Orijinal Makale

5 cm ve Daha Büyük Beyin Metastazlarında Cyberknife® Fraksiyone Stereotaktik Radyoterapi

Cyber Knife® Fractioned Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases of 5 cm or Greater Dimensions

Özlem Derinalp Or¹, Gülhan Güler Avcı², Yıldız Güney³, Bülent Küçükplakcı³, Muzaffer Bedri Altundag⁴, Işıl Uğur⁴

¹Adana Numune

Education and Research Hospital, Radiation Oncology Department, Adana ²Gaziosmanpaşa University, Radiation Oncology Department, Tokat ³Private Memorial Hospital, Radiation Oncology Department, Ankara ⁴Ankara Onkoloji Hospital, Radiation Oncology Department, Ankara **Corresponding author:** Gulhan Guler Avci, MD. Gaziosmanpasa University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology. 60100, Tokat Phone: 03562129500 Fax: 03562120046

E-mail: drgulhanguler@hotmail.

com

Özet

Amaç: Cyberknife® stereotaktik radyocerrahi (SRC) veya fraksiyone stereotaktik radyoterapi (FSRT), beyin metastazlarında önemli bir tedavi modalitesidir. Stereotaktik radyoterapi soliter beyin metastazlarında uygulandığı gibi büyük ve multple beyin metastazları için de yararlıdır. Bu yazıda 5 cm üstünde beyin metastazlarında fraksiyone stereotaktik radyoterapi uyguladığımız 10 hastanın sonuçları sunulmaktadır.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Ankara Onkoloji hastanesinde Mayıs 2009-Nisan 2012 tarihleri arasında, beyin metastazı 5 cm üstünde olan 10 hastaya tüm beyin radyoterapisi ve Cyberkife (CK) uygulandı. Altı hastaya FSRT, 4 hastaya da SRC, ya palyatif tüm beyin radyoterapisine ek olarak ya da tüm beyin radyoterapisi sonrası yineleme için uygulandı. Hastaların medyan yaşı 58 (aralık, 26-67 yıl), medyan tümör çapı 53 mm (aralık, 50-69 mm), 1-3 fraksiyonda medyan toplam doz 1500 cGy (aralık, 1320-1800 cGy), medyan izodoz %91 (aralık %76-96) idi.

Bulgular: Cyberknife tedavisi sonrası ortalama takip zamanı 6.5 ay idi. Bir yıllık sağkalım oranı % 66.7, ortalama sağkalım 11.1 ay (aralık, 7.3- 14.9 ay) idi. Grad 3-4 herhangibir akut toksisite gözlenmedi.

Sonuç: Sonuçlarımız gösterdi ki FSRT 5 cm üstünde seçilmiş beyin metastazlarında güvenli olarak uygulanabilen ve etkili bir lokal tedavi modalitesidir. Büyük beyin metastazlı hastalarda Ck tedavisinin rolünü daha iyi tanımlamak için, uzun süre takipli daha çok çalışmaya ihtiyaç vardır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Fraksiyone Stereotaktik Radyoterapi, Cyberknife, Beyin metastazı

Abstract

Objective: CyberKnife® Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) or fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) is an important treatment modality for brain metastases. As well as soliter brain metastasis Cyberknife® (CK) is also a useful stereotactic radiotherapy option for limited multiple or large metastases. Here we present our results of fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) for 10 patients with metastatic brain tumors greater than 5 cm.

Material and Methods: Between May 2009 - April 2012 in Ankara Oncology Hospital, Ankara, Turkey 10 patients with metastatic brain tumors greater than 5 cm in greatest dimension -were treated with whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) and CK, FSRT. FSRT (6 patients) or SRS (4 patients) were applied to patients either as a part of initial palliative treatment with WBRT or for recurrent metastatic disease after WBR. The median patient age was 58 years (range 26 - 67 years). Median tumor diamater was 53 mm (range, 50 - 69 mm). Median total dose was 1500 cGy (range, 1320 - 1800 cGy) in 1-3 fraction. Median isodose was 91.0% (range 76 - 96%).

Results: After CK treatment, mean follow-up time was 6.5 months. 1-year overall survival rate was 66,7 %.Mean survival time was 11,1 months (range, 7,3-14,9 months). The treatment was well tolerated with no RTOG grade 3-4 acute toxicities.

