http://www.newtheory.org ISSN: 2149-1402

Journal of New Theory

Received: 15.09.2017
Published: 27.09.2017

Year: **2017**, *Number*: **15**, *Pages*: **81-98**
Original Article

BIPOLAR FUZZY HYPER *KU*–IDEALS (SUB ALGEBRAS)

Samy Mohammed Mostafa[1,*] <samymostafa@yahoo.com>
Reham Ghanem[2] <ghanemreham@yahoo.com>

[1]*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt*
[2]*Department of basic science, Deanship of Preparatory Year and Supporting studies Imam Abdul rahman Bin Faisal University Dammam, Saudi Arabia*

Abstract – In this paper, using the notion of bipolar-valued fuzzy set, we establish the bipolar fuzzification the notion of (strong, weak, s-weak) hyper KU-ideals in hyper KU-algebras, and investigate some of their properties.

Keywords – *KU-algebra, hyper KU-algebra, fuzzy hyper KU-ideal.*

1. Introduction

Prabpayak and Leerawat [13,14] introduced a new algebraic structure which is called KU-algebras. They studied ideals and congruences in KU-algebras. Also, they introduced the concept of homomorphism of KU-algebra and investigated some related properties. Moreover, they derived some straightforward consequences of the relations between quotient KU-algebras and isomorphism. Mostafa et. al. [10] introduced the notion of fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras and then they investigated several basic properties which are related to fuzzy KU-ideals. The hyper structure theory (called also multi-algebras) is introduced in 1934 by Marty [9] at the 8th congress of Scandinvian Mathematiciens. Around the 40's, several authors worked on hyper groups, especially in France and in the United States, but also in Italy, Russia and Japan. Hyper structures have many applications to several sectors of both pure and applied sciences. Jun and Xin [3,6] considered the fuzzification of the notion of a (weak, strong, reflexive) hyper BCK-ideal, and investigated the relations among them. Mostafa et. al. [11] applied the hyper structures to KU- algebras and introduced the concept of a hyper KU-algebra which is a generalization of a KU-algebra, and investigated some related properties. They also introduced the notion of a

[*]*Corresponding Author.*

hyper KU-ideal, a weak hyper KU-ideal and gave relations between hyper KU-ideals and weak hyper KU-ideals. In 1956, Zadeh [10] introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. At present this concept has been applied to many mathematical branches. There are several kinds of fuzzy sets extensions in the fuzzy set theory, for example, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval valued fuzzy sets, vague sets etc.[see 1,3.5,6,12]. Mostafa et al.[12] , stated and proved more several theorems of hyper KU-algebras and studied fuzzy set theory to the hyper KU-sub algebras (ideals). Lee [8] introduced an extension of fuzzy sets named bipolar-valued fuzzy sets. Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets are an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is enlarged from the interval [0, 1] to [-1, 1]. The authors in [1, 2, 6 and 9], introduced bipolar-valued fuzzy set on different algebraic structures. In this paper, the bipolar fuzzy set theory to the (s-weak-strong) hyper KU-ideals in hyper KU-algebras are applied and discussed.

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a nonempty set and $P^*(H) = P(H) \setminus \{\phi\}$ the family of the nonempty subsets of H. A multi valued operation (said also hyper operation) "$\circ$" on H is a function, which associates with every pair $(x, y) \in H \times H = H^2$ a non empty subset of H denoted $x \circ y$ .An algebraic hyper structure or simply a hyper structure is a non empty set H endowed with one or more hyper operations.

Definition 2.1 **[11,12]** Let H be a nonempty set and "$\circ$" a hyper operation on H, such that $\circ : H \times H \to P^*(H)$. Then H is called a hyper KU-algebra if it contains a constant "0 " and satisfies the following axioms: for all $x, y, z \in H$

(HKU_1) $[(y \circ z) \circ (x \circ z)] << x \circ y$

(HKU_2) $x \circ 0 = \{0\}$

(HKU_3) $0 \circ x = \{x\}$

(HKU_4) $if\ \ x << y,\ \ y << x\ \ implies\ \ \ x = y$

where x << y is defined by $0 \in y \circ x$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A << B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B\ \ such\ that\ \ a << b$. In such case, we call "<<" the hyper order in H.

We shall use the $x \circ y$ instead of $x \circ \{y\}$, $\{x\} \circ y$ or $\{x\} \circ \{y\}$. Note if $A, B \subseteq H$, then by $A \circ B$ we mean the subset $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b\ \ of\ \ H$.

