Eskiyeni 56 (March 2025), 469-496
Research Article
https://doi.org/10.37697/eskiyeni.1565854

A Review on Conversational AI as a Tool in Academic Writing

Hakan Demiröz
0000-0003-2413-5383
Social Sciences University of Ankara, School of Foreign Languages, Department of Foreign Languages, Türkiye
ror.org/025y36b60
hakan.demiroz@asbu.edu.tr

Gülşah Tıkız-Ertürk
0000-0001-5803-1270
Social Sciences University of Ankara, School of Foreign Languages, Department of Foreign Languages, Türkiye
ror.org/025y36b60
gulsah.tikizerturk@asbu.edu.tr

Abstract

The development of academic proficiency constitutes a pivotal component of higher education. Students from diverse disciplines consistently demonstrate a marked need for inductive reasoning and support services to assist them in their scientific research approaches. As the number of undergraduates continues to increase and their backgrounds become more diverse, students are receiving less personalized guidance and supervision during their academic writing. For academics and graduate students, whose primary responsibilities encompass research and academic writing, the emergence of large language models (LLMs) in conjunction with user-friendly interfaces such as Chat Generative Pre-Trained Transformer (ChatGPT), Bing Chat, Google's Bard, and Deepseek poses a substantial challenge as well as a priceless opportunity in terms of content generation. These technologies and their implementations are already exerting a significant influence across diverse sectors pertaining to the development, administration, and utilization of information systems. This literature review explores the evolving landscape, with a specific focus on its consequences for academic writing. The aim is to analyse this changing landscape to illuminate its impact on researchers, practitioners, and other stakeholders in academia and to provide insights and advance scholarly inquiry in this still developing, captivating, and rapidly growing domain with respect to academic writing practices.

Keywords

English Language Teaching (ELT); Artificial Intelligence; Academic Writing; Content Generation; Chatbots

Highlights

- AI technologies in academic writing have a significant impact on the scientific community, causing discussions on resource inequality and the need for regulatory measures.
- AI-powered platforms have transformed research, writing, and scholarly communication.
- Academic writing requires adeptly managing extensive quantities of information, intricate concepts, theories, and empirical data.
- Feedback integration and an open attitude towards criticism are crucial for effective use of AI tools.
- Balancing the use of advanced techniques with transparency, ethical accountability, and maintaining human involvement in scientific discussions is essential.
- AI should be used ethically and transparently, adhering to academic integrity.

Citation

Demiröz, Hakan – Tıkız-Ertürk, Gülşah. "A Review on Conversational AI as a Tool in Academic Writing". *Eskiyeni* 56 (March 2025), 469-496.
https://doi.org/10.37697/eskiyeni.1565854

Article Information

Ethical Statement	This article is the revised and developed version of the unpublished conference presentation entitled "Echoes of Innovation: Charting the Landscape of Conversational Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing" orally delivered at the 15th International METU ELT Convention Symposium	
Ethics committee	In this study, which constitutes a literature review, ethical approval was not sought as the research involved the analysis of existing literature rather than the collection of new data from participants. The nature of the study, focusing on synthesizing and evaluating previously published works, falls outside the scope of research activities that require ethical clearance.	
Date of submission	12 October 2024	
Date of acceptance	05 March 2025	
Date of publication	25 March 2025	
Reviewers	Two Internal & Two External	
Review	Double-blind	
Plagiarism checks	Yes - intihal.net	
Conflicts of Interest	The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest	
Complaints	eskiyenidergi@gmail.com	
Author Contributions	Conceptualization (CRediT 1)	Author-1 (%50) - Author-2 (%50)
	Data Curation (CRediT 2)	Author-1 (%50) - Author-2 (%50)
	Investigation - Analysis - Validation (CRediT 3-4-6-11)	Author-1 (%50) - Author-2 (%50)
	Writing (CRediT 12-13)	Author-1 (%40) - Author-2 (%60)
	Writing – Review & Editing (CRediT 14)	Author-1 (%60) - Author-2 (%40)
S. Development Goals	4 Qualified Education	
License	CC BY-NC 4.0	

Eskiyeni 56 (Mart 2025), 469-496
Araştırma Makalesi
https://doi.org/10.37697/eskiyeni.1565854

Akademik Yazmada İletişimsel Yapay Zekânın Kullanımına Dair Bir İnceleme

Hakan Demiröz
0000-0003-2413-5383
Ankara Sosyal Bilimler Üniversitesi, Yabancı Diller Yüksekokulu, Yabancı Diller Bölümü, Türkiye
ror.org/025y36b60
hakan.demiroz@asbu.edu.tr

Gülşah Tıkız-Ertürk
0000-0001-5803-1270
Ankara Sosyal Bilimler Üniversitesi, Yabancı Diller Yüksekokulu, Yabancı Diller Bölümü, Türkiye
ror.org/025y36b60
gulsah.tikizerturk@asbu.edu.tr

Öz

Akademik yazımda yeterlilik geliştirmek, yükseköğretimin önemli bir bileşenidir. Farklı alanlardaki öğrencilerin, bilimsel araştırma yaklaşımlarında kendilerine yardımcı olmak için tümevarımsal akıl yürütme ve destek hizmetlerine güçlü bir ihtiyaç duydukları açıktır. Lisans öğrencilerinin sayısı artmaya ve geçmişleri daha çeşitli hale gelmeye devam ettikçe, öğrenciler akademik yazımları sırasında daha az kişiselleştirilmiş rehberlik ve denetim almaktadır. Temel görevleri araştırma ve akademik yazım olan akademisyenler ve lisansüstü öğrenciler için, Chat Generative Pre-Trained Transformer (ChatGPT), Bing Chat ve Google's Bard ve Deepseek gibi kullanıcı dostu arayüzlerle birlikte Büyük Dil Modellerinin (LLM) yükselişi, içerik üretme açısından paha biçilmez bir fırsat olduğu kadar büyük bir zorluğu da temsil etmektedir. Bu teknolojiler ve uygulamaları, bilgi sistemlerinin geliştirilmesi, yönetimi ve kullanımıyla ilgili çeşitli sektörlerde şimdiden önemli bir etki yaratmaktadır. Bu literatür taraması ile, özellikle akademik yazma üzerindeki sonuçlara odaklanarak gelişmekte olan durum incelenecektir. Bu değişen durumu analiz ederek araştırmacılar, uygulayıcılar ve akademideki diğer paydaşlar üzerindeki etkisinin aydınlatılması amaçlanmaktadır. Amacımız, akademik yazma uygulamalarına ilişkin olarak halen gelişmekte olan, büyüleyici ve hızla büyüyen bu alanda içgörü sağlamak ve bilimsel araştırmayı ilerletmektir.

Anahtar Kelimeler

İngiliz Dili Eğitimi; Yapay Zekâ; Akademik Yazı; İçerik Üretimi; Rehber Robotlar

Öne Çıkanlar

- Akademik yazımda yapay zekâ teknolojileri, bilimsel topluluk üzerinde önemli bir etkiye sahip olup, kaynak eşitsizliği ve düzenleyici önlemlere duyulan ihtiyaç konusunda tartışmalara neden olmaktadır.
- Yapay zekâ destekli platformlar araştırmayı, yazımı ve bilimsel iletişimi dönüştürdü.
- Akademik yazım, geniş miktarda bilgiyi, karmaşık kavramları, teorileri ve ampirik verileri ustaca yönetmeyi gerektirir.
- Geri bildirim entegrasyonu ve eleştiriye karşı açık tutum, yapay zekâ araçlarının etkili kullanımı için çok önemlidir.
- İleri tekniklerin kullanımının şeffaflık, etik hesap verebilirlik ve bilimsel tartışmalara insan katılımının sürdürülmesi ile dengelenmesi esastır.
- Yapay zekâ, akademik dürüstlük ve yeniliğe bağlı kalarak etik ve şeffaf bir şekilde kullanılmalıdır.

Atıf Bilgisi

Demiröz, Hakan – Tıkız-Ertürk, Gülşah. "Akademik Yazmada İletişimsel Yapay Zekânın Kullanımına Dair Bir İnceleme". *Eskiyeni* 56 (Mart 2025), 469-496.
https://doi.org/10.37697/eskiyeni.1568668

Makale Bilgileri

Etik Beyan	Bu makale, 15. Uluslararası ODTÜ ELT Kongresi'nde sözlü olarak sunulan "Echoes of Innovation: Charting the Landscape of Conversational Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing" başlıklı yayınlanmamış konferans sunumunun yenilenmiş ve geliştirilmiş versiyonudur.	
Etik Kurul İzni	Bu çalışma, bir literatür taraması niteliğinde olduğundan, katılımcılardan yeni veri toplanmasını içermediği için etik onay alınmamıştır. Daha önce yayımlanmış çalışmaları sentezleme ve değerlendirmeye odaklanan araştırmanın niteliği, etik izin gerektiren araştırma faaliyetlerinin kapsamı dışında kalmaktadır.	
Geliş Tarihi	12 Ekim 2024	
Kabul Tarihi	05 Mart 20245	
Yayım Tarihi	25 Mart 2025	
Hakem Sayısı	İki İç Hakem - İki Dış Hakem	
Değerlendirme	Çift Taraflı Kör Hakemlik	
Etik Beyan	Bu çalışmanın hazırlanma sürecinde etik ilkelere uyulmuştur.	
Benzerlik Taraması	Yapıldı - intihal.net	
Çıkar Çatışması	Çıkar çatışması beyan edilmemiştir.	
Etik Bildirim	eskiyenidergi@gmail.com	
Yazar Katkıları	Araştırmanın Tasarımı (CRediT 1)	Yazar-1 (%50) - Yazar-2 (%50)
	Veri Toplanması (CRediT 2)	Yazar-1 (%50) - Yazar-2 (%50)
	Araştırma - Veri Analizi- Doğrulama (CRediT 3-4-6-11)	Yazar-1 (%50) - Yazar-2 (%50)
	Makalenin Yazımı (CRediT 12-13)	Yazar-1 (%40) - Yazar-2 (%60)
	Metnin Tashihi ve Geliştirilmesi (CRediT 14)	Yazar-1 (%60) - Yazar-2 (%40)
S. Kalkınma Amaçları	4 Nitelikli Eğitim	

1. Introduction

The 21st century is characterized by the rapid development, transformation, and widespread adoption of digital technology (Brunn-Kehrein, 2020). Individuals in the 21st century are the first to experience an entirely new digital universe. This generation places a greater emphasis on the notion of learning style. Educational resources that accommodate the learners' visual, aural, kinaesthetic, and multimodal learning styles are more preferred (Vijayalakshmi, 2021). Acquiring knowledge is regarded as a continuous and ongoing endeavor throughout one's life. Numerous aspects of our lives have been impacted by digital technology, and these technologies have become a fundamental component of our lives.

