



From Utopian Visions to Dystopian Revelations in Arthur C. Clarke's *Childhood's End*

Arthur C. Clarke'ın *Çocukluğun Sonu* Romanında Ütopya Tasavvurlarından Distopya
Keşiflerine

Ece ÇAKIR*, Alev KARADUMAN**

Abstract

Characterized by their emphasis on order, harmony, and societal perfection, utopias as the images of ideal societies often necessitate restrictions that paradoxically lead to their unravelling into dystopian realities. In essence, the rigid control over individual freedom and the pursuit of a singular vision of perfection in the structure of a utopia aligns closely with the characteristics of a totalitarian state. This inherent authoritarianism reveals the dystopian core at the heart of utopian ideals. Shaped by world wars, totalitarian regimes, and technological advancements, the twentieth century marks a significant turning point in utopian narratives where the historical context affected by attempted utopias led to dystopian aftermaths. Accordingly, this transformation is a prominent theme in many science fiction works of the twentieth century. Speculating on the possibilities of various futures, forms of social order, and the unintended consequences of utopian attempts, these works offer a critical perspective to utopian ideologies and expose the fragility of such visions when confronted with human nature and repression. In this light, this article examines the complex relationship between utopia and dystopia in Arthur C. Clarke's *Childhood's End* (1953). In this science fiction novel, Clarke presents two contrasting utopian visions: one imposed by the external intervention of the Overlords, and another pursued by humanity itself. Through these dual visions, the narrative exposes the inherent flaws in the pursuit of a perfect society built upon ideals of peace and harmony, exemplified in the Golden Age established by the alien Overlords and humanity's self-directed experiment of New Athens. Both attempts at establishing utopia, despite their initial promise, reveal underlying dystopian undercurrents where the ideal society remains perpetually elusive. By problematizing the utopian impulse and serving as a cautionary tale about the dangers of repression, Clarke's work illustrates that in the quest for perfection, utopias invariably conceal the seeds of their own destruction, transforming the dream of an ideal society into a dystopian reality.

Keywords: Utopia, dystopia, repression, Arthur C. Clarke, *Childhood's End*.

Öz

İdeal bir toplumun imgesi olarak düzen, uyum ve toplumsal mükemmeliyetin ön planda olduğu ütopyalar, genellikle paradoksal bir şekilde distopik gerçekliklere dönüşmelerine sebep olan kısıtlamaları gerektirir. Temelde, bir ütopyanın

* Dr., Independent Scholar. E-mail: ececakir4@yahoo.com, ORCID: 0000-0003-4255-2471

** Assoc. Prof. Dr., Hacettepe University, Faculty of Letters, Department of English Language and Literature. E-mail: karaduman@hacettepe.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-5865-7396



yapısındaki bireysel özgürlüğün katı bir şekilde kontrol edilmesi ve tek bir mükemmeliyet vizyonunun peşinden gidilmesi, totaliter bir devletin özellikleriyle yakından örtüşmektedir. Bu doğal otoriterlik, ütopyacı ideallerin özündeki distopik temeli açığa çıkarır. Dünya savaşları, totaliter rejimler ve teknolojik ilerlemelerle şekillenen yirminci yüzyıl, ütopyacı anlatılarda önemli bir dönüm noktası kabul edilir; tarihsel bağlam, ütopya girişimlerinin distopya ile sonuçlanmasından etkilenmiştir. Bu dönüşüm, bu bağlamda yirminci yüzyıl bilimkurgu eserlerinin önemli bir parçasını oluşturur. Çeşitli geleceklerin, toplumsal düzen biçimlerinin ve ütopyacı girişimlerin istenmeyen sonuçlarının olasılıklarını sorgulayan bu eserler, ütopyacı ideolojilere eleştirel bir bakış açısı sunar ve bu tür tasavvurların insan doğası ve baskıyla karşılaştığında ne kadar kırılğan olduğunu ortaya koyar. Bu doğrultuda, bu makale Arthur C. Clarke'ın *Çocukluğun Sonu* (1953) eserinde ütopya ve distopya arasındaki karmaşık ilişkiyi inceler. Clarke bu bilim kurgu romanında biri Hükümdarların dış müdahalesiyle dayatılan, diğeri ise insanlık tarafından çabalanan iki zıt ütopya vizyonu sunar. Bu ikili vizyonlar aracılığıyla anlatı, barış ve uyum idealleri üzerine kurulu mükemmel bir toplum arayışındaki içsel kusurları ortaya çıkarır; bu toplumlar, uzaylı Hükümdarlar tarafından kurulan Altın Çağ ve insanlığın kendi kendine yönlendirdiği Yeni Atina ütopik şehri ile örneklendirilmiştir. Her iki ütopya girişimi de ilk vaatlerine rağmen altta yatan farklı distopik alt kavramları gözler önüne serer ve ideal toplum kavramının erişilmez kaldığını gösterir. Clarke'ın eseri ütopyacı dürtüyü sorunsallaştırıp baskının tehlikeleri hakkında bir uyarı niteliği kazanarak, mükemmeliyet arayışındaki ütopyaların kaçınılmaz olarak kendi yıkımlarının tohumlarını barındırdığını ve ideal toplum hayalini distopik bir gerçekliğe dönüştürdüğünü ortaya koymaktadır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Ütopya, distopya, baskı, Arthur C. Clarke, *Çocukluğun Sonu*.

Introduction

The conception of an ideal society and whether that is possible are integral points of discussion in literary and political narratives. Accordingly, rooted in the premise of displacing social and political structures that are dysfunctional, utopias offer a better state of existence. Essentially, a utopia is an imaginary society with an orderly system that functions on the principles of harmony, justice and order (Suvin, 1979, pp. 38-40). In that sense it is “a kind of reaction to an undesirable present and an aspiration to overcome all difficulties by the imagination of possible alternatives” (Vieira, 2010, p. 7). However, as its Greek origin in *eu-topia* (good place) and *u-topia* (no place) suggests, a utopia is also a paradox. As Vieira (2010) points out, this “perennial duality of meaning of utopia as the place that is simultaneously a non-place and a good place” (p. 3) carries questions regarding its possibilities. Historical reality is often a testament to how utopianisms can result in dangerous outcomes, for as Sargisson (2007) notes “if we identify Utopia with perfection and attempt to achieve it, the consequences could be terrible” (p. 31). The search for ideal is not only impossible, then, but also dangerous, for it may result in dystopian realities. Accordingly, this article explores the intricate interplay of utopias and dystopias in Arthur C. Clarke's *Childhood's End* (1953) where the contrasting visions of utopia reveal the inherent fragility of idealized societies. By juxtaposing two utopian visions that ultimately reveal the flaws beneath their promises, Clarke demonstrates how the pursuit of perfection invariably fosters dystopian consequences, and how such aspirations may turn into cautionary tales of repression and annihilation.