Example 2.2. (A) Let $H = \{0,1,2\}$ be a set. Define hyper operation $\circ$ on H as follows:

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$	$\{2\}$
1	$\{0\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{1,2\}$
2	$\{0\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1,2\}$

Then $(H, \circ, 0)$ is a hyper KU-algebra.

In what follows, H denotes a hyper KU-algebra unless otherwise specified.

***Lemma 2.3.* [11,12]** For all $x, y \in H$ *and* $A \subseteq H$

(i) $A \circ (y \circ x) = y \circ (A \circ x)$
(ii) $(0 \circ x) \circ x = \{0\}$

***Proposition 2.4.* [12]** In any hyper KU-algebra H, $0 \circ x = \{x\}$ $\forall$ $x \in H$

***Theorem 2.5.* [12]** For all $x, y, z \in H$ *and* $A, B, C \subseteq H$

(i) $x \circ y << z \Rightarrow z \circ y << x$
(ii) $x \circ y << y$
(iii) $x << 0 \circ x$
(iv) $A << B$, $B << C \Rightarrow A << C$
(v) $x \circ A << A$
(vi) $A \circ x << z \Leftrightarrow z \circ x << A$.
(vii) $A << B \Rightarrow C \circ A << C \circ B$ *and* $B \circ C << A \circ C$
(viii) $A << 0 \circ A$
(ix) $x \in 0 \circ x$
(x) $x \in 0 \circ 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
(xi) $x \circ x = \{x\} \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

***Lemma 2.6.* [11]** In hyper KU-algebra $(H, \circ, 0)$, we have

$$z \circ (y \circ x) = y \circ (z \circ x) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in H .$$

***Definition2.7.* [12]** Let S be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra H . Then S is said to be a hyper sub-algebra of H if $S_2 : x \circ y \subseteq S, \forall x, y \in S$

***Proposition 2.8.* [12]** Let S be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra $(H, \circ, 0)$. If y $\circ$ x $\subseteq$ S for all x, y $\in$ S, then 0 $\in$ S.

***Theorem 2.9.* [12]** Let S be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra $(H, \circ, 0)$. Then S is a hyper subalgebra of H if and only if y $\circ$ x $\subseteq$ S for all x, y $\in$ S.

***Definition 2.10* [11].** Let I be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra H and $0 \in I$. Then

(1) I is said to be a weak hyper KU- ideal of H if $x \circ (y \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $x \in I$ imply $y \circ z \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in H$,
(2) I is said to be hyper KU-ideal of H if $x \circ (y \circ z) << I$ and $x \in I$ imply $y \circ z \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in H$
(3) I is said a strong hyper KU-ideal of H if $x \circ (y \circ z) \cap I \neq \Phi$ and $x \in I$ imply $y \circ z \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in H$.
(4) I is said to be reflexive if $x \circ x \subseteq I$ for all $x \in H$.

Definition 2.11. **[11].** Let A be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra H. Then A is said to be a hyper ideal of H if

(HI_1) $0 \in A$,
(HI_2) $y \circ x << A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Definition 2.12. **[11]** A non-empty set A of a hyper KU-algebra H is called a distributive hyper ideal if it satisfies (HI_1) and

(HI_3) $(z \circ y) \circ (z \circ (z \circ x)) << A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$.

Definition 2.13. **[11,12]** Let I be a non-empty subset of a hyper KU-algebra H and $0 \in I$. Then,

(1) I is called a weak hyper ideal of H if $y \circ x \subseteq I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$, for all $x, y \in H$.
(2) I is called a strong hyper ideal of H if $(y \circ x) \bigcap I \neq \phi$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Lemma 2.14. **[12]** Let A be a subset of a hyper KU -algebra H . If I is a hyper ideal of H such that $A \ll I$ then $A \subseteq I$.

Lemma 2.15. **[12]** In hyper KU-algebra $(H, \circ, 0)$, we have :

(i) Any strong hyper KU- ideal of *H* is a hyper ideal of *H*.
(ii) Any weak hyper KU-ideal of *H* is a weak ideal of *H*.

Definition2.7. **[8]** A bipolar valued fuzzy subset B in a nonempty set X is an object having the form $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ where $\mu^N : X \to [-1,0]$ and $\mu^P : X \to [0,1]$ are mappings. The positive membership degree $\mu^P(x)$ denotes the satisfaction degree of an element x to the property corresponding to a bipolar-valued fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$, and the negative membership degree $\mu^N(x)$ denotes the satisfaction degree of x to some implicit counter-property of a bipolar-valued fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$. For simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\phi = (\mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ for bipolar fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$, and use the notion of bipolar fuzzy sets instead of the notion of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets.

