Research Article/ Araştırma Makalesi

Reinterpretation of Hunnic Title Ku-t'u 孤涂

Fatih Şengül*

(ORCID: 0000-0003-0111-3579)

Makale Gönderim Tarihi 14.05.2024 Makale Kabul Tarihi 26.09.2024

Atıf Bilgisi/Reference Information

Chicago: Şengül, F., "Reinterpretation of Hunnic Title Ku-t'u 孤涂", Vakanüvis-Uluslararası Tarih Araştırmaları Dergisi, 9/2 (Eylül 2024): 1500-1509.

APA: Şengül, F. (2024). Reinterpretation of Hunnic Title Ku-t'u 孤涂. Vakanüvis-Uluslararası Tarih Araştırmaları Dergisi, 9 (2), 1500-1509.

Abstract

We don't have much information about the language of Asian Huns who played one of the most important roles in both Turkic and world history and occupy a remerkable place in Asian history. On the other hand, we have been familiar with all existing data pertaining to their language thanks to Chinese annals. Chinese annals mention some words which belong to their language. One part of those words constitutes the captions belonging to Hunnic ruling class. One of those captions is Ku-t'u' meaning "son" which is given by the Huns for their rulers. The mentioned caption has been tried to be explained with different meanings. Some researchers evaluate the true meaning of this caption as "son" and link this with the words which match up with it semantically and phonetically while others aim at clarifying it with the namings which are evocative of the meaning of majesty. In this paper it will be shown that the mentioned caption is related to a belief system belonging to Turks and

Assist. Prof. Dr., Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of History, Turkey.



^{*} Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Tarih Bölümü, Türkiye, sengulf@gmail.com.

Mongols. The main topic of this paper revolves around explanation of the mentioned caption on the base of a belief in Turks and Mongols.

Keywords: Hunnic, Turkic, Mongolian, Ku-t'u.

Hun Ünvanı Ku-t'u'nun Yeniden Yorumlanması

Öz

Gerek Türk gerekse dünya tarihinde en önemli rollerden birini oynayan ve Asya tarihinde önemli bir yer tutan Asya Hunlarının diline dair çok fazla malumata sahip değiliz. Öte yandan, onların dili hususunda elimizde mevcut olan tüm verilere Çin yıllıkları sayesinde aşinayız. Çin yıllıklarının onların diline ait kimi sözcükleri kayıt altına aldıklarını bilmekteyiz. Bu sözcüklerin bir kısmını ise Hun yönetici sınıfına ait ünvanlar teşkil etmektedir. Bu ünvanlardan biri Hunların kendi hükümdarları için verdikleri ve oğul anlamına gelen Ku-t'u'dur. Bahsolunan unvan bugüne değin farklı anlamlarla izah edilmeye çalışılmıştır. Kimi araştırmacılar bu ünvanın asıl anlamı olarak Çin yıllıklarının kaydettiği "oğul" sözcüğü olarak değerlendirip Altay dillerinde ona uygun düşen kelimeler ile eşleştirme yolunu seçerlerken kimi dilbilimciler ise Türkçe'de hükümdar anlamını çağrıştıran adlandırmalar ile ona bir izahat getirme çabası içerisinde olmuşlardır. Bu çalışmanın ana konusu zikri geçen ünvanın Türk ve Moğollardaki bir inanç temelinde izahı üzerindedir. Bu makalede zikri geçen sözcüğün esasen Türk ve Moğol dillerine ait bir inanç sistemi ile bağlantılı olduğu gösterilecektir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Hunca, Türkçe, Moğolca, Ku-t'u.

