

Menba Kastamonu Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Fakültesi Dergisi Menba Journal of Fisheries Faculty ISSN 2147-2254 | e-ISSN: 2667-8659

Menba Kastamonu Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Fakültesi Dergisi 2024; 10 (3): 103-112

Arastırma Makalesi/Research Article

Pülümür ve Munzur Nehirlerindeki Bentik Alglerin Deng Entropi Tabanlı Taksonomik Çeşitlilik Ölcümleri

Banu KUTLU^{1*}, Kürşad ÖZKAN², Vesile YILDIRIM³, İmdat KAPLAN³

¹ Basic Science Department, Fisheries Science, Munzur University, TR62000, Tunceli, Türkiye

² Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, Forest Engineering, Isparta, Türkiye

³ Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Fırat University, Elazığ, Türkiye

*E-mail: kutlubanu@gmail.com

Makale Bilgisi :	Öz
Geliş:	Son villarda Deng entropisi büyük ilgi cekmis ve cesitli alanlarda uygulama alanı bulmustur. Deng
15/08/2024	Entropisi, Dempster-Shafer Kanıt Teorisi cercevesinde geliştirilen ve temel olaşılık atamasına dayanan
Kabul Ediliş:	entropik bir denklemdir. Bu çalışmada, Deng entropisine dayalı yeni bir taksonomik çeşitlilik indeksi
23/12/2024	kullanılmıştır. Çalışmada Tunceli'deki Munzur ve Pülümür nehirlerinin bentik alg florası, Ekim 2016 ve
<u>Anahtar Kelimeler</u> : • Biyoçeşitlilik	Eylül 2017 tarihleri arasında toplanan altı örnek alandan elde edilen veriler kullanılarak incelenmiştir. Sonuçlar, önerilen yeni indeksin taksonomik çeşitliliği hesaplamak için uygulanabilir olduğunu göstermektedir. Bununla birlikte bu yeni indeksin performansını daha iyi anlamak için geniş bir ekolojik
• Entropi	veri velpazesi kullanarak diğer geleneksel ve taksonomik cesitlilik indekslerivle karsılaştıran daha fazla
• Deng	çalışmaya ihtiyaç vardır.
• Shannon	

Deng Entropy Based Taxonomic Diversity of Benthic Algae of Pülümür and Munzur Streams

Article	Info	

Received: 15/08/2024 Accepted: 23/12/2024

Keywords:

- Biodiversity
- Entropy
- Deng
- Shannon

Abstract In recent years, Deng entropy has attracted considerable interest and found applications in various fields. Deng Entropy is an entropic equation developed within the framework of the Dempster-Shafer Theory of Evidence and is based on basic probability assignment. In this study, a new taxonomic diversity index based on Deng entropy was used. The study investigated the benthic algal flora of Munzur and Pülümür rivers in Tunceli, using data from six sample sites collected between October 2016 and September 2017. The results indicate that the proposed new index is applicable for calculating taxonomic diversity. However, to better understand the performance of this new index, further studies comparing it with other traditional and taxonomic diversity indices using a wide range of ecological data are needed.

Atıf bilgisi / Cite as: Kutlu, B., Özkan, K., Yıldırım, V. & Kaplan, İ. (2024). Deng Entropy Based Taxonomic Diversity of Benthic Algae of Pülümür and Munzur Streams. Menba Journal of Fisheries Faculty, 10 (3), 103-112. DOI: 10.58626/menba.1533818.

INTRODUCTION

Various indices are used to assess biodiversity. Among them, species richness (Peet, 1974), the Simpson index (Simpson, 1949) and Shannon entropy (Shannon, 1948) are the most preferred. Species richness, Simpson index, and Shannon entropy fall into the category of traditional diversity indices, which are calculated based on presence-absence, abundance, or frequency data of species (Özkan, 2016). Unlike traditional diversity indices, taxonomic diversity indices use character-based presence-absence, abundance, or frequency data of species. Therefore, taxonomic diversity indices do not only rely on numerical data of species, but also provide direct or indirect information on the genetic, functional, and structural characteristics of species.