3. Bipolar Fuzzy hyper KU – subalgebras (ideals)

Now some fuzzy logic concepts are reviewed .A fuzzy set μ in a set H is a function $\mu : H \to [0,1]$. A fuzzy set μ in a set H is said to satisfy the inf (resp. sup) property if for any subset T of H there exists $x_0 \in T$ such that $\mu(x_0) = \inf_{x \in T} \mu(x)$ (resp. $\mu(x_0) = \sup_{x \in T} \mu(x)$).

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in H is said to be bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-subalgebra of H if it satisfies the following inequalities:

(1) $\inf_{z\in x\circ y} \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x), \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(y)\}$.

(2) $\sup_{w\in x\circ y} \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(w) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x), \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(y)\} \forall x, y \in H$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}, \ \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N})$ be a bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-sub-algebra of H. Then $\mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(0) \geq \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x)$ *and* $\mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(0) \leq \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x)$ for all $\forall x \in H$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.5 (xi) , we see that 0 ∈ x ∘ x for all x ∈ H . Hence

$$\inf_{0\in x\circ x} \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(0) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x), \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x)\} = \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x)$$

and

$$\sup_{0\in x\circ x} \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(0) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x), \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x)\} = \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in H .$$

Example 3.3 **.**Let $H = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set. The hyper operations $\circ$ on H are defined as follows.

$\circ_2$	0	1	2	3
0	{0}	{1}	{2}	{3}
1	{0}	{0}	{1}	{3}
2	{0}	{0}	{0,1}	{0,3}
3	{0}	{0}	{1}	{0,3}

Then $(H, \circ, 0)$ is a hyper KU-algebra. Define $\mu^{N} : X \to [-1,0]$ and $\mu^{P} : X \to [0,1]$ by

	0	1	2	3
μ^{N}	**-0.7**	**-0.7**	**0.6**	**0.4**
μ^{P}	**0.6**	**0.5**	**0.3**	**0.3**

By routine calculations, we know that $\Phi = (H, \mu^{N}, \mu^{P})$ is bipolar fuzzy hyper sub-algebra of H .

Definition 3.4. For a “hyper KU-algebra” H , a “a bipolar fuzzy set” $\phi = (H, \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}, \ \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N})$ in H is called:

- BHFI: Bipolar fuzzy hyper ideal of H ,if

$$F_1 : \ x << y \ \textit{implies} \ \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(x) \geq \mu_{\Phi}{}^{P}(y), \quad \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(x) \leq \mu_{\Phi}{}^{N}(y)$$

and

$$F_2 : \mu_\Phi{}^P(z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u\in((y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P \mu(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

$$F_3 : \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w\in((y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

- B *FWH* :Bipolar fuzzy weak hyper ideal of H if, for any y; z $\in H$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u\in\,(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w\in\,(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

- B *FS* H : Bipolar fuzzy strong hyper ideal of H if, for any y; z $\in H$

$$\inf_{u\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(z) \geq \min\left\{ \sup_{u\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\sup_{w\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(z) \leq \max\left\{ \inf_{w\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

Definition 3.5. For a "hyper KU-algebra" H , a "bipolar fuzzy set" $\phi = (\,H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in H is called :

(I) Bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-ideal of H ,if

$$x << y \ \ implies\ \ \mu_\Phi{}^P(x) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(y),\quad \mu_\Phi{}^N(x) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\ ,$$
$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u\in(x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w\in(x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

(II) Bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of H , if for any x; y; z $\in H$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u\in(x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \le \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \le \max\left\{ \sup_{w\in(x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

(III) Bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of H if, for any x; y; z $\in$ H

$$\inf_{u\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u) \ge \mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \ge \min\left\{ \sup_{u\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\sup_{w\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) \le \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \le \max\left\{ \inf_{w\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

Example 3.6. (1) Consider the hyper KU -algebra in Example 2.2. Define bipolar fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in H by

	0	1	2
μ^N	**- 0.7**	**- 0.7**	**- 0.6**
μ^P	**1**	**0.5**	**0**

Then we can see that $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy(bipolar fuzzy weak) hyper KU -ideal of *H*.

Example 3.7. Consider the hyper KU -algebra H

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$	$\{2\}$
1	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{2\}$
2	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$	$\{0,2\}$

Define bipolar fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in H by

	0	1	2
μ^N	**- 0.8**	**- 0.6**	**- 0.2**
μ^P	**0.9**	**0.5**	**0.3**

It is easily verified that $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU -ideal of H.