Introduction

撑梨孤涂单于Ch'engli Ku-t'u Ch'anyu: This is the exact form of the nomenclature used for rulers in the language of the Asian Huns. While the Chanyu element at the end of this designation is a title given to the Hunnic rulers, the first two words are adjectives complementing this title and have the meaning of "son of heaven".¹

It is accepted by the scientific world that the word Ch'engli, which constitutes the first part of this denomination, is the Chinese spelling of the word *Tengri*, the name given to God by the Turks. However, Doerfer,

¹ Ssu-ma Ch'ien., *The Grand Scribe's Records, The Volume IX, The Memoirs of Han China, Part II.*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington and Indianapolis, 2011, s.256.



as usual, objected to the view that this word is of Turkic origin.² We will not dwell on Doerfer's claims since Tekin has made reasonable criticisms and explanations to German scholar's objections.³

On the other hand, Hunnic word is related to the verb *tenğ* "rise into the air, disappear from sight" recorded by Kashgarlı Mahmut in his dictionary, and the word *tenğek* "air. Is derived from this root. The word *tengeri* in Altaic dialect carries the meaning of "sky". Hunnic *tengri* is obviously related to also the word Tengeri. Tekin connects the root of the word Tengri to the verb *tänjir*, older form of the verb *tänjir* to turn, to encircle" in Turkic.

Chinese 天 (tiān) meaning "sky" is really a Turkic borrowing but the most striking similarity with Turkic Tengri is Lithuanian dangùs "sky, heaven". Its etymology and root are discussed and is evaluated with another word deñgti "cover, thatch, clothe, shelter, conceal". Again Lithuanian dangà "cover" is related to dangùs and deñgti. Fraenkel presents a list of words related to deñgti and shows dingti "disappear" as one of those words and adds dañgé "river" to this list. Lithuanian words are obviously related to Turkic ones.

The root of Turkic word *dengiz/tengiz* "sea" is the word *teng* meaning "marsh". ¹⁰ Tana(is) was a denomination given to Don river in antiquity. Ottomans called Tanais *Ten* or *Tân*. Chuvash word for Tanais is still *tan*.

¹⁰ Hasan Eren, *Türk Dilinin Etimolojisi*, Bizim Büro Basımevi, Ankara, 1999, s.108; Stachowski, M., *Kurzgefaßtes Etymologisches Wörterbuch der Türkischen Sprache*, Księgarnia Akademicka, Kraków, 2019, s.123.



² Gerhard Doerfer, "Zur Sprache der Hunnen", *Central Asiatic Journal, XVII*(1), 1973, s.5; Doerfer, G., "O Yazyke Gunnov", *Zarubezhnaya Tyurkologiya, Vyp.* 1, 1986, s.74.

³ Talat Tekin, *Hunların Dili*. Ankara: Doruk yayınları, 1993, s.10-12.

⁴ Kaşgarlı Mahmut, *Divanü Lügati't-Türk*. Türk Dili Kurumu Yayınları, Ankara, C.III, 1999, s.390.

⁵ Kaşgarlı Mahmut, a.g.e, s.396.

⁶ Emine Gürsoy Naskali & Muvaffak Duranlı, *Altayca-Türkçe Sözlük*, Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları, Ankara, 1999, s.174.

⁷ Tekin, a.g.e., s.11.

⁸ Rick Derksen, *Etymological Dictionary of the Baltic Inherited Lexicon, Brill, Leiden-Boston, 2015, s.115.*

⁹ Ernst Fraenkel, *Litauisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1962, s.88.

while Kalmuks call the same river Teq. According to Simon Kézai's Gesta Hungarorum, the Hungarians migrated westward after they had lived in *Maeotis Marshes*. It was claimed what was meant with Maeotis Marches in Kézai's work was Tanais river. Greek sources in antiquity relate that Tanais is also name of today's Syrdarya river in Central Asia. It has been shown what is meant with the phrase *Sakā tayai paradraya* ("the Scythians beyond the sea"), which occurs in the inscription of Darius, is the Scythians dwelling in the eastern part of Syrdarya not the Scythians living in what is today Ukraine and what is meant with sea in the same inscription is Syrdarya, that is to say, Tana(is). Tanais is really a Scythian word and Iranians took this word from the Scythians with the meaning of sea.

Georg tried to connect Turkic Tengri to a Yenisei origin. ¹³ We never take Yenisei theory seriously. Lithuanian words listed above (especially dañgé) seem to be of Scythian origin and allow us to suggest the fact that Turkic tengri "god" and tengiz "sea" are related to each other and Turkic/Hunnic tengri seems to be of Scythian origin.

