



Not “the British Empire in Space”: Symbiosis as Subversion in Octavia Butler’s “Bloodchild”

Onur Eyüp BÖLE¹ 



¹Assistant Professor, İstanbul Aydın University, Faculty of Science and Literature, Department of English Language and Literature, İstanbul, Türkiye

ORCID: O.E.B. 0000-0001-9444-3846

Corresponding author:

Onur Eyüp BÖLE,
İstanbul Aydın Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat
Fakültesi, İngiliz Dili ve Edebiyatı,
İstanbul, Türkiye
E-mail: onurbole@aydin.edu.tr

Submitted: 02.11.2024

Revision Requested: 13.03.2025

Last Revision Received: 16.03.2025

Accepted: 17.03.2025

Citation: Bole, O.E. (2025). Not “the British Empire in space”: Symbiosis as subversion in Octavia Butler’s “Bloodchild”. *Litera*, 35(1), 173-198.
<https://doi.org/10.26650/LITERA2024-1578286>

ABSTRACT

Despite Octavia Butler’s own claims, “Bloodchild” has been predominantly interpreted as a direct allegory of slavery for over three decades. This article challenges such readings by moving beyond racial interpretations to critique humanist approaches, offering a fresh perspective grounded in the neglected exploration of the story’s genre. It posits that “Bloodchild” is a postcolonial and ecofeminist reimagining of the space fiction narratives prevalent in the 1970s and 1980s. The first section of the article contrasts the colonial ideologies embedded in traditional space fiction’s future histories with Butler’s postcolonialist approach to history-writing within her storyworld. The second section examines the motifs of the Alien body, longevity, and interspecies love through the lens of the genre to reveal their contribution to the story’s symbiotic logic. The final section explores the narrative progression from parasitism to symbiosis, a shift that disrupts and transcends the binary conventions of space fiction. By integrating stylistic, thematic, and narratological layers, the article demonstrates how Butler constructs a storyworld that is deeply rooted in a postcolonialist and ecofeminist framework. This symbiotic reconfiguration not only critiques the colonial underpinnings of the genre but also subverts its traditional narrative structures, offering a compelling revision of space fiction conventions. This analysis establishes “Bloodchild” as a transformative work that redefines genre conventions while resisting reductive allegorical interpretations.

Keywords: Octavia Butler, Bloodchild, symbiosis, space opera, ecofeminism



Introduction

Interpretations of Octavia Butler's "Bloodchild" frequently center on its association with the author's racial identity. Many critics have read the interspecies relationship between humans and the insect-like alien Tlics as an allegory of slavery in the United States. As early as 1997, Jane Donawerth famously identified the closing scene, which depicts an interspecies sexual encounter between the protagonist Gan and T'Gatoi, as *punishment by rape and incest*, representing slave women forced to carry the offspring of their masters. This assumption has largely persisted into the 21st century. Thelma Shinn Richard treats Butler's oeuvre as a melting pot of a single agenda, claiming that in "Bloodchild" "the need of even a young human male to submit to impregnation by the alien Tlic [...] addresses the African diasporic perspective on the cost of survival" (Richard, 2005). Drawing on Donawerth's reading, Kristen Lillvis argues that Gan's compassionate development symbolizes Black women's experiences during slavery, which generated "nonphallic maternal authority" due to the absence of a father figure and proper entrance into the Symbolic (Lillvis, 2014). It has even been stated that the success of "Bloodchild" lies in introducing the genre of the neo-slave narrative to science fiction (Humann, 2017).

Such persistent identification of the writer's race with her text indicates a deeper historical problem faced by African American writers. Gene Andrew Jarrett observes that since the postbellum period, there has been a market demand for African American literature to feature African American protagonists alongside certain historical themes or subjectivities defined by race. According to Jarrett, when African American writers produce works that defy racial realism, their texts are often confined to racial terms, implying that African American writers cannot participate in global discourses without being reduced to identity politics (2006). For Jarrett, the most influential factor in the formation of the canon for African American literature has been, and continues to be, the extent to which such works remain confined within the boundaries of racial realism. If an acclaimed African American writer—such as Butler—produces a remarkable work that defies this identification, there is a prevailing tendency to interpret and *promote* the text strictly in racial terms, as if African American writers cannot engage in global discourses without being reduced to identity politics or unfairly criticized for adopting a post-racialist mindset (2006).

Following Jarrett's call for alternative readings beyond race and Butler's own comments on "Bloodchild," this article explores the complexities of the story's genre

from postcolonial and ecofeminist perspectives. A genre-focused reading of “Bloodchild” reveals its foundation in space fiction, interpreting the Terran-Tlic relationship as an *interspecies*, rather than an interracial, dynamic. This is no easy task because, as Zakiyyah Iman Jackson argues, the category of species has always functioned as a homologue to race within anti-black, colonialist, and heteropatriarchal culture—one that “mistakes accommodation for parasitism, symbiosis for slavery, and symbiogenesis with extinction,” thereby explaining the dominant interpretation of “Bloodchild” (Jackson, 2020). It is urgent to construct an ontology that revises species differentiation as a product of symbiosis and relationality, moving beyond the racialized concept of hybridity, which has historically positioned the “animal problem” within the category of the subhuman (Jackson, 2020). Butler constructs such an ontology in “Bloodchild,” and this article proposes a perspective that moves beyond racial allegories to examine the story’s subversion of space fiction conventions, particularly the colonial ideologies that dominated the genre in the 1970s and 1980s.