Theorem 3.8. Any bipolar fuzzy (weak, strong) hyper KU-ideal is a bipolar fuzzy (weak, strong) hyper ideal.

Proof. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be a bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of *H*, we get for any x; y; z $\in$ *H*

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \quad \text{(a)}$$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} \quad \text{(b)}$$

Put x = 0 in (a) and (b) , we get

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(0 \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u \in 0 \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u \in (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(0 \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in 0 \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}.$$

Similarly we can prove that , every bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of *H* is bipolar fuzzy strong hyper ideal of *H*. Ending the proof.

Definition 3.9. A bipolar fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in *H* is called bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU-ideal of H if

(i) $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x)$, $\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)$, $\forall x \in H$
(ii) for every $x, y, z \in H$ there exists $a, b \in x \circ (y \circ z)$ such that

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\} \text{ and } \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\}$$

Theorem 3.10. Every bipolar fuzzy s- weak hyper KU-ideal of *H* is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of *H*.

Proof. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be a bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU-ideal of *H*, and let x; y; z $\in$ *H* ,then there exist $a, b \in x \circ (y \circ z)$ such that

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\} \text{ and } \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\}$$

Since $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \inf_{c\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(c)$ and $\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \sup_{d\in(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(d)$, it follows that

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{c\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(c)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{d\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(d)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}.$$

Hence $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is a bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of H

Proposition 3.11. If $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of *H*. satisfying the inf-sup property, then $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is a bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*.

Proof. Since $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ satisfies the inf-sup property, there exists $a_0, b_0 \in x\circ(y\circ z)$, such that $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) = \inf_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a)$ and $\mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0) = \sup_{b\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b)$. i.e

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq \inf_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \text{ and } \mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq \sup_{b\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b)$$

It follows that

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \geq \min\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^P(a)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{b\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} \leq \max\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^N(b)\ ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}.$$

For every $a, b \in x\circ(y\circ z)$ .Hence $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*. Ending the proof.

Proposition 3.12. Let $\phi = (\ H, \mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of *H* and let x; y; z $\in$ H .Then

(i) $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x),\quad \mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)\ ,\ \forall x \in H$
(ii) $x << y \Rightarrow \mu_\Phi{}^P(x) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)$ *and* $\mu_\Phi{}^N(x) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)$.
(iii) $\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\}, \forall a \in x\circ(y\circ z)$,

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z)\le \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b),\mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\},\forall b\in x\circ(y\circ z)$$

Proof. (i) Since $0\in x\circ x\ \forall x\in H$,we have

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0)\ge \inf_{a\in x\circ x}\mu_\Phi{}^P(a)\ge \mu_\Phi{}^P(x),\ \mu_\Phi{}^N(0)\le \sup_{a\in x\circ x}\mu_\Phi{}^N(a)\le \mu_\Phi{}^N(x).$$

Which proves (i).

(ii) Let x; y$\in$ H be such that $x<<y$. Then $0\in y\circ x\forall x,y\in H$ and so

$$\sup_{b\in(y\circ x)}\mu_\Phi{}^P(b)\ge \mu_\Phi{}^P(0),\ \inf_{w\in(y\circ x)}\mu_\Phi{}^N(w)\le \mu_\Phi{}^N(0)$$

It follows from (i) that

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0\circ x)=\mu_\Phi{}^P(x)\ge \min\left\{\sup_{a\in y\circ x}\mu_\Phi{}^P(a),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\right\}\ge \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(0),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\}=\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0\circ x)=\mu_\Phi{}^P(x)\le \max\left\{\inf_{a\in y\circ x}\mu_\Phi{}^P(a),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\right\}\le \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(0),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\}=\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)$$

(iii) $\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z)\ge \min\left\{\sup_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)}\mu_\Phi{}^P(a),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\right\}\ge \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\}\forall a\in x\circ(y\circ z)$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z)\le \max\left\{\inf_{b\in x\circ(y\circ z)}\mu_\Phi{}^N(b),\mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\right\}\le \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b),\mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\}\forall b\in x\circ(y\circ z)$$

we conclude that (iii) is true. Ending the proof.

Note that, in a finite hyper KU-algebra, every bipolar fuzzy set satisfies inf -sup property.Hence the concept of bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -ideals and bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU-ideals coincide in a finite hyper KU -algebra.

