic assay for serodiagnosis of *Brucella canis*. J Vet Med Sci 2007; 69:1103-1107.
- Kimura M, Imaoka K, Suzuki M, Kamiyama T, Yamada A. Evaluation of a Microplate Agglutination Test (MAT) for Serological Diagnosis of Canine Brucellosis. *J Vet Med Sci* 2008; 70(7):707-709.
- Köksal F, Akan E, Başlamışlı L, Diker S, Yiğit S, Özcan K. Antibody levels to *B.abortus*, *B.canis* and *C.burnetti* in the sera of patients with brucellosis-like symptoms. *Mikrobiyol Bül* 1988; 22(2):132-41.
- Köylü O, Aras Z, Uçan US. Konya İlinde Risk Altında Bulunan İnsanlarda Brucella canis İnfeksiyonu Seroprevalansı. İnfeksiyon Dergisi 2009; 23(2):73-77.

Bastan et al.

- Lopez G, Ayala SM, Efron AM, Gomez CF, Lucero NE. A serological and bacteriological survey of dogs to detect *Brucella* infection in Lomas de Zamora, Buenos Aires province. *Revista Argentina de Microbiologia* 2009; 41:97-101.
- Lucero NE, Corazza R, Almuzara MN, Reynes E, Escobar GI, Boeri E, Ayala SM. Human *Brucella canis* outbreak linked to infection in dogs. *Epidemiol Infect* 2010; 138:280-285.
- Monroe PW, Silberg SL, Morgan PM, Adess M. Seroepidemiological investigation of *Brucella canis* antibodies in different human population groups. *J Clin Microbiol* 1975; 2:382.
- Mosallanejad B, Ghorbanpoor NG, Avizeh R, Mohammadian N. A serological survay on *Brucela canis* in caompanion dogs in Ahvaz. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research* 2009; 10(4):383-386.
- Öncel T, Akan M, Sareyyüpoğlu B, Tel OY, Çiftçi A. Seroprevalence of *Brucella canis* infection of dogs in two provinces in Turkey. *Türk J Vet Anim Sci* 2005; 29:779-783.
- Özlem M. B. Brucellosis. In: İmren H. Y. (ed) Kedi ve Köpek Hastalıkları. 1st ed. Y. Medisan, Ankara. 1998; 428.
- Polt SS, Dismukes WE. Human brucellosis caused by *Brucella canis. Ann Intern Med* 1982; 97(5):717-719.
- Sayan M, Erdenliğ S, Stack J, et al. A Serological Diagnostic Survey for *Brucella canis* Infection in Turkish Patients with Brucellosis-Like Symptoms. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2011a; 64:516-519.
- Sayan M, Erdenliğ S, Etiler N. Sağlıklı Kan donörlerinde Brucella canis Seropozitifliğinin Laboratuvar yapımı Lam Aglütinasyon Test Antijeni ile Araştırılması. Mikrobiyol Bül 2011b; 45(4):655-663.
- Wanke MM. Canine brucellosis. *Animal Reproduction Science* 2004;82-83:195-207.
- Yılmaz B, Gümüşsoy KS. Kayseri İlinde Köpeklerde Brucella canis İnfeksiyonunun Serolojik Olarak Araştırılması. Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi (Journal of Health Sciences) 2010; 19(1):12-18.