

Generalized Extended C -Bochner Curvature Tensor on $(\hat{k}, \hat{\mu})$ -Contact Metric Manifolds

Ahmet Yıldız*

(Communicated by Uday Chand De)

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to study $(\hat{k}, \hat{\mu})$ -contact metric manifolds with generalized extended C -Bochner curvature tensor.

Keywords: $(\hat{k}, \hat{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold, Sasakian manifold, C -Bochner curvature tensor, generalized extended C -Bochner curvature tensor.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): Primary: 53C50 ; Secondary: 53B30.

1. Introduction

The Bochner curvature tensor was introduced by S. Bochner [4]. When he studied the Betti number of a Kähler manifold, he introduced a new tensor as an analogue of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor in a Riemannian manifold. Then D. Blair gave the geometric properties of the Bochner curvature tensor [1]. Then M. Matsumoto and G. Chūman introduced C -Bochner curvature tensor by using the Boothby-Wang's fibration and they studied its properties in a Sasakian manifold [9]. Some authors have studied vanishing C -Bochner curvature tensor in Sasakian manifolds ([7], [8]). On the other hand, the extended C -Bochner curvature tensor on a K -contact Riemannian manifold introduced by H. Endo and called it the E -contact Bochner curvature tensor [6] and he showed that a K -contact Riemannian manifold with vanishing the E -contact Bochner curvature tensor is a Sasakian manifold. Also the *generalized C -Bochner* (briefly GC -Bochner) curvature tensor defined by Shaikh and Baishya [11].

Motivated by these studies in this paper, firstly we introduce the generalized extended C -Bochner (briefly GEC -Bochner) curvature tensor by using H. Endo's method. Then we study vanishes the GEC -Bochner curvature tensor on a $(\hat{k}, \hat{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold and show that the manifold is a Sasakian manifold. Also we consider a $(\hat{k}, \hat{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the conditions $R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot B^{GE} = 0$ and $B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot R_{cur} = 0$. We obtain that the manifold is a Sasakian manifold or locally isometric to $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$ and the manifold is a Sasakian manifold or is an η -Einstein manifold, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

Let G^{2n+1} be a connected differentiable manifold which is said to admits an *almost contact structure* (ψ, ζ, η) , where ψ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ζ is a vector field and η is a 1-form satisfying

$$\psi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \zeta, \quad \eta(\zeta) = 1, \quad \psi\zeta = 0, \quad \eta \circ \psi = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let ρ be a compatible Riemannian metric with (ψ, ζ, η) , that is,

$$\rho(\psi\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) = \rho(\partial_1, \partial_2) - \eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_2), \quad (2.2)$$

or,

$$\rho(\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) = -\rho(\psi\partial_1, \partial_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(\partial_1) = \rho(\partial_1, \zeta),$$

for all $\partial_1, \partial_2 \in \Gamma(TG)$. So, G is an almost contact metric manifold equipped with $(\psi, \zeta, \eta, \rho)$. If $\rho(\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) = d\eta(\partial_1, \partial_2)$ then an almost contact metric structure is a contact metric structure.

The 1-form η is then a contact form and ζ is its characteristic vector field. Also the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying $R(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = 0$ [2]. On the other hand, as we have noted [3], on a Sasakian manifold

$$R(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = \eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\partial_2. \tag{2.3}$$

In a contact metric manifold, the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field \tilde{h} is symmetric and satisfies

$$\tilde{h}\zeta = 0, \quad \tilde{h}\psi + \psi\tilde{h} = 0, \quad \nabla\zeta = -\psi - \psi\tilde{h}, \quad tr\tilde{h} = tr\psi\tilde{h} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. A contact metric manifold is said to be η -Einstein if

$$R_{op} = aId + b\psi \otimes \zeta,$$

where a, b are smooth functions and R_{op} is the Ricci operator on G .