Therefore, it is essential for individuals to acquire and maintain specific human skills throughout their lifelong educational journeys. In addition to leadership and accountability, these competencies encompass flexibility and adaptability, productivity and accountability, problem-solving and critical thinking, initiative and self-direction, social and cross-cultural interaction, information and communication technology (ICT) literacy, information and media literacy, communication and collaboration, and flexibility and innovation (Dishon-Gliead, 2021; Stanley, 2021). In a similar vein, language teaching has relied on various technological aids such as tape recorders, projectors, computers, and smartboards over time. When used effectively, technology serves as a valuable tool for nurturing language skills, including writing skills (Akman Yeşilel, 2022). Thus, it is imperative that educators implement information and ICT in the classroom (Deshpande-Shesh, 2021).

Academic writing is a fundamental aspect of higher education, requiring students to skillfully create well-crafted papers that meet the rigorous standards of their courses and is an essential requirement for universities and colleges. Rahmat et al. (2023) define student academic writing as a crucial assessment criterion for universities, schools, and preparation for higher education. Students should possess the ability to articulate their thoughts in a manner that displays their expertise in the subject (DuBose-Marshall, 2023). It is significant to acknowledge that the style of academic writing diverges significantly from the light-hearted and colloquial tone, which is often observed in social interactions. Furthermore, it diverges from the genre of writing commonly encountered in newspapers and novels. In contrast to other forms of writing, it adheres to specific conventions and is more formal. Ensuring that our ideas are conveyed to the audience in a comprehensible manner is a critical element of academic writing style, which requires conciseness and clarity. There are challenges that propel us forward while writing a scientific text, such as acquiring approval and generating new knowledge; however, there are also challenges that impede our progress, including difficulties in initiating the writing process, discovering our voice, revising the text, and feeling inadequate (Simona, 2014).

Artificial intelligence (AI) has brought significant advancements in various educational sectors, including writing instruction (Chiu-Chai, 2020). It is noteworthy that language teaching and learning are witnessing a surge in the implementation of AI technologies, which are advancing rapidly (Hwang et al., 2020). The primary emphasis of early research, including that of Warschauer and Healey (1998), was on the application of

AI to improve the mechanistic elements of writing, including sentence structuring and grammar correction. Recent proposals, however, have put forth a more comprehensive viewpoint. In addition to these technical functions, recent studies (e.g., Bailey-Barley, 2020; Pennebaker et al., 2014) indicate that AI may also serve to satisfy psychological requirements regarding writing instruction.

A look at the history of AI, an investigation into the specific programs that are used to create academic papers, and an evaluation of the significance of this growing trend for institutions are all important steps to comprehend the concept of AI in academic writing. John McCarthy, widely regarded as the founder of AI (Marr, 2018), introduced the term AI in 1956. It is now broadly defined as any intelligent application of advanced computer science that executes processes and duties that are typically associated with intelligent beings (Copeland, 2020). In fact, the examination of the historical progression of AI systems has been approached from diverse perspectives. Huxor (1994) proposed a novel metaphor for AI by comparing it to writing. The metaphor has exerted a substantial influence on the advancement of AI systems. Herrmann (2023), otherwise, assessed the historical development of AI from a literary standpoint, highlighting the impact of its probabilistic nature on human endeavors. In line with the broader definition of AI, as the study of concepts that enable computers to execute tasks that give the impression of intelligence, Arroyo (1986) defined AI as the investigation of concepts that enable machines to execute duties that appear intelligent.

The rapid advancement of AI technologies in academic writing is also having a significant impact on the scientific community. This development has prompted discussions on resource inequality and the necessity for regulatory measures. However, it also presents advantages such as cost reduction and assistance for non-native English speakers. Despite these numerous advantages, there are, as Shum et al. (2016) noted, specific gaps in the discourse regarding the function of AI in writing instruction. An area that requires further examination is the potential risks associated with an overreliance on AI. Professionals have expressed concerns about the utilization of AI in writing and other creative domains. AI technologies like ChatGPT enable users to generate written content suitable for college projects (Du Bose, 2023).

The findings on the immediate impact of AI on learners' writing skills are equally unclear and lacking substantial evidence (Liu et al., 2023). Additionally, it is still unclear how exactly students can benefit from English writing made easier by AI technology. Given its essential significance at the interface of two rapidly emerging domains, academic writing and AI, this research offers a detailed review of the current status of AI integration in scholarly writing. Recently, the incorporation of AI into instructional structures has emerged as a primary concern, owing to the rapid advancements in technology and the imperative for continuous learning. Academic writing is essential in higher education, yet there are still obvious ongoing difficulties in teaching it. This study aims to provide an overview of AI in the realm of teaching academic writing to illuminate the efficacy, implications, and ethical responsibilities involved with the utilization of AI. It also provides valuable information to guide decision-making processes and instructional design for educators and policymakers. It also adds to the current conversation about how to teach in the digital age and points out areas that need more

research. This encourages academic dialogue and the use of pedagogical techniques in AI-enhanced writing assistance.

This study aims to evaluate the incorporation of AI in academic writing instruction, emphasizing its efficacy, impact, and the ethical issues related to its application. The study will investigate the following research questions:

1. How might AI technology be effectively integrated into academic writing instruction?
2. What ethical dilemmas are associated with the utilization of AI in academic writing?
3. How may AI impact students' academic writing abilities, and what possible risks can emerge?

The results of this study aim to guide decision-making and instructional design for educators and policymakers. This research further informs the discourse on AI's role in education, providing insights into pedagogical practices in the digital era and proposing avenues for further inquiry in AI-enhanced writing teaching.

2. AI in Education (AIED)

The application of AI in education is continuously growing and becoming more in-depth, and it has resulted in the development of novel ideas, concepts, and approaches that have a significant influence on the education sector (Liang, 2020). According to Waycott et al. (2010), education and training are among the most important areas in which it is possible to acquire digital skills for the 21st century. Globally, AI has attracted enormous investment (Statista, 2022), and emerging technologies have revolutionized the methods of teaching and learning in the field of education. The role of education in cultivating AI literacy, which refers to the teaching of AI in educational contexts, has received substantial attention and is rapidly becoming an important topic in policy discussions (e.g., Miao-Holmes, 2021). Apart from its role in teaching students, AI has the potential to be applied in education administration and teacher support, categorized as student-focused AIED, system-focused AIED, and teacher-focused AIED, respectively (Holmes-Tuomi, 2022).

The field of education can benefit from several different uses of AI. The education sector is poised for a paradigm shift as educational applications of AI offer unprecedented levels of customization and adaptability. Both K-12 and higher education settings use AI-supported tutoring systems. AI has a number of advantages, including the capacity to provide students with assistance and constant availability (Zhou-Lawless, 2014). Moreover, educators' duties are being simplified as AI implementation revolutionizes classrooms and institutions (Liewertz, 2019).

AI is also utilized extensively with the goals of personalizing the curriculum, enhancing the learning experience, and improving the overall quality of learning achieved. This use was actualized as AI originated with personal computers and evolved into humanoid robots and web chatbots that simulated instructors by integrating computer systems with other technologies or trainers. These platforms facilitated the execution of administrative duties by educators, such as evaluating student concerns and conducting research to enhance instructional activities. By customizing the curriculum for each student via machine learning, the system improves student adoption, retention, and learning quality (Bhatt et al., 2023).

Furthermore, there is a growing interest in advancements pertaining to educational assessment that are associated with AI. With these changes, the effectiveness and reliability of assessments are meant to be improved, with a focus on the analysis of the large amounts of process data that are being collected in digital assessment environments. When assessing the current status of AI in summative and formative educational assessment, critical viewpoints are proposed regarding the two fundamental applications: computerized adaptive tests and automated essay scoring systems. Additionally, the big data analysis methods and machine learning strategies that support these applications are examined in depth (Gardner et al., 2021).