Another method proposed to calculate taxonomic diversity is the quadratic entropy developed by Rao (1982). This entropy is based on the measurement of distances between pairs of species. These distances can be determined according to the morphological and functional characters of the species or according to the Linnean taxonomy. Another measure of taxonomic diversity developed by Ricotta and Avena (2003) takes into account the abundance values and taxonomic distinctions of species. However, unlike Rao's quadratic entropy, this information-theoretic measure does not rely on the distances between pairs of species.

The most well-known and widely used methods in the field of taxonomic diversity and taxonomic distance indices were developed by Warwick and Clarke (1995). These indices calculate weighted taxonomic differences between species.

This study proposes a new measure (pTO) for assessing taxonomic diversity and/or taxonomic distance. This new measure is based on the Deng entropy, which is one of the entropic measures in the Dempster-Shafer theory. Deng Entropy is a generalized version of Shannon Entropy and was first proposed by Deng (2016). Deng Entropy has become increasingly popular and has been widely applied in various fields such as model definition, risk assessment, and decision-making processes. Unlike entropic measures in information theory, Deng Entropy focuses on measuring uncertainty in fundamental probability assessments. Therefore, the taxonomic diversity measure proposed in this study for the first time differs in conceptual and formulaic structure from the taxonomic diversity measures developed by Pielou (1975), Vane-Wright et al. (1991), Faith (1992), Rao (1982), Warwick and Clarke (1995), and Ricotta and Avena (2003).

The objectives of this study are as follows Calculate Özkan's taxonomic species diversity index for biological species indices at 6 points identified in Pülümür and Munzur stations and compare their performance with Shannon Weaver.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The benthic algae and seasonal variations in the Munzur and Pülümür Rivers were examined through samples collected from 6 stations. These stations are as follows: Station 1 (St1): (39°19'52.6"N 39°03'18.5"E) Located approximately 75 km away from Tunceli in Ziyaret Village; Munzur Gözeler locality (source point of the Munzur River). During spring and summer, the number of springs where water emerges increases, leading to higher flow and velocity. In autumn and winter, the decrease in spring numbers significantly reduces river flow and velocity. The ground consists mainly of stones and gravel. Station 2 (St2): (39°18'02.8"N 39°22'12.4"E) Located in the Munzur Valley, approximately 53 km away from Tunceli, in Aşağı Torunoba village where livestock activities take place. During spring and summer, due to rain and melting snow, the water flow and velocity are high. The ground is composed of stones and fine sand. This point also experiences noticeable discharge of animal manure into the water. Additionally, there is no wastewater treatment algae between stations I and II, including Ovacik town center, leading to domestic wastewater being discharged into the Munzur River. Station 3 (St3): (39°08'03.4"N 39°29'41.0"E) Located in the Munzur Valley, approximately 8 km away from Tunceli, at the Anafatma locality. The ground is gravel and fine sandy. Due to rain and melting snow during spring and summer, and because the river is mainly fed by streams and the channel is narrower and steeper compared to the first two stations, the water flow and velocity are higher. Station 4 (St4): (39°11'35.5"N 39°41'33.8"E) Located in the Pülümür Valley, approximately 18 km away from Tunceli, at the Kutudere locality. The ground is gravel and fine sandy. During spring and summer, rain and melting snow increase the water volume, and due to the steeper and narrower riverbed, the flow rate is occasionally high. There is no wastewater treatment algae in Pülümür town center, leading to domestic wastewater mixing with the Pülümür River. Station 5 (St5): (39°06'23.5"N 39°36'53.0"E) Located in the Pülümür Valley, about 6 km away from Tunceli, in Kocakoç village at Pah Bridge locality. The ground is fine sandy. Due to the influence of rain and melting snow during spring and summer, and more streams joining, the water flow is higher compared to station 4. The ground is flatter and wider, resulting in lower water velocity. Station 6 (St6): (39°06'07.1"N 39°33'36.0"E) Located in the Pülümür Valley, approximately 1 km away from Tunceli, beneath the Batman Bridge (before the confluence of Munzur and Pülümür Rivers). The ground is fine sandy. Due to the influence of rain and melting snow during spring and summer, and more streams joining, the water flow is higher compared to the first two stations. However, due to pollution from wastewater mixing, the water is occasionally turbid. Additionally, near this station, municipal waste is disposed of in an area without modern storage facilities, leading to wastewater and rainwater being carried to streams and from there to the Pülümür River. The study investigated the benthic algal flora of Munzur and Pülümür rivers in Tunceli, using data from six sample sites collected between October 2016 and September 2017. At the bottom of Munzur and Pülümür creeks, samples were taken from 6 points designated to study