The $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -nullity distribution $N(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ of a contact metric manifold G is defined by

$$N(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu}) : t \longrightarrow N_t(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu}) = \{\partial_3 \in T_tG \mid R(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = \dot{k}[\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_1 - \rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\partial_2] + \dot{\mu}[\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\tilde{h}\partial_1 - \rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\tilde{h}\partial_2]\},$$

for all $\partial_1, \partial_2 \in \Gamma(TG)$, where $\dot{k}, \dot{\mu}$ real constants ([2], [10]). A contact metric manifold G with $\zeta \in N(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ is called a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold, then we have

$$R(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = \dot{k}\{\eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\partial_2\} + \dot{\mu}\{\eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\tilde{h}\partial_2\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Also in a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold, we have

$$R_{cur}(\partial_1, \zeta) = 2nk\dot{\psi}(\partial_1), \tag{2.6}$$

$$R_{op}\zeta = 2nk\zeta, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\tilde{h}^2 = (\dot{k} - 1)\psi^2, \quad \dot{k} \leq 1, \tag{2.8}$$

$$R_{op}\psi - \psi R_{op} = 2[2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu}]\tilde{h}\psi, \tag{2.9}$$

$$R(\zeta, \partial_1)\partial_2 = \dot{k}\{\rho(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_2)\partial_1\} + \dot{\mu}\{\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1\}. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.6)-(2.7), we have

$$tr\tilde{h}^2 = 2n(1 - \dot{k}),$$

$$R_{cur}(\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) + R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \partial_2) = 2(2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu})\rho(\tilde{h}\psi\partial_1, \partial_2),$$

$$R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) = R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_2) - 2nk\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_2) - 2(2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu})\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_2),$$

$$R_{op}\psi + \psi R_{op} = 2\psi R_{op} + 2(2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu})\tilde{h}\psi,$$

$$\psi R_{op}\psi = 2(2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu})\tilde{h} - R_{op} + 2nk\dot{\psi} \otimes \zeta,$$

$$R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \zeta) = 0,$$

$$tr(R_{op}\psi) = tr(\psi R_{op}) = 0.$$

Now we give the following:

Lemma 2.1. [2]: In a non-Sasakian $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -manifold G , the Ricci operator R_{op} is given by

$$R_{op} = (2(n - 1) - n\mu)I + (2(n - 1) + \dot{\mu})\tilde{h} + (2(1 - n) + n(2\dot{k} + \dot{\mu}))\eta \otimes \zeta. \tag{2.11}$$

Theorem 2.1. [2], [3]: A contact metric manifold G satisfying $R(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = 0$ is locally isometric to $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ for $n > 1$ and flat for $n = 1$.