Conclusion:

Our results showed that FSRT is a safe and effective local treatment modality in selected patients with \geq 5 cm diamater brain metastases with minimal serious adverse events. Further large studies with longer follow-up are needed to define the

role of CK in the treatment of large brain metastases as a part of initial palliative treatment or after progression.

Key words: Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy, Cyberknife, brain metastasis

Introduction

Among cancer patients, the incidence of brain metastasis is 20-40% and survival is only a few months. Treatment options of brain metasteses include whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT), surgery and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) or combination of these (1-4). Nowadays patients with systemic cancer live longer and so this rate tends to increase. Prognostic factors associated with survival are age, extent of systemic disease, Karnofsky performance status (KPS) and number, size, location of brain metastases (2-4). Whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) is the first and most common treatment option for brain metastases, especially for multiple and large-sized tumors (1,5). Whole brain radiotherapy improves survival from 3 to 5 months but can lead to short and long term side effects (eg: alopecia, fatigue, cognitive problems) (6,7,8).

CyberKnife® is a new and noninvasive stereotactic radiotherapy method that can give a maximum dose to tumor while precisely preserving critical structures Despite ideally CK is used for a single brain metastasis, it can also be used to treat multiple brain metastases (9-12). In general CyberKnife® SRS (only one fraction) fractioned stereotactic or radiotherapy (FSRT), (more than one fraction), is administered for lesions with a diameter of around 3 cm (\leq 4cm), \leq 3

metastases and for patients having a good performance status (9,13). Treatment of large brain metastases even with FSRT results in low local control rate (14) and is not well defined treatment option yet.

Surgical resection is an effective and a safe treatment option for a large, single brain metastasis (15,16). However, treating ability for multiple lesions in one session and any location in the brain with FSRT has advantages in terms of side effects and survival compared to surgery (9,17,18,19). While for large tumors sideeffects of FSRT, especially radiationrelated necrosis is more frequent (9,14,20), there is no studies which showed the efficacy and safety of single-fraction radiosurgery for large brain metastases. one prospective Only RTOG trial established that 15 Gy in one session can be applied for tumors with volume between 14-33 cm³ (20). It seems that doses must be reduced for larger brain lesions of about 30 cm^3 (21).

Patients and Methods

Between May 2009 - April 2012, 10 patients with brain metastases equal or greater than 5 cm were treated with CK in Ankara Oncology Hospital, Ankara, Turkey. While 4 of these patients had only one, 5 patients had 2 and 1 patient had 3 metastases. All of the patients had only one large (\geq 5cm) metastatic brain tumour. The median patient age was 58 years (rang, 26 - 67 years). Primary tumor locations were lung in four patients, breast in three, rectal malign melanoma in one, bone in one (osteaosarcoma) and unknown primary for the remaining one patient. Three of them had also extracranial metastases. One patient had surrenal and liver metasteses and two had lung metastases before brain diagnosed. metastases Karnofsky Performance Scale score was ≥ 70 and recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) was class I-II for nine patients. For the remaining one KPS was below 70. Between initial symptoms were epileptic speech defects. headache. seizures. dizziness, amnesia, motor deficit. Also one of the patients was asymptomatic. Patient and tumor characteristics are shown in table 1.

aracteristic Value	
Patients	10
Gender	
Male	7
Female	3
Age (years)	
Median	58
Range	26-67
Primary site	
Lung	5
Breast	2
Rektum	1
Bone	1
Primary unknown	1
First treatment CKSR(n)	1
First treatment WBRT(n)	9
Tm diamater (mm)
Median	53
Range	50-69

 Table 1. Patients and tumor characteristics

Nine patients had treated with WBRT, 30 Gy in 10 fractions before CK. While three of these patients received radiosurgery or FSRT three weeks after WBRT, six patients received CK when progression occured. For these six patients median time between WBRT and CK was 2.5 months (range, 1 – 13 months). Out of 10, remaining one patient initially was treated with CK and three weeks later received WBRT 25 Gy in 10 fractions. Median fraction number was 3 (3 fractions for 6 patients and 1 for the other 4 patients). Median prescribed dose was 1500 cGy (range, 1300 - 1800 cGy) and a

median isodose line was 91% (range,76 -96%). For the single fraction treatment (SRS) a median prescribed dose was 1500 cGy (range, 1400 - 1700 cGy), and for FSRT median prescribed dose was 1450 cGy (range, 1300 - 1800 cGy). Sequential planning algorithm was used for all patients. The median homogenity index was 1.090 (range, 1.04 - 1.32) and the median of coverage was 99.80 % (range, 97 - 100 %). Median tumor volume was 40642 mm³ (2184 - 77849 mm³) and the median tumor diamater was 53.0 mm 50 - 69 (range, mm). Treatment characteristics are

shown in table 2.