If utopia is the concept of the good state established on justice, harmony, and prosperity, dystopia is the opposite. Rooted in the Greek word *dus* meaning “diseased, bad, fault or unfavourable,” (Claeys, 2017, p. 4) dystopias illustrate oppressive and grim worlds where the dream concludes in a nightmare. As Moylan (2000) explains, these societies are riddled with “exploitation, repression, state violence, war, genocide, disease, famine, ecocide, depression, debt, and steady depletion of humanity through the buying and selling of everyday life” (p. xi). In their undesirability dystopian narratives serve a dual purpose: both as a critique of the unchecked and unbalanced power relations at the heart of a society, and as a warning against what may happen if they remain so. It is then important to note that many utopian scholars acknowledge dystopia as a “failed utopia,” (Claeys, 2017, p. 5) the two concepts sharing a common basis, for the totalitarian states in which freedom and individuality are repressed are often the consequences of intensified utopianisms.

With this in mind, the late half of the twentieth century marks a turning point in utopian imagination, transforming the search for utopia into a frightening reality. In the twentieth century deeply affected by the aftermath of two World Wars and a new increase in different political ideologies, people were forced to perceive the world in new ways. Regarded as a political conflict between the United States of America and

the Soviet Union on a series of technological races to ensure their supposed cultural, political, and military superiority, the Cold War (1947-1991) was “the global competition and confrontation short of all-out war between the two superpowers” (Erickson, 1999, pp. 135-136). Though there was no large-scale fighting between the nations, since each side had its own nuclear deterrent that would lead to a mutually assured destruction, challenges were via proxy wars, propaganda campaigns, psychological warfare, or technological rivalry such as the Space Race. “During the Cold War,” Jameson (2005) argues, “Utopia had come to designate a program which . . . betrayed a will to uniformity and the ideal purity of a perfect system that had to be imposed by force on its imperfect and reluctant subjects” (p. 11). Accordingly, two different utopian ideologies that aimed to re-formulate the existing system for a better alternative, namely capitalism and communism, remodeled the search for utopia into something illusory and dangerous. With their historical reality of totalitarianism, repression, loss of individuality and agency, such practices emphasized the inherent dystopic quality within utopian ideals.

Correspondingly, the transformation of utopian dreams into dystopian realities is perhaps best seen in the science fiction genre as the world itself became increasingly dystopian amid tension and foreboding. Science fiction was established as “a sub-genre in which science and technology predominate thematically – utopically, when expressed positively, or dystopically, when used negatively” (Claeys, 2011, p. 163). Scrutinizing certain conflicts of the present day, these narratives speculate on the consequences of good and bad choices, debate possible futures and societies, as well as criticize existing power structures. Hence, underneath the technological adventure stories these works serve a higher purpose than mass entertainment. The themes of cosmic voyages, space exploration, alien encounters, a fear of invasion, or mechanical societies specifically in the mid-to-late twentieth century reflect the historical context of the time. Accordingly, science fiction works of this period searching for the ideal society end in dystopias, which become “extensions of our base-reality, closely related to it or caricatures of it, rather than being disjunctive alternatives, determined not simply by their nightmarish quality but by their relationship with the actual world of the reader” (Norledge, 2022, p. 2).

Often acknowledged as a key figure in science fiction genre, Arthur C. Clarke (1917-2008) is known for his innovative and intricate stories combining socio-political reality with science fiction themes. He is one of the leading science fiction writers between 1950 and 1970 alongside Robert Heinlein and Isaac Asimov, named as the Big Three. Clarke’s body of work contains over seventy novels of fiction and non-fiction, numerous short stories, the script and novelization of Stanley Kubrick’s influential movie *2001: A Space Odyssey* (1968) amidst his scientific engineering work in the development of spacecraft and launch systems during the Cold War space race, which brought him numerous awards over his lifetime (Rabkin, 2006, pp. 89-90). His experiences during World War II as a radar instructor and technician for the Royal Air Force, further work in geostationary orbits for satellites to ensure global telecommunication, and role as a chairman in the British Interplanetary Society to support many possibilities of space exploration are reflected as the backdrop of his science fiction stories (Rabkin, 2006, pp. 35-37). It would not be surprising to note that his scientific knowledge and imagination would have an impact on history; reportedly it was Clarke’s novel *The Exploration of Space* (1951) that convinced President John F. Kennedy that space exploration, and reaching the Moon was possible (Das, 2008). Nevertheless, Clarke’s significance lays not only in his imagination but also in his critical perspective. Within the tales of alien invasion, space exploration, or technological societies Clarke incorporates a critical outlook that problematizes utopianisms, specifically the dangerous consequences of the search for an ideal, the discipline and control it requires for maintenance, all under the guise of freedom and prosperity. In this light, *Childhood’s End* (1953) is an interesting exploration of utopian formation at a time of historical turbulence. Despite its status as a classic of science fiction genre, the novel has received limited scholarly attention as it remains overshadowed by Clarke’s other works. Thus, this article addresses this gap by situating the novel within broader discussions of utopian and dystopian conceptions. Arguing that the novel serves as a nuanced critique of the utopian impulse and the potentials of dystopian consequences, this study seeks to deepen the literary understanding of Clarke’s work in the canon of science fiction.

