http://www.newtheory.org

ISSN: 2149-1402



Received: 22.05.2015 Accepted: 17.06.2015 Year: 2015, Number: 5, Pages: 67-72 Original Article<sup>\*\*</sup>

# $Λ_g$ -CLOSED SETS WITH RESPECT TO AN IDEAL

Ochanathevar Ravi<sup>1,\*</sup> <siing Ilangovan Rajasekaran<sup>1</sup> <rajas Annamalai Thiripuram<sup>2</sup> <thirip Raghavan Asokan<sup>3</sup> <rasol

<siingam@yahoo.com> <rajasekarani@yahoo.com> <thiripuram82@gmail.com> <rasoka\_mku@yahoo.co.in>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Math., P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India.
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jeppiaar Engineering College, Chennai - 119, Tamil Nadu, India.
 <sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai - 21, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Abstract** – In this paper, the notions of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets and  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets are introduced. Characterizations and properties of such notions are obtained. Suitable examples are given to substantiate each established notions.

**Keywords** – Topological space, open set,  $\lambda$ -closed set,  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set,  $\mathcal{I}_g$ -closed set,  $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed set, *ideal*.

## **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1986, Maki [12] introduced the notion of  $\Lambda$ -sets in topological spaces. A  $\Lambda$ -set is a set A which is equal to its kernel (= saturated set) i.e to the intersection of all open supersets of A. Arenas et al [1] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\lambda$ -closed sets by involving  $\Lambda$ -sets and closed sets.

The notion of closed set is fundamental in the study of topological spaces. In 1970, Levine [11] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in a topological space by comparing the closure of a subset with its open supersets. He defined a subset A of a topological space X to be generalized closed (briefly, g-closed) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open. This notion has been studied extensively in recent years by many topologists. After advent of g-closed sets, many generalizations of g-closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern topologists.

An ideal on a set X is a non empty collection of subsets of X with heredity property which is also closed under finite unions. Quite Recently, Jafari and Rajesh [8] have introduced and studied the notion of generalized closed (g-closed) sets with respect to an ideal. Many generalizations of g-closed sets are being introduced and investigated by modern researchers. One among them is  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets which were introduced by Caldas et al [2]. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the concept of  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets with respect to an ideal.

Indeed ideals are very important tools in General Topology. It was the works of Newcomb [13], Rancin [14], Samuels [16] and Hamlett and Jankovic (see [4, 5, 6, 7, 9]) which motivated the research in applying topological ideals to generalize the most basic properties in General Topology. A nonempty

<sup>\*\*</sup> Edited by Rodyna A. Hosny and Naim Çağman (Editor-in-Chief).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

collection  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a topological ideal [10] if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- 1. If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subseteq A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  (heredity)
- 2. If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$  (finite additivity)

If A is a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , cl(A) and int(A) denote the closure of A and the interior of A, respectively. Let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ . Then  $cl_B(A)$  (resp.  $int_B(A)$ ) denotes closure of A (resp. interior of A) with respect to B.

In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets with respect to an ideal, which is the extension of the concept of  $\Lambda_g$ -closed sets.

The following Definitions, Result, Lemma and Remarks are useful in the sequel.

**Definition 1.1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called regular open [17] if A = int(cl(A)).

**Definition 1.2.** The finite union of regular open sets is called  $\pi$ -open [18]. The complement of  $\pi$ -open set is called  $\pi$ -closed [18].

**Definition 1.3.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- 1.  $\lambda$ -closed [1] if  $A = L \cap D$ , where L is a  $\Lambda$ -set and D is a closed set.
- 2.  $\lambda$ -open [1] if its complement is  $\lambda$ -closed.
- 3.  $\Lambda_q$ -closed [2] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open.
- 4.  $\pi$ -generalized closed (briefly,  $\pi g$ -closed) [3] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\pi$ -open.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on X. A subset A of X is said to be generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly  $\mathcal{I}_g$ -closed) [8] if and only if  $cl(A)-B \in \mathcal{I}$ , whenever  $A \subseteq B$  and B is open.

Result 1.5. For a subset of a topological space, the following properties hold:

- 1. Every closed set is  $\Lambda_q$ -closed but not conversely [2].
- 2. Every  $\Lambda_q$ -closed set is g-closed but not conversely [2].
- 3. Every closed set is  $\lambda$ -closed but not conversely [1, 2].