However, use of AI in education is not without its critics. Despite its potential to transform education, various challenges persist for those engaged in related activities or systems (Kay & Kummerfeld, 2019), as this domain is inherently a "highly technology-dependent and cross-disciplinary field" (Hwang et al., 2020, p. 2). In fact, the extensive implications of Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED) have given rise to growing apprehensions regarding the adverse consequences it generates, including an increased prevalence of inequalities among learners, the commercialization of education, and the disparity between home and school environments in educational contexts (Reiss, 2021).

What is more, a prominent concern pertains to the possible redundancy of both administrative and academic personnel. As artificial intelligence systems assume responsibilities such as grading academic papers, scheduling courses, and performing various administrative functions, this development may significantly affect the livelihoods of individuals displaced by these changes and fundamentally alter the structural framework of the university. Another concern pertains to the potential degradation of educational quality. Although artificial intelligence can deliver personalized experiences and providing prompt feedback, it does not encompass the subtleties inherent in direct interactions between students and educators. The indispensable human component, exemplified by mentorship and unplanned interactions, remains unattainable for artificial intelligence. Consequently, students may forfeit the numerous advantages associated with active engagement in a dynamic academic environment.

Besides, there are significant concerns regarding data protection, the potential for algorithmic bias, and the capacity of artificial intelligence to address complex issues that require human discernment, such as ethical dilemmas, intricate research, and critical analysis (George & Wooden, 2023). Morendin-Ahuerma (2024) further observes that the potential dangers associated with AI in the realm of education encompass digital inequities, biases, and infringements on intellectual property rights. As part of mitigation strategies, supportive policies should be made, digital literacy should be pushed, equal access to technology should be ensured, and ethical concerns should be given top priority in the development and use of AI.

3. Overview of Conversational AI

Research into AI began in the 1950s, when researchers first began to investigate the field of AI (Almelhes, 2023). During the early stages of AI, a significant milestone was achieved with the invention of the first AI program, known as ELIZA. This program was

designed to simulate human communication. Over the course of time, AI technology has grown, resulting in the creation of more sophisticated chatbots capable of comprehending and addressing intricate inquiries (Limna, 2023). Indeed, conversational AI has been increasingly prevalent in the 21st century, leading to the integration of chatbots into conventional e-learning systems. As a result, chatbots have become an essential component of educational experiences in many educational institutions, such as schools and universities (Chaskopouos et al., 2022; Neto-Fernandes, 2019).

Some of the prominent AI language models are GPT-2, GPT-3, and GPT-4, developed by OpenAI and LaMDA. AI language models can generate novel and surpassing outputs, such as written content, realistic visuals, digitally generated art, and music (Negi, Verma - Tayyebi, 2023). As O'Leary (2022) maintains, OpenAI and Google's LaMDA are chatbots powered by AI that have undergone training using billions of documents, thereby giving rise to the concept of 'massive data' (p. 182). These systems acquire word lists and the interconnections among words utilized by users in communication through human-generated documents (O'Leary, 2022).

In late 2015, OpenAI was established as a non-profit research endeavor. In February of 2019, OpenAI introduced GPT-2. Although it could also produce gibberish, the model could produce output that appeared extremely coherent and credible. OpenAI introduced GPT-3 in June 2020, a language model that surpasses GPT-2 by a factor of more than one hundred (Khan et al., 2023). Built upon a corpus of 499 billion tokens of web content, GPT-3 consists of 175 billion parameters and 96 layers (Dale, 2021). Companies like Cisco, IBM, Intel, and Salesforce are now developing a variety of applications using GPT-3 as their foundation (O'Leary, 2022). While there are limitations in areas such as logical reasoning and peer review, more recent iterations such as GPT-4 demonstrate enhancements in problem-solving capabilities (Vuong, 2023).

In 2022, Google unveiled LaMDA, an acronym for Language Model for Dialogue Applications (Strzelecki, 2024). LaMDA is an extension of previous Google research conducted by Adiwardana et al. (2020), which showed that transformer-based language models trained on dialogue may cover a broad spectrum of subjects. Google's LaMDA, a language model designed for dialogue apps, was released in August 2022. It is accessible through Google's AI Kitchen, which offers three specific applications: 'Imagine,' 'List About It,' and 'Talk About It (Dog's Edition).' (O'Leary, 2023, p. 41). LaMDA utilizes a sophisticated deep-learning model based on neural networks. According to Throppilan et al. (2022), the model was trained using a large dataset that included 1.56 trillion words, 1.97 billion documents, 1.12 billion dialogs, and 13.39 utterances. In contrast to the majority of language models, LaMDA is learned via dialogue (Strzelecki, 2024). It is also possible to significantly improve the specificity and logical coherence of its responses through adjustment.

Conversational AI involves utilizing AI techniques, such as natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning, with the purpose of developing software agents that are capable of having conversations in natural language with people or other programs (Buttar, Shahzad - Jamil, 2024). It contains software applications, including chatbots and voice assistants. According to Mageirai (2022), as a subfield of AI, conversational AI focuses on text-based or speech-based AI agents capable of automating

and simulating verbal interactions and conversations. The proliferation of conversational AI agents, such as voice assistants and chatbots, can be attributed to some developments in the field (Kulkarni et al., 2016). Ilieva et al. (2023) state that AI chatbots are purpose-built to participate in interactive dialogues with users. Furthermore, chatbots are capable of reconstructing and retrieving previous conversations. Conversational AI provides current information and pertinent hyperlinks to websites. As stated by Ilieva et al. (2023), AI has played a pivotal role in developing language learning chatbots and virtual conversational companions, which have revolutionized the way in which students hone and perfect their language skills, surpassing the scope of tutoring systems (Malik et al., 2023). These AI-powered entities facilitate learners' participation in genuine, interactive conversations, enabling them to fully immerse themselves in authentic conversational settings and actively enhance their language skills.

The utilization of AI in writing has been the subject of numerous studies, with promising outcomes. For example, in an introductory writing course, Brinkman et al. (2020) created an AI writing instructor that offered personalized feedback to students. Targeted feedback was provided by the system regarding thesis development, citation usage, and clarity of writing. The authors stated that the system was well-received by both students and instructors and was effective in enhancing student proficiency in writing.

What is more, Liu et al. (2020) created an AI writing tutor that offered feedback on argumentative structure in student compositions. The technology employed machine learning algorithms to discern strengths and flaws in student arguments and offered tailored feedback to enhance students' reasoning abilities. The authors indicated that the approach effectively enhanced student argumentation skills and was positively appreciated by students.

The chat technique is intentionally meant to create the illusion that human users are engaging in a normal conversation with another human. However, the current limitation in this domain is the need for a continuous, logical, and captivating exchange, as existing software is still far from achieving the capability to engage in spontaneous and realistic discussions with humans (Levesque, 2017).

Notwithstanding the promising results of these studies, challenges persist regarding the implementation of AI writing instructors. A significant concern is the possibility of AI reproducing biases inherent in the data. AI systems, by learning from existing data, may reinforce biases in language, writing style, and arguments. A study by Klein et al. (2019) showed that an AI writing instructor tended to offer more negative comments to non-native English speakers compared to native speakers. This underscores the necessity for continuous endeavors to confront and alleviate bias in AI systems employed in education.

As a result, L2 writing pedagogies must go beyond traditional frameworks to include a strong technology component that represents the reality of modern discourse. This is necessary to adequately prepare learners for the difficulties of contemporary communication (Strobl et al., 2019; Zhang & Zou, 2022). This requires a rethinking of L2 writing teaching as a practice that is integrated, adaptive, and mediated by technology. This practice should enable learners not only to participate in academic and professional groups, but also to make significant contributions to a world that is rapidly changing and interconnected.

4. Conversational AI in Academic Writing Instruction

Conversational AI is being integrated into academic writing teaching as a new tool to improve writing skills. In the past, writing was typically seen as a finished product, with little emphasis on the underlying steps involved in the writing process. The only aspect that was evaluated was the correctness of grammar and spelling, as well as the legibility and neatness of the writing. However, in the modern approach, in addition to grammatical accuracy, creativity and personal input are also considered important factors in evaluating a text (Diana, 2016). LLMs may introduce a conflict between conventional academic goals and the potential of AI-driven tools, as they could allow students to avoid necessary tasks that showcase their acquired abilities and competencies (Jungherr, 2023).

Conversational AI has become a promising tool in the field of writing instruction, as academics are investigating its ability to improve several areas of academic writing. For checking the punctuation and grammar of students` writing, ChatGPT and other language models may be utilized. They provide automated feedback, aid students in learning grammar and punctuation, and check for accuracy in writing and grammar (Atlas, 2023). However, learners should be cautious when employing ChatGPT for creative writing. Due to the fact that the model has been trained on a substantial amount of text, it is likely that the output that has been generated does not possess any originality or distinctiveness (Iwuozor et al., 2023). In addition, the quality of the input data and the precise fine-tuning of the model will influence the output data quality. Students may utilize ChatGPT to generate novel concepts for writing assignments as an illustration of how the tool can be applied to aid in writing.

The multifaceted impacts of conversational AI tools, including ChatGPT, on foreign language acquisition and writing instruction have been underscored in recent studies (Faisal, 2024). These studies examine the role of ChatGPT in academic writing improvement and its larger significance in language education. In several studies, the application of conversational AI to academic writing, specifically ChatGPT, has been investigated. Both Gervacio (2023) and Donlon (2023) discovered that academic writing can be improved by utilizing Chat AI. Gervacio (2023) emphasized the benefits of ChatAI, including its accessibility, convenience, and timely feedback. Donlon (2023), likewise, emphasized its utility in terms of content generation and reference formulation. Jacob (2023) further emphasizes, particularly for language learners, the significance of preserving the writer's voice and agency when utilizing ChatGPT. The aforementioned studies indicate that Chat AI may serve as a beneficial supplement to traditional writing abilities and may enhance numerous facets of academic writing, especially for language learners.