epiphytic algae living by attaching to the sediment surface, preferably in the coastal areas where the water flow velocity is lower. A glass tube with a diameter of 1 cm and a length of 100 cm was used. During sampling, one end of the glass pipe was closed with the thumb, the other end was gently touched to the bottom of the water, the closed end was then opened, and the glass pipe was gently moved in a radial direction on the bottom. After filling the glass tube with a thin layer of muddy water taken from the ground, the outer end of the tube was closed again with the finger and the muddy water was poured into 500 ml plastic bottles. Some water was then added to the muddy water (the same amount was used in each process). At the end of the process, the container was labelled with the date, station number and type of sample. The pipe water samples were kept in a dark and cold environment for a while to allow the sludge to settle. The water on top of the settled sludge was then carefully removed without clouding; the sludge remaining at the bottom of the container was thoroughly shaken and spread in petri dishes of 10 cm diameter and 1 cm thickness so that it was evenly distributed throughout the container. After completely removing the settled sludge in the petri dishes with a dropper, the remaining wet sludge was rinsed with a dropper to remove the algae that appeared on the surface of the sludge due to phototaxis. The system of Round (1984) was taken as a basis for the ranking of the algae identified in Munzur and Pülümür streams. Bourelly (1968, 1970), Cox (1996), Hustedt (1930), Krammer, Lange- Bertalot (19786), Prescott (1973), Patrick and Raimer (1975) were taken into consideration for species identification.

Shannon Entropy

 $S = \sum_{i_{-}=1}^{s} Si$ $H = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} pi \log_{b} pi$

The proposed measures by Warwick and Clarke (1995) and Clarke and Warwick (1998) taxonomic diversity (Δ)i taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*), and average taxonomic distinctness (Δ^+) are computed by folloeing equations

$$\Delta = \frac{\sum \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} j x_i x_j + \sum_i o.x_i (x_i - 1)/2}{\sum \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j + \sum_i x_i (x_i - 1)2}$$
$$\Delta^+ = \frac{\sum \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}}{[s(s-1)]s}$$

Where S is the number of species, Wij is the distinctness weight given to the path length linking species i and j according to Linnean taxonomic classification, and Xi aXj are numerical values of i-th species and J-th species respectively.

The results of all measures were defined for each of the 107 sample plots. UTo, To, uTo+ and To+ were then compared to taxonomic diversity indices Species richness (S), Shannon entropy (H) and Simpon's diversity(1-D) using correlation analysis (CoA) and principal component analysis (PCA). S, H and 1-V were computed by using the BİÇEB (A sofware for estimating Biodiversity Components) program (Özkan et al, 2020). In calculations of Δ , Δ^* , and Δ Paleontological Statistics (PAST) software version 1.89 was used (Hammer et al., 2001) Notice that Δ^+ is not found in PAST. However, it is possible to possible to compute it from PAST since taxonomic diversity ($\Delta,\Delta^*, \Delta^+$ values of the sample plots in this way.Software version Where N is the number of basic states is the probality of state i and pi satisfied and b is the basis of the logarithm which accounts for the scaling of H. Although b is arbitrary, b is usually chosen to be 2, and the unit of information entropy is bit. If b is the nature base, then the unit of information entropy will be Nat.