Beneath its science fiction veneer, *Childhood’s End* offers a profound assessment of utopian ideologies. Opening with a seemingly peaceful alien invasion, the novel introduces the Overlords:

supremely intelligent beings who promise to usher in an era free from misery and war. Their intervention creates a system reminiscent of the mythical Golden Age, lasting for decades in a façade of peace and prosperity, yet under their absolute control. This power is met with resistance only from the minority faction of the Freedom League, whose rebellion and attempts to create an alternative governance fail in the face of overwhelming control. Interestingly, the power structure also remains invisible; the Overlords remain hidden for fifty years, only revealing their true form – towering, horned creatures resembling traditional depictions of Satan – once their power has been normalized. This delayed revelation indicates the central irony: while initially perceived as ideal, this utopian world the Overlords construct harbors an unsettling truth. As the narrative unfolds, it is revealed that the ultimate goal of the Overlords extends beyond a utopian governance. As intermediaries of a higher cosmic intelligence, they are essentially guiding humanity toward a final evolution, which involves humanity's transcendence to merge with the Overmind – a collective consciousness that ultimately signals humanity's extinction. Accordingly, through the trajectory of protagonists and their interactions with the Overlords and the Overmind, in this work Clarke challenges the notion that ideal societies are ever sustainable or universally beneficial. Regarding the complex utopian configurations and their temporalities, the novel makes its mark in the utopian tradition as “of all utopian science fiction, the most widely respected and enthusiastically read is *Childhood's End* . . . it is both a particular story and a survey of the development of utopian thought, thereby defining a substantial portion of the range of science fiction” (Scholes and Rabkin, 1977, p. 216). In that sense, it is essential to examine the novel to see how it aligns with the broader utopian tradition, as well as to see the social commentary on the intersection of utopia, dystopia, and annihilation.

Utopian Conceptions to Dystopian Outcomes

The arrival of the Overlords initiates the novel's first utopian vision, one that reflects and critiques mid-twentieth century geopolitical tensions. Clarke begins with a Cold War allegory, portraying two ex-German rocket scientists aligned with opposing superpowers, one in the East and the other in the West, competing to dominate space exploration. This allusion to the space race between the United States and Soviet Union underscores humanity's fixation on rivalry and technological dominance as a utopian impulse in history. However, this pursuit of progress is abruptly overshadowed when alien ships descend on Earth, symbolizing humanity's subordination to a higher power. One scientist's reaction upon witnessing the invasion with the remark, “for the first time in his life he knew despair” (Clarke, 1953, p. 9) encapsulates the novel's tension: the promise of a utopian future is tinged with existential unease.

Generally, in science fiction works the theme of alien invasion conveys an external threat coming with malevolent intentions on humanity. Rather than presenting the Overlords as such invaders, Clarke subverts this trope by depicting them as benevolent overseers. They position themselves as humanity's saviors, aiming to unify warring nations and foster a global civilization grounded in peace and justice. In this duty, this alien race has come to save mankind from its folly, or as General Stormgren explains this mission, “[t]idying up our world and civilizing the human race” (p. 52). Hence, the mythical Golden Age of utopia where humanity lived in ease and prosperity is re-created on Earth by an external influence. With the dissolution of national boundaries and socio-political differences under a single world government, people globally live a life of union and co-existence. They all speak English, much of the religions have collapsed under secularism, and people can watch history play out via the time-viewer that the Overlords have given, allowing them to see what the prophets and religious leaders had truly been like. Since the Overlords placed a ban on violence and war, there is almost no crime and few psychological problems. Only pleasure and prosperity for all remain. As Clarke (1953) states, “[b]y the standards of all earlier ages, it was Utopia. Ignorance, disease, poverty and fear had virtually ceased to exist. The memory of war was fading into the past as a nightmare vanishes with the dawn: soon it would lie outside the experience of all living men” (p. 59). It is a highly technological world where all comfort and luxury of science is provided by the Overlords so that as humanity prospers “there was nowhere on the planet where science and technology could not provide one with a comfortable home, if one wanted it badly enough” (p. 91). The only duty given

to humanity is to exist enjoying the comfort and opportunities of this utopia, and not go against the order of things by resisting the directives of the Overlords.

Yet, all this pleasure and peace is only a surface. In this Golden Age with all the apparent progress, there is little scientific research and curiosity. It seems that the need to create, invent and question dissolves, because for the majority “it seemed futile to spend a lifetime searching for secrets the Overlords had probably uncovered ages before” (p. 63). Accordingly, life turns bland and inert, the languid days follow one another and humanity becomes stuck in monotony. As Clarke (1953) depicts, “[t]he end of strife and conflict of all kinds had also meant the virtual end of creative art. There were myriads of performers, amateur and professional, yet there had been no really outstanding new works of literature, music, painting or sculpture for generations” (p. 64). In the words of Rothstein (2003) this is a consequence of utopia, boredom and stagnation results from a lack of curiosity and drive:

What is one actually to do in a utopia? What sort of life is possible when all desires are satisfied? In the monotonous world of utopias, distinctions and judgments become difficult to make; virtue and horror run together. . . Pick a virtue and watch it turn to vice. (p. 4)

The bleak stagnation of this utopia signals the dystopian reality. As Claeys (2020) points out, utopias should not be read as guides to perfection for that would hinge on an impossibility and result in dystopian truths, because “if a project is thoroughly unrealistic we may subvert any possibility of encouraging real social change... demanding the impossible must always remain not only frustratingly tantalizing, but destructive of improvement” (p. 20). That is the outcome of the Golden Age envisioned by the Overlords – the changes by a benevolent invasion do ameliorate many social conditions, yet progress is halted, curiosity gone, and all improvement is ultimately to no end. This society “that declares itself to be post-war, post-poverty, post-crime, post-racism, and indeed post-fear” (Cox-Strong, 2024, p. 230) is still a picture of idleness and motionless existence, not leading to any enlightenment but to deterioration.

Furthermore, the very structure of this Golden Age reveals a problematic core that ultimately must end in a dystopia when put in practice. It is an essential part of utopian narratives that the emphasis on order, regimentation, and repression in the establishment and maintenance of the utopian state parallels a totalitarian system. Since social and political orders hinge on the idea of submission and “discipline of a totalitarian kind” (Davis, 1981, p. 39) to achieve harmony and perfection, utopias eventually reveal how such methods are bound to result in a dystopian reality. Accordingly, the utopian vision in the novel is entirely based on repression, control and manipulation. With the ban on space exploration from the moment the Overlords establish control, proclaiming that “the stars are not for man,” (Clarke, 1953, p. 90) Earth itself is isolated from the rest of the universe. Forbidden from sending spaceships or establishing a contact with the outer space, humanity must remain under the absolute authority of the Overlords. In order to maintain their power, the Overlords also endorse a rule based on discipline; though their instructions are seen as necessary and good by all, the disciplinary methods against disobedience expose a sinister, darker side. When the Republic of South Africa goes against the order to end the discrimination and racial inequalities in their society, Clarke notes that “there was apprehension, but little fear of panic, for no one believed that the Overlords would take any violent or destructive action which would involve innocent and guilty alike” (p. 9). Thus, the punishment is astounding when the Overlords decide not to engage in warfare or violence, but make the sun go out as it passes the meridian at Cape Town, erasing the heat and light. This instance not only shows the unknown amounts of power the Overlords possess, but also reveals their manipulative side in choosing a psychological threat. As the leader, Karellen chooses to use the fear their power may generate to ensure the rule of the Overlords. The incident is resolved in their favour, all governments submit to the superior power of the aliens and accept them as “part of the natural order of things” (p. 10). It follows that this isolated, unchanging Golden Age mirrors a totalitarian state where repression and submission are favored over individuality and freedom. Karellen’s assertion in the beginning that unchecked human rivalry will render humanity a “subject race” (p. 13) reveals a paradox; the Overlords and their promise of salvation comes at the cost of autonomy, raising critical questions about the price of

