

A Note on Piecewise Endomorphisms

Necat Görentaş^{1*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Van Yuzuncu Yil University, 65080, Van, Turkey

* ngortas@yahoo.com

Abstract: Let $C = \{G_\alpha\}$ be a cover of G by maximal cyclic R -submodules of G and $N = \{f \in M_R(G) \mid f|_{G_\alpha} \text{ can be extended to an endomorphism of } G\}$ a subnear-ring of $M_R(G)$. We call N the near-ring of piecewise endomorphisms determined by (R, G, C) . From [1] ask when is $N = M_R(G)$? from [2], it follows that if D is a PID and G is free D -module of finite rank n , then $M_D(D^n) = N$. In general this is not the case In addition in [1] it is an open problem whether the orbitally modules on PID are true or not. In this work, we investigate two cases such that is not N is in $M_R(G)$.

Keywords: Near-rings, Piecewise endomorphisms.

Kısmi Endomorfizmalar Üzerine Bir Not

Özet: $C = \{G_\alpha\}$, G nin maksimal devirli R -alt modellerinden oluşan bir örtüsü ve $N = \{f \in M_R(G) \mid f|_{G_\alpha}, G$ nin bir endomorfizmasına genişletilebilir} olsun. N , $M_R(G)$ nin bir yakın alt halkası olup, (R, G, C) üçlüsü tarafından belirlenen kısmi endomorfizmalar yakın halkalarıdır. [1] de N nin hangi durumlarda $M_R(G)$ ye eşit olduğu sorulmaktadır. [2] de D nin bir temel ideal bölgesi ve G nin sonlu n -ranklı bir serbest D mevcut olması durumunda $M_D(D^n) = N$ eşitliği gösterilmiş ve [1] de temel ideal bölgesi üzerinde keyfi modüller için sonucun doğru olup olmadığı bir açık problemdir. Bu çalışmada, N nin $M_R(G)$ ye eşit olup - olmadığını araştıracağız.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Yakın halkalar, Kısmi endomorfizmalar.

Introduction

If R is a ring with identity and G is a unitary ringht R -module, the set

$$M_R(G) = \{f : G \rightarrow G \mid f(ar) = (fa)r, a \in G, r \in R\}$$

is a near-ring under the operations of function addition and function composition, called the centralizer near-ring determined by (R, G) . with respect to various pairs (R, G) , the structure of these near-rings has been investigated in (Maxon and Smith, 1980; Maxon, 1990; Maxon et al., 1991a, 1991b, 1992).

We recall that a cover for an R -module G is a collection $C = \{G_\alpha\}$ of submodules of such that

- $0 \subset G_\alpha \subset G$,
- $G_\alpha \not\subset G_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$,
- $UG_\alpha = G$.

Now let $R = Z$, the ring of integers, $G = Z^n$ the free Z -module of rank n and let $C = \{G_\alpha\}$ be a cover by maximal cyclic submodules. Further let $f \in M_Z(Z^n)$ be determined on

$$G_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \text{Z by } f \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since G_α is a maximal submodule, we have $\text{ged}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1$ and so $\exists a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in Z$ with $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 1$. But f can be represented on G_α by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} c_1a_1 & c_1a_2 & \dots & c_1a_n \\ c_2a_1 & c_2a_2 & \dots & c_2a_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ c_na_1 & c_na_2 & \dots & c_na_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the function $\hat{f} : Z^n \rightarrow Z^n$ is determined by linear maps $\hat{f}(A) = AX$ such that $\hat{f}|_{G_\alpha} = f$. That is, $\hat{f}|_{G_\alpha}$ can be extended to an endomorphism of G . By this reason, every $f \in M_Z(Z^n)$ homogeneous map of Z^n is piecewise an endomorphism of Z^n as the following meaning: For each $G_\alpha \in C$, there exists $\delta \in \text{End}_Z(Z^n)$ such that $f|_{G_\alpha} = \delta$. That is, $f|_{G_\alpha}$ can be extended to an endomorphism of G . By this reason, every $f \in M_Z(Z^n)$ homogeneous function of Z^n is a piecewise endomorphism of Z^n as the following meaning: For each $G_\alpha \in C$, there exists $\delta \in \text{End}_Z(Z^n)$ such that $f|_{G_\alpha} = \delta$.

Proposition 1. Let $R = Z[x], G = (Z[x])^3$ and C be α

covering of G by maximal cyclic submodules. Then $N \subset M_Z(G)$.

Proof. One can verify that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} Z[x] \in C.$$

Further, there exists $f \in M_R(G)$ with

$$f \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} k; & \text{if } \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} k, k \in Z[x], \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}; & \text{if } \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} Z[x]. \end{cases}$$

However, we cannot extend the function f to a $\delta \in \text{End}_R(G)$ with

$$\delta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because, there are not non-constant polynomials that form a matrix $A = [A_{ij}(x)] \in M_3(Z[X])$ such that $x \in (Z[x])^3$ for

$$\delta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In that case it follows that $N \subset M_R(G)$, where the domain $Z[x]$ is not a PID.

Proposition 2. Let $R = Z$ and $G = (Z[x])^3$ in this case Z is a module over a PID of infinite rank.