3. GEC-Bochner curvature tensor

In [11], Shaikh and Baishya defined the GC -Bochner curvature tensor in a contact metric manifold as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 &= R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 + \rho(\psi\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\tilde{h}\psi\partial_1 - \rho(\psi\partial_1, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\tilde{h}\psi\partial_2 \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\dot{m} + 4} \left\{ \frac{\dot{m}}{2} + \dot{\alpha} + \frac{tr\tilde{h}^2}{\dot{m} + 2} \right\} [\rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta \\
 &- \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3)\partial_1 + \eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_3)\partial_2] \\
 &- \frac{1}{\dot{m} + 4} \left\{ \dot{\alpha} + \dot{m} + \frac{tr\tilde{h}^2}{\dot{m} + 2} \right\} [\rho(\psi\partial_1, \partial_3)\psi\partial_2 \\
 &- \rho(\psi\partial_2, \partial_3)\psi\partial_1 + 2\rho(\psi\partial_1, \partial_2)\psi\partial_3] \\
 &- \frac{1}{\dot{m} + 4} \left\{ \dot{\alpha} - 4 + \frac{tr\tilde{h}^2}{\dot{m} + 2} \right\} [\rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\partial_2 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_1] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)R_{op}\partial_2 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)R_{op}\partial_1 - R_{cur}(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_1 \\
 &+ R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_3)\partial_2 - \rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\psi R_{op}\psi\partial_2 + \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\psi R_{op}\psi\partial_1 \\
 &- R_{cur}(\psi\partial_2, \psi\partial_3)\partial_1 + R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \psi\partial_3)\partial_2 - R_{cur}(\psi\partial_2, \partial_3)\psi\partial_1 \\
 &+ R_{cur}(\partial_2, \psi\partial_3)\psi\partial_1 + R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \partial_3)\psi\partial_2 - R_{cur}(\partial_1, \psi\partial_3)\psi\partial_2 \\
 &+ 2R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \partial_2)\psi\partial_3 - 2R_{cur}(\partial_1, \psi\partial_2)\psi\partial_3 \\
 &+ \rho(\psi\partial_1, \partial_3)(\psi R_{op} + R_{op}\psi)\partial_2 - \rho(\psi\partial_2, \partial_3)(\psi R_{op} + R_{op}\psi)\partial_1 \\
 &+ 2\rho(\psi\partial_1, \partial_2)(\psi R_{op} + R_{op}\psi)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_3)R_{op}\partial_2 \\
 &+ \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3)R_{op}\partial_1 + \eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_3)\psi R_{op}\psi\partial_2 \\
 &- \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3)\psi R_{op}\psi\partial_1 + R_{cur}(\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta - R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta \\
 &+ R_{cur}(\psi\partial_2, \psi\partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta - R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \psi\partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta],
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\dot{\alpha} = \frac{\tau + \dot{m}}{\dot{m} + 2}$, $\dot{m} = 2n$. If the manifold is a Sasakian manifold, then we have $\tilde{h} = 0$, $R_{op}\psi = \psi R_{op}$, $tr\tilde{h}^2 = 0$, $R_{cur}(\psi\partial_1, \psi\partial_2) = R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_2) - m\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_2)$ and hence (3.1) definities the C -Bochner curvature tensor.

From (3.1), we have the followings:

$$B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = -B(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 + B(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_1 + B(\partial_3, \partial_1)\partial_2 = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\rho(B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_4) = -\rho(B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_4, \partial_3), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\rho(B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_4) = \rho(B(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_1, \partial_2), \tag{3.5}$$

for any vector fields $\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4 \in \Gamma(G)$. Also

$$B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\partial_2] + \dot{\mu}[\eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\tilde{h}\partial_2], \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$B(\zeta, \partial_1)\partial_2 = \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_2)\partial_1] + \dot{\mu}[\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1], \tag{3.7}$$

Consequently, we have

$$B(\zeta, \partial_1)\zeta = \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\eta(\partial_1)\zeta - \partial_1] + \dot{\mu}\tilde{h}\partial_1, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\eta(B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta) = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\eta(B(\zeta, \partial_1)\partial_2) = \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\partial_1, \partial_2) - \eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_2)] + \mu\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_2), \tag{3.10}$$

where $\dot{m} = 2n$.

H. Endo [6] defined the extended C -Bochner curvature tensor B^E on a contact metric manifold G by

$$B^E(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = \tilde{B}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_1)\tilde{B}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_2)\tilde{B}(\partial_1, \zeta)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_3)\tilde{B}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta, \tag{3.11}$$

where \tilde{B} is the C -Bochner curvature tensor defined by Matsumoto and Chūman [9].

Now using this definition, we can define GEC -Bochner curvature tensor B^{GE} on a contact metric manifold G by

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = \hat{B}^E(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_1)\hat{B}^E(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_2)\hat{B}^E(\partial_1, \zeta)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_3)\hat{B}^E(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\hat{B}^E(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_1)B(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_2)B(\partial_1, \zeta)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_3)B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta, \tag{3.13}$$

and B is the GC -Bochner curvature tensor defined by (3.1). Using (3.1), (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.13), from (3.12), we can write

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_1)B(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_2)B(\partial_1, \zeta)\partial_3 - \eta(\partial_3)B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta + 2[\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_3)B(\zeta, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3)B(\zeta, \partial_1)\zeta]. \tag{3.14}$$

Also using (3.1)-(3.8) in (3.14), we get

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta - \rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta] + \dot{\mu}[\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta]. \tag{3.15}$$

4. Main results

Let G^{2n+1} ($n > 1$) be a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold with vanishes the GEC -Bochner curvature tensor. Then we have $B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3 = 0$ for all $\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3 \in \Gamma(TG)$.