progress and the hidden power dynamics within seemingly benevolent interventions, foreshadowing the dystopian realities that lie ahead.

In his examination of utopias, Fredric Jameson (2005) remarks that a “[u]topia can serve the negative purpose of making us more aware of our mental and ideological imprisonment” (p. xiii). In their unchanging order, strict methodology, and the disciplinary mechanisms ensuring the longevity of the system utopias offer a duality: while they pose as ideal societies they always reveal the suppression of individuality, or of free will. Stability and freedom often conflict with one another in the formulation of the utopian state, which requires restraining human nature and freedom into conformity and obedience. This imprisonment is portrayed in the foundation of the Golden Age in which the overseeing Overlords never interact directly with the Earth, choosing to use proxies and hide their real forms behind a shadow. Even in their meetings with the human representative in their spaceship, the Overlords conceal themselves; the room Karellen meets Stormgren has a “vision-screen” (Clarke, 1953, p. 48) which conceals his form by arranging the lights. Lasting for fifty years, this concealment of reality blinds humanity to facts and makes the existence, as well as the interference of the aliens normalized: “Most men were probably only aware that their steadily rising standards of living were due to the Overlords. When they stopped to think of it – which was seldom – they realized that those silent ships had brought peace to all the world for the first time in history, and were duly grateful” (p. 16). Furthermore, their spaceships cover the skies of all major cities as if an all-seeing eye, recording and watching every move of humans. Revealing the totalitarian formation at the heart of utopian narratives, Rouvillois (2000) argues that:

Opacity in any form is prohibited; all places and all relationships are subject to surveillance and the law. The ban on private property; the aversion to secrecy and amusements, the abolition of family and boundaries, are all driven by this single-minded obsession with transparency. These ideals find a corresponding embodiment in a State that is all powerful and bent only on securing and perpetuating them. Paradoxically, this utopian state is everywhere and nowhere. (p. 318)

In this examination it is clear that the enforced law and order making up the basis of the utopia, as well as the dissolution of borders point not to an ideal but to a dystopian actuality. People are expected to be transparent under this totalitarian rule and submit to the demands of the Overlords. As the novel unfolds, the surveillance and psychological control methods of the Overlords are revealed to be much more insidious. Their apparent omnipresence, symbolized by the spaceships hovering above Earth, creates a society in which people internalize discipline. Believing they are under constant observation, most humans self-regulate their behaviour, avoiding any dissent or defiance. This aligns with the concept of the Panopticon, a structure designed to enforce self-discipline through the possibility, rather than the certainty, of surveillance. In Jeremy Bentham’s concept, this is a circular prison with cells ringed around a central tower, from which prisoners can be watched at all times. In the Golden Age, decades after their arrival, the Overlords reveal that the majority of the alien ships were never real:

[T]he silver clouds that had hung for a lifetime above almost all the capitals of Earth had been an illusion. How it had been done, no one could tell, but it seemed that every one of those ships had been nothing more than an image of Karellen’s own vessel. It was not important: all that mattered was that Karellen no longer felt the need for this display of force. He had thrown away his psychological weapons. (Clarke, 1953, p. 54)

The illusion of these ships, much like the central tower in Bentham’s Panopticon, renders actual enforcement unnecessary. Correspondingly, this repressive method evokes a sense of imprisonment and mirrors Michel Foucault’s exploration of the Panopticon, which he sees as a model for the ideal surveillance state. As he explains in *Discipline and Punish* (1977), the Panopticon functions as “a machine for creating and sustaining a power relation independent of the person who exercises it” (p. 201). Power persists because individuals internalize the possibility of constant surveillance, regulating their own actions to conform to

the expectations of the authority. Similarly, humanity under the rule of the Overlords becomes its own jailer, adapting to the imposed rules out of fear and habit.

Furthermore, this surveillance creates a hierarchical power dynamic that is rooted on isolation on the part of people. Much like the prisoners in this conceptual prison who are seen but do not see, humans are always visible to the Overlords but cannot observe or question their watchers. This imbalance is evident when General Stormgren meets the Overlords as the highest ranking ambassador, but is not allowed to see them or make decisions on the part of humanity. The disempowering nature of this dynamic reflects how he is “the object of information, never a subject in communication” (Foucault, 1977, p. 200). Accordingly, the psychological domination of the Overlords replaces overt violence with the quiet suppression of agency and free will. Significantly, the Panopticon’s reach extends beyond surveillance to broader mechanisms of control. As Foucault notes, power resides in “a concerted distribution of bodies, surfaces, lights, gazes” (p. 202), creating a network of influence that operates independently of direct enforcement. Such a network is normalized in the minds of its inhabitants in time. As the Golden Age unfolds, the insidious power of the Overlords is seen everywhere yet nowhere, dissolved into the norms and routines of society, their presence unremarkable and familiar: “Their shadow was everywhere, but it was an unobtrusive shadow. . . after a little while they were taken as much for granted as the sun, moon or clouds” (Clarke, 1953, p. 16). This disciplinary method shows how benevolent intentions may mask a cautionary tale in utopian formulations. While this utopia promises peace and stability, it achieves these goals through mechanisms that repress, imprison, manipulate, and ensure submission. Thus, the illusion of utopia, much like the Panopticon, becomes a tool for totalitarian control, revealing the dystopian undercurrents that may underlie in many idealistic aspirations.