Deng entropy, introduced by Deng in 2015 and 2016 (Deng, 2016), has found application in numerous real-world scenarios. Deng entropy is defined as:

$$Ed = \sum m(Qi) \ln \frac{m(Qi)}{2^{Qi} - 1} = -\sum m(Qi) \ln m(Qi)$$

 $M(F1)=(m(F_1))^0/\sum m(F_i))^0$

Regarding the application of the new proposed measure, the Deng Entropy is calculated for each level according to the Linnean taxonomic system. In this case, Eds, EDg, Edf, Edo, Edo, Edc, Edp and Edk represent the Deng Entropy values at the species (S), genus (G), family (F) order (O) class (C), branch (P) and kingdom (K) levels respectively. It is naturally equal to Shannon Entropy (Eds=H). This is because at the species level the opinion is assigned only to single elements or to each species. In other words, the proportional or numerical values of all elements (species) are known at the species level.

The newly proposed taxonomic diversity (pTo) based on Deng Entropy is calculated as shown below.

$$pTo = \left(\frac{\sum_{nk=0}^{ns} (ns-nk)(w1(e^{Eds}+1(w2(\frac{(e^{Eds})^2}{e^{Edg}}+1))...(w6(\frac{e^{EdS})^2}{e^{Edg}}+1))(w7(\frac{e^{EdS})^2}{e^{EdG}}+1)))}{n_{s+\Sigma nk}}\right)$$

Compution of m(Fi) is based on the following equation, simce Deng entropy-basde taxonomic diversity measure only uses sliced data (Özkan,2018a)

$$m(Fi) = m(Fk)^0 / \sum m(Fk)^0$$

Where $m(Fk)^0=1$ and $m(Fk)^0$ is the number of remaining species at the k-th step. $\sum m(Fk)^0=S$ (the number of species) at the first step(nk=0).

The proposed measure by Warwick and Clarke (1995) and Clarke and Warwick (1998), taxonomic diversity.

$$\Delta = \frac{\sum \sum_{i < j} W^{i} J X^{i} X J + \sum O . X^{i} (X^{i} - 1)/2}{\sum \Sigma^{i}}$$
$$\Delta^{*} = \frac{\sum \sum_{i < j} W_{ij} X_{i} X_{j}}{\sum \sum_{i < j} X X X j} = \frac{\sum W k f k}{\sum f k}$$
$$\Delta^{+} = \frac{\sum \sum_{i < j} W_{ij} X_{i} X_{j}}{[s(s-1)2]}$$

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Deng entropy is a generalization of Shannon entropy. When the basic probability assignment degenerates into a probability distribution, it is the same as Shannon entropy (Deng, 2016). Deng entropy is the fundamental equation for the taxonomic diversity estimator (pTO) proposed by Özkan (2018a, 218b). The Deng entropy-based taxonomic diversity (pTO) estimators derived from Özkan's pTO have been applied using species presence and turnover data from six sample sites in the Pülümür and Munzur Rivers in the Eastern Anatolia Region of Turkey.

