

# Micromorphological Investigations on Pollen Samples of Four Yellow Flowered Taxa of *Crocus* L. (Iridaceae) from Turkey

Feyza CANDAN<sup>1</sup> Teoman KESERCİOĞLU<sup>2</sup> Levent ŞIK<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Celal Bayar University Faculty of Arts and Science Department of Biology, 45030 Muradiye, Manisa, TÜRKİYE
<sup>2</sup> Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Education Department of Biology, 45030 Muradiye, Manisa, TÜRKİYE

*Corresponding Author	Received: April 13, 2009
e-mail: levents@bayar.edu.tr	Accepted: May 30, 2009

## ABSTRACT

In this study, yellow flowered taxa of *C. an cyrensis* (Herbert) Maw, *C. sieheanus* Barr ex Burtt, *C. flavus* Weston subsp. *flavus* and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus* T. Baytop & Mathew were examined in the point of pollen micromorphology.

Accordingly, it was aimed that pollen micromorphological characteristics of the plant samples could be used as a taxonomic criterion considerably or not. In respect to that purpose, exine structure of pollens as regards samples was examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM). On the other hand, differences between taxa were evaluated.

As a result of that investigation, pollen exine ornamentation related to studied four taxa of *Crocus* L. genus were observed different to some extent and could be used as vice for taxonomic characteristics.

Key Words: Crocus spp, pollen micromorphology, taxonomical significance

## INTRODUCTION

Crocus species (about 85 species) show distribution only in northern hemisphere. Most of the Crocus species are found in Mediterranean basin. Their distribution extends from Portugal and Morocco on the west to Kyrgyzstan and Senyang state of Western China and Ala Tau and Tien Shan Mountains of Mongolia on the east. Most of the taxa defined are in Balkans and Turkey. Number of the taxa decreases rapidly out of these regions [1].

All of the Crocus species, 70 taxa show distribution in Turkey [2–4]. Due to taxon diversity, Turkey might be considered as the homeland of Crocus.

Erdtman [5] reported some information about pollens of Iridaceae family.

Brighton et al. [6], furthermore, informed that intraspecific variation was seen in some species and some groups have significant and steadily increasing taxonomic characteristics. However, they also noted that such studies as SEM imaging of pollen and germ testa, pollen fertility, improvement works, DNA measuring and hybridization are required. Furness and Rudall [7] investigated "inaperturate pollen in monocotyledones". They stated that some Crocus species (e.g., Crocus sativus and related species) have inaperturate pollen with a thin exine and spinules. Other Crocus species spiraperturate or polyrugoidate. Chichiricco [8], made a study in a number of Crocus species as regards developmental stages of the pollen wall and tapetum, together with exine morphology by light and scanning electron microscopy. Işık and Oybak Dönmez [9], investigated 29 taxa of Crocus genus in the point of palinology. Şık et al. [10] pointed out that high level of genetic variation detected among sampled 19 Crocus species. According to this study, differences were observed between classifications in the point of morphologic and molecular peculiarities.

Pollen morphology of Turkish Amaryllidaceae, Ixioliriaceae and Iridaceae was presented by Oybak Dönmez and Işık [11]. In this study, pollen morphological data for Crocus L. taken from their previously published [9] accounts were also included in their last report [11] to provide an overall synthesis of the pollen characteristics of the three families in Turkey. Accordingly, in this investigation 4 taxa of Crocus L. genus that is naturally spreading in Turkey and flowering at spring were examined. Pollens of these taxa were investigated with scanning electron microscope (SEM). As a result, it was discussed that pollen micromorphological peculiarities could be taxonomic characters or not.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Information on the localities from which the plants constituting the study materials is as follows:

A. C. ancyrensis (Herbert) Maw: Bolu, between Abant Lake and Mudurnu, N 40°34' EO 31°15', 1050 m, 15.03.2004.

B. C. s ieheanus Barr ex Burtt: Adana, Pozantı, Aladağlar, N 37°39' EO 34°57', 1500 m, 27.03.2005.

C. *C.flavus* Weston *subsp. favus*: Çanakkale, Ayvacık, N 39°42' EO 26°23', 160 m, 20.03.2005.

D. C. flavus Weston subsp. dissectus T. Baytop & Mathew: Manisa, Kırkağaç, N 39°08' EO 27°39', 240 m, 31.01.2005.

For identification of the plants, the book "Flora of Turkey" was used [2,3].