Under these circumstances, higher education can also benefit significantly from the conversation simulations facilitated by ChatGPT and other language models, as such simulations can assist students in developing their language proficiency. By simulating authentic dialogues with virtual language companions, students can enhance their language fluency through a personalized, low-stakes experience (Atlas, 2023). Education and training are two of the most important places where digital skills are used in the modern era (Waycott et al., 2010). Hong (2023) investigated the influence of ChatGPT on the process of teaching and learning foreign languages. The online application has

generated apprehension in the field of education, particularly among foreign language instructors who heavily depend on written evaluations. The article elucidates the mechanics, functions, and misconceptions of the subject matter while also addressing the associated concerns and risks. ChatGPT provides instructors and institutions with chances to improve teaching and allows researchers to investigate tailored learning experiences. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of its talents and constraints is essential for optimizing its full potential. Similarly, Baskara (2023) discussed the potential benefits and challenges of using ChatGPT for English EFL writing instruction. The study utilized a comprehensive evaluation of existing literature to propose strategies for educators navigating the intersection of technology and language teaching methodologies. He conducted a comprehensive review of the potential advantages and constraints of integrating ChatGPT into EFL writing instruction. While recognizing the instrument's ability to enhance language learning experiences, it underscores the importance of considering design, implementation, and ethical considerations.

In addition, Sumakul et al. (2021) conducted a study in which they investigated the perspectives of students regarding the implementation of AI in their writing lessons. The study found that the students held favourable opinions toward the use of AI in their writing. On the other hand, in terms of writing instruction, Fitria (2023) conducted a comprehensive study to examine the potential of ChatGPT in essay writing. The study utilized a descriptive qualitative analysis to gain insights into the tool's efficiency. The methodology employed in this study involved utilizing the ChatGPT interface, which can be accessed at 'openai.com' or 'chat.openai.com' using a web browser. Upon logging in, the user can input questions and statements into the chat column. These results show the structural parts of the essays that ChatGPT made, focusing on how the events were ordered and how the writing was organized. To enhance cohesion, the program incorporates primary and elucidatory sentences, along with a concluding statement.

Likewise, Huang and Tan (2023) assert that ChatGPT functions as a tool to enhance various aspects of scientific writing, including facilitating the writing process, generating comprehensive outlines, incorporating substantial information, and refining writing styles to meet the demands of effective scientific communication. Utilizing AI techniques, particularly ChatGPT, offers a compelling opportunity for scientists seeking to enhance the results of their research. The researchers concluded that ChatGPT enables the rapid generation of scientific information, facilitates the formulation of outlines, incorporates crucial elements, and enhances cohesiveness. However, they stressed the need to comprehend ChatGPT's constraints and advised using the application proficiently to prevent plagiarism.

Similarly, in their study, Bibi and Atta (2024) investigated the effects of ChatGPT on the writing processes of learners. The results show that students hold a generally positive view of ChatGPT, and a significant number of them express satisfaction with its assistance in many aspects of English content creation. The study highlights the diverse range of student experiences with ChatGPT, showcasing its adaptability to accommodate various writing preferences and styles.

On the other hand, Rasul et al. (2023) found that incorporating ChatGPT and other LLMs into higher education presents both benefits and challenges. Students can benefit

from ChatGPT by generating ideas for assignments such as writing, research, analysis, and examinations, which has the potential to enhance their students' educational endeavors. (Limna et al., 2023). Nevertheless, it is imperative to recognize the possible disadvantages, including the dangers of academic dishonesty, prejudice, the spread of inaccurate information, and insufficient evaluation structure, all of which can impede the cultivation of vital advanced skills and encourage shallow comprehension.

Coniam (2014) also explored the grammatical accuracy of five well-known chatbots (Dave, Elbot, Eugene, George, and Julie) from the point of view of English as a Second Language (ESL) and showed that chatbots frequently provide responses that are illogical, and they discovered that there were issues with the accuracy rate in terms of both the grammar and the meaning of the responses. It is the argument of Coniam (2014) that chatbots are at present poor conversational companions; nonetheless, improvements to their capabilities are currently being implemented. Therefore, it is crucial for tertiary educators and students to be cautious and guarantee the ethical, dependable, and efficient utilization of modern technology in academic settings. Regarding the usefulness of chatbot-human communication.

The studies reviewed reveal a clear consensus on the growing role of conversational AI, such as ChatGPT, in enhancing various aspects of academic writing. These tools are increasingly recognized for their ability to support students in improving grammar, punctuation, and overall writing structure, making them valuable resources for both novice and advanced writers. Researchers highlight how AI-driven tools can assist students in generating ideas, organizing content, and even refining writing styles, thereby contributing to the overall writing process. Also, research like Gervacio (2023) and Donlon (2023) stress how easy and accessible AI tools are, pointing out how their immediate feedback can help people learn and give them help with their writing tasks at the right time. This makes ChatGPT a potentially transformative tool in academic settings, particularly for language learners, by allowing them to interact with the AI in a way that enhances both language fluency and writing coherence.

However, alongside these advantages, several studies raise important concerns regarding the limitations and ethical considerations of using AI in academic writing. The risks of academic dishonesty, the potential for shallow understanding, and the lack of originality in AI-generated content have been well-documented (Iwuozor et al., 2023; Rasul et al., 2023). While tools like ChatGPT can aid in the writing process, they should not replace the critical thinking and creativity that students must develop. As highlighted by Huang and Tan (2023), understanding the tool's constraints and using it responsibly is essential for ensuring that its application does not undermine academic integrity. Therefore, while AI can be an asset in academic instruction, its integration must be carefully managed, with educators guiding students on how to use these tools effectively while maintaining a balance between innovation and traditional academic standards.

5. The Impact of Conversational AI on Academic Writing Instruction

The advent of massive language models such as OpenAI's GPT-2 and GPT-3, Google's LaMDA, and Meta's BlenderBot in the field of academic writing signifies a significant and revolutionary change. These sophisticated AI-powered platforms have several

capabilities, fundamentally transforming the way scholars engage in research, writing, and scholarly communication. Dale and Viethen (2021), for instance, argue that the most significant advancement in writing due to AI is the implementation of AI-powered sentence and phrase auto-completion as well as alternative wording suggestion tools. Donlon and Tiernan (2023) maintain that the AI engine provides authentic content, enhances comprehension of AI in scholarly writing, and generates flawless writing with accurate citations. Ekellem (2023) also reported the benefits of conversational AI in academia, including immediate access to information, structured guidance on research direction, and dynamic feedback as among the key findings. The live interaction exemplified the feasibility and significance of incorporating chatbot interactions into scholarly procedures.

Dida et al. (2023) aimed to investigate the impact of ChatGPT on improving the process of converting text into speech. ChatGPT demonstrated exceptional proficiency in text processing and speech conversion, particularly when dealing with large amounts of data (Dida, Chakravarthy - Rabbi, 2023). To support their claims, Dewi et al. (2020) used MindMeister and Grammarly to show that task-based language learning combined with technology can help students get better at writing and stay motivated. Writing proficiency was successfully enhanced through the utilization of diverse mobile applications that are customized to suit specific age cohorts. In their study, Chen et al. (2017) demonstrated the efficacy of a tablet application (Penultimate) utilized by young learners to practice narrative writing. As a result, the students produced higher-quality reports and were more motivated to complete their assignments. Technological advancements, including those pertaining to writing skills, merit consideration in this context from an EFL-based pedagogical standpoint. Likewise, the integration of AI with virtual reality (VR) technology can also be utilized to enable students to engage in simulated environments to practice their target language (Mirzaei et al., 2018).

The study conducted by Ilieva et al. (2023) implemented a novel AI-assisted framework to examine the impact of intelligent chatbots on e-learning. The findings suggest that a significant number of students were familiar with AI chatbots, used them in the past, and demonstrated a strong desire to make use of them in educational settings. They also reported considerable contentment with generative AI technology. However, the use of intelligent chatbots necessitated comprehension of underlying systems and subject expertise, which can be challenging for students in the initial stages of learning (Jungherr, 2023). Khedkar (2023) also focused on the incorporation of AI into academic research and looked at the potential advantages of AI tools for research planning, writing, data analysis, and literature review. Recognizing the significance of human ingenuity and critical analysis in research procedures, the study emphasized the function of AI as a tool that improves productivity (Khedkar, 2023) The research subsequently found that the growing importance of AI in improving academic workflows is obvious.

Challenges in LLM Applications for Academic Writing

Academic writing requires adeptly managing extensive quantities of information, intricate concepts, theories, and empirical data with clarity and comprehension. In addition to possessing extensive knowledge of the subject matter, academic writing demands the capacity to elucidate intricate concepts for the benefit of the reader.

Academic texts are expected to adhere to rigorous criteria regarding precision, substantiation, and coherent organization. It is imperative that each assertion be supported by reliable evidence (Gupta et al., 2022). Academic essay writing is a rigorous procedure that involves thorough research, organized argumentation, and precise expression to make a valuable contribution to scholarly discussions. To establish a strong basis for excellent writing, students need to fully engage with fundamental concepts that are essential to academic writing. This involves creating precise and succinct titles that capture the fundamental aspects of the work (Maiorana - Mayer, 2018) as well as captivating abstracts that concisely describe the main ideas, methodology, and conclusions of the study (Altmae et al., 2023).