Now from (3.15), we can write

$$0 = \rho(B(\partial_1, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_4) + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_4) - \rho(\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_4)] + \dot{\mu}[\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_4) - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_4)]. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_tG at any point $t \in G$. Putting $\partial_1 = \partial_4 = f_j$ in (4.1) and taking summation over $1 \leq j \leq \dot{m} + 1$, $\dot{m} = 2n$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\dot{m}+1} \rho(B(f_j, \partial_2)\partial_3, f_j) + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3) - \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3)] - \dot{\mu}\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3). \tag{4.2}$$

From [11], it is known that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\dot{m}+1} \rho(B(f_j, \partial_2)\partial_3, f_j) = (\dot{m} - 2 + \dot{\mu})\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3) + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(3\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3) + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(1 - \dot{m})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3). \tag{4.3}$$

Using (4.3) in (4.2), we have

$$0 = (\dot{m} - 2)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3) + 2(1 - \dot{k})\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3) - \frac{\dot{m}(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3). \quad (4.4)$$

Now from (4.4), we get

$$(\dot{m} - 2)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3) + 2(1 - \dot{k})\rho(\partial_2, \partial_3) - \frac{\dot{m}(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_3) = 0,$$

which implies that $\tilde{h} = 0$ and hence $\dot{k} = 1$. Thus the manifold is a Sasakian manifold. Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $G^{2n+1}(n > 1)$ be a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold with vanishes GEC-Bochner curvature tensor. Then the manifold is a Sasakian manifold.*

Now we consider a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold G^{2n+1} satisfying the condition

$$R_{cur}(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot B^{GE} = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $R(\partial_1, \partial_2)$ is considered as a curvature tensor. Then from (4.5) it follows that

$$R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_2)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5 - B^{GE}(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5 - B^{GE}(\partial_3, R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_4)\partial_5 - B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5 = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

In view of (2.10), it follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{k}[\rho(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5, \partial_2)\zeta - \eta(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5)\partial_2 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5 \\ & + \eta(\partial_3)B^{GE}(\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_5 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_4)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \zeta)\partial_5 + \eta(\partial_4)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_2)\partial_5 \\ & - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_5)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\zeta + \eta(\partial_5)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_2] + \dot{\mu}[\rho(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5, \tilde{h}\partial_2)\zeta \\ & - \eta(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5)\tilde{h}\partial_2 - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5 + \eta(\partial_3)B^{GE}(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_5 \\ & + \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \zeta)\partial_5 + \eta(\partial_4)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \tilde{h}\partial_2)\partial_5 - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_5)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\zeta \\ & + \eta(\partial_5)B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_2] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Putting $\partial_3 = \zeta$ in (3.15), we obtain by virtue of (2.2), (2.4) and (3.1) that

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\eta(\partial_1)\partial_2 - \eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \dot{\mu}[\eta(\partial_1)\tilde{h}\partial_2 - \eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1]]. \quad (4.8)$$

Using (3.5), we get from (4.8) that

$$B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_1)\partial_2 = \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta] - \mu\eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1, \quad (4.9)$$

which implies

$$B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_1)\zeta = \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}[\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_1)\zeta] - \dot{\mu}\tilde{h}\partial_1. \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.8), it follows that

$$\eta(B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta) = 0.$$

Again (4.8) yields

$$B^{GE}(\tilde{h}\partial_1, \partial_2)\zeta = -\frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\eta(\partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_1 + \dot{\mu}(1 - \dot{k})[\eta(\partial_2)\partial_1 - \eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_1)\zeta], \quad (4.11)$$

which implies by (3.2) that

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \tilde{h}\partial_2)\zeta = \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)}\eta(\partial_1)\tilde{h}\partial_2 + \dot{\mu}(1 - \dot{k})[\eta(\partial_1)\eta(\partial_2)\zeta - \eta(\partial_1)\partial_2]. \quad (4.12)$$