The descriptive statistics for the diversity measures are presented in Table 1. In this table, uTO, TO, uTO+, and TO+ represent the estimators derived from Özkan's taxonomic diversity measure (pTO). The mean values of Shannon entropy (H) were found to be 2.57 ± 0.38 and 1.635 ± 0.03 , respectively. The average values for Δ and Δ^* were determined to be 4.12 ± 0.17 (ranging from 3.43 to 4.46) and 4.33 ± 0.13 (ranging from 3.82 to 4.76), respectively. The mean value of Δ + was 4.36 ± 0.13 , with a range of 3.87 to 4.73. The minimum and maximum ranges of the values for uTO, TO, uTO+, and TO+ were determined to be 5.61-7.44, 8.88-12.23, 5.61-7.44, and 8.88-12.23, respectively. The mean values were calculated as 6.02 ± 0.70 for uTO, 15.97 ± 1.09 for TO, 6.02 ± 0.70 for uTO+, and 10.54 ± 1.09 for TO+ (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the Diversity Measure (n=133)

Determining taxonomic diversity, especially based on presence-absence data of species, is of great importance for conservation purposes. As noted by Vane-Wright and colleagues (1991), taxonomic diversity measurements based on proportional values, frequencies, or abundance values of species may not fully provide the information needed to meet conservation objectives. Therefore, taxonomic diversity should be calculated without considering these proportional values, frequencies, or abundances of species. In other words, taxonomic distance measurements based on the presence-absence data of species are a more appropriate approach for conservation purposes, rather than taxonomic diversity measurements based on abundance values of species (Özkan, 2018).

Contrary to the approach of Ricotta and Avena (2003), if we consider the levels in taxonomic trees independently and use Shannon Entropy (*H*) on this basis, we achieve a value of $A_HS=1.63$ at the species level in the community. However, in the community at the station, we obtain values of $B_Hs=1.7917$ and $B_HG=1.0986$ at the species and genus levels, respectively. Since there is only one node at the family level in both communities, the Shannon Entropy values at this level are zero ($A_HF=0$; $B_HF=0$).

Table 2. Results of the To components of the complexes

The results of TO, uTO, uTO+, and TO+ for the complexes are presented in Table 2. As expected, the obtained results for all complexes are in the order of TO+ \ge uTO, uTO+ \ge uTO, TO \ge uTO, and TO+ \ge uTO+.

Therefore, weighted or unweighted taxonomic diversity measurements (uTO+ and TO+) based on Deng Entropy, calculated from presence-absence data of species, can be referred to as taxonomic distance measurements. To evaluate the appropriateness of this naming for uTO+ and TO+, Clarke and Warwick's (1998) method, developed to explain taxonomic distance (Δ +), is used.

Table 3. Numerical distribution of algae in the complexes according to taxonomic levels

In all complexes, there are a total of 132 different species. The highest number of species is found in St3 (83). The species in K3 are distributed across 28 genera, 19 families, 19 orders, 2 classes, and 1 phylum. St1 is the complex with the lowest numerical values (46) at the taxonomic levels. The species in St1 are distributed across 19 genera, 15 families, 12 orders, 2 classes, and 1 phylum (Table 3).(Table 4)

Figure 1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Results of the Diversity Measures

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) also confirmed the correlation results. The first and second axes of the applied PCA explained almost the entire variance, at 82.72%, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, PCA revealed that UT0max, uT0max, T0+max, Tomax, and S Warwick-Clarke behave similarly. Traditional diversity indices (S) are located in the lower regions of the ordination diagram, while uT0max, uT0max+, T0+max, and T0max are located in the upper regions of the ordination diagram (Table).

Table 4. Correlation Analysis Results Among the Diversity Measures

CONCLUSION

One of the most well-known equations of this theory, which has become quite popular in recent years, is Deng Entropy. As previously mentioned, Deng Entropy is a suitable unit of measurement that can be used particularly in risk assessment and decision-making processes. It is specifically designed to cater to these areas of expertise. In these fields, a high entropy value generally indicates a high level of risk and/or difficulty in the decision-making processes (the accuracy of decisions might be low). In other words, Deng Entropy directly provides information about uncertainty; high entropy means high uncertainty.