Academic writing presents a multitude of challenges, which differ in nature based on the discipline and the author (Birjali, Kasri - Beni-Hssane, 2021). Considering these challenges, AI has evolved into an indispensable instrument in the realm of academic writing. There have been numerous studies on the advantages and disadvantages of AI applications in recent years (i.e., Hu et al., 2024; Khanzode - Sarode, 2020). Overall, academic research has centered on the advantages; nevertheless, constraints have been noted as well (Dergaa et al., 2023; Huang - Tan, 2023; Meyer et al., 2023; Khalifa - Albadawy, 2024).

The challenges presented include the potential risk of excessive dependence on AI, which could hinder critical thinking and creativity. AI tools can assist in enhancing grammar and style, but they might struggle to grasp the subtle context of scholarly communication, which can result in misunderstandings or surface-level enhancements. Furthermore, the utilization of AI-generated content brings forth issues regarding originality, as students may submit work that lacks individual contribution or does not adhere to academic integrity standards. Meyer et al. (2023) highlight that these tools are not without flaws and may occasionally perpetuate errors or inaccuracies, particularly when addressing intricate topics. Therefore, although AI provides considerable assistance, its incorporation into scholarly writing necessitates thoughtful evaluation to prevent hindering the cultivation of vital academic competencies and to uphold ethical standards.

The typical challenges that arise with these kinds of software include the possibility of violations of academic integrity, the absence of context, and the continuation of discrimination over time (Limna et al., 2023). The study conducted by Escalante, Pack, and Barrett (2023), for instance, aimed at exploring the impact of human tutoring versus AI-generated feedback on linguistic progress. The findings indicated that students learning English as a Native Language (ENL) did not experience a greater improvement in their linguistic development when they were provided with comments generated by AI as opposed to students who received feedback from a real-life human instructor. As the independent variable of the group did not have a substantial impact on writing scores, it can be concluded that there was no one feedback strategy that was superior to another in terms of scoring.

These systems, along with previous tools such as grammar and spelling checkers, utilize natural language processing to detect and offer corrections for written content that contains errors and inadequacies. Recently, very advanced generative GPT LLMs

have been released, such as GPT-4 from OpenAI and PaLM 2 from Google (Escalante, Pack - Barrett, 2023). This could lead to a paradigm shift in Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE). To achieve this, it is critical to adequately integrate feedback and sustain an open attitude towards criticism (Khalifa- Albadawy, 2021). Nevertheless, receiving constructive criticism from peers may not suffice without proper direction when tackling challenging assignments like writing an argumentative essay, which necessitates a profound comprehension of the topic. Given the significant advancements in AI, particularly the emergence of ChatGPT, there is a global discussion over the feasibility of using AI tools instead of human input for complex tasks. Currently, the answer to this inquiry is not entirely certain due to the scarcity of studies and the limited knowledge base (Banihashem et al., 2024).

GenAI LLMs and chatbots such as Bard and ChatGPT can produce comprehensive essays that adhere to the criteria for scholarly work at the university level in response to a simple prompt (AbdElaal et al., 2022; Herbold et al., 2023). GPT-powered machine translation can be utilized by authors of English as a new language learners to convert essays composed in their native language (L1) to English (Godwin-Jones, 2022). Through the use of this approach, problematic writing can be improved through the application of comprehensive edits, the transformation of the tone to one that is more academic, and the incorporation of coherent features such as discourse markers (Tate et al., 2023). AI-powered writing tools are of critical importance to both educators and learners. However, issues with ethical usage, bias, contextual comprehension, and usage necessitate their ongoing development. To optimize the educational process and cultivate exceptional academic performance, it is vital to find a balance between supervision by humans and automation that is powered by AI (Malik et al., 2023).

The primary objective of college studies in social sciences is to cultivate students' abilities to comprehend the complexities of the world, establish links between empirical data and theoretical frameworks, and effectively communicate their research findings through organized and collaborative accounts. Repeated practice through written assignments is necessary to develop these skills. However, LLM apps such as ChatGPT can hinder this process by compromising hands-on experience (Jungherr, 2023). However, the potential for AI to be misapplied in producing fabricated scientific articles should be approached with caution; this emphasizes the importance of remaining vigilant to uphold academic integrity (Majovský et al., 2023). Students may be tempted not to perform tedious academic duties in favour of ChatGPT applications, which could potentially impede the development of critical academic skills due to time constraints, competing interests, and technological experimentation (Jungherr, 2023). In this regard, Su et al. (2022) investigated the impact that AI has had on the instructional process and proposed that AI tools may potentially be useful in providing feedback. On the other hand, the participation of professionals is still vital in the process of encouraging creative and critical thinking (Malik et al., 2023).

An additional challenge pertains to the detrimental potential of ChatGPT and similar language models for utilization. They have the potential to either perpetuate prevailing societal problems, such as discrimination, or establish a condition of constant surveillance. The inability to comprehend human emotions, intentions, and moral

reasoning is an additional shortcoming. As opposed to other language models, ChatGPT lacks the ability to discern human emotions, intentions, or moral reasoning. When utilizing them for tasks that demand empathy, such as counseling or tutoring, this may present a constraint. Additionally, guaranteeing the output quality of the language models presents a difficulty. It is highly likely for language models to produce erroneous or inaccurate responses. Utilizing them for tasks that demand exceptional precision, such as evaluating essays or rendering medical diagnoses, may present this as a constraint (Atlas, 2023).

6. AI Feedback and Writing Skill Revolution

Giving high-quality feedback to teachers continues to be a challenging endeavor, despite the wide range of benefits that peer feedback offers. This challenge arises from various contributing factors (Banihashem et al., 2024). In academic writing, revisions are commonly integrated as a result of guidance and evaluation from peers and advisors. For instance, McNamara et al. (2015) utilized a hierarchical categorization methodology in the Automated Essay Scoring (AES) system to assess essays based on their length and quality and make predictions about their results. The results demonstrated that this approach exhibited superior accuracy compared to existing AWE systems, as it employed a collection of criteria to forecast essay scores.

Similarly, Alexopoulou et al. (2017) utilized the methods of natural language processing (NLP) to examine the work of students to determine the effect of tasks on their written language. The findings indicated that students had reduced error rates when performing professional tasks, such as composing a job advertisement, compared to narrative tasks, such as storytelling. This could be attributed to the fact that professional duties are typically presented in a concise and organized manner using bullet points. In the research carried out by Banihashem et al. (2024), it was discovered that there was a mismatch in the quality of input between feedback that was generated by peers and feedback that was provided using ChatGPT. In contrast to the ChatGPT-generated feedback, the peer input was of higher quality, and it was reported that the main reason for this difference was mostly because of differences in the way feedback was described, and problems were identified.

Using techniques from NLP, Kyle and Crossley (2018) were able to extract linguistic characteristics from the essays that were taken on the Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL) and to assess the syntactic complexity of the writing that was produced by learners. The researchers discovered that the detailed measurements of the complexity of phrases were the most accurate indicators of the quality of learners' writing scores since they offered additional explanatory capability. Vajjala (2018) used natural language processing techniques to develop predictive models and found the most influential features in various AES and AWE systems. The researchers determined that the length of a paper had a significant impact on predicting TOEFL writing results, while discourse elements were found to be a crucial predictor in the dataset for the Cambridge First Certificate in English.

Automated writing evaluation (AWE) systems, such as eRevise, utilize AI to enhance argument writing through the provision of clear and actionable feedback. The design

must embody genuine tasks and promote discussions centered around students, all while taking into account contextual elements for successful integration and adoption in the classroom (Matsumura et al., 2022).

Building on the role of AI in writing assessment, recent advancements have extended beyond argument writing to the automated evaluation of open-book examinations in higher education, leveraging sophisticated natural language processing (NLP) techniques and machine learning models to enhance grading accuracy and efficiency. For instance, Dimari (2024) introduced an AI-driven automated grading system aimed at revolutionizing the assessment process for open book examinations in higher education. The fundamental initiative revolves around the creation of an advanced grading algorithm powered by cutting-edge NLP techniques and machine learning models, such as BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers). The design of the system focuses on precisely assessing student responses, necessitating not just the retention of facts but also the application of that knowledge to solve problems through analysis and synthesis.

Likewise, Saubhik (2020) examined an automated evaluation system in which an artificial intelligence-based tutor creates dialogues by mimicking student inputs and anticipated tutor replies, thereby enabling the assessment of AI processes within educational settings through automated conversation generation.

7. Ethical Considerations in Machine and Human Writing

It is important to acknowledge that there are also disconcerting ethical concerns that need to be considered (Sallam, 2023). Protecting the intellectual property of students requires addressing ethical concerns pertaining to data security and privacy (Lund - Wang, 2023; Ray, 2023; Rodrigues, 2020). To assess the quality and dependability of AI-generated content and to prevent an excessive reliance on automated tools, students must further develop their critical thinking abilities (Chan, 2023; Tlili et al., 2023). Adequate education and training regarding the responsible and efficient use of AI technology are vital.

Numerous studies have investigated the ethical implications associated with the implementation of AI writing tools in higher education. To determine how students perceive AI tools and comprehend the appropriate application of AI-generated content, Kreps et al. (2022) and Holmes et al. (2022) conducted research and found that providing appropriate instruction and direction regarding the utilization of AI tools was of vital importance to prevent plagiarism and uphold academic integrity.