Proposition 3.13 . Let $\phi=(H,\mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be a bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-ideal of *H,* then:

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0)\ge\mu_\Phi{}^P(x),\quad \mu_\Phi{}^N(0)\le\mu_\Phi{}^N(x)\ \text{, If }\ \phi=(H,\mu_\Phi{}^P,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$$

satisfies the inf-sup property , then

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z)\ge \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a),\mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\}\text{ and }\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z)\le \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b),\mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\}$$

for every $a,b\in x\circ(y\circ z)$.

Proof. Since $0 << x \quad \forall x \in H$, it follows from Definition 3.5. (I) that $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x)$ *and* $\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)$

Since $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ satisfies the inf-sup property there exists $a_0, b_0 \in x \circ (y \circ z)$, such that $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) = \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a)$ and $\mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0) = \sup_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b)$. Hence

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \geq \min\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} \leq \max\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

Corollary 3.14. (1) Every bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-ideal is a bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal**.**
(2) If $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-ideal satisfies the inf-sup property, then $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*.

Theorem3.15. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be bipolar fuzzy set ,then $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -ideal of *H* if and only if the positive level set Φ_t^P and negative level set Φ_s^N for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1] \times [-1,0]$, are weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*, where the sets $\Phi_s^N = \{x \in H : \mu^N(x) \leq s\}$ and $\Phi_t^P = \{x \in H : \mu^+(x) \geq t\}$ are called the negative level set and the positive level set of $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$, respectively.

Proof. Assume that $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -ideal of *H* and $\Phi_t^P \neq \Phi \neq \Phi_s^N$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1] \times [-1,0]$. It clear from

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{u \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(u), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \tag{a}$$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} \tag{b}$$

That $0 \in \Phi_t^P \cap \Phi_s^N$. Let x; y; z $\in$ *H* be such that $x \circ (y \circ z) \subseteq \Phi_t^P$ and $y \in \Phi_t^P$.

Then for any $a \in x \circ (y \circ z), a \in \Phi_t^P$ .It follows that $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq \alpha$ so that $\inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq \alpha$, thus $\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} \geq \alpha$ and so $x \circ z \subseteq \Phi_t^P$, there for Φ_t^P is weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*.

Now let x; y; z $\in$ *H* be such that $x \circ (y \circ z) \subseteq \Phi_s^N$ and $y \in \Phi_s^N$. Then for any $b \in x \circ (y \circ z), b \in \Phi_{ts}^N$ .It follows that $\mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq \beta$, so that $\sup_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq \beta$. Using

${\mu_\Phi}^N(x \circ z) \le \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (x \circ (y \circ z))} {\mu_\Phi}^N(w) , {\mu_\Phi}^N(y) \right\} \le \alpha$, which implies that $x \circ z \subseteq \Phi_s^N$. Consequently Φ_s^N is weak hyper KU -ideal of *H.*

Theorem 3.16 . Let $\phi = (H, {\mu_\Phi}^P, {\mu_\Phi}^N)$ be bipolar fuzzy set ,then $\phi = (H, {\mu_\Phi}^P, {\mu_\Phi}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of H if and only if the positive level set Φ_t^P and negative level set Φ_s^N for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1] \times [-1,0]$, are hyper KU -ideal of H .

Proof. Assume that $\phi = (H, {\mu_\Phi}^P, {\mu_\Phi}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of H and $\Phi_t^P \ne \Phi \ne \Phi_s^N$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1] \times [-1,0]$. It clear that $0 \in \Phi_t^P \cap \Phi_s^N$. Let x; y; z $\in$ H be such that $x \circ (y \circ z) \subseteq \Phi_t^P$ and $y \in \Phi_t^P$.

Then for any $a \in x \circ (y \circ z), a \in \Phi_t^P$ .It follows that ${\mu_\Phi}^P(a) \ge \alpha$ so that $\inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} {\mu_\Phi}^P(a) \ge \alpha$, thus ${\mu_\Phi}^P(x \circ z) \ge \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} {\mu_\Phi}^P(a), {\mu_\Phi}^P(y) \right\} \ge \alpha$ and so $x \circ z \subseteq \Phi_t^P$, there for Φ_t^P is hyper KU -ideal of H .