Pollen grains for SEM were fixed in acetic acid and alcohol (1:3) or treated for 60 min. with chloroformcarbon disulfide (1:1) to remove the pollenkitt [12]. The samples were then, dehydrated in an ethanol series and critical point dried with  $CO_2$  [8]. They were gold coated and JEOL JSM-6060 model scanning electron microscope was used for observing their surface structures.

### Findings

Pollen types, shapes; polar and equatorial diameters; ornamentations and exine structures belong to *C. ancyrensis*, *C. sieheanus*, *C. flavus* subsp. *flavus* and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus* taxa were given in Table 1.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Pollen and seed morphology are both good characteristics for taxa differentiation at systematic botany. Especially, they can be useful and efficacious criterions for two near taxa distinction.

Erdtman [5] stated pollens of family members of Iridaceae generally 1-sulcate (rarely 2-sulcate), spirapertur(oid)ate or nonaperturatae. Erdtman [5] and Mathew [1] reported 3 aperture, (nonaperturatae, spiraperturatae and polyrugoidate) after preliminary study with *Crocus* pollens. In our samples, spiral furrows are very obvious on pollens of taxa relating *C. ancyrensis* and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus*. On the other hand, *C. sieheanus* and *C. flavus* subsp. *flavus* taxa have nonaperturatae type pollens. (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4; Table 1)

Mathew [1] said a comprehensive study of pollens can give useful knowledge about evolutionary conjecture.

According to the prevalent evolutionary trends, the furrows may be considered as a primitive character, the pores as a specialization, and the colpi as intermediate between the two [13]. Thus on the basis of exine morphology, the pollen of *C. ancyr ensis* and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus* would be classed as more primitive than the pollen of *C. sieheanus* and *C. flavus* subsp. *flavus*.

Işık and Oybak Dönmez [9] determined pollens of all C. ancyr ensis, C. sieheanus and C. fla vus species as spheroidal shaped. In this study, pollens of four taxa determined as prolate spheroidal and spheroidal shaped (Table 1). At the same time, taxa don't show correlation with pollen diameters and chromosome numbers. Generally, it is accepted that pollen diameters increase with chromosome numbers but Işık and Oybak Dönmez [9] reported some Crocus taxa with small pollens but more chromosomes and some of taxa with big pollens but less chromosomes, like C. fle ischeri Gay, 2n=20, C. kotschyanus subsp. cappadocicus Mathew, 2n=10 and C. cancellatus Herbert subsp. damascenus (Herbert) Mathew, 2n=8, 10, 12 [9]. The chromosome numbers of C. ancyrensis as 2n=12+1B, C. sieheanus as 2n=16 and C. flavus as 2n=8 were determined [14]. According to studied taxa pollen size, C. sieheanus species both have the biggest pollen if the mean values considered and the most chromosomes.

Oybak Dönmez and Işık [11] stated values of pollens related to *C. ancyrensis* as 63-88  $\mu$ , *C. sieheanus* as 59-113  $\mu$  and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus* as 80-98  $\mu$  were given. According to current study, prolate spheroidal shaped pollens were sometimes observed so that polar and equatorial diameters were given as a first time. Some differences can be seen between diameters related to pollens given with that study and literature (Table 1). On the other hand, pollen micromorphological features of *C. flavus* subsp. *flavus* were determined with that study, firstly.

According to Işık and Oybak Dönmez [9], pollen samples exine structures of *Crocus* were found as echinate (spinulate)-microperforate. As a result of current study, exine structure was observed as scabrate relating all study materials (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4).

In respect of literature, pollen morphological characters are also one of the data considered at taxonomical studies. In this study, pollens of *C. ancyrensis, C. sieheanus* and *C. flavus* species with yellow flowers at February and March, were studied in a detailed way with scanning electron microscope as a first time. According to results, pollen surface differences confirmed that they have a taxonomical importance on taxonomy of Iridaceae family. However, it appears that some of the pollen micromorphological characters like pollen type and ornamentation are useful to separate *C. ancyrensis, C. sieheanus, C. flavus* subsp. *flavus* and *C. flavus* subsp. *dissectus.* 