Patient No	WBRT Dose (cGy)	Time interval WBRT- CKSR	GTV Volume (mm ³)	Fraction of CKSR	Prescribe Dose (cGy)	Prescribe Isodoseline (%)	HI	Coverage (%)	Tumor diamater (mm)
1	3000	3 months	44125	3	1300	76	1,32	99,8	54
2	3000	2 months	2184	1	1700	91	1,10	100,0	69
3	3000	7months	50623	1	1400	92	1,09	99,9	50
4	3000	3 weeks	5809	1	1400	89	1,12	99,9	57
5	3000	2 months	40642	3	1400	80	1,16	100,0	59
6	3000	3 weeks	77849	3	1400	80	1,25	97,0	50
7	2500	3 weeks	59335	3	1500	88	1,14	99,4	58
8	3000	4 weeks	20159	1	1600	92	1,09	99,0	52
9	3000	13 months	29545	3	1800	96	1,04	99,9	53
10	3000	3 weeks	12283	3	1800	94	1,06	99,9	51

Critical structures were delineated on computer tomography (CT); target volumes were defined on CT/ magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) fusion data set based on MRI images. The planning tumor volume (PTV) was equal to gross tumor volume (GTV) with no margin due to nearby critical structures. All patients received intramuscular dexamethasone (8 mg) before treatment.

Results

We retrospectively analyzed 10 patients with brain metastases equal or greater than 5 cm who were treated with CK in addition to WBRT as an initial palliative treatment (4 patients) or because of progression after WBRT (6 patients) in Ankara Oncology Hospital between May 2009 - April 2012. The follow-up period after CK treatment ranged from 1 month to 15 months (median 4 months).

After stereotactic treatment, 1-year survival rate was found as 66,7% and mean survival time was 11,1 months (range, 7,3-14,9). Three of the patients died from systemic progression. Median time to death for these patients was 5 months (range, 4 - 8 months). Out of these 3 patients, at the time of death, one had a complete response, one had a partial response with 50% regression and the last one had 10% progression for brain radiologically. Remaining metasteses, seven patients were still alive at the time of data collection. Three of these patients were alive with regression, three patients were alive with stable disease and one patient was alive with progression of brain metasteses. Progression rate was radiologically 25 % for this patient. So crucial radiological response rate for brain metasteses was 80% with four months median follow-up. All patients were

evaluated with MRI after CK treatment. Timing for follow-up images were variable for all patients depending on new symptom onset. The treatment was well tolerated with no grade 3-4 acute toxicities according to the RTOG acute toxicity criteria.

Discussion

Although for patients with a large single brain metastasis surgical resection can be one of treatment options it may not be always feasible due to nearby critical structures. In this case FSRT with or without WBRT can be applied.

There are some studies which compared radiosurgery alone versus SRS and WBRT (19,22,23). While Chidel et al.'s study (22) there is a trend for survival benefit with only SRS over SRS+WBRT (10.5 vs. 6.4 months, respectively, p=0.07), in other two studies overall survival (OS) was same (19,23). Although in Flickinger's study (23) local control (LC) was better with SRS+WBRT, in Sneed's study (19) it was same. In two of these studies it is also impressed that SRS alone and SRS+WBRT could not improve survival, because of progression of extracranial disease (22,23). Besides there are some studies conducted with FSRT and WBRT which recorded median survival as 11 and 12 months (24,25). So, despite slightly different conclusions SRS alone or combined with WBRT seems like promising for brain metastases in selected cases.

SRS is an optimal treatment option for patients with tumors large and/or close to nearby critical brain structures. In one study comparing SRS with a fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) for brain metastases, it was concluded that while survival times were similar for both treatment regimens, lower toxicity rates were reported with FSRT treatment, although FSRT was used for large lesions and lesions in adverse locations (24). So the authors found that FSRT can be especially useful for patient with large lesions or lesions located near critical structures. In our study with large brain metastases we used SRS or FSRT. The treatment choice was depending on the clinician's discretion. With both treatment we didn't see any acute serious side effect.