The word *Ku-t'u*, which represents the second element of this title, means son, according to the information given by the Chinese source. Shiratori correlates *ku-t'u'* with the Tunguz word *guto*, meaning "son".¹⁴

Pulleyblank reconstructs this title as Ku-t'u< Kou-dou< Kwaĥ- δ aĥ. ¹⁵ It is worth noting that the same word overlaps with *kotoy*, one of the names used for boys in Bashkir. ¹⁶

¹⁶ Murat Özşahin, Başkurt Türkçesi Sözlüğü, Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları, Ankara, 2017, s.377.



¹¹ Fatih Şengül, *Sabir, Sekel, Avar ve Bulgar Etnik Meselelerinin Çözümü*, Hikmetevi Yayınları, İstanbul, 2013, s.67-68.

¹² Fatih Şengül, *Sakaların ve Sarmatların Kökeni*, Eğitim Yayınevi, Konya, 2023, s.86-93.

¹³ Stefan Georg, "Türkisch/Mongolisch Tengri "Himmel, Gott" und Seine Herkunft", *Studia Etymologica Cracoviensia*, *6*, 2021, ss.83-96.

¹⁴ Shiratori Kurakichi, Sur l'Origine des Hiong-nu. *Journal Asiatique, CCII*(1), 1923, s.71-81

¹⁵ Edwin George Pulleyblank, "The Consonantal System of Old Chinese:Part II", *Asia Major*(16), 1962, s.244; Edwin George Pulleyblank, (1986). "Yazyk Syunnu", *Zarubezhnaya Tyurkologiya*, 1, 1986, s.35.

Pulleyblank, who defended the Yenisei thesis against Turkic thesis, the prevailing view on the origin and language of the Huns, by suggesting that the letter -d in the middle of the word kou-dou< kwaĥ- δ aĥ was actually used for the foreign letter -l in the Old Han period, reconstructed the Hunnic kou-dou < kwaĥ- δ aĥ as *kwala and linked it with the Ket word qalek, which means "grandson, son". The word qalek is related to Turkic kalak "male and man" kalık/kaluk "spinster" (Özder, 1981:67) and kallax "man, who prefers to spend time with women" and etc.

A linguistic evidence reveals how inconsistent such a forced explanation made by Pulleyblank to link the Huns to a Yenisei origin is and also relates that such a vocal transition cannot occur. Chinese sources mention another title Guduhou [Kǔtūhóu], which is phonetically very close to the above-mentioned *kou-dou*. Ku-t'u and Guduhou [Kǔtūhóu] are dated to the Old Han Period and the 3rd century BC.

A title used by the Asian Huns is referred to as 骨都侯 Guduhou[Kǔtūhóu]in Chinese sources.²¹ This title, which represents a high rank in the Huns, could not been explained by anyone from the linguistic point of view. It was the Hungarian Sinologue Katalin Csornai who revealed the true meaning of this title in language. Csornai, noting that the ruling layer of the Huns State has made internal marriages with Guduhou, and that whenever an internal marriage occurred, the bride was from Huns ruling class and the groom was from the Guduhou, tried to relate the word Guduhou with the word

²¹ Anna Dybo, *Lingvisticheskiye Kontakty Rannikh Tyurkov. Leksicheskiy fond., Pratyurkskiy Period,* Vostochnaya Literatura, Moskva, 2007, s.109; Axel Schuessler, "Phonological Notes on Hàn Period Transcriptions of Foreign Names and Words", *Studies in Chinese and Sino-Tibetan Linguistics: Dialect, Phonology, Transcription and Text. Language and Linguistics Monograph Series 53, Institute of Linguistics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 2014, s.273.*



¹⁷ Pulleyblank, a.g.e., s.245.

¹⁸ Mustafa Adil Özder, *Türk Halkbiliminde Düğün-Evlilik-Akrabalık Terimleri Sözlüğü*, Ziya Gökalp Derneği Yayınları, Ankara, 1981, s.66.

¹⁹ Özder, a.g.e, s.67.