LLMs also have the potential to embrace bias, reinforce stereotypes in the dataset used for training, and show inaccurate information as if it were true. It is crucial to achieve an appropriate balance between using these advanced techniques while also guaranteeing transparency, ethical accountability, and the maintenance of the human element in scientific discussions and decision-making (Dergaa et al., 2023). In their study, Chaudhry et al. (2023) investigated the ethical implications of using AI for plagiarism detection. They stressed the significance of creating specific guidelines and training students about the benefits and drawbacks of AI as well as the acceptable ways to use it. According to a study by Firaina and Sulisworo (2023), ChatGPT can help users in higher education with a

variety of tasks, including information retrieval, idea formulation, text translation, and providing alternative questions to increase comprehension.

However, the ethical challenges presented by AI in academic writing include risks of overreliance, academic dishonesty, and a decline in critical thinking skills. The challenges presented can significantly erode students' self-assurance and involvement, which in turn can compromise their academic integrity and authentic intellectual growth (Chavez et al., 2024). Furthermore, the likelihood of bias in AI-generated content raises significant concerns, as the algorithms employed may mirror the biases inherent in the training data, resulting in the generation of biased or inaccurate information (Ferrara, 2023; Labajová, 2023).

The utilization of AI-generated text in scientific research presents ethical concerns including transparency, bias, informed consent, privacy, and responsibility (Dowling & Lucey, 2023; Liebrenz et al., 2023). These ethical dilemmas presented by AI, including plagiarism and accuracy issues, jeopardize academic integrity in writing and require full disclosure, appropriate attribution, and the formulation of policies to avert misuse, thereby influencing the practice of academic writing (Costa, Ntsobi & Mfolo, 2024).

Nevertheless, the research highlights how important it is for customers to verify the information they obtain from ChatGPT with other trustworthy sources and to maintain a critical mentality while that information is being utilized. Makarius et al. (2020) also emphasized the necessity for additional advancements in AI tools to augment their contextual comprehension and efficacy in a wide range of disciplines. The incorporation of AI into the writing process of scholarly essays gives rise to inquiries concerning the responsibilities of instructors as well as ethical concerns.

It is impractical for educators to impose a complete prohibition on the utilization of ChatGPT and analogous tools, given their growing adoption across diverse industries, and the current application of these tools does not constitute plagiarism (Salden - Leschke, 2023). Therefore, although ChatGPT and GPT-3 are significant breakthroughs in the fields of AI, machine learning (ML), and NLP, it is imperative to guarantee their ethical and responsible use in scholarly research and publishing. There are still numerous unresolved inquiries regarding the ethical implications of employing GPT in academic settings and its effects on research efficiency (Lund, 2023). Educators must be fully aware of the underlying challenges, strengths, and limitations of research paper assignments while teaching students how to responsibly use these tools.

8. Insights into the Future and Suggestions for Academic Writing

The future of academic writing and research will depend heavily on the thoughtful integration of AI, requiring careful attention to its ethical use, proper training, and responsible application. To maximize the advantages AI offers, academic institutions as well as researchers are required to streamline the integration of AI tools into their research processes. A comprehensive and profound integration is required to guarantee that the transformative capabilities of AI permeate every aspect of academic work. Second, it is crucial that AI be utilized in an ethical and transparent manner. It is imperative for scientists to adhere to tenets of academic integrity and novelty when utilizing these instruments; any attempt to exploit them in a way that compromises these

standards would be unethical. Moreover, sufficient periods of training and adaptation are an absolute necessity. To fully harness the potential of AI tools in academic endeavors, it is crucial for researchers to acquire proficiency in working with them. Users must be made aware that they are ultimately responsible for anything they submit.

Disclosure on the locations and methods by which AI assistants have been utilized may be required by academic standards for the purposes of recordkeeping and to more accurately allocate credit for writing as opposed to prompting (Teubner et al., 2023). Moreover, it is essential to maintain a cautious balance between the application of AI and human competence, particularly in domains such as research design and ideation. Preserving this balance is crucial to avert the dominance of AI's analytical capabilities and effectiveness at the expense of human intelligence's intrinsic creative and critical thinking ability. It is vital to conduct ongoing research to enhance these tools, handle emerging issues, and take into consideration ethical concerns. This will ensure that AI continues to be a dynamic and helpful asset to academic research and writing (Albadawy - Khalifa, 2024).

There has been an undeniable proliferation of studies published in recent decades that strongly advocate for the future adoption of AI by stakeholders (Gabriel, 2019). AI should focus on enhancing publishable content quality and doing retrospective checks on existing public domain content to discover missing requirements and improve its utilization (Razack et al., 2021). At present, a plethora of AI-driven products are available for scholarly publishing; however, the abundance of options may potentially perplex end-users in their decision-making process regarding which ones are most suitable (Razack et al., 2023). Academic institutions must proactively comprehend and navigate the increasing prevalence of AI in writing from an ethical standpoint (Du Bose, 2023). In summary, the dynamic nature of the academic AI landscape requires ongoing research and development. It is obvious that the importance of the human factor increases in tandem with the strength of AI.

In conclusion, the use of conversational AI technologies in academic writing signifies a crucial shift in the processes of knowledge creation, refinement, and dissemination. Our review shows that these tools have great potential to boost academic output and make writing easier, but they also pose big problems, especially when it comes to upholding ethical standards and making sure that academic integrity is maintained.

The future of AI in academic writing depends on establishing a symbiotic relationship between human creativity and AI's analytical capability. Supervisors and institutions must establish comprehensive processes to identify and rectify potential misuse, including mandating thorough disclosures of AI utilization and prioritizing openness. Educators must enhance their assessment techniques, prioritizing students' capacity to exhibit critical thinking, inventiveness, and comprehensive comprehension over merely the refined presentation of their work.

The essential aspect of leveraging AI's potential is its balanced integration, wherein it augments rather than replaces human intelligence. Through the promotion of ethical principles, extensive training, and continuous discourse regarding its function, the academic community may guarantee that AI serves as a beneficial ally in the quest for knowledge rather than a disruptive element. Ongoing investigation into the developing

capabilities and ramifications of AI technologies is crucial for fostering a future in which both technology and humankind enhance intellectual pursuits.

The future of AI in academic writing depends on the formation of a cooperative link between human creativity and AI's analytical capacities. To maximize the promise of AI while maintaining academic integrity, educational institutions and supervisors must establish explicit protocols for the proper utilization of AI tools. This entails establishing comprehensive disclosure systems to monitor AI utilization, fostering transparency, and ensuring that instructors enhance their evaluation methodologies. Assessment should stress students' capacity to exhibit critical thinking, originality, and a profound comprehension of the subject matter, rather than concentrating exclusively on the technical quality of the work.

It is essential that the incorporation of AI in academic writing improves, rather than supplants, human intellectual contributions. By advocating for ethical norms, providing extensive training, and encouraging transparent discussions regarding AI's function in academia, the academic community can guarantee that AI continues to serve as a valuable and advantageous instrument for knowledge creation. Future research should concentrate on enhancing our comprehension of AI's effects on academic writing, especially on its influence on creativity, critical thinking, and writing skills. Research should investigate the effective integration of AI into educational processes, equipping educators with essential resources to instruct students in the ethical and productive use of new technologies. Researchers must investigate the long-term ramifications of AI in academic publication, encompassing its capacity to influence academic discourse, alter research methodologies, and impact the authorship process.

Furthermore, educators and policymakers should formulate explicit policies and guidelines that promote the ethical application of AI in educational environments. Institutions must take the initiative to ensure that their teachers and students are proficient in utilizing AI tools while upholding academic norms. Policies must be established to tackle issues such as plagiarism, misuse of AI, and proper attribution of work, as these concerns are expected to intensify with the growing integration of AI in academic research and writing.

Future researchers are encouraged to investigate the practical implementations of conversational AI tools to improve academic writing across various disciplines and educational levels. Their influence on creativity, critical thinking, and writing abilities, as well as ethical issues such as authorship and plagiarism, are critical areas for further investigation. Additionally, research should concentrate on the establishment of responsible use guidelines and the integration of these tools into conventional instruction. Their long-term impact on the quality of academic output and their role in addressing deficits in personalized academic support for diverse student populations could be evaluated through longitudinal research.

In brief, ongoing research into the capabilities and ethical ramifications of AI in academic writing is crucial for ensuring that these technologies promote knowledge growth while upholding academic integrity. By promoting a balanced and ethical approach to AI, academia can guarantee that new tools augment human intellectual endeavors without undermining the principles that support scholarly labor.

References | Kaynakça

Adiwardana, Daniel et al. "Towards a Human-like Open-Domain Chatbot." arXiv, (2020). arXiv, arXiv.org. Accessed July 25, 2024. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2001.09977

Almelhes, Sultan A. "A Review of Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Second-Language Learning." *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 13(5), (2023), 1259-1269. https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1305.21

Altmäe, Signe et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Scientific Writing: A Friend or a Foe?" *Reproductive BioMedicine* Online 47/1 (2023), 3–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2023.04.009

Atlas, Stephen. *ChatGPT for Higher Education and Professional Development: A Guide to Conversational AI*. University of Rhode Island: Kingston, RI, USA, 2023.