In their prospective study Manning et al. revealed treatment results of 32 patients treated with FSRT of 27 Gy in 3 fractions and 30 Gy in 10 fractions with WBRT (25). They recorded median survival as 12 months.

Aoyama et al. performed FSRT with 35 Gy in 4 fractions and recorded median survival time as 8.7 months (26). In their study WBRT was used as a salvage treatment. Patients treated with FSRT, in this study, had similar tumor control and survival results with SRS given in the literature. They also suggested FSRT due to less toxicity compared to SRS.

Ernst-Stecken et al. performed FSRT to 51 patients who could not receive single fraction because of a tumor larger than 3 cm or closed to critical structures (27). Treatment was given as FSRT with 5 X 6 Gy if WBRT was added or as 5 X 7 Gy for FSRT only treatment. The median survival was 11 months. They suggested that FSRT is an effective and safe treatment when SRS could not be applied. They also recommend not exceeding 20 cc per fraction of >4 Gy. In our current retrospective study, due to very large this tumors had to exceed we

recommandation for some of the patients. Although we didn't see any grade 3-4 acute side effects, due to very limited number of patients and retrospective nature of the study, we can't argue that large fraction doses or SRS is a safe for large brain metastases. Despite of larger tumour size in our study we found mean survival time as 11 months which is similar with their results.

There are very limited number of studies reporting FSRT results for patient with large (>3 cm) brain metastases. In one of these studies 150 patients with 250 brain metastases were treated with different fractionation schedules using FSRT and authors concluded that while longer fractionation (10 X4 Gy) is better tolerated than shorter ones (5 X 6-7 Gy, 7 X 5 Gy), response rate was higher with short fractionation (28). Complete response rates for 10 X 4 Gy, 7 x 5 Gy and 5 X 7 Gy were 31%, 47%, and 46%, respectively. Median follow up time for this study was 16 months.

Additionally, Narayana et al. applied FSRT 30 Gy in 5 fractions to 20 patients for whom SRS could not be performed because of locations or size of tumors (29). Median survival was 8.5 months. Kwon et al. recorded that maximum tumor dimension and tumor volume were important factors improving local Finally authors control (30). concluded that FSRT can be effectively used for larger tumors and the risk of toxicity after FSRT was very small (25,29,30).

Surgical resection had an important role in the treatment of 1 or 2 brain metastases. For solitary metastases resection plus WBRT had better survival and local control rates than WBRT alone (31,32). In a study, comparing resection versus resection plus WBRT brain recurrence rates were 85% and 21% respectively (33). Patchell et al. (34) showed that local control for patients who received postoperative WBRT was better (82% vs 30%). Schoggl et al. (35) retrospectively evaluated 133 patients comparing SRS plus WBRT with surgery plus WBRT. They reported that for median survival there was no difference, but local control rate was better in the SRS group. Rades et al. compared stereotactic radiosurgery versus surgical resection plus WBRT (36). They concluded that SRS alone is an alternative treatment to resection plus WBRT because of being less invasive, less time consuming and more cost –effective approach. In our study for all patients we used WBRT as a part of initial pallative treatment. Also majority of our patients had multiple brain metastases in addition to large ones. Our crude rate of progression was 20% and this is consistent with the other studies adding WBRT to surgery or stereotactic treatment.

Table 3. Table of studies.

Author	SRS	SRS+WBRT
Chidel et al. (22)	MS: 10.5 mos 2-y-DFS: 34%	MS: 6.4 mos (p:0.07) 2-y-DFS: 60% (P:0.03)
Sneed et al. (19)	MS: 11.3 mos 1-y-LC: 71%	MS: 11.1 mos 1-y-LC: 79%
	SRS	FSRT
Yean et al. (24)	MS: 6 mos 1-y-LPFS: 71% CR: 16% PR: 51% NC: 26% PD: 7%	MS: 8 mos (p:0.89) 1-y-LPFS: 69% CR: 15% PR: 68% NC: 17% PD: 0%

MS: Median Survival, DFS: Disease-Free Survival, LC: Local Control, LPFS: Local Progression-Free Survival, CR: Complete Response, PR: Partial Response, NC: No Change, PD: Progressive Disease

Tablo 4. Summary of studies.