**Remark 1.6.** [8] Every g-closed set is  $\mathcal{I}_g$ -closed but not conversely.

**Definition 1.7.** [15] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on X. A subset A of X is said to be  $\pi$ -generalized closed with respect to an ideal (briefly  $\mathcal{I}_{\pi g}$ -closed) if and only if  $cl(A)-B \in \mathcal{I}$ , whenever  $A \subseteq B$  and B is  $\pi$ -open.

Remark 1.8. [15] For several subsets defined above, we have the following implications.

$$\mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\pi g}\text{-closed set}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$closed set \longrightarrow g\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \pi g\text{-closed set}$$

The reverse implications are not true.

**Lemma 1.9.** [1] Let  $A_i (i \in \mathcal{I})$  be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The following properties hold:

- 1. If  $A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -closed for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap_{i \in I} A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -closed.
- 2. If  $A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -open for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -open.

Recall that the intersection of a  $\lambda$ -closed set and a closed set is  $\lambda$ -closed.

**Definition 1.10.** [2] A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\lambda$ -irresolute if the inverse image of  $\lambda$ -open set of Y is  $\lambda$ -open in X.

#### 2 $\Lambda_q$ -Closed Sets with Respect to an Ideal

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on X. A subset A of X is said to be  $\Lambda_g$ -closed with respect to an ideal (briefly  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed) if and only if  $cl(A)-B \in \mathcal{I}$ , whenever  $A \subseteq B$  and B is  $\lambda$ -open.

**Remark 2.2.** Every  $\Lambda_g$ -closed set is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed, but the converse need not be true, as this may be seen from the following Example.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi, \{b\}\}$ . Then  $\{a\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed but not  $\Lambda_g$ -closed.

The following Theorem gives a characterization of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -closed sets.

**Theorem 2.4.** A set A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$  and F is  $\lambda$ -closed in X implies  $F \in \mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. Let  $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$ . Suppose F is  $\lambda$ -closed. Then  $A \subseteq X-F$ . By our assumption,  $cl(A)-(X-F) \in \mathcal{I}$ . But  $F \subseteq cl(A)-(X-F)$  and hence  $F \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$  and F is  $\lambda$ -closed in X implies that  $F \in \mathcal{I}$ . Suppose  $A \subseteq U$ and U is  $\lambda$ -open. Then  $cl(A)-U = cl(A) \cap (X-U)$  is a  $\lambda$ -closed set in X, that is contained in cl(A)-A. By assumption,  $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.5.** If A and B are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$ , then their union  $A \cup B$  is also  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose A and B are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A \cup B \subseteq U$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open, then  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq U$ . By assumption,  $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $cl(B)-U \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $cl(A \cup B)-U = (cl(A)-U) \cup (cl(B)-U) \in \mathcal{I}$ . That is  $A \cup B$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

**Remark 2.6.** The intersection of two  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets need not be an  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed as shown by the following Example.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{a, c\}$  are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_a}$ -closed but their intersection  $A \cap B = \{a\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_a}$ -closed.

**Remark 2.8.** Every  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -closed set is  $\mathcal{I}_g$ -closed but not conversely.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\phi\}$ . Then  $\{a, b\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_g$ -closed but not  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

**Remark 2.10.** For several subsets defined above, we have the following implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}\text{-}closed \ set \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_g\text{-}closed \ set \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\pi_g}\text{-}closed \ set} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ closed \ set \longrightarrow \Lambda_g\text{-}closed \ set \longrightarrow a\text{-}closed \ set \longrightarrow \pi a\text{-}closed \ set} \end{array}$$

The reverse implications are not true.