As Sargent (1982) points out, the very nature of utopia always exposes its dystopian essence: “Many utopias are, from the perspective of individual freedom, dystopias. Some have this appearance because the author wants to emphasize a value seen to be in conflict with freedom. This value is usually equality, order, or security” (p. 573). In this light, it is apparent how utopias emphasize order at the expense of individual freedom and heterogeneity, which makes them into a “holding operation, a set of strategies to maintain social order and perfection in the face of deficiencies, not to say hostility, of nature and the wilfulness of man” (Davis, 1981, p. 37). This tension is particularly evident in the twentieth century, where attempts to create utopias frequently revealed their dystopian endings. As history shows, political totalitarianism, mass propaganda, overwhelming state control, and strict regimes emerged through the rise of fascism and communism, as well as the catastrophic consequences of World War II. As Poulantzas (1978) explains, totalitarian systems maintain power through paradoxical means, such as “separation and division in order to unify; parcelling out in order to structure; atomization in order to encompass; segmentation in order to totalize; closure in order to homogenize; and individualization in order to obliterate differences and otherness” (p. 107). Accordingly, the Golden Age established by the Overlords embodies similar contradictions. Built on the values of order, equality, and security, it stands in stark conflict with personal freedom and human agency. This tension inevitably gives rise to opposition, as some individuals reject the repressive undertones masked by utopian promises. As the leader of the Overlords, Karellen carefully cultivates the image of a benevolent ruler, taking measured steps to eliminate suffering and presenting the idea of a unified Federation where various governing systems can coexist under their supervision. However, the Freedom League resists this apparent benevolence, suspecting the true motives of the Overlords. Their leader, Alexander Wainwright pleads for self-determination by stating that any social change “must come from within – not be superimposed from without. We must work out our own destiny. There must be no more interference in human affairs!” (Clarke, 1953, pp. 5-6). In their view, genuine social change must arise organically rather than being imposed from an external authority. Thus, they reject the proposal of a Federation, arguing that it merely perpetuates a false democracy designed to maintain alien control.

Though the rebels form protests, marches, mobs and angry slogans, the majority of the world’s population seems to stand on the side of the Overlords. This is clear when the leader of United Nations, Stormgren tries to persuade Wainwright to accept that the Overlords have brought “security, peace and prosperity to the world,” which can be read as an ironical reference to the motto of French Revolution, “liberty, equality, fraternity” (Elliott, 1970, pp. 43-48). Though equality has been achieved by erasing all

differences and national borders under one body, and fraternity by helping people form solidarities among themselves; ironically, as Wainwright points out, “they have taken our liberty” in return (Clarke, 1953, p. 6). To that end, Freedom League rebels in a number of ways ranging from protests to acts of kidnap and beyond. Yet all these efforts only result in being used as a propaganda material for the Overlords, to convince people that the Overlords are the morally good side. Coming to accept the rule of the Overlords, the majority desires no change to the status quo because in their minds “it is equally probable that we would have destroyed ourselves with cobalt bombs and the other global weapons the twentieth century was developing,” (p. 129) meaning a state of passive acceptance for repression and imprisonment. This instance is paramount for emphasizing a crucial aspect of totalitarian states, where individuals uphold the norms and obey authority for they believe it is for a positive result. Naming this issue as “control commitment,” Kanter (1968) notes that “in situations that seek to create control commitment, demands made of one are constituted as right, moral, just and harmonious with the values that one upholds and which define utopia, and which authorities have every right to sanction if these demands are not followed” (pp. 501-502). Similarly, Sargent (1982) remarks that a utopian society is often “marked by agreement on basic principles, which tends to give it an authoritarian appearance” (p. 572). The majority of people living in the Golden Age represented by Stormgren support the rule of the Overlords and unknowingly uphold the authoritarian methodology under the utopian surface, in turn leading themselves away from freedom. In the end, even though the opposing force identifies the illusory utopian state and the negative aspects of the system that is a dystopia at the core, the Freedom League is silenced by the system. Accordingly, it is the nature of the utopia that is the problem because as Berlin (1990) explains, “the very concept of Utopia, is incompatible with the interpretation of the human world as a battle of perpetually new and ceaselessly conflicting wills, individual and collective” (p. 44). Hence, the price of utopian enterprises always seems to be repression and the lack of freedom. From the Overlords’ perspective the rebellion seems feeble and a non-threat: “As pygmies may threaten a giant, so those angry fists were directed against the sky fifty kilometers above . . . against the gleaming silver cloud that was the flagship of the Overlord fleet” (p. 12).

Still, Clarke’s novel explores the notion of ideal societies further and proposes a different solution than merely one established by an external force. Challenging the technological, methodologic and orderly utopia of the Overlords, a part of humanity split to create their own version of the ideal society. Named as New Athens, this colony is founded on the goal of art and philosophy, artistic spirit, and curiosity “to build up an independent, stable cultural group with its own artistic traditions” (Clarke, 1953, p. 115). Like the recreation of the mythical Golden Age, the name of this colony refers to a collective human past and culture. Historically, the city of Athens in ancient Greece was the only state not conquered by the Dorians, making the city able to preserve the shared culture, folklore and the arts. Accordingly, this utopian community is meant for those desiring to keep humanity and its consciousness alive. In the motionless Golden Age where no curiosity and self-expression seems to appear, New Athens exists as a safe haven for original forms of expression. As one of the managers states:

Here on this island we’re trying to save something of humanity’s independence, its artistic traditions. . . . When [the Overlords] destroyed the old nations and the way of life man had known since the beginning of history, they swept away many good things with the bad. The world’s now placid, featureless and culturally dead: nothing really new has been created since the Overlords came. (p. 116)

New Athens is another expression of the desire for a better and improved form of living with a reformed social system. This colony is a method of social engineering with a specifically curated number of people, jobs, and opportunities for the residents. People must apply for citizenship and go through certain assessments such as psychological evaluations and life goals. Only those who fulfil expectations and can adapt to the life on this island are approved for residency, but the island is not completely isolated from the rest of the world. Those that decide to leave can do so without difficulty. As Huntington (1974) notes, “[t]he idea behind New Athens is to preserve the spirit of humanity by a kind of artificial primitivism and an artistic focus” (p. 161). Accordingly, people in this community aim to live a kind of pastoral life, away from

mechanization and technology of the Overlords, or the ideology such progress brings. Essentially, the goal of this island is “to keep the minds of our people alert, and to enable them to realize all their potentialities” (Clarke, 1953, p. 132). Because they fault the Overlords for filling the world with “too many distractions and entertainments,” (p. 116) the founders of New Athens choose to make people think and create, to do things however small they may be, to reclaim passion and curiosity. Having observed that in the Golden Age “people are becoming passive sponges – absorbing but never creating,” (p. 116) this second attempt at utopia is built on the premise of idealism, on creation and desire. It is precisely the drive to discover things and bring them into existence that must be emphasized, because in this utopian vision, human agency and selfhood can only be reclaimed through art, culture, and creation. Through these concepts they believe humanity can reach a self-realization and original forms of expression, thereby ensuring human agency once more.