For complex ecological examples, it has been determined that the components of the newly proposed measurement (pTO) have both the ability to define each other and their differences. In this context, the components of pTO, namely TO, uTO, TO+, and uTO+, have been named respectively as weighted taxonomic diversity measurement, unweighted taxonomic diversity measurement, weighted taxonomic distance measurement, and unweighted taxonomic distance measurement.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

a) Authors' Contributions

Each of the authors contributed 50%.

b) Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

c) Statement of Human Rights

Work does not require a legal permit.

d) Statement of Human Rights

This study does not involve human participants.

REFERENCES

Bourelly, P. (1968). Les Algues D'eau Douce, Initation a la Systematique Tome 2.

Bourelly, P. (1970). Les Algues D'eau Douce, Initation a la Systematique Tome 3.

Cox, E.J. (1996). Identification of Freshwater Diatoms from Live Material. Chapman&Hall. First Edition, 158 pp.

Deng, Y. (2016). Deng entropy. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 91: 549-553https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2016.07.014

Faith, D.P.(1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biological Conservation, 61(1): 1-10.

Husted, F. (1930). Bacillariophyta. Heft 10 Pascher, Die Susswasser Flora Mitteleuropas.Gustav

- Lange-Bertalot, H. (1978). DiatomeenDifferentialarten anstelle von Leitformen: ein geeigneteres Kriterium der Gewässerbelastung, Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement, 51,393-427
- Özkan, K. (2016). How to Measure Biodiversity Components (α, β and γ)? Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Forestry Publication No: 98, ISBN: 976-9944-452-89-2, Isparta
- Özkan, K. (2018a). A new proposed measure for estimating taxonomic diversity. Turkish Journal of Forestry | Türkiye Ormancılık Dergisi, 19(4), 336–346. [CrossRef]DOI: 10.18182/tjf.441061
- Özkan, K. (2018b). Comparing Shannon entropy with Deng entropy and improved Deng entropy for measuring biodiversity when a priori data is not clear. Forestist, 68(2), 136–140.DOI: 10.26650/forestist.2018.340634

Özkan, K., Mert A., Şenol A., & Özdemir S. (2018). Macrotakdivozkan, http://www.kantitatifekoloji.net/takdivozkan

- Özkan, K., Küçüksille, E. U., Mert, A., Gülsoy, S., Süel, H., & Başar, M. (2020.). A software for estimating biodiversity components (BİÇEB), Turkish. Journal of Forestry, 21(3), 344–348.
- Patrick, R., Raimer, C.W. (1975). The Diyatomes of the United States. Volum II. Acad. Sci. Phyladelphia.

Prescott, G.W. (1973). Algae of The Western Great Lake Area. Brown comp. Pub. Dubuque lowa.

Peet, R.K. (1974). The measurement of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 5: 285-307.

Pielou, E.C. (1975). Ecological Diversity. Wiley, Newyork

Rao, C.R. (1982). Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: A unified approach. Theoretical Population Biology, 21(1): 24-43.

Ricotta, C., & Avena, G.C. (2003). An information-theoretical measure of taxonomic diversity. Acta Biotheoretica, 51(1): 35-41.DOI: 10.1023/a:1023000322071

Round F.E., 1984. The Ecology of Algae. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Shannon, C.E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System Technical Journal, 27: 379-423.

Simpson E.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature, 163: 688.

- Vane-Wright R.I., Humphries C.J., and Williams P.H. (1991). What to protect?—Systematics and the agony of choice. Biological Conservation, 55(3): 235-254.
- Warwick, R.M., and Clarke K.R. (1995). New "biodiversity" measures reveal a decrease in taxonomic distinctness with increasing stress. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 129: 301-305.