**Theorem 2.11.** If A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(A)$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , then B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq cl(A)$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Suppose  $B \subseteq U$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open. Then  $A \subseteq U$ . Since A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed, we have  $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now  $B \subseteq cl(A)$ . This implies that  $cl(B)-U \subseteq cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and suppose that A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed relative to the subspace Y of X, with respect to the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_Y = \{F \subseteq Y : F \in \mathcal{I}\}.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subseteq U \cap Y$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \subseteq U$ . Since A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $cl(A)-U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now  $(cl(A) \cap Y)-(U \cap Y) = (cl(A)-U) \cap Y \in \mathcal{I}$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U \cap Y$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open. Hence A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed relative to the subspace Y.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let A be an  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set and F be a closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap F$  is an  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \cap F \subseteq U$  and U is  $\lambda$ -open. Then  $A \subseteq U \cup (X-F)$ . Since A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed, we have  $cl(A) - (U \cup (X-F)) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now,  $cl(A \cap F) \subseteq cl(A) \cap F = (cl(A) \cap F) - (X-F)$ . Therefore,  $cl(A \cap F) - U \subseteq (cl(A) \cap F) - (U \cap (X-F)) \subseteq cl(A) - (U \cup (X-F)) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $A \cap F$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on X. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is said to be  $\Lambda_g$ -open with respect to an ideal (briefly  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open) if and only if X-A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.15.** A set A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $F-U \subseteq int(A)$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ , whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $\lambda$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open. Suppose  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $\lambda$ -closed. We have  $X-A \subseteq X-F$ . By assumption,  $cl(X-A) \subseteq (X-F) \cup U$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies  $X-((X-F) \cup U) \subseteq X-(cl(X-A))$  and hence  $F-U \subseteq int(A)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $\lambda$ -closed. Then  $F-U \subseteq int(A)$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Consider an  $\lambda$ -open set G such that  $X-A \subseteq G$ . Then  $X-G \subseteq A$ . By assumption,  $(X-G)-U \subseteq int(A) = X-cl(X-A)$ . This gives that  $X-(G \cup U) \subseteq X-cl(X-A)$ . Then,  $cl(X-A) \subseteq G \cup U$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ . This shows that  $cl(X-A)-G \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence X-A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

Recall that the sets A and B are said to be separated if  $cl(A) \cap B = \phi$  and  $A \cap cl(B) = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** If A and B are separated  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cup B$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose A and B are separated  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  and F be a  $\lambda$ -closed subset of A  $\cup$  B. Then  $F \cap cl(A) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap cl(A) = (A \cap cl(A)) \cup (B \cap cl(A)) = A \cup \phi = A$  and  $F \cap cl(B) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap cl(B) = (A \cap cl(B)) \cup (B \cap cl(B)) = \phi \cup B = B$ . By assumption and by Theorem 2.15,  $(F \cap cl(A)) - U_1 \subseteq int(A)$  and  $(F \cap cl(B)) - U_2 \subseteq int(B)$ , for some  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . It means that  $((F \cap cl(A)) - int(A)) \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $((F \cap cl(B)) - int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $((F \cap cl(A)) - int(A)) \cup ((F \cap cl(B)) - int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $(F \cap (cl(A) \cup cl(B)) - (int(A) \cup int(B))) \in \mathcal{I}$ . But  $F = F \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq F \cap cl(A \cup B)$ , and we have  $F - int(A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap cl(A \cup B)) - int(A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap cl(A \cup B)) - int(A) \cup int(B)) \in \mathcal{I}$ . This proves that  $A \cup B$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open.

**Corollary 2.17.** Let A and B be  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets and suppose X-A and X-B are separated in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A \cap B$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed.

**Corollary 2.18.** If A and B are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -open.

*Proof.* If A and B are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open, then X–A and X–B are  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. By Theorem 2.5, X–(A  $\cap$  B) is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed, which implies A  $\cap$  B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open.

**Theorem 2.19.** If  $int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$  and A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -open in X.

*Proof.* Suppose int(A)  $\subseteq$  B  $\subseteq$  A and A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open. Then X-A  $\subseteq$  X-B  $\subseteq$  cl(X-A) and X-A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. By Theorem 2.11, X-B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed and hence B is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open.

**Theorem 2.20.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then a set A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in X if and only if cl(A)-A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open in X.

*Proof.* Necessity: Suppose  $F \subseteq cl(A)-A$  and F be  $\lambda$ -closed. Then by Theorem 2.4,  $F \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that  $F-U = \phi$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Clearly,  $F-U \subseteq int(cl(A)-A)$ . By Theorem 2.15, cl(A)-A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_q}$ -open.