Although a promising theory, this second utopia also ends as a failure eventually, echoing Clarke’s (1953) statement “[n]o Utopia can ever give satisfaction to everyone, all the time” (p. 76). In both utopias normalcy remains dramatically altered – one by a social engineering via external force and manipulation, the second by “a denial of technological reality, a kind of sentimental and reactionary pastoralism” (Huntington, 1974, p. 161). New Athens is doomed to fail for it is rooted in a sense of artificiality and restriction; the fact that only a chosen few can live on the colony, that it cannot bring together all people under a utopian vision, and that life there in general does not function as planned expose the futility of this effort. The ambivalence and anxiety inherent in this utopian vision are clear in the story of George and Jean Greggson, who take a journey to become new residents of the colony. Alongside their intrigue lies the fear of the unknown and isolation, the anxiety of being unable to have the familiar technology, or lifestyle, or even division of household chores. The novel portrays how this experiment also remains stagnant, unable to proceed further and truly become a haven for all humanity. In the end, just as Overlord Thanthalteresco points out in his inspection regarding this colony, New Athens is fated to be “an interesting experiment, but cannot in any way affect the future” (Clarke, 1953, p. 179). It neither can stop or challenge the power yielded by the Overlords, nor can it effect the demands of the Overmind over the last generation.

The end of New Athens, the second attempt at a utopia in *Childhood’s End*, is foreshadowed by Jean, a clairvoyant who senses the danger of the dormant volcano beneath the city. As the children begin their final transformation into the Overmind, shedding their individuality and humanity, the citizens desperately cling to hope, trying to reclaim their children before it is too late. However, their failure to act and recognize the inevitability of the Overlords’ guidance leads them to a grim realization: the extinction of humanity is imminent. In their attempt to fight this fate, the people of New Athens resort to atomic weapons to reactivate the volcano, choosing mass suicide over accepting the end. This tragic decision fulfils Jean’s premonition, with the volcanic eruption symbolizing the death of this utopia. Just as every utopian vision in the novel harbors dystopian seeds, the idealism of New Athens turns to destruction and nightmare: “It was thus with Athens. The Island had been born in fire; in fire it chose to die. Those who wished to leave did so, but most remained, to meet the end amid the broken fragments of their dreams” (p. 152). This demise reflects the novel’s larger theme that utopian ideals, no matter how well-intentioned, are often doomed to unravel. Scholes and Rabkin (1977) emphasize this point by noting that *Childhood’s End* challenges the traditional binary between different variations of utopias: “The democratized utopia has always been the alternative to the centralized utopia, regardless of the possible attitudes toward technology. But in Clarke’s novel, neither a centralized not a democratized utopia emerges as the final answer for man” (p. 218). While the utopia of the Overlords (a centralized order) fails due to stagnation and repression, New Athens (the comparatively democratized alternative) collapses under the weight of human frailty. In these cases, neither model offers a sustainable solution for humanity.

Cox-Strong (2024) further elaborates on this by suggesting that *Childhood’s End* operates on two levels of utopian critique: the narrative attempts at utopia on Earth and the broader metatextual critique of utopian discourse, especially during the Cold War. He notes that “the utopian claim underpinning this narration begins to unravel, whereby one can begin to problematize the text’s twin invocation of utopia and temporality” (p. 231). This perspective situates the novel as not just a narrative of failed utopias, but as a critique of the very notion of utopia itself. Thus, Clarke’s portrayal of utopian collapse mirrors Berdyaev’s

(1987) argument that all utopian visions, while initially appealing, ultimately reveal “a thorough-going tyranny, a denial of all freedom and of the value of personality” (p. 90). This leads to the inescapable conclusion that “utopia is always totalitarian, and totalitarianism, in the conditions of our world, is always utopian” (p. 90). The novel’s apocalyptic conclusion that the Golden Age is merely the prelude to humanity’s annihilation reinforces this point. As Karellen reveals, “the Golden Age was rushing to its close” (Clarke, 1953, p. 139). Ultimately, all of Clarke’s utopian visions culminate in the creation of a wasteland, an apocalyptic end that reinforces the futility of pursuing a perfect society. Cox-Strong (2024) encapsulates this when he argues that the Golden Age is haunted by both the “ghostly presences” of the Overlords and the absence of any future, an “atemporal apocalypticism” that marks the end of Earth itself: “The Golden Age is haunted, both by memories of the future . . . and by the ‘denial of the consolation of a future’; it will never develop further, because it is bound in place as ‘utopian wasteland’” (p. 234). This indicates how the novel portrays the Golden Age as an inescapable, cyclical decline, bound to its inevitable end. The idea of the “atemporal apocalypticism” that Cox-Strong refers to reveals the truth that this seemingly perfect period is not just a temporary stage, but an endpoint for both humanity and the Earth itself. The Golden Age is trapped in stasis, unable to evolve further, and thus becomes a “utopian wasteland”—a term that reflects its barren, exhausted state. This reinforces the novel’s bleak view of utopia: no matter how idyllic the vision may seem, it harbors the potential for destruction. In the end, this wasteland becomes the final symbol of humanity’s failure to transcend its limitations.