Putting $\partial_5 = \zeta$ in (4.7) and then using (4.8)-(4.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{k} \left\{ \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_3 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_4] + \dot{\mu} [\rho(\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\eta(\partial_4)\zeta \right. \\ & - \rho(\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_4)\eta(\partial_3)\zeta + \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\tilde{h}\partial_4 - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_3] + B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_2 \} \\ & + \dot{\mu} \left\{ \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_3 - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\partial_4] + \dot{\mu} [\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\eta(\partial_4)\zeta \right. \\ & \left. - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_4)\eta(\partial_3)\zeta + \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\tilde{h}\partial_4 - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_3] + B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_2 \} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{k} \left\{ \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\partial_2, \partial_4)\rho(\partial_3, \partial_1) - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\rho(\partial_4, \partial_1)] \right. \\ & + \dot{\mu} [\rho(\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\eta(\partial_4)\rho(\zeta, \partial_1) - \rho(\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_4)\eta(\partial_3)\rho(\zeta, \partial_1) \\ & + \rho(\partial_2, \partial_3)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_1) - \rho(\partial_2, \partial_4)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_3, \partial_1)] + \rho(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_2, \partial_1) \} \\ & + \dot{\mu} \left\{ \frac{(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\rho(\partial_3, \partial_1) - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\rho(\partial_4, \partial_1)] \right. \\ & + \dot{\mu} [\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_3)\eta(\partial_4)\rho(\zeta, \partial_1) - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \tilde{h}\partial_4)\eta(\partial_3)\rho(\zeta, \partial_1) \\ & \left. + \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_3)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_1) - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_3, \partial_1)] + \rho(B^{GE}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_1) \} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Let $\{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_tG at any point $t \in G$. Putting $\partial_1 = \partial_3 = f_j$ in (4.14) and taking summation over $1 \leq j \leq \dot{m} + 1$, $\dot{m} = 2n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \dot{k})}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} \{ \dot{k}(\dot{m} + 1)(\dot{m} + 8) + \dot{k}(3\dot{m} + 8) + 2\mu(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) \} \rho(\partial_2, \partial_4) \\ & + \frac{1}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} \{ 2k(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) + \dot{\mu}(1 - \dot{k})[(\dot{m} + 8)(1 + \dot{m}) + (3\dot{m} + 8)] \} \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_2) \\ & + \frac{(1 - \dot{k})}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} \{ -k\dot{m}(\dot{m} + 8) - 2\mu(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) \} \eta(\partial_4)\eta(\partial_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

The relation (4.15) gives us either $\dot{k} = 1$, or

$$\dot{k}(\dot{m} + 1)(\dot{m} + 8) + \dot{k}(3\dot{m} + 8) + 2\mu(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

either $\tilde{h} = 0$, or

$$2k(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) + \dot{\mu}(1 - \dot{k})[(\dot{m} + 8)(1 + \dot{m}) + (3\dot{m} + 8)] = 0, \tag{4.17}$$

either $\dot{k} = 1$, or

$$-\dot{k}\dot{m}(\dot{m} + 8) - 2\mu(\dot{m} + 4)(\dot{m} - 2) = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

If $\dot{k} = 1$ then $\tilde{h} = 0$ and therefore the manifold is a Sasakian manifold. Thus we have either the manifold is a Sasakian manifold (for $\dot{k} = 1$) or (4.16)-(4.18) holds (for non-Sasakian case). From (4.16) and (4.18), it follows that $\dot{k} = 0$. If $\dot{k} = 0$, then (4.16) or (4.18) implies that $\dot{\mu} = 0$. Hence in the non-Sasakian case, we have $\dot{k} = 0 = \dot{\mu}$. Then by Theorem 2.1 the manifold is locally isometric to $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$.