Now let x; y; z $\in$ H be such that $x \circ (y \circ z) \subseteq \Phi_s^N$ and $y \in \Phi_s^N$. Then for any $b \in x \circ (y \circ z), b \in \Phi_{ts}^N$ .It follows that ${\mu_\Phi}^N(b) \le \beta$, so that $\sup_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} {\mu_\Phi}^N(b) \le \beta$. Using ${\mu_\Phi}^N(x \circ z) \le \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (x \circ (y \circ z))} {\mu_\Phi}^N(w) , {\mu_\Phi}^N(y) \right\} \le \beta$, which implies that $x \circ z \subseteq \Phi_s^N$.

Consequently Φ_s^N is hyper KU -ideal of *H.*

Conversely, suppose that the nonempty positive and negative level sets Φ_t^P, Φ_s^N are is hyper KU -ideals of *H* for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1] \times [-1,0]$. Let

${\mu_\Phi}^P(x) = \alpha$, ${\mu_\Phi}^N(x) = \beta$ for $x \in H$, then by $0 \in \Phi_t^P$, $0 \in \Phi_s^N$, It follows that. ${\mu_\Phi}^P(0) \ge \alpha$, ${\mu_\Phi}^N(0) \le \beta$ and so ${\mu_\Phi}^P(0) \ge {\mu_\Phi}^P(x)$ *and* ${\mu_\Phi}^N(0) \le {\mu_\Phi}^N(x)$. Now let

$$\min\left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} {\mu_\Phi}^P(a), {\mu_\Phi}^P(y) \right\} = \alpha \text{ and } \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in (x \circ (y \circ z))} {\mu_\Phi}^N(w) , {\mu_\Phi}^N(y) \right\} = \beta$$

Note that, in a finite hyper KU-algebra, every bipolar fuzzy set satisfies inf -sup property. Hence the concept of bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -ideals and bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU-ideals coincide in a finite hyper KU -algebra.

Corollary e 3.17. Every bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal is both a bipolar fuzzy s-weak hyper KU-ideal (a bipolar fuzzy weak hyper ideal) and bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal.

Proof. Straight forward.

Proposition 3.18. Let Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of H and let $x, y, z \in H$. Then

(i) $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x), \quad \mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)$

(ii) if $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ satisfies the inf - sup property, then

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) , \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}, \textit{for some} \quad a \in x \circ (y \circ z)$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) , \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}, \textit{for some} \quad w \in x \circ (y \circ z)$$

Proof. (i) Since 0 << x for each $x \in H$; we have $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(x), \quad \mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)$ by Definition 3.11(i) and hence (i) holds.

(ii) Since $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ satisfies the inf-sup property, there is $a_0, w_0 \in x \circ (y \circ z)$,such that $\mu(a_0) = \inf\limits_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu(a)$ and $\mu(w_0) = \sup\limits_{w \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu(w)$. Hence

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) , \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} = \min\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) , \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}$$

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{w \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(w) , \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} = \min\left\{ \mu_\Phi{}^N(w_0) , \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

which implies that (ii) is true. The proof is complete.

Corollary 3.19. (i) Every bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of *H* is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -idealof *H*.
(ii) If $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of H satisfying *inf –sup* property, then $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P ,\ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy s-weak Hyper KU -ideal of *H*.

Proof. Straightforward.

The following example shows that the converse of Corollary 3.17 and 3.19 (i) may not be true.

Example 3.20. (1) Consider the hyper KU -algebra H

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$	$\{2\}$
1	$\{0\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{1,2\}$
2	$\{0\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1,2\}$

Define bipolar fuzzy set μ in H by

	0	1	2
μ^N	**- 0.7**	**- 0.7**	**- 0.6**
μ^P	**1**	**0.5**	**0**

Then we can see that $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy hyper KU -ideal of *H*. and hence it is also bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU -ideal of *H*. But $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is not bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU -ideal of *H* since

$$\min\left\{\sup_{a\in 0\circ(1\circ 2)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\right\} \geq \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(1), \mu_\Phi{}^P(1)\} = 1/2 \geq 0 = \mu_\Phi{}^P(2), \forall a \in 0\circ(1\circ 2)$$

(2) Consider the hyper KU-algebra H in Example 3.14. Define bipolar fuzzy set $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ in H by

	0	1	2
$\mu_\Phi{}^N$	**- 0.7**	**- 0.7**	**- 0.6**
$\mu_\Phi{}^P$	**1**	**0**	**0.5**

Then $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy weak hyper KU-ideal of H but it is not a bipolar fuzzy hyper KU-ideal of H since 1 << 2 but $\mu_\Phi{}^P(1) \not\geq \ \mu_\Phi{}^P(2)$.