²⁰ Ahmet Dinc, Türkçenin Kayıp Kelimeleri, Litera Yayıncılık, C.II, 2023, s.276

"kuda", which means kindredship in Mongolian, moving from the point that it means "groom".²²

Csornai achieved an excellent result but could not see the Turkic word " $k\ddot{u}tek\ddot{u}$ " which means "groom" and was first found in Uyuk Turan inscription. The word which means groom in the Huns' language was clearly Turkic $k\ddot{u}tek\ddot{u}$. ²³

If Pulleyblank's claim of /d/ >/l/ transition were really true Hunnic word should have survived in Turkic dialects today as Gülekü/Külekü. However, the word has never taken this form. Therefore, Pulleyblank's argument has no validity and the claim of /d/ >/l/ transition has no scientific basis. We regret to specify that the Yenisei argument, which seeks to link the origin of the Huns to the Ket community, is the most incoherent and baseless argument. It is astonishing that such an argument, which has no foundation whatsoever, can still gather adherents in the scientific field today, even if only a few.

Let us now turn to the explanation of Ku-t'u, which is the main topic of this article. Our explanation here is inspired by Pulleyblank's reconstruction of the same word in the form kwaĥ- δ aĥ. And we think that the form kwaĥ- δ aĥ is the closest to the original form of Hunnic word.

Contrary to Shiratori's interpretation of the Hunnic word as directly meaning "son", Tekin suggests that ku-t'u' may have been translated into Chinese as "son" under the influence of title T'ien-tzŭ meaning "son of Heaven, son of God" which Chinese used for their emperors. Müller correlated ku-t'u' with the Uighur word kut 'majesty' and translated $t\ddot{a}$ ngri kut as idikut [<"Iduk kut" 'holy majesty']. We agree with Tekin

²⁵ Friedrich Wilhelm Karl Müller, "Uigurica (I). 1. Die Anbetung der Magier, ein christliches Bruchstück. 2. Die Reste des buddhistischen Goldglanz-Sūtra. Ein vorläufiger Bericht," AKPAW(Abhandlungen der Koniglich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften), Philosophisch-historische Klasse 2, Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1908, s.56; Friedrich Wilhelm Karl Müller, "Uigurische Glossen", Ostasiatische Zeitschrift, 8, 1919-1920, s.316.



²² Katalin Csornai, "Where Huns' Blood Drew". *Journal of Eurasian Studies*, 1(3), 2009, s.37.

²³ Şengül, a.g.e. s.303.

²⁴ Tekin, a.g.e., s.13.

and Müller and the views of both authors reflect the truth but are incomplete in meaning.

The following is a counterpart to this Hunnic name, which in fact contains both the son and holiness meanings of the Hunnic word.

In Turkic, the word Kut means "sacred, spirit, happiness, etc." Sanscrit *huta*, Gottic *guths*, German *got*, English *god* etc. which mean "god" is related to Turkic word. ²⁷

Turkic kut is in the form of *kutug* in Mongolian. However, the word also has a belief connection with the son, which has been overlooked until today.

The word was taken by the Mongols in the same sense and in the form hutog and it has been used by the Mongolians in the form of hutogto "the holy, holy person who leads to salvation and the title of the newborn in northern Buddhism". The same meaning is kept alive among the Yakut Turks in the form of "kut-ogo" "baby spirit". Hunnic Kou-dou< kwaĥ- δ aĥ is also identical with the word kutuka, which is also present in the forms of kotuku, kutuku, kutuka, kutuka and etc.and means "sweet child, lambkin, baby, brave man" in the language of the Yakuts .

The academics who prepared the Etymological Dictionary of Altaic Languages reconstruct proto -Mongolian form of kutug as *kutug* "good fortune and majesty.³⁰ Such a reconstruction corresponds to the forms we propose for Hunnic naming above.

³⁰ Sergey Anatolyevich Starostin, Anna Vladimirovna Dybo, & Oleg Mudrak, *An Etymological Dictionary of Altaic Languages (Handbook of Oriental Studies. Section 8 Uralic & Central Asia Studies (Book 8), Brill,* V.II, 2003, s.749.