Tables

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the Diversity Measure (n=133)							
	Min	Max.	Mean	Standard Deviation			
To ⁺	8.80	12.23	10.54	1.09			
UT _o	5.61	7.44	6.02	0.70			
T _o	8.80	12.23	10.54	1.09			
uT_0^{+max}	11.94	10.59	11.28	0.46			
T_0^{+max}	14.29	16.73	15.80	0.86			
uT_0^{max}	10.59	11.94	11.28	0.46			
T_0^{max}	14.29	16.73	15.80	0.86			
Δ	3.47	3.62	3.56	0.12			
Δ^*	3.34	3.42	3.38	0.11			
Δ +	3.66	3.72	3.68	0.12			
S	1.63	2.71	2.20	0.13			

Table 2. Results of the To components of the complexes

Station	uTo	T _o	uT _o +	T _o ⁺
St1	7.44	12.23	11.61	16.40
St2	5.78	10.57	11.17	15.95
St3	5.73	10.51	11.59	16.73

Kutlu et al. Menba Journal of Fisheries Faculty. 2024; 10 (3): 103-112.

St4	5.61	10.39	10.59	15.38
St5	5.96	10.75	11.24	16.03
St6	5.62	8.80	11.11	14.29

Table 3. Numerical distribution of algae in the complexes according to taxonomic levels.

Std Dev	St1	St2	St3	St4	St5	St6
Species	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genus	2.71	4.01	4.06	4.03	3.55	3.62
Family	3.26	5.38	5.42	5.73	5.15	5.23
Order	5.06	8.20	8.52	9.42	8.15	8.40
Class	31.11	50.91	57.27	51.61	47.37	0
Phylum	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kingdom	0	0	0	0	0	0

			Cod				
BACILLARIOPHYTA	Cod	Denticula elegans Kütz.	dnel				
Aulacoseira islandica (Otto müller) Simonsen	aulis	Denticula tenuis Kützing	dnt				
Camplydiscus noricus Ehrenberg ex Kützing	cnor	Diatoma ehrenbergii Kützing	dieh				
Ellerbeckia arenaria Crawford	elar	Diatoma hyemalis (Roth) Heiberg	dihy				
Melosira moniliformis (O.F. Müll.) C.Agardh	melmf	Diatoma meseodon (Ehrenberg) Kützing	dimes				
Melosira undulata (Ehrenberg) Kützing	melun	Diatoma moniliformis Kütz.	dimo				
Meridion circulare var.constricta f.obliquecostata	mcir	D. vulgare Bory	divul				
Achnanthes lanceolata var.hynaldii Breb.	achl	Didymosphenia geminata (Lyngbye) Mart.Schmidt	didg				
Achantes minutissima Kützing	achm	Diploneis subovalis (Hilse) Cleve	dips				
Achnanthes semiaperta Hustedt	achs	Epithemia adnata (Kütz.)	ead				
Amphora ovalis Kützing	amo	Epithemia argus (Ehrenberg) Kützing	ear				
Asterionella formosa Hassall	asfor	Epithemia frickei Krammer	efri				
Caloneis amphisbaena (Bory) Cleve	calam	Epithemia geoppertiana Hilse	egeo				
Caloneis alpestris (Grunow) Cleve	calal	E.sorex Kütz.	esor				
Caloneis schumanniana(Grunow)Cleve	calsc	Eunatia veneris(Kütz.)De Toni	euv				
Caloneis silicula var.tenuis Hustedt	calsi	Fragilaria construens var.binodis	fcons				
Caloneis ventricosa(Ehr.) Meister	calve	(Ehrenberg) Grunow					