Theorem 3.21. If $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of H, then the set $\mu_{t,s} = \{x \in H, \mu_\Phi{}^P(x) \geq t, \mu^N(x) \leq s\}$ is a strong hyper KU-ideal of H ,when $\mu_{t,s} \neq \Phi, \ for\ t \in [0,1], \ \ s \in [-1,0]$.

Proof. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ be a fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of H and $\mu_{t,s} \neq \Phi, \ for\ t \in [0,1]$. $s \in [-1,0]$.Then there $a, b \in \mu_{t,s}$ and so $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq t, \mu^N(b) \leq s$. By Proposition 3.12 (i), $\mu_\Phi{}^P(0) \geq \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq t, \ \ \mu_\Phi{}^N(0) \leq \mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq s$ and so $0 \in \mu_{t,s}$.

Let $x, y, z \in H$ such that $x\circ(y\circ z) \cap \mu_{t,s} \neq \Phi\ and\ \ y \in \mu_{t,s}$. Then there exist $a_0, b_0 \in x\circ(y\circ z) \cap \mu_{t,s}\ and\ \ hence \ \ \mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) \geq t, \mu^N(b_0) \leq s$. By definition 3.5 (iii), we have

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x\circ z) \geq \min\left\{\sup_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y)\right\} \geq \min\{\mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0), \mu(y)\} \geq \min\{t, t\} = t$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(x\circ z) \leq \max\left\{\inf_{a\in x\circ(y\circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(a), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\right\} \leq \max\{\mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y)\} \leq \max\{s, s\} = s$$

So $(x\circ z) \in \mu_{t,s}$. It follows that $\mu_{t,s}$ is a strong hyper KU -ideal of H .

Theorem 3.22. Let $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy in H satisfying the inf- sup property,. If the set $\mu_{t,s} = \{x \in H, \mu_\Phi{}^P(x) \geq t, \mu^N(x) \leq s\} \neq \Phi$ is a strong hyper KU -ideal of H for all $t \in [0,1]$. $s \in [-1,0]$, then $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy strong hyper KU-ideal of H.

Proof. Assume that $\mu_{t,s} \neq \Phi$ is a strong hyper KU-ideal of H for all $t \in [0,1]$. $s \in [-1,0]$. Then there is $x \in \mu_{t,s}$ such that $x \circ x << x \in \mu_{t,s}$ .Using Proposition 2.8, we have $x \circ x \subseteq \mu_{t,s}$. Thus for $a, b \in x \circ x$, *we have* $a, b \in \mu_{t,s}$ and hence $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq t, \mu^N(b) \leq s$. It follows that $\inf\limits_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq t = \mu_\Phi{}^P(x)$ and $\sup\limits_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq s = \mu_\Phi{}^N(x)$. Moreover let $x, y, z \in H$ and $\mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$, where

$$\alpha' = \min\left\{ \sup_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}, \ \beta' = \max\left\{ \inf_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

By hypothesis $\mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$ is a strong hyper KU-ideal of H.

Since $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ satisfies the ***inf-sup*** property there is $a_0, b_0 \in x \circ (y \circ z)$,such that $\mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) = \sup\limits_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a)$, $\mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0) = \inf\limits_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(b)$. Thus

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(a_0) = \sup_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a) \geq \min\left\{ \sup_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\} = \alpha'$$

and

$$\mu_\Phi{}^N(b_0) = \inf_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b) \leq \max\left\{ \inf_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\} = \beta'$$

This shows that $a_0, b_0 \in \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$, $a_0, b_0 \in x \circ (y \circ z) \cap \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$ and hence $x \circ (y \circ z) \cap \mu_{\alpha', \beta'} \neq \Phi$. Combining $y \in \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$ and noticing that any bipolar fuzzy (weak, strong) hyper KU-ideal is a bipolar fuzzy (weak, strong) hyper ideal., we get $x \circ z \in \mu_{\alpha', \beta'}$. Hence

$$\mu_\Phi{}^P(x \circ z) \geq \min\left\{ \sup_{a \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^P(a), \mu_\Phi{}^P(y) \right\}, \ \mu_\Phi{}^N(x \circ z) \leq \max\left\{ \inf_{b \in x \circ (y \circ z)} \mu_\Phi{}^N(b), \mu_\Phi{}^N(y) \right\}$$

Therefore $\phi = (H, \mu_\Phi{}^P, \mu_\Phi{}^N)$ is bipolar fuzzy strong hyper K U-ideal of *H*.

Acknowledgment

The author is greatly appreciated the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

State any potential conflicts of interest here or "The author declare no conflict of interest".