Bailey, Diane E.-Barley, Stephen R. "Beyond Design and Use: How Scholars Should Study Intelligent Technologies." *Information and Organization* 30/2 (2020), 100-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2019.100286

Banihashem, Seyyed Kazem et al. "Feedback Sources in Essay Writing: Peer-Generated or AI-Generated Feedback?" *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 21/1 (2024), 23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00455-4

Baskara, FX Risang-Mukarto, FX. "Exploring the Implications of ChatGPT for Language Learning in Higher Education." *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics* 7/2 (2023), 343-358. http://dx.doi.org/10.210 93/ijeltal.v7i2.1387

Bhatt, Chandradeep et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Current Education: Roles, Applications & Challenges." *2023 3rd International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Social Networking (ICPCSN)* (2023), 241–244. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPCSN58827.2023.00045

Bibi, Zamza - Atta, Aqsa. "The role of ChatGPT as AI English writing assistant: A study of student's perceptions, experiences, and satisfaction" Annals *of Human and Social Sciences* 5/1 (2024), 433-443. https://doi.org/10.35484/ahss.2024(5-I)39.

Birjali, Marouane et al., "A Comprehensive Survey on Sentiment Analysis: Approaches, Challenges and Trends." *Knowledge-Based Systems* 226 (2021), 107-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2021.107134

Brinkman, Robert et al., `An AI Writing Instructor for Introductory Writing Courses`, *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge* (ACM, 2020), 303-312.

Brunn, Stann - Kehrein, Roland. *Handbook of the changing world language map*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2020.

Buckingham Shum, S., et al. Critical perspectives on writing analytics. In *Proceedings of the sixth international conference on learning analytics & knowledge.*: ain Volume. 481-483. New York NY United States: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016.

Buttar, Ahmad Mateen et al., "Conversational AI: Security Features, Applications, and Future Scope at Cloud Platform." *Conversational Artificial Intelligence*, ed. Romil Rawat et al. 31–58. Wiley, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394200801.ch3

Carola Strobl et al. 'Digital Support for Academic Writing: A Review of Technologies and Pedagogies,' *Computers & Education*, 131 (2019), 33–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.12.005.

Chaskopoulos, Dimitrios et al. "Implementing a Chatbot Solution for Learning Management System." arXiv, 2022. arXiv, DOI.org (Datacite). Accessed July 25, 2024. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2206.13187

Chavez, Jason V. et al., 'Discourse Analysis on the Ethical Dilemmas on the Use of AI in Academic Settings from ICT, Science, and Language Instructors.' *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6/5, 346-363, 2024. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i5.6765

Chen, Xieling et al., "A Multi-Perspective Study on Artificial Intelligence in Education: Grants, Conferences, Journals, Software Tools, Institutions, and Researchers." *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* 1 (2020), 100-005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100005

Chiu, Thomas K.F. - Chai, Ching-sing. "Sustainable Curriculum Planning for Artificial Intelligence Education: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective." *Sustainability* 12/14 (2020), 5568. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145568

Coniam, David. "The Linguistic Accuracy of Chatbots: Usability from an ESL Perspective." *Text & Talk* 34/5 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2014-0018

Copeland, Brian Jack. *Artificial Intelligence. Encyclopedia Britannica*. Inc.: Chicago, IL, USA, 2020.

Costa, King et al., 'Challenges, Benefits and Recommendations for Using Generative Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing – A Case of ChatGPT.' *Medicon Engineering Themes*, October 2024. https://doi.org/10.55162/MCET.07.236.

Dale, Robert - Viethen, Jette. "The Automated Writing Assistance Landscape in 2021." *Natural Language Engineering* 27/4 (July 2021), 511–518. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1351324921000164

Dale, Robert. "GPT-3: What's It Good for?" *Natural Language Engineering* 27/1 (2021), 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1351324920000601

Dergaa, Ismail et al. "From Human Writing to Artificial Intelligence Generated Text: Examining the Prospects and Potential Threats of ChatGPT in Academic Writing." *Biology of Sport* 40/2 (2023), 615–622. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2023.125623

Deshpande, Sushama - Shesh, Amit. "Blended Learning and Analysis of Factors Affecting the Use of ICT in Education." *Next Generation Information Processing System*. ed. Prachi Deshpande et al. 1162/311–324. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4851-2_33

Diana, Yanti. "Students's Grammar Master of Simple Present Tense By Using Egra Exposure, Generalization, Reinforcement, And Application Method At Senior High School Muhammadiyah Jayapura." *Indonesian Journal of Education (INJOE)* 3/1 (2023), 114–121. https://www.injoe.org/index.php/INJOE/article/view/52

Dida, Hatim Abdelhak et al. "ChatGPT and Big Data: Enhancing Text-to-Speech Conversion." *Mesopotamian Journal of Big Data*, 31–35. https://doi.org/10.58496/MJBD/2023/005

Dimari, et al., 'AI-Based Automated Grading Systems for Open Book Examination System: Implications for Assessment in Higher Education.' *2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS)*, Chikkaballapur, India, 2024, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10616490.

Dishon, Gideon-Gilead, Tal. "Adaptability And Its Discontents: 21st-Century Skills and The Preparation for An Unpredictable Future." *British Journal of Educational Studies* 69/4 (2021), 393–413. https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2020.1829545

Donlon, Enda - Tiernan, Peter. "Chatbots and Citations: An Experiment in Academic Writing with Generative AI." *Irish Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning* 7/2 (2023), 75–87. https://doi.org/10.22554/ijtel.v7i2.125

Dowling, Michael - Lucey, Brian 'ChatGPT for (Finance) Research: The Bananarama Conjecture.' *Finance Research Letters*, 103662, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.103662.

DuBose, Joy - Marshall, Derek. "AI in Academic Writing: Tool or Invader." *Public Services Quarterly* 19/2 (2023), 125–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/15228959.2023.2185338

Ekellem, Elizabeth Ango Fomuso. "Conversational AI in Academia: A Practical Exploration with ChatGPT." *2023 7th International Symposium on Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Technologies (ISMSIT)*. 1–4. Ankara, Turkiye: IEEE, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMSIT58785.2023.10304845

Escalante, Juan et al. "AI-Generated Feedback on Writing: Insights into Efficacy and ENL Student Preference." *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 20/1 (2023), 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00425-2

Faisal, Eman. "Unlock the Potential for Saudi Arabian Higher Education: A Systematic Review of the Benefits of ChatGPT." *Frontiers in Education* 9 (2024), 1325601. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1325601

Ferrara, Emilio. 'Fairness and Bias in Artificial Intelligence: A Brief Survey of Sources, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies.' *Sci*, 6/1, 3, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/sci6010003.

Fitria, Tira Nur. "Artificial Intelligence (AI) Technology in OpenAI ChatGPT Application: A Review of ChatGPT in Writing English Essay." *ELT Forum: Journal of English Language Teaching* 12/1 (2023), 44–58. https://doi.org/10.15294/elt.v12i1.64069

Fütterer, Tim et al. "ChatGPT in Education: Global Reactions to AI Innovations." *Scientific Reports* 13/1 (2023), 15310. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42227-6

Gabriel, Ann. "Artificial Intelligence in Scholarly Communications: An Elsevier Case Study," ed. Bonnie Lawlor. *Information Services & Use* 39/4 (2020), 319–333. https://doi.org/10.3233/ISU-190063

Gardner, John et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessment: 'Breakthrough? Or Buncombe and Ballyhoo?'" *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* 37/5 (2021), 1207–1216. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12577

Gupta, Shikha et al. "Academic Writing Challenges and Supports: Perspectives of International Doctoral Students and Their Supervisors." *Frontiers in Education* 7 (2022), 891534. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.891534

Holmes, Wayne - Tuomi, Ilkka. "State of the Art and Practice in AI in Education." *European Journal of Education* 57/4 (December 2022), 542–570. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12533

Hong, Wilson Cheong Hin. "The Impact of ChatGPT on Foreign Language Teaching and Learning: Opportunities in Education and Research." *Journal of Educational Technology and Innovation* 5/1 (2023). https://doi.org/10.61414/jeti.v5i1.103

Hu, Xin et al. "Opportunities and Challenges of ChatGPT for Design Knowledge Management." *Procedia CIRP* 119 (2023), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2023.05.001

Huang, Jingshan - Tan, Ming "The role of ChatGPT in scientific communication: Writing better scientific review articles." A*merican Journal of Cancer Research 13*/4 (2023), 11-48. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10164801/(open in a new window)

Hwang, Gwo-Jen et al. "A Fuzzy Expert System-Based Adaptive Learning Approach to Improving Students' Learning Performances by Considering Affective and Cognitive Factors." *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* 1 (2020), 100003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100003

Ilieva, Galina et al. "Effects of Generative Chatbots in Higher Education." *Information* 14/9 (2023), 492. https://doi.org/10.3390/info14090492

Iwuozor, Kingsley O. et al. "The Effect of ChatGPT on Sugar Industry Research." *Sugar Tech* 25/6 (December 2023), 1278–1284. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-023-01300-0

Jacob, Sharin et al. "Emergent AI-Assisted Discourse: Case Study of a Second Language Writer Authoring with ChatGPT." arXiv, 2023. arXiv, DOI.org (Datacite). Accessed July 25, 2024. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2310.10903

Jungherr, Andreas. "Using ChatGPT and Other Large Language Model (LLM) Applications for Academic Paper Assignments." March 24, 2023. SocArXiv. Accessed July 25, 2024. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/d84q6

Khalifa, Mohamed - Albadawy, Mona. "Using Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing and Research: An Essential Productivity Tool." *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update* 5 (2024), 100145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100145

Khan, Wahab et al. "Exploring the Frontiers of Deep Learning and Natural Language Processing: A Comprehensive Overview of Key Challenges and Emerging Trends." *Natural Language Processing Journal* 4 (2023), 100026. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlp.2023.100026

Khanzode, K. C. A. - Sarode, R. D. Advantages and disadvantages of artificial intelligence and machine learning: A literature review. *International Journal of Library & Information Science (IJLIS)* 9/1 (2020), 30-36. https://doi.org/10.34218/IJLIS.09.01.20.04

Khedkar, Sujata. "Using AI-powered tools effectively for academic research." Accessed April 10, 2024. https://www.editage.com/insights/using-ai-powered-tools-effectivelyforacademicresearch#:~:text=Researchers%20can%20use%20AI%20tools,an%20important%20aspec t%20of%20research

Klein, Ewan et al.,. *Bias in, Bias Out: Assessing the Presence of Racial and Gender Bias in a Natural Language Processing Platform.* Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), 5799-5804. 2019.