Dystopia and Apocalypse

Unlike many other science fiction or utopian narratives, *Childhood's End* concludes with a plot twist that leads not to a hopeful rebirth but extinction. Though the novel explores the conception of a Golden Age that is supposedly “characterized by a sense of progress or abundance, the conclusion is marked instead by existential apocalypse” (Cox-Strong, 2024, p. 226). As Karellen explains, their actual mission given by the Overmind is to act as a midwife to bring “something new and wonderful into the world,” (Clarke, 1953, p. 179) so that humanity may take its final steps for ascension. This process means a fusion with the Overmind, a far superior, vast cosmic intelligence no longer held back by materiality and is “trying to grow, to extend its powers and its awareness of the universe” (p. 152) by absorbing countless creatures and civilizations. Strangely the Overlords cannot do the same, they are only there to help humanity take the necessary steps and prevent any tragedies from occurring before this decisive moment. Indeed, their arrival to Earth to establish a utopia was not the ultimate goal, after all, but to stop humanity annihilating their final generation and becoming extinct before they can fully ascend to join the Overmind. Thus, the visionary Golden Age is in fact “a structure of stasis, a holding pen ahead of the assigned apocalypse” (Cox-Strong, 2024, p. 232). It is interesting to note that while in science fiction works aliens often appear as beyond humanity and superior, in Clarke’s imagination even the godlike Overlords are limited in their power. In the words of Karellen “there is something above us, using us for its own purposes . . . as the potter uses his wheel” (p. 167). For all their cognitive and technological advancement, they are revealed to be pawns of a higher entity, making the name Overlords rather ironic.

Accordingly, the final evolution reveals the dystopian reality made flesh through children. The novel portrays all children under the age of ten beginning to develop supernatural powers, spreading among them like a pandemic: psychic visions, strange dreams, controlling objects with their minds. Posited as inevitable and aspirational by the Overlords, this transformation is in fact dark and grim. Children lose all feeling and emotion, lose the ability to connect with their parents, and feel only the desire to grow and ascend fully. Even their bodies go through a transformation, as told by Jan Rodericks in shock and horror:

Then Jan saw their faces. He swallowed hard, and forced himself not to turn away. They were emptier than the faces of the dead, for even a corpse has some record carved by time’s chisel upon its features, to speak when the lips themselves are dumb. There was no more emotion or feeling here than in the face of a snake or an insect. The Overlords themselves were more human than this. (p. 185)

Hence, becoming one with the cosmic vastness of the Overmind is not an ascension to divinity, but as Gomel (2014) notes, an anti-humanistic conclusion; unlike the Biblical transformation of apocalypse meant to “repair humanity’s relationship with God without destroying its essence,” the novel ends with “the negation, rather than the fulfilment, of human history” (p. 154). The hopeless dystopian reality is emphasized by the utter lack of humanity. As Karellen remarks, this final transformation “will be cataclysmic” for this final generation will no longer be human. Addressed as “entit[ies] that had been” (Clarke, 1953, p. 153) children turn into a conglomerate, a single entity stripped of their human identities, selfhood, and free will. This dissolving of humanity is a trait often found in dystopian narratives, for the totalitarian system aims to remake the individual citizen and strip them of their innate features, reducing them into a part of the machine. Rouvillois (2000) points out that in dystopias,

stripped of the loyalties that had shrouded him, the individual stands alone against a State that can finally embark on his renewal. This process, like all others, colludes with the creation of the ideal polis: the perfection of the whole must imperiously correspond to that of its part. This correspondence is at the very essence of the project: the old self was wrapped up in its desires and selfish interests; the new self must learn to renounce the ‘me’ and identify with the ‘us’ in order to be born. (pp. 318-319)

In the ascension the Overmind acts as the dystopian state exposed beneath the utopian surface, reducing humanity to their functional parts and stripping away all else. Eroding all that makes the children human as well as the humanity embedded in their consciousness, the Overmind transforms and dehumanizes the final generation. Consent cannot be found since union with the Overmind is not a choice the children made, but were prophesied to make; to that end even the destruction of the world is not the children’s own decision but the desire of the Overmind. It follows that this transformation does not allow independence; all human values and aspects must be left behind such as familial bonds, free will, or selfhood. In the singularity of the Overmind there is no place for individuality or the smallest difference, as one of the parents observes their child’s “personality, dissolving hour by hour before their eyes” (p. 183). Thus, the collectivist goal implies the erasure of individual identity as the children are reduced to bodies, vessels of a higher power, or parts of a mechanism that are required for the system. Here it can be argued that the choice of alien invasion and the transformation of children into something utterly Other is a way to criticize the Cold War historical reality. At a time of rising xenophobia and the possibility of Soviet invasion in the twentieth century, Scholes and Rabkin (1977) interpret the fact that children are lost to a higher power as the leading fear of the time, that new generations may be lost to an ideology rooted in extreme utopianism, such as “the Red Scare” (p. 78). In that sense, it can be argued that Clarke points both to the possible consequences of utopian ideologies that result in dystopian realities, and to the possibility of a complete dissolution for future generations during nuclear armament. After all, utopia is revealed to be not only temporary, but also a herald of doom bringing forward the end of humanity. The Overlords leave the solar system as humanity goes extinct and the Earth is destroyed, leaving a shattered utopian vision turning out to be a complete destruction.

On the subject of apocalypse, Thomas J. J. Altizer (1985) remarks that it is “both an end and a beginning, a revelation of the deepest and most hidden truth” (p. 1). For the Overlords watching, the union of children with the Overmind is a prosperous new beginning: “Now that it had drawn into its being all that the human race had ever achieved. This was not tragedy, but fulfilment. The billions of transient sparks of consciousness that had made up humanity would flicker no more like fireflies against the night” (Clarke, 1953, p. 178). However, the end of the utopian vision is a tragedy with no rebirth, since there can be no selfhood or self-expression within the Overmind. Just as the Overlords united the world in a oneness by erasing all differences and borders, they have erased humanity itself. Hence, it is not only the childhood’s end that the novel’s title refers to, but also of humanity in its entirety, echoing the last statement of the Overlords: “All the hopes and dreams of your race are ended now” (p. 150). Becoming one with the cosmic hive-mind means a complete destruction, not only of humanity but even the Earth itself: “There was nothing left of Earth: They had leeches away the last atoms of substance. It had nourished them through the fierce moments of their inconceivable metamorphosis” (p. 174). Accordingly, this ending transforms all utopias

into a no-place for there will be no more Earth, or humanity, making this final evolution “not merely an evolutionary quantum leap but a true apocalypse in the double meaning of the word: revelation and destruction” (Gomel, 2014, p. 153).