²⁶ Abdulkadir Donuk, *Eski Türk Devletlerinde İdari-Askeri Ünvan ve Terimler, Türk Dünyası Araştırmaları Vakfı*, Ankara, 1988, s.78-79.

²⁷ İsmail Hakkı Danişment, *Türklerle Hind-Avrupalıların Menşe Birliği*, Devlet Basımevi, İstanbul, C.II, 1936, s.229-230.

²⁸ Gavriil Vasilyevich Ksenefontov, Yakut Şamanlığı, Kömen Yayınları, Konya, 2011, s.118.

²⁹ Eduard Karloviç Pekarskiy, Yakut Dili Sözlüğü, Ebuzziya Matbaası, İstanbul, C.I, 1945, s.572

Conclusion

Identification of Hunnic rulers with the meaning of "son" is essentially related to this belief mentioned by Ksenefentov above. On the basis of this belief, the Chinese combined Turkic and Mongolian word, that is used in connection with the child, with the word "son" they use for their own rulers. The belief "Son of Heaven" in Chinese community seems to have been passed down from the Huns to the Chinese.

It should be noted that Pulleyblank's reconstruction *Kou-dou*< kwaĥ- δ aĥ is identical with the forms in Mongolian and Yakut dialect.

The words *gödäk* "child" in Uzbek³¹, *hat* "small child" in Yellow Uyghur³², *goduk* "child" in Derleme Dictionary³³, *bodak* "small children riding on oxen while plowing"³⁴, *kodak* "child"³⁵ and etc. are the remnants of Hunnic word today. Other remnants of Hunnic title are animal names used in Turkic dialects even today. If necessary to give several examples, *godek* "buffalo calf"³⁶, *godak* "piglet, kid(goat)"³⁷, *kodak* "donkey-foal".³⁸

Kaynakça

Binler, Mehmet Ziya. *Türk Dünyası Aile ve Akrabalık Terimleri Sözlüğü*. Selenge, 2007.

Caferoğlu, Ahmet. *Doğu İllerimiz Ağızlarından Toplamalar*. Kars, Erzurum: Çoruh İlbaylıkları Ağızları), Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları, 1995.

³⁸ Derleme Sözlüğü, a.g.e., Türk Dil Kurumu, Ankara, C.IV, 2019, s.2093.



³¹ Derleme Sözlüğü, *Türkiye'de Halk Ağzından Derleme Sözlüğü,* Türk Dil Kurumu, Ankara, C.III,2019, s.2093.

³² Mehmet Ziya Binler, Türk Dünyası Aile ve Akrabalık Terimleri Sözlüğü, Selenge, 2007, s.150.

³³ Ahmet Caferoğlu, *Doğu İllerimiz Ağızlarından Toplamalar (Kars, Erzurum, Çoruh İlbaylıkları Ağızları),* Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları, Ankara, 1995, s.260.

³⁴ Derleme Sözlüğü, a.g.e., s.2897.

³⁵ Gyula Nemeth, *Kumuk ve Balkar Lehçeleri Sözlüğü,* Kültür Bakanlığı Yayınları, Ankara, 1990, s.22.

³⁶ Ahmet Dinç, *Türkçenin Kayıp Kelimeleri*, Litera Yayıncılık, Ankara, C.II, 2023, s.217.

³⁷ Derleme Sözlüğü, a.g.e., s.2897.

Ch'ien, Ssu-ma. *The Grand Scribe's Records, The Volume IX, The Memoirs of Han China, Part II*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2011.

Csornai, Katalin. "Where Huns' Blood Drew"". *Journal of Eurasian Studies* 1/3 (2009), 29-43.

Danişment, İsmail Hakkı. *Türklerle Hind-Avrupalıların Menşe Birliği*. İstanbul: Devlet Basımevi, 1936.

Derksen, Rick. *Etymological Dictionary of the Baltic Inherited Lexicon*. Brill, Leiden-Boston, 2025.

Dinç, Ahmet. *Türkçenin Kayıp Kelimeleri*. Ankara, C.II: Litera Yayıncılık, 2023. Doerfer, Gerhard. "O Yazyke Gunnov"". *Zarubezhnaya Tyurkologiya", Vyp* 1 (1986). 71-134.