Author	Dose	WBRT	Results	MS
Manning et al (25).	25 Gy/ 3 fx	30 Gy/ 3 fx	CR: 45%	12 mos
	5	5	PR:-	
			NC: 45%	
			PD: 9%	
Aoyama et al (26).	35 Gy/ 4 fx	salvage	1-y-LC: 81%	8.7 mos
Ernst-Stecken et al (27).	30 Gy/ 5 fx	+	CR: 66.7%	11 mos
	35 Gy / 5 fx	-	PR: 18.1%	
			NC: 12.5%	
			PD: 2.8%	
Fahrig et al (28).	30-35 Gy/ 5 fx	40 Gy/ 20 fx	CR: 42%	16 mos
	35 Gy/ 7 fx		PR: 30%	
	40 Gy/ 4 fx		NC: 21%	
			PD: 7%	
Narayana et al (29).	30 Gy/ 5 fx	-	CR: 15%	8.5 mos
•			PR: 30%	
			NC: 45%	
			PD: 0%	
Kwon et al (30).	25 Gy/ 5 fx	37.5 Gy/ 5 fx	CR: 25%	10.8 mos
	-	-	PR: 23%	
			NC: 42%	
			PD: 10%	

MS: Median Survival, CR: Complete Response, PR: Partial Response, NC: No Change, PD: Progressive Disease

We retrospectively analyzed a small group of patients who had \geq 5 cm diameter brain metastases. To our knowledge, there is no study revealing stereotactic radiosurgery or radiotherapy results with CK for such a big metastases.

References

- Cairncross JG, Kim JH, Posner JB: Radiation therapy for brain metastases. Ann Neurol. 1980;7:529– 541.
- Delattre JY, Krol G, Thaler HT, Posner JB: Distribution of brain metastases. Arch Neurol 1988;45:741–4.
- Nussbaum ES, Djalilian HR, Cho KH, Hall WA: Brain metastases: Histology, multiplicity, surgery, and survival. Cancer. 1996;78:1781–8.
- Salvati M, Cervoni L, Paolini S, Delfini R: Solitary cerebral metastases from intestinal carcinoma. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 1995;133:181–3.
- Borgelt B, Gelber R, Kramer S, Brady LW, Chang CH, Davis LW, et al. The palliation of brain metastases: Final results of the first two studies by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1980;6:1–9.
- Gelber RD, Larson M, Borgelt BB, Kramer S: Equivalence of radiation schedules for the palliative treatment of brain metastases in patients with favorable prognosis. Cancer. 1981;48:1749–53.
- Lang EF, Slater J: Metastatic brain tumor: Results of surgical and nonsurgical treatment. Surg Clin North Am. 1964;44:865–872.

We concluded that CK, especially FSRT can be effectively and safely applied for large brain metastases in addition to WBRT. However we need longer follow up and larger series.

- 8. Mandell L, Hilaris B, Sullivan M, Sundaresan N, Nori D, Kim JH, et al: The treatment of single brain metastasis from non-oat cell lung carcinoma: Surgery and radiation versus radiation therapy alone. Cancer. 1986;58:641–9.
- 9. Auchter RM, Lamond JP, Alexander E III, Buatti JM, Chappell R. Friedman WA. et al: А multiinstitutional outcome and prognostic factor analysis of radiosurgery for resectable single brain metastasis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1996;35:27-35.
- 10. Firlik KS, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD: Stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases from breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2000;7:333–8.
- 11. Joseph J, Adler JR, Cox RS, Hancock SL. Linear accelerator-based stereotaxic radiosurgery for brain metastases: The influence of number of lesions on survival. J Clin Oncol 1996;14:1085–92.
- Kim YS, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD: Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma metastatic to the brain. Cancer. 1997;80:2075– 83.
- Kondziolka D, Patel A, Lunsford LD, Kassam A, Flickinger JC: Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole brain radiotherapy versus radiotherapy

alone for patients with multiple brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1999;45:427–34.