It should be noted that most of these conventions specifically originate from *space operas*, which typically feature story arcs set in “vast settings of interstellar conflicts between clearly defined ‘good’ and ‘bad’ sides,” often incorporating romance elements and melodramatic effects (Sawyer, 2009). “Bloodchild” largely subverts these conventions, but due to the lack of consensus on which works qualify as part of this subgenre, I use the broader term *space fiction* to include all narratives set in extraterrestrial storyworlds. “Bloodchild” is a groundbreaking work of space fiction, serving as a precursor to 21st-century science fiction that actively engages with ecocritical discourses. To substantiate this claim, it is essential to interpret the story primarily as space fiction, viewing the Terran-Tlic relationship not as interracial but as *interspecies*, between humans and aliens.

Focusing on the genre is particularly significant because, even in studies attempting to move beyond race, interpretations often revert to framing the Terran-Tlic relationship as one of servitude. In these readings, the allegory shifts from racial dynamics to representing other systems of control, such as Foucauldian biopower, the strategies and technologies used to regulate populations. These readings position the Tlic species as counterparts to state machinery, which governs “the availability of their Terran bodies as breeding resources” by inscribing and marking “the human body and consciousness” (San Miguel, 2018). Similarly, Shiladitya Sen interprets *Bloodchild* as an allegory of power dynamics within micropolitics, specifically concerning abusive relationships (Sen, 2022).

Meanwhile, Simon John Ryle views the story as an anti-humanist critique of the meat industry, symbolized through the Tlic-Terran relationship (Ryle, 2023).

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, several studies have challenged the fundamental assumption of interspecies opposition in “Bloodchild.” Beth A. McCoy argues that the persistent neglect of Butler’s claim—that the story is not about slavery—leads to an inverted interpretation of the narrative, stemming from “this readerly tendency to functionally declare that Butler’s non-human persons have no right to life” (McCoy, 2020). Similarly, Sodam Choi observes that the story disrupts “the binary scope of humanist ontology” and presents a posthuman perspective that is particularly relevant in the post-pandemic era (Choi, 2021). Alisa Dahal interprets the story as a cautionary tale against future crises and an invitation to adopt an ecocritical ontology grounded in Deleuzian *becoming* (Dahal, 2024).

Thus, we can trace two seemingly incompatible interpretations of the story: first, the historically dominant reading as an allegory of slavery; and second, the more recent view of it as an interspecies interaction. According to Marquita R. Smith, these approaches can only cease to be mutually exclusive if we revise the former in light of the latter. In other words, while the story can be analyzed through the lens of racial politics, it is more appropriately understood within the broader framework of “how to live ethically with difference.” This interpretation encompasses both human/non-human interactions and human-to-human relationships, moving beyond a simplistic master–slave dynamic (Smith, 2024). According to Jackson, the story curiously erases the presence of Euro-anthropocentrism by bringing together formerly enslaved *immigrants* and insectoid *aliens*, two communities of alterity that eventually learn to disown their Self or realize that they had no autonomous Self to begin with, a category she deems essential to the logic of slavery itself (Jackson, 2020). “Bloodchild” is not an allegory of slavery, but rather a depiction of problematic and asymmetrical relationality, one that may appear nightmarish when viewed from the privileged position of colonialist heteronormativity, which Butler sees as ultimately self-destructive (Jackson, 2020).

One reason for the historical conflict in the interpretations of the story, as mentioned above, is the tendency to identify the text with the author’s race. The second stems from the ambiguity of the text itself. The analysis I present in this article aligns with the emerging but still underexamined perspective that explores the story’s potential for ecological interconnectedness. However, a justified question arises: Why does the story

combine the stylistics of interconnectedness and love with horror and power imbalances? The answer lies in Butler's humbling and disruptive repositioning of her human characters within the alien environment, which demands a radical reconsideration of ecocritical ethics—one that entirely strips away human privilege. This aligns with Val Plumwood's non-dualist ecofeminist philosophy, which seeks to confront

the Western-based [...] human mastery [...] with our inclusion in the animal order as food, as flesh, our kinship with those we eat, with being part of the feast and not just some sort of spectator of it, like a disembodied eye filming somebody else's feast. (Plumwood, 2012)

This suggests that the idealization of nature may itself be a product of European anthropocentrism—a self-assured positioning of the human as the apex predator and sole dominator of the environment, as well as of other so-called inferior cultures, which the colonial imagination portrays as passively waiting to be explored and exploited rather than as active agents of mutual reception. Interestingly, traditional space operas, upon which "Bloodchild" draws, notoriously reproduce this ideology—founded on the logic of expansion, assimilation, the de-realization of the subaltern, and the spatial stylistics of the science romances of the Romantic period—while most other science fiction subgenres have shifted toward an aesthetic of temporality to confront the dynamics of 20th-century capitalism (Suvin, 1977). Subverting the conventions of the genre requires placing the human body in a vulnerable position (one fully aligned with non-human bodies) where symbiosis entails troublesome compensation beyond any utilitarian logic or humanist formulations of utopia, all while preventing the genre from becoming escapist. This creates a disturbing effect on the reader, which, combined with the author's race, helps explain the traditional interpretation of "Bloodchild" as a dystopian allegory.

My approach examines "Bloodchild" through the lens of its genre, an area often overlooked in Butler studies. In the first section, I explore how the story engages with and subverts the conventions of space operas prevalent in the 1980s. The neglect of the genre's influence on "Bloodchild" appears to have contributed significantly to the tendency to reduce the narrative to racial dynamics, particularly within academic circles. Second, I investigate the roles of the Alien trope, the theme of longevity, and interspecies love within the broader context of space fiction. Finally, I analyze the story's progression from its climax to its conclusion in relation to Butler's writing process. This exploration

reveals how the narrative transitions from the logic of abjection and parasitism to one of symbiosis. Ultimately, it becomes