 Table 4. List of Epiphytic Diatoms

Cocconeis placentula Ehr.	cocpl	Fragilaria germainii E.Reichardt & Lange-Bertalot	fger
Cymatopleura elliptica Breb. W Smith	ctpe	Fragilaria leptostauron var.martyi	flept
Cymatopleura solea (Breb.)W.Smith	ctps	(Ehrenberg) Hustedt	
Cymbella affinis Kützing	camp	Fragilaria virescens Ralfs	fvir
Cymbella amphisephala var.heroynica Naegeli in Kützing	ccis	Frustulia rhomboides var.saxonica (Robenhorst) De Toni	frur
Cymbella cistula (Ehrenberg)	ccos	Gomphonema angustum C.Agardh	gang
Cymbella cosleyi L.Bahls	ccos	Gomphonema clavatum Ehrenberg	gcla
Cymbella cuspidata Kützing	ccus	Gomphonema constrictum var.capitata (Ehr.)Cleve	gcon
Cymbella hebridica (Grunow ex Cleve) Cleve	cheb	Gomphonema gracile Ehrenberg	ggr
Cymbella helvetica Kützing	chel	Gomphonema minutum (C.Agardh) C.Agardh	gmin
Cymbella excisiformis Krammer	cex	Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Olivaceum var.	gol
Cymbella lanceolata (C.Agardh) Kirchner	clan	Gomphonema parvulum Kützing	gpar
Cymbella lata Grunow ex Cleve	clata	Gomphonema tergestinum (Grunow) Fricke	gter
Cymbella minuta Hilse ex Rabenhorst	cmin	Gomphonema truncatum Ehrenberg	gtru
Cymbella naviculiformis Auerswald ex Heiberg	cnav	Gyrosigma acuminatum (Kützing) Rabenhorst	grac
Cymbella obscura Krasske	cobs	Gyrosigma attenatum (Kützing) Robenhorst	grat
Cymbella obtusiuscula(Kütz.)Grun.	cobt	Gyrosigma nodiferum (Grunow) Reimer	grnod
Cymbella proxima Reimer	cpro	Navicula plicata Donkin	napli
Navicula proctata (W.Smith) Ralfs	napro		
Navicula menisculus Schumann	name		
Navicula plicata Donkin	napli		
Navicula proctata (W.Smith) Ralfs	napro		
Navicula pupula Kützing var.	napu		
Navicula radiosa Kützing	nara		
Navicula salinarum Grunow	nasa		
Navicula schoenfeldii Hustedt	nasho		
Navicula similis Krasske	nasi		
Navicula trivialis Lange-Bertalot	natri		
Navicula viridula var.linearis(Kütz.)	navi		
Neidium ampliatum (Ehrenberg) Krammer	neam		
Neidium binodiformis (Krammer) Lange-Bertalot&N.Angeli	nebi		
Neidium dubium (Ehrenberg) Cleve	nedu		

Neidium productum (W.Sm.) Cleve	nepro
Nitzschia amphibia Grunow	niam
Nitzschia brevissima Grunow	nibr
Nitzschia constricta Kützing	nicon
Nitzschia flexa Schumann	nifx
Nitzschia flexoides Geitler	niflx
Nitzschia heufleriana Grunow	nihf
Nitzschia incognita Legler&Krasske	ninc
Nitzschia intermedia Hantzsch	nint
Neidium ampliatum (Ehrenberg) Krammer	neam
Neidium binodiformis (Krammer) Lange-Bertalot&N.Angeli	nebi
Neidium dubium (Ehrenberg) Cleve	nedu
Neidium productum (W.Sm.) Cleve	nepro
Nitzschia amphibia Grunow	niam
Nitzschia brevissima Grunow	nibr
Nitzschia constricta Kützing	nicon
Nitzschia flexa Schumann	nifx
Nitzschia flexoides Geitler	niflx
Nitzschia heufleriana Grunow	nihf
Nitzschia incognita Legler&Krasske	ninc
Nitzschia intermedia Hantzsch	nint

Table 4. Correlation Analysis Results Among the Diversity Measures

	uTo	То	uTo+max	To+max	uTomax	Warwick clarck	S	
uTo								
То	0.40	0.36						
uTo+max	0.42	0.78	0.66					
uTomax	0.40	0.36	1.00	0.66				
Tomax	0.42	0.78	0.66	1.00	0.66			
Warwick clarck	0.20	0.60	0.89	0.58	0.94	0.58		
S	0.22	0.45	0.36	0.58	0.36	0.58	0.72	1

Figures



Figure 1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Results of the Diversity Measures