Also from (4.16) and (4.17), we get

$$\dot{k}^2(\dot{m} + 1)(\dot{m} + 8) + \dot{k}^2(3\dot{m} + 8) + \dot{\mu}^2(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m}^2 + 12\dot{m} + 16) = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Hence from (4.17) and (4.18), it follows that

$$\dot{k}^2\dot{m}(\dot{m} + 8) + \dot{\mu}^2(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m}^2 + 12\dot{m} + 16) = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Thus from (4.19) and (4.20), we get $\dot{k} = 0$. If $\dot{k} = 0$, then (4.16) or (4.18) implies that $\dot{\mu} = 0$.

The above discussion leads us to state the following:

Theorem 4.2. Let G^{2n+1} ($n > 1$) be a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the condition $R(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot B^{GE} = 0$. Then the manifold is a Sasakian manifold or locally isometric to $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$.

Let us consider a $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold G^{2n+1} satisfying the condition

$$B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot R_{cur} = 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Then from (4.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)R_{cur}(\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5 - R_{cur}(B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_4)\partial_5 \\ & - R_{cur}(\partial_3, B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_4)\partial_5 - R_{cur}(\partial_3, \partial_4)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Using (4.9) in (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{k}[\rho(B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_5)\partial_4 - \rho(\partial_3, \partial_4)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5 \\ & - \rho(B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_4, \partial_5)\partial_3 + \rho(\partial_3, \partial_5)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_4 \\ & - \rho(\partial_4, B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5)\partial_3 + \rho(\partial_3, B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5)\partial_4] \\ & + \dot{\mu}[\rho(B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_3, \partial_5)\tilde{h}\partial_4 - \rho(\partial_3, \partial_4)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_5 \\ & - \rho(B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_5)\partial_3 + \rho(\partial_3, \partial_5)B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\tilde{h}\partial_4 \\ & - \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5)\partial_3 + \rho(\partial_3, B^{GE}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5)\tilde{h}\partial_4] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Putting $\partial_3 = \zeta$ in (4.23) and taking the inner product with ∂_6 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [\eta(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5)g(\partial_2, \partial_6) - \eta(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5)\eta(\partial_2)\eta(\partial_6) \\ & - \rho(R_{cur}(\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_5, \partial_6) + \eta(\partial_2)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5, \partial_6) \\ & - \eta(\partial_4)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_2)\partial_5, \partial_6) - \eta(\partial_5)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_2, \partial_6) \\ & + \eta(\partial_5)\eta(\partial_2)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\zeta, \partial_6)] \\ & - \dot{\mu}[\eta(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\partial_5)\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_6) - \rho(R_{cur}(\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_4)\partial_5, \partial_6) \\ & - \eta(\partial_4)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \tilde{h}\partial_2)\partial_5, \partial_6) - \eta(\partial_5)\rho(R_{cur}(\zeta, \partial_4)\tilde{h}\partial_2, \partial_6)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Let $\{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_tG at any point $t \in G$. Putting $\partial_2 = \partial_6 = f_j$ in (4.24) and taking summation over $1 \leq j \leq \dot{m} + 1$, $\dot{m} = 2n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}{2(\dot{m} + 4)} [(\dot{m} + 1)\dot{k}\rho(\partial_4, \partial_5) - R_{cur}(\partial_4, \partial_5) - \dot{k}\eta(\partial_4)\eta(\partial_5) \\ & + (\dot{m} + 1)\mu\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_5)] + \dot{\mu}[-\dot{k}\rho(\tilde{h}\partial_5, \partial_4) \\ & - \dot{\mu}(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} - 1)\rho(\partial_4, \partial_5) + \dot{\mu}(\dot{k} - 1)(\dot{m} - 1)\eta(\partial_4)\eta(\partial_5)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Now we can say if $\dot{k} = 1$, then $\tilde{h} = 0$. Thus the manifold is a Sasakian manifold. If $\dot{k} \neq 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{cur}(\partial_4, \partial_5) &= \left[(\dot{m} + 1)\dot{k} + \frac{2\mu^2(\dot{m} - 1)(\dot{m} + 4)}{\dot{m} + 8} \right] \rho(\partial_4, \partial_5) \\ &+ \left[-\frac{2\mu^2(\dot{m} - 1)(\dot{m} + 4)}{\dot{m} + 8} - \dot{k} \right] \eta(\partial_4)\eta(\partial_5) \\ &+ \left[(\dot{m} + 1)\dot{\mu} - \frac{2\mu\dot{k}(\dot{m} + 4)}{(\dot{m} + 8)(1 - \dot{k})} \right] \rho(\tilde{h}\partial_4, \partial_5). \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Using (2.11) in (4.26), we get