- 14. Shehata MK, Young B, Reid B, Patchell RA, St Clair W, Sims J, et al. :Stereotatic radiosurgery of 468 brain metastases ≤2 cm: Implications for SRS dose and whole brain radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol. Phys. 2004;59:87–93.
- 15. Weil RJ, Lonser RR: Selective excision of metastatic brain tumors originating in the motor cortex with preservation of function. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:1209–17.
- 16. Yoo H, Kim YZ, Nam BH, Shin SH, Yang HS, Lee JS, et al. : Reduced local recurrence of a single brain metastasis through microscopic total resection. J Neurosurg. 2009;110:730–736
- 17. Karlsson B, Hanssens P, Wolff R, Söderman M, Lindquist C, Beute G.:Thirty years' experience with gamma knife surgery for metastases to the brain. J Neurosurg. 2009;111:449–57.
- 18. Sneed PK, Suh JH, Goetsch SJ, Sanghavi SN, Chappell R, Buatti JM, et al. : A multi-institutional review of radiosurgery alone vs. radiosurgery with whole brain radiotherapy as the initial management of brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2002;53:519–26.
- 19. Sneed PK, Lamborn KR, Forstner JM, McDermott MW, Chang S, Park E et al. Radiosurgery for brain metastases: Is whole brain radiotherapy necessary?. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1999;43:549–58.
- 20. Shaw E, Scott C, Souhami L, Dinapoli R, Kline R, Loeffler J, et al. : Single dose radiosurgical

treatment of recurrent previously irradiated primary brain tumors and brain metastases: Final report of RTOG protocol 90-05. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000;47:291–8.

- 21. Jung Ho Han, M.D.Dong Gyu Kim, M.D. Hyun-Tai Chung, Sun Ha Paek, Chul-Kee Park, M.D.Hee-Won Jung: Radiosurgery for Large Brain Metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;83:113-20.
- 22. Chidel MA, Suh JH, Reddy CA, Chao ST, Lundbeck MF, Barnett GH: Application of recursive partitioning analysis and evaluation of the use of whole brain radiation among patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery for newly diagnosed brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000;47:993–9.
- 23. Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD, Coffey RJ, Goodman ML, Shaw EG, et al.: A multiinstitutional experience with stereotactic radiosurgery for solitary brain metastasis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1994;28:797–802.
- 24. Yeon-Joo K, Kwan HC, Joo-Young K, Young KL, Hye SM, Sang HL, et al. Single-Dose Versus Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;81:483-9.
- 25. Manning MA¹, Cardinale RM, Benedict SH, Kavanagh BD, Zwicker RD, Amir C, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy as an alternative to radiosurgery for the treatment of patients with brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000;47: 603–8.

- 26. Aoyama H, Shirato H, Onimaru R, Kagei K, Ikeda J, Ishii N, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy alone without whole-brain irradiation for patients with solitary and oligo brain metastasis using noninvasive fixation of the skull. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2003;56:793–800.
- 27. Ernst-Stecken A, Ganslandt O, Lambrecht U, Sauer R, Grabenbauer G. Phase II trial of hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for brain metastases: Results and toxicity. Radiother Oncol 2006;81:18–24.
- 28. Fahrig A, Ganslandt O, Lambrecht U, Grabenbauer G, Kleinert G, Sauer R, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for brain metastases-results from three different dose concepts. Strahlenther Onkol 2007;183:625–30.
- 29. Narayana A, Chang J, Yenice K, Chan K, Lymberis S, Brennan C, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy using intensitymodulated radiotherapy in patients with one or two brain metastases. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2007;85:82–7.
- 30. Kwon AK, Dibiase SJ, Wang B, Hughes SL, Milcarek B, Zhu Y. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for the treatment of brain metastases. Cancer 2009;115:890–898.
- 31. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, Dempsey RJ, Maruyama Y, Kryscio RJ et al. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases of the brain. N Engl J Med. 1990;322:494–500.

- 32. Vecht CJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Noordijk EM, Padberg GW, Voormolen JH, Hoekstra FH, et al. Treatment of single brain metastasis: radiotherapy alone or combined with neurosurgery? Ann Neurol. 1993;33:583–90.
- 33. Smalley SR, Schray MF, Laws ER, O'Fallon JR. Adjuvant radiation therapy after surgical resection of solitary brain metastasis: association with pattern of failure and survival. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1987;13:1611–6.
- 34. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, Dempsey RJ, Mohiuddin M, Kryscio RJ, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. A randomised trial. JAMA. 1998;280:1485–9.
- 35. Schoggl A, Kitz K, Reddy M, Wolfsberger S, Schneider
 B, Dieckmann K, et al. Defining the role of stereotactic radiosurgery versus microsurgery in the treatment of single brain metastases. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2000;142:621–6.
- 36. Rades D, Bohlen G, Pluemer A, Veninga T, Hanssens P, Dunst J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery alone versus resection plus whole-brain radiotherapy for 1 or 2 brain metastases in recursive partitioning analysis class 1 and 2 patients: American Cancer. Society. 2007;109:2515-21.