Sufficiency: Suppose  $A \subseteq G$  and G is  $\lambda$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $cl(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq cl(A) \cap (X-A) = cl(A) - A$ . By hypothesis and by Theorem 2.15,  $(cl(A) \cap (X-G)) - U \subseteq int(cl(A) - A) = \phi$ , for some  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that  $cl(A) \cap (X-G) \subseteq U \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $cl(A) - G \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus, A is  $\mathcal{I}_{A_q}$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.21.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be  $\lambda$ -irresolute and closed. If  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in X, then f(A) is  $f(\mathcal{I})_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , where  $f(\mathcal{I}) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  and A is  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed. Suppose  $f(A) \subseteq G$  and G is  $\lambda$ -open in Y. Then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ . By definition,  $cl(A)-f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $f(cl(A))-G \in f(\mathcal{I})$ . Since f is closed,  $cl(f(A)) \subseteq cl(f(cl(A))) = f(cl(A))$ . Then  $cl(f(A))-G \subseteq f(cl(A))-G \in f(\mathcal{I})$  and hence f(A) is  $f(\mathcal{I})_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed in Y.

### 3 Conclusion

Topology is an area of Mathematics concerned with the properties of space that are preserved under continuous deformations including stretching and bending, but not tearing. By the middle of the 20th century, topology had become a major branch of Mathematics.

Topology as a branch of Mathematics can be formally defined as the study of qualitative properties of certain objects that are invariant under a certain kind of transformation especially those properties that are invariant under a certain kind of equivalence and it is the study of those properties of geometric configurations which remain invariant when these configurations are subjected to one-to-one bicontinuous transformations or homeomorphisms. Topology operates with more general concepts than analysis. Differential properties of a given transformation are nonessential for topology but bicontinuity is essential. As a consequence, topology is often suitable for the solution of problems to which analysis cannot give the answer.

Though the concept of topology has been identified as a difficult territory in Mathematics, we have taken it up as a challenge and cherishingly worked out this research study. It can also further up the understanding of basic structure of classical mathematics and offers new methods and results in obtaining significant results of classical mathematics. Moreover it also has applications in some important fields of Science and Technology.

In this paper, the notions of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets and  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open sets are introduced. Furthermore the relations with other notions connected with the notions of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -closed sets and  $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_g}$ -open are investigated.

#### References

- F. G. Arenas, J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On λ-sets and dual of generalized continuity, Questions Answer Gen. Topology, 15(1997), 3-13.
- [2] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, On Λ-generalized closed sets in topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 118(4)(2008), 337-343.
- [3] J. Dontchev and T. Noiri, Quasi-normal spaces and  $\pi g$ -closed sets, Acta Math. Hungar., 89(3)(2000), 211-219.
- [4] T. R. Hamlett and D. Jankovic, Compactness with respect to an ideal, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., (7), 4-B(1990), 849-861.
- [5] T. R. Hamlett and D. Jankovic, Ideals in topological spaces and the set operator, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1990), 863-874.
- [6] T. R. Hamlett and D. Jankovic, Ideals in General Topology and Applications (Midletown, CT, 1988), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Dekker, New York, (1990), 115-125.
- [7] T. R. Hamlett and D. Jankovic, Compatible extensions of ideals, Boll. Un. Mat. Ita., 7(1992), 453-465.
- [8] S. Jafari and N. Rajesh, Generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal, European J. Pure Appl. Math., 4(2)(2011), 147-151.
- D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Month., 97(1990), 295-310.
- [10] K. Kuratowski, Topologies I, Warszawa, 1933.
- [11] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [12] H. Maki, Generalized Λ-sets and the associated closure operator, The special issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA' Retirement, 1. Oct. (1986), 139-146.
- [13] R. L. Newcomb, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, Ph.D Dissertation, Univ. Cal. at Santa Barbara, 1967.
- [14] D. V. Rancin, Compactness modulo an ideal, Soviet Math. Dokl., 13(1972), 193-197.

- [15] O. Ravi, M. Suresh and A. Pandi,  $\pi$ -Generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal, International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology, Accepted.
- [16] P. Samuels, A topology from a given topology and ideal, J. London Math. Soc., (2)(10)(1975), 409-416.
- [17] M. H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1937), 375-481.
- [18] V. Zaitsev, On certain classes of topological spaces and their bicompactifications, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 178(1968), 778-779.