$$R_{cur}(\partial_4, \partial_5) = \left[\frac{A_1 - A_3A_5}{1 - A_3A_4} \right] \rho(\partial_4, \partial_5) + \left[\frac{A_2 - A_3A_6}{A_3A_4 - 1} \right] \eta(\partial_4)\eta(\partial_5),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= (\dot{m} + 1)\dot{k} + \frac{2\mu^2(\dot{m} - 1)(\dot{m} + 4)}{\dot{m} + 8}, & A_2 &= \dot{k} + \frac{2\mu^2(\dot{m} - 1)(\dot{m} + 4)}{\dot{m} + 8}, \\ A_3 &= (\dot{m} + 1)\dot{\mu} - \frac{2\mu\dot{k}(\dot{m} + 4)}{(1 - \dot{k})(\dot{m} + 8)}, & A_4 &= \frac{1}{\dot{m} - 2 + \dot{\mu}}, \\ A_5 &= \frac{\dot{m} - 2 - \frac{\dot{m}}{2}\dot{\mu}}{\dot{m} - 2 + \dot{\mu}}, & A_6 &= \frac{2 - \dot{m} + \dot{m}\dot{k} + \frac{\dot{m}}{2}\dot{\mu}}{\dot{m} - 2 + \dot{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold. Hence we can say the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Let G^{2n+1} be an $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $B^{GE}(\partial_1, \partial_2) \cdot R = 0$. Then G^{2n+1} is a Sasakian manifold or is an η -Einstein manifold.*

References

- [1] Blair D. E., *On the geometric meaning of the Bochner Tensor*, *Geom. Dedicata* 4, 33-38 (1975).
- [2] Blair D.E., Koufogiorgos T., Papantoniou B. J., *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, *Israel Journal of Math.* 91, 189-214 (1995).
- [3] Blair D.E., *Two remarks on contact metric structures*, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 29, 319-324, (1977).
- [4] Bochner S., *Curvature and Betti numbers*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 50, 77-93 (1949).
- [5] Boothby W. M., and Wang H. C., *On contact manifolds*, *Ann. of Math.* 68, 721-734 (1958).
- [6] Endo H., *On K-contact Riemannian manifolds with vanishing E-contact Bochner curvature tensor*, *Colloq. Math.*, Vol.LXII, no.2, 293-297 (1991).
- [7] Hasegawa I. and Nakane T., *On Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor II*, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 11, 44-51 (1982).
- [8] Ikawa T. and Kon M., *Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor and constant scalar curvature*, *Colloq. Math.* 37, 113-122 (1977).
- [9] Matsumoto M. and Chūman G., *On the C-Bochner curvature tensor*, *TRU Math.*, 5, 21-30 (1969).
- [10] Papantoniou B. J., *Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ and $\xi \in (k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution*, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 40, 149-161 (1993).
- [11] Shaikh A. A. and Baishya, K. K., *On $(\dot{k}, \dot{\mu})$ -contact metric manifolds*, *Diff. Geom.-Dynam. System*, 8, 253-261 (2006).
- [12] Yano K., *Differential geometry of anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, 12, 279-296 (1975).
- [13] Yano K., *Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor*, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 12, 153-170 (1977).

Affiliations

AHMET YILDIZ

ADDRESS: Inonu University, Department of Mathematics, 44000, Malatya, Türkiye

E-MAIL: a.yildiz@inonu.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0002-9799-1781