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mathew B. 1982. The *Crocus*, a revision of the genus *Crocus* (Iridaceae), B.T. Batsford Ltd. London.
- [2] Mathew B. 1984. Crocus L. in Davis, P.H. (eds.), Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. 8:413-438, Edinburgh University Press., Edinburgh.
- [3] Mathew B. 1988. Crocus L. in Davis PH, Mill RR & Tan K (eds). Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands (supplement I). 10:228, Edinburgh University Press., Edinburgh.
- [4] Mathew BF. 2000. Crocus L. in: Güner A, Özhatay N, Ekim T & Başer KHC (eds.) Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands (supplement II). 11:271-274, Edinburgh University Press., Edinburgh.
- [5] Erdtman G., 1969. Handbook of Palynology, Hafner Publishing Co., 21-77, New York
- [6] Brighton CA., Mathew B., Marchant CJ., 1973. Chromosome counts in the genus *Crocus* (Iridaceae). Kew Bulletin, 28(3):451-464.
- [7] Furness CA., Rudall PJ., 1999. Inaperturate pollen in monocotyledons. Int. J. Plant Sci. 160(2):395-414.
- [8] Chichiricco G., 1999. Developmental stages of the pollen wall and tapetum in some *Crocus* species, Grana 38:31-41.

- [9] Işık S., Oybak Dönmez E., 2006. Pollen morphology of some Turkish *Crocus* L. (Iridaceae) species, Acta Biologica Cracoviensia, Series Botanica, 48/1:85-91, 2006.
- [10] Şık L., Candan F., Soya S., Karamenderes C., Kesercioglu T., Tanyolac, B., 2008. Genetic variation among *Crocus* L. species from Western Turkey as revealed by RAPD and ISSR markers. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences, 2(2):73-78.
- [11] Oybak Dönmez E., Işık, S., 2008. Pollen morphology of Turkish Amaryllidaceae, Ixioliriaceae and Iridaceae. Grana 47:15-38.
- [12] Nepi M., Ciampolini F., Pacini E., 1995. Development of *Cucurbita pepo* pollen:Ultrastructure and histochemistry of the sporoderm. Can. J. Bot. 73:1046-1057.
- [13] Blackmore S., Barnes SH., 1990. Pollen wall development in Angiosperms.–In:Microspores, evolution and ontogeny, 173-192, Academic Press, London.
- [14] Candan, F., 2007. Morphological, anatomical, cytological and palinological studies on species and subspecies of *Crocus* L. genus as ancyrensis, sieheanus, chrysanthus and flavus. PhD Thesis, Celal Bayar University, Science Institute, Manisa, Turkey.

Таха	Pollen Type	Shape	Ρ (μm)	Е (µm)	Ornamentation	Structure
C. ancyrensis	Sp	M PS S	$65.1 \pm 2.3 (64.3 - 70.2) (61.9 - 66.6)$	$63.7 \pm 2.2$ (61.9 - 69.0) (61.9 - 66.6)	Scabrate (TRSS)	Tectate
C. sieheanus	Na	M PS S	$75.9 \pm 16.4 (69.0 - 92.8) (46.6 - 95.0)$	$74.0 \pm 15.7$ (66.6 - 90.4) (46.6 - 95.0)	Scabrate (TRSS)	Tectate
C. flavus subsp. flavus	Na	M PS S	$65.3 \pm 2.0$ (64.3 - 66.6) (63.1 - 66.6)	$64.3 \pm 1.2 (63.1 - 65.5) (63.1 - 66.6)$	Scabrate (DS)	Tectate
C. flavus subsp. dissectus	Sp	M PS S	$\begin{array}{c} 66.2 \pm 2,5 \\ (63.1 - 66.9) \\ (61.9 - 71.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.6 \pm 3.0 \\ (59.5 - 67.1) \\ (61.9 - 71.4) \end{array}$	Scabrate (VDS)	Tectate

#### **Table 1.** Pollen micromorphological findings related to four taxa

[Abbreviations: **Sp:** spiraperturate; **Na:** nonaperturatae; **M:** mean value and standard deviation; **PS:** prolate spheroidal; **S:** spheroidal; **E:** equatorial diameter; **P:** polar diameter; **TRSS:** thin reticulate, sparsely spinulate; **DS:** dense spinulate; **VDS:** very dense spinulate]. (Minimum and maximum values of pollen diameters in parenthesis)



Fig. 1. SEM images of C. ancyrensis; a) general view of pollen, b) closer view of reticulation, c) exine sculpture with spinules.



Fig. 2. SEM images of C. sieheanus; a) general view of pollen, b) closer view of reticulation, c) exine sculpture with spinules.



Fig. 3. SEM images of C. flavus subsp. flavus; a) general view of pollen, b) closer view of reticulation, c) exine sculpture with spinules.



Fig. 4. SEM images of C. flavus subsp. dissectus; a) general view of pollen, b) closer view of reticulation, c) exine sculpture with very dense spinules.