Kulkarni, Pradnya et al. “Conversational AI: An Overview of Methodologies, Applications & Future Scope.” *2019 5th International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCUBEA)*. 1–7. Pune, India: IEEE, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEA47591.2019.9129347

Kundu, S., Barri, R., Pratap, V. R., Arora, R., Das, S., & Landge, T. M. *U.S. Patent No. 10,970,490*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 2021.

Labajová, Lucia. 'The State of AI: Exploring the Perceptions, Credibility, and Trustworthiness of the Users Towards AI-Generated Content.' Unpublished master's thesis, Malmö University, 2023.

Levesque, Hector J. *Common Sense, the Turing Test, and the Quest for Real AI*. MIT Press, 2017.

Liang, Weiyan. “Development Trend and Thinking of Artificial Intelligence in Education.” *2020 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*. 886–890. Limassol, Cyprus: IEEE, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1109/IWCMC48107.2020.9148078

Liebrenz, et al., 'Generating Scholarly Content with ChatGPT: Ethical Challenges for Medical Publishing.' *The Lancet Digital Health*, 5/3, e105-e106, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00019-5.

Liewertz, Matthew "Artificial Intelligence in Education" *Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Business for Non-Engineers.* Eds. Stephan S. Jones & Frank M. Groom. (148-164). New York: CRC Press, 2019.

Limna, Pongsakorn et al. “The use of ChatGPT in the digital era: Perspectives on chatbot implementation.” *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 6/1, 2023, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.32

Liu, Chenchen et al., “Incorporating a Reflective Thinking Promoting Mechanism into Artificial Intelligence-Supported English Writing Environments.” *Interactive Learning Environments* 31/9 (2023), 5614–5632. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.2012812

Lund, Brady D. et al. “ChatGPT and a New Academic Reality: Artificial Intelligence-written Research Papers and the Ethics of the Large Language Models in Scholarly Publishing.” *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 74/5 (2023), 570–581. https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24750

Mageira, Kleopatra et al. “Educational AI Chatbots for Content and Language Integrated Learning.” *Applied Sciences* 12/7 (2022), 3239. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12073239

Májovský, Martin et al. "Artificial intelligence can generate fraudulent but authentic-looking scientific medical articles: Pandora's box has been opened." *J Med Internet Res.*, 25 (2023) e46924. https://doi.org/10.2196/46924

Malik, Agung Rinaldy et al. “Exploring Artificial Intelligence in Academic Essay: Higher Education Student’s Perspective.” *International Journal of Educational Research Open* 5 (2023), 100296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100296

Marr, Bernard. *The key definitions of artificial intelligence (AI) that explain its importance*. Accessed 21 April 2024. https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2018/02/14/the-key-definitions-of-artificial-intelligence-ai-that-explain-its-importance/?sh=2495ff254f5d

Matsumura, Lindsay Clare-Wang, Elaine L. -Correnti, Richard& Litman, Diane. 'Designing Automated Writing Evaluation Systems for Ambitious Instruction and Classroom Integration.' *Artificial Intelligence in STEM Education*, 195-208. CRC Press, 2022.

Miao, Fengchun - Holmes, Wayne. *AI and Education: Guidance for policymakers.* Paris, France: UNESCO Publishing, 2021.

Mirzaei, Maryam Sadat et al. "Language Learning through Conversation Envisioning in Virtual Reality: A Sociocultural Approach." *Future-Proof CALL: Language Learning as Exploration and Encounters–Short Papers from EUROCALL 2018*. by Peppi Taalas et al., 207–213. Research-publishing.net, 2018. https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2018.26.838

Moraes Neto, Antonio Justiniano-Fernandes, Marcia Aparecida. "Chatbot and Conversational Analysis to Promote Collaborative Learning in Distance Education." *2019 IEEE 19th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT)*. 324–326. Maceió, Brazil: IEEE, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2019.00102

Negi, Aradhana et al., "Artificial Intelligence Empowered Language Models: A Review." *Advances in Data-Driven Computing and Intelligent Systems*. ed. Swagatam Das et al. 891/535–548. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-9524-0_40

O'Leary, Daniel E., "An Analysis of Three Chatbots: BlenderBot, ChatGPT and LaMDA." *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* 30/1 (2023), 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1531

Pennebaker, James W. et al. "When Small Words Foretell Academic Success: The Case of College Admissions Essays." ed. Qiyong Gong. *PLoS ONE* 9/12 (2014), e115844. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115844

Rahmat, Anggi Datiatur et al. "Integrating Technology into Science Learning in Junior High School: Perspective of Teachers." *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA* 9/5 (2023), 2391–2396. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i5.2922

Rasul, Tareq et al. "The role of ChatGPT in higher education: Benefits, challenges, and future research directions.". Jo*urnal of Applied Learning and Teaching* 6/1 (2023), 41-56. https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.29

Razack, Habeeb Ibrahim Abdul et al. "Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Tools for Redefining the Communication Landscape of the Scholarly World." *Science Editing* 8/2 (2021), 134–144. https://doi.org/10.6087/kcse.244

Rebolledo Font De La Vall, Roxana-González Araya, Fabián. "Exploring the Benefits and Challenges of AI-Language Learning Tools." *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Invention* 10/01 (2023), 7569–7576. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsshi/v10i01.02

Salden, Peter - Leschke, Jonas (Eds.). *Educational and Legal Perspectives on AI-supported Writing in Higher Education*. Paris: Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 2023. https://doi.org/10.13154/294-10284

Sallam, Malik. "ChatGPT Utility in Healthcare Education, Research, and Practice: Systematic Review on the Promising Perspectives and Valid Concerns." *Healthcare* 11/6 (2023), 887. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11060887

Simona, Fer Camelia. "The academic writing: A prerequisite condition in the cultural evolution of the academic society." *Globalization and Intercultural Dialogue. Multidisciplinary Perspectives.* (ed.). Iulian Boldea 139–146. Tîrgu-Mureş: Arhipelag XXI, 2014 https://ibn.idsi.md/sites/default/files/imag_file/GIDNI-01-Lang_2014.pdf#page=139

Stanley, Todd. *Authentic Learning: Real-World Experiences That Build 21st-Century Skills*. New York: Routledge, 1st Ed., 2021. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003233152

Statista. "AI Usage Manufacturing Industry Worldwide 2020." Accessed July 2024. https://www.statista.com/statistics/1197949/ai-manufacturing-industry-use-case-worldwide/

Strzelecki, Artur. "Is ChatGPT-like Technology Going to Replace Commercial Search Engines?" *Library Hi Tech News* 41/6 (2024), 18–21. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-02-2024-0026

Sumakul, Dian Toar Y. G. et al., "Artificial Intelligence in EFL Classrooms: Friend or Foe?" *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network* 15/1 (2022), 232–256. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1336138

Teubner, Timm et al., "Welcome to the Era of ChatGPT et al.: The Prospects of Large Language Models." *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 65/2 (2023), 95–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-023-00795-x

Thoppilan, Romal et al., "LaMDA: Language Models for Dialog Applications." arXiv, 2022. arXiv, DOI.org (Datacite). Accessed July 25, 2024. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2201.08239

Vuong, Quan-Hoang et al. "Are We at the Start of the Artificial Intelligence Era in Academic Publishing?" *Science Editing* 10/2 (2023), 158–164. https://doi.org/10.6087/kcse.310

Warschauer, Mark - Healey, Deborah. "Computers and Language Learning: An Overview." *Language Teaching* 31/2 (1998), 57–71. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800012970

Waycott, Jenny et al., "Digital Divides? Student and Staff Perceptions of Information and Communication Technologies." *Computers & Education* 54/4 (2010), 1202–1211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.11.006

Xinyi Huang et al. "Trends, Research Issues, and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Language Education." *Educational Technology & Society* 23/1 (2023), 112-131. https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202301_26(1).0009

Yeşilel, Deren Başak Akman. "Utilizing Mobile Technology to Improve Writing Skill." *New Directions in Technology for Writing Instruction*. ed. Gonca Yangın-Ekşi et al. 30/147–167. English Language Education. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-13540-8_8

Zhang, Roufei - Zou, Di. 'Types, Features, and Effectiveness of Technologies in Collaborative Writing for Second Language Learning.' *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 35(9), 2391–2422, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1880441.

Zhou, Molly Y.-Lawless, William F. "An Overview of Artificial Intelligence in Education:" *Advances in Information Quality and Management*. ed. Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, D. B. A. 2445–2452. IGI Global, 2014. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-5888-2.ch237