Conclusion

Childhood's End is a significant novel that explores and problematizes utopian visions within a science fiction narrative. Essentially, a utopia is the vision of a better world and a mirror held up to the present, criticizing the status quo and offering an improved existence at once. However, it is when that vision is aimed to be achieved in all its detail and perfection that serious problems inherent in its nature are revealed. As Sargent (1982) points out, the actual danger always lies “in the processes of transforming visions into political movements,” (p. 566) making utopianism a perilous undertaking. Written at a time of turmoil when different utopian ideologies competed to ensure their superior power over the other, the novel criticizes the dangers of utopian visions, the totalitarian methodology in the establishment of these systems, and the potential of annihilation inherent in the extremes. Accordingly, in the novel two utopian visions are materialized: one by external interference and one born out of human effort, yet both fail in different ways. The Golden Age ushered in by the Overlords is a dystopian system for humanity, though only those advocating liberty and self-expression see the problems, and in the end it is revealed to be a mere step to prepare the world for extinction. New Athens equally fails in the end for it cannot ensure a sustainable way of living or keep children from ascension, and all the potential of this vision dies alongside humanity.

In this sense the novel confirms the paradox of utopia; as its very definition suggests it is not a place that could be reached, or even should be reached, for the reality is fated to be a dystopia. The limitations put on free will and self-expression, the surveillance and repression, the emphasis on discipline and collectivism transform the idea of a better place into an undesirable reality where humans are devoid of freedom and agency. Then, whether perfect or not, whether formed by humanity or the intervening hand of aliens, utopias are sentenced to stay imagined and elusive. After all, whenever serious attempts have been made in the name of utopianisms in history, no matter how benevolent their basis were, the ultimate result has been dystopian aftermaths. Ironically, as the novel begins with a reference to space race and nuclear armament that might destroy the world in the name of utopianism taken to the extreme, it is still another utopian vision that ensures its annihilation. In this light, everything ends in apocalypse, no matter how positively this doom may be presented. As Claey's (2011) notes, a utopia “is not perfectibility, neither is it flawless, complete, final, total or ultimate . . . When utopia aspires to such goals, it becomes increasingly intolerant and compulsory and mutates into dystopia” (p. 204). Clarke's portrayal of utopia is ultimately one of caution: when perfection is sought without regard for humanity's complexities, freedom, and individuality, it carries the seeds of its own undoing. Through the dual visions of the Golden Age imposed by the Overlords and humanity's experiment in New Athens, *Childhood's End* reveals that utopias, no matter how idealized, are destined to collapse under their own weight, transforming aspiration into despair. By presenting this apocalyptic cycle, the novel forces readers to confront the fragility of their own utopian dreams, reminding that the pursuit of a flawless society may come at an unthinkable cost.

Authorship Contribution:	Conceptualization: EÇ, AK; Data curation: EÇ; Formal analysis: AK; Investigation: EÇ; Methodology: EÇ, AK; Project administration: AK; Resources: EÇ; Softwares: EÇ; Supervision: AK; Validation: AK; Visualization: AK; Writing-original draft: EÇ; Writing-review and editing: EÇ, AK.
Conflict of interest:	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
Financial support:	The authors received no financial support for the research.
Ethics Board Approval:	The authors declare no need for ethical approval for the research.

References

- Altizer, T. J. J. (1985). *History as apocalypse*. State University of New York Press.
- Berdyayev, N. (1987). *The new middle ages: The Russian revolution, democracy, socialism, theocracy*. P. Pournaras.
- Berlin, I. (1990). The decline of utopian ideals in the west. In H. Hardy (Ed.), *The crooked timber of humanity* (pp. 32-48). Princeton University Press.
- Claeys, G. (2017). *Dystopia: A natural history*. Oxford University Press.
- Claeys, G. (2011). *Searching for utopia: The history of an idea*. Thames and Hudson.
- Claeys, G. (2020). *Utopia: The history of an idea*. Thames and Hudson.
- Clarke, A. C. (1953). *Childhood's end*. Ballantine.
- Cox-Strong, J. (2024). Utopian wasteland: Abundance, futurity, and the 'Golden Age' in Arthur C. Clarke's *Childhood's End*. *Revenant*, 10, 223-242. Retrieved from: <https://www.revenantjournal.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/13-Utopian-Wasteland-.pdf>
- Das, S. R. (2008, March 20). Final thoughts from Arthur C. Clarke. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from: <https://www.nytimes.com/2008/03/20/opinion/20iht-eddas.1.11290497.html>
- Davis, J. C. (1981). *Utopia and the ideal society: A study of English utopian writing 1516-1700*. Cambridge University Press.
- Elliott, R. C. (1970). *The shape of utopia: Studies in a literary genre*. University of Chicago Press.
- Erickson, J. (1999). Cold war. In A. Bullock, and S. Trombley (Eds.), *The new fontana dictionary of modern thought*. Harper Collins.
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison*. Pantheon.
- Gomel, E. (2014). *Science fiction, alien encounters, and the ethics of posthumanism: Beyond the golden rule*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Huntington, J. (1974). The unity of *Childhood's End*. *Science Fiction Studies*, 1(3), 154-164. Retrieved from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4238857>
- Jameson, F. (2005). *Archaeologies of the future: The desire called utopia and other science fictions*. Verso.
- Kanter, R. M. (1968). Commitment and social organization: A study of commitment mechanisms in utopian communities. *American Sociological Review*, 33(4), 499-517. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2092438>
- Moylan, T. (2000). *Scraps of the untainted sky: Science fiction, utopia, dystopia*. Westview.
- Norledge, J. (2022). *The language of dystopia*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Poulantzas, N. (1978). *State, power and socialism*. NLB.
- Rabkin, E. S. (2006). *Arthur C. Clarke*. Wildside.
- Rothstein, E. (2003). *Visions of utopia*. Oxford University Press.
- Rouvillois, F. (2000). Utopia and totalitarianism. In R. Schaer, G. Claeys, and L. T. Sargent (Eds.), *Utopia: The search for the ideal society in the western world* (pp. 316-332). Oxford University Press.
- Sargent, L. T. (1982). Authority & utopia: Utopianism in political thought. *Polity*, 14(4), 565-584. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3234464>
- Sargisson, L. (2007). The curious relationship between politics and utopia. In T. Moylan, and R. Baccolini (Eds.), *Utopia method vision: The use value of social dreaming* (pp. 25-46). Peter Lang.
- Scholes, R., Rabkin, E. (1977). *Science fiction: History, science, vision*. Oxford University Press.
- Suvin, D. (1979). *Metamorphoses of science fiction: On the poetics and history of a literary genre*. Yale University Press.
- Vieira, F. (2010). The concept of utopia. In G. Claeys (Ed.), *The cambridge companion to utopian literature* (pp. 3-27). Cambridge University Press.