Doerfer, Gerhard. "Zur Sprache der Hunnen"". *Central Asiatic Journal*" XVII/1 (1973), 1-50.

Donuk, Abdulkadir. *Eski Türk Devletlerinde İdari-Askeri Ünvan ve Terimler*. Ankara: Türk Dünyası Araştırmaları Vakfı, 1988.

Derleme Sözlüğü, Türkiye'de Halk Ağzından Derleme Sözlüğü. *Türk Dil Kurumu*. Ankara, C.III,IV, 2019.

Dybo, Anna. *Lingvisticheskiye Kontakty Rannikh Tyurkov*. Moskva: Leksicheskiy fond., Pratyurkskiy Period, Vostochnaya literatura, 2007.

Eren, Hasan. Türk Dilinin Etimolojisi. Ankara: Bizim Büro Basımevi, 1999.

Fraenkel, Ernst. *Litauisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Göttingen, V.I: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1962.

Georg, Stefan. "Türkisch/Mongolisch Tengri 'Himmel, Gott' und Seine Herkunft"". Studia Etymologica Cracoviensia 6 (2001), 83-100.

Kaşgarlı Mahmut. *Divanü Lügati't-Türk , Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları*. Ankara, 1999.

Ksenefontov, Gavriil Vasilyevich. *Yakut Şamanlığı*. Konya: Kömen Yayınları, 2011.

Müller, Friedrich Wilhelm Karl. "Uigurica (I). 1. Die Anbetung der Magier, ein christliches Bruchstück. 2. Die Reste des buddhistischen Goldglanz-Sütra. Ein vorläufiger Bericht," AKPAW(Abhandlungen der Koniglich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften". Berlin: Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1908.

Müller, Friedrich Wilhelm Karl. "Uigurische Glossen", Ostasiatische Zeitschrift, (Festschrift für F". *Hirth* 8 (ts.), 1019-1920, 310-324.

Naskali, Emine Gürsoy vd. Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları. Ankara, 1999.

Nemeth, Gyula. *Kumuk ve Balkar Lehçeleri Sözlüğü*. Ankara: Kültür Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1990.

Özder, Mustafa Âdil. Türk Halkbiliminde Düğün-Evlilik-Akrabalık Terimleri Sözlüğü. Ankara: Ziya Gökalp Derneği Yayınları, 1981.



Özşahin, Murat. Başkurt Türkçesi Sözlüğü, Türk Dil Kurumu Yayınları. Ankara, 2017.

Pekarskiy, Eduard Karloviç. *Yakut Dili Sözlüğü*, Ebuzziya Matbaası, İstanbul, C.I, 1945

Pulleyblank, Edwin George. "Yazyk Syunnu"". Zarubezhnaya Tyurkologiya 1 (1986), 29-70.

Pulleyblank, E.Edwin George. "The Consonantal System of Old Chinese:Part II". Asia Major(16, 206-265.

Schuessler, Axel. "Phonological Notes on Han Period Transcriptions of Foreign Names and Words", Studies in Chinese and Sino-Tibetan Linguistics: Dialect, Phonology, Transcription and Text". Language and Linguistics Monograph Series 53 (2014), 249-292.

Shiratori, Kurakichi. "Sur l'Origine des Hiong-nu"". *Journal Asiatique* CCII/1 (1923), 71-81.

Stachowski, Marek. Kurzgefaßtes Etymologisches Wörterbuch der Türkischen Sprache. Kraków: Księgarnia Akademicka, 2019.

Starostin, Sergey Anatolyevich vd. *An Etymological Dictionary of Altaic Languages (Handbook of Oriental Studies*. Brill, V.II, 2003.

Şengül, Fatih. Sabir Sekel Avar ve Bulgar Etnik Meselelerinin Çözümü, 2013. Şengül, Fatih. Sakaların ve Sarmatların Kökeni, Eğitim Kitabevi. Konya, 2023.

Tekin, Talat. Hunların Dili, Doruk yayınları. Ankara: Doruk Yayınları, 1993.