4. Conclusion

In the present work the bipolar fuzzy hyper structure in KU-algebras is introduced .The concepts of bipolar fuzzy weakly (s-weakly strong) hyper KU-ideals and bipolar fuzzy hyper weakly (s-weakly strong) hyper KU-ideals are studied and their properties are characterized.

The main purpose of our future work is to investigate the following:

- bipolar fuzzy folding theory applied to some types of positive implicative hyper KU-ideals in hyper KU-algebras
- On bipolar fuzzy strong implicative hyper ku-ideals of hyper KU-algebras.
- On bipolar fuzzy positive implicative hyper KU-ideals.
- Super Implicative hyper KU-Algebras.
- bipolar Intuitionistic fuzziness of strong hyperKU-ideals.
- bipolar fuzzy filter theory on hyper KU-algebras.
- On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Implicative Hyper KU-Ideals of Hyper KU-algebras.
- On intuitionistic fuzzy commutative hyper KU-ideals.
- On interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy Hyper KU-ideals of hyper KU- algebras.
- On cubic Implicative Hyper KU-Ideals of Hyper KU-algebras .

Algorithm for hyper KU-algebras

Input (X : set, $\circ$ hyper operation)
Output (" X is a hyper KU-algebra or not")
Begin
If $X = \phi$ then go to (1.);
End If
If $0 \notin X$ then go to (1.);
End If
Stop: =false;
$i := 1$;
While $i \leq |X|$ and not (Stop) do
If $0 \notin x_i \circ x_i$ then
Stop: = true;
End If
$j := 1$

While $j \le |X|$ *and not (Stop) do*
If $0 \notin x_i \circ (y_j \circ x_i)$ *or* $0 \in x_i \circ y_j$ *and* $0 \in (y_j \circ x_i)$ *and* $x_i \ne y_j$*,then*
Stop: = true;
End If
End If
$k := 1$
While $k \le |X|$ *and not (Stop) do*
If $0 \notin (x_i * y_j) \circ ((y_j * z_k) \circ (x_i * z_k))$ *then*
Stop: = true;
End If
End While
End While
End While
If Stop then
Output (" X is not hyper KU-algebra")
Else
Output (" X is hyper KU-algebra")
End If
End.

References

[1] Y. B. Jun, X. L. Xin, E. H. Roh, and M. M. Zahedi, Strong hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebra, Math. Jap. 51(3) (2000), 493-498.
[2] Y. B. Jun, M. M. Zahedi, X. L. Xin, and R. A. Borzooei, On hyper BCK-algebra, Italian J. Pure App. Math., Oxford Ser. 10 (2000), 127-136.
[3] Young Bae Jun and Xiao Long Xin , fuzzy hyperbck{ideals of hyper BCK -algebras. Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, Vol. 4(2001), 415-422
[4] Y. B. Jun, M. S. kang and H. S. Kim ,Bipolar fuzzy hyper bck-ideals in hyper bck-algebras ,Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems Vol . 8, No. 2, (2011) pp. 105-120
[5] Y.B.JunandW.H.Shim,Fuzzy implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK -algebras,Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 29(2) (2002), 63-70.
[6] Y.B.JunandX.L.Xin,Fuzzy hyper BCK -ideals of hyper BCK -algebras, Sci. Math. Jpn.,53(2) (2001), 353-360.
[7] Y.B.Jun,M.M.Zahedi,X.L.XinandR.A.Borzooei, On hyper BCK -algebras, Italian J. of Pure and Appl. Math., 8 (2000), 127-136.
[8] K.M.Lee,Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations, Proc. Int. Conf. on IntelligentTechnologies, Bangkok, Thailand, (2000), 307-312.
[9] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm (1934), 45-49.
[10] S.M. Mostafa, M.A. Abd-Elnaby and M.M.M. Yousef, Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras, Int. Math. Forum, 63(6) (2011) 3139-3149
[11] S. M. Mostafa , F.Kareem , B. Davvaz , Hyper structure theory applied to KU-algebras. Accepted for publication in the Journal of Hyper structures .
[12] S. M.Mostafa , A.T. Hameed , R. A.Ghanem, Hyper structures of KU-algebras: Theorems & fuzzy Applications . Submitted

[13] C.Prabpayak and U.Leerawat, On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras, scientia Magna Journal, 5(1) (2009), 54-57.
[14] C.Prabpayak and U.Leerawat, On isomorphisms of KU-algebras, scientiamagna journal, 5(3) (2009) 25-31.