Proof. When we consider the maximal cyclic submodules and f homogeneous function defined related to that submodule in Proposition 1, it can be shown that there exists $\delta \in \text{End}_Z(G)$ which has the same effect on the submodule and can be determined by the matrix,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\delta \in \text{End}_Z(G)$ is determined by $\delta(x) = AX$, $\delta \in \text{End}_Z(G)$ and δ satisfies

$$\delta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x+2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\delta|_{G_\alpha} = f.$$

Proposition 3. Let $R = Z, G = Z^m$ (free Z -module of infinite rank). Let $C = \{G_\alpha\}$ be a cover of G by maximal cyclic submodules and $f \in M_Z(Z^\infty)$. The expansion of f to Z^∞ is not only unique, It is possible in infinite number.

Proof.

$G_\alpha = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ Z maksimal

$\Rightarrow \exists i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in N^+$ such that $\gcd(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = 1$; so

$a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n} \in Z$ such

that $a_{i_1}x_{i_1} + a_{i_2}x_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_n}x_{i_n} = 1$ if

$$f[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots] = f(x) = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \dots]$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$;

since

$$c_j = a_{i_1}x_{i_1}c_j + a_{i_2}x_{i_2}c_j + \dots + a_{i_n}x_{i_n}c_j$$

$$F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_1}c_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_2}c_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_n}c_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_1}c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_2}c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_n}c_2 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_1}c_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_2}c_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{i_n}c_n & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \end{bmatrix} = Ax.$$

If we define $\beta : Z^\infty \rightarrow Z^\infty$ as $\beta(x) = AX$ then it is obvious that $\beta \in \text{End}_Z(Z^\infty)$

and $\beta|_{G_\alpha} = f$. Therefore the expansion of F to Z^∞ can be done indefinitely rather than solely. Because since the components of the vector X which are prime among them can be selected infinitely.

Finally, let us give a proposition which shown that $N = M_R(G)$ may be countless infinite rank case.

Proposition 4. Let $R = R$ be real field and $G = R^R$. Since R is a semi-simple ring, then G is a semi-simple R -module such that its rank countless in that case we have $N = M_R(G)$.

Proof. Each $0 \neq f \in G$ element generates a maximal cycle R -submodule, which forms a C cover of the maximal cycle submodule G . Since $G_\alpha = R \sin x \in C$ Then $F : G \rightarrow G$;

$$F(f(x)) = \begin{cases} r \cos ; & \text{if } f(x) = r \sin x \in G_\alpha \\ 0 & ; \text{if } f \notin G_\alpha \end{cases}$$

where $F \in M_R(G)$ but

$$F \notin \text{End}_R(G). F|_{G_\alpha} : G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$$

restricted function is an endomorphism of G_α ;

$$\begin{aligned} |G_\alpha(r_1 \sin x + r_2 \sin x)| &= |G_\alpha [(r_1 + r_2) \sin x]| \\ &= (r_1 + r_2) \cos x \\ &= r_1 \cos x + r_2 \cos x \\ &= F|_{G_\alpha}(r_1 \sin x) + F|_{G_\alpha}(r_2 \sin x) \end{aligned}$$

Since G is semi-simple then G has a G' submodule such that $G = R \sin x + G'$

Let us define $\hat{F} : G \rightarrow G$ as $F[r \sin x + g(x)] = F(r \sin x) = r \cos x, (a \in G')$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{F}[r_1 \sin x + g_1(x) + r_2 \sin x + g_2(x)] \\ &= \hat{F}[(r_1 + r_2) \sin x + (g_1 + g_2)(x)] \\ &= F[(r_1 + r_2) \sin x] \\ &= (r_1 + r_2)(x) \\ &= r_1 \cos x + r_2 \cos x \\ &= F(r_1 \sin x) + F(r_2 \sin x) \\ &= \hat{F}[r_1 \sin x + g_1(x)] + \hat{F}[r_2 \sin x + g_2(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then \hat{F} is an endomorphism and $\hat{F}|_{G_a} = F$. Let \bar{G} be the submodule of infinitely derivative functions of G where $G = R \sin x$. Let \bar{G} be a semi-simple and write $\bar{G} = R \sin x + G_1$ as a direct complement of G_1 . Let G be a

semi-simple and write $\bar{G} = R \sin x + G_1$, where G_1 is a direct complement of $R \sin x$. When we think of \hat{F} above G , Since

$\hat{F}[r \sin x + g(x)] = r \cos x, (g(x) \in G_1)$, then \hat{F} extends F to an endomorphism of G .

$$D : G \rightarrow G : D(f(x)) = f'(x) = \frac{df(x)}{x}.$$

The derivative mapping also extends F to G . Since

$D[r \sin x + g(x)] = r \cos x + g'(x)$ then $D = F$. This indicates that the expansion may be more than one.

References

- Maxson, C. J., Smith, K. C., 1980. Centralizer near-rings that are endomorphism rings. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 80: 189-195.
- Maxson, C. J., 1990. Piecewise endomorphisms of PID modules. Result in Mathematics. 18: 125-132.
- Maxson, C. J., Vander Walt, A. P. J., 1991a. Piecewise endomorphism of ring modules. Questions Mathematicae. 14: 419-431.
- Maxson, C. J. Vander Walt, A. P. J., 1991b. Centralizer near-rings over free ring modules. Journal Austr. Math. Soc. 50: 279-296.
- Maxson, C. J., Vander Walt, A. P. J., 1992. Homogenous maps as piecewise endomorphisms communications in algebra. 20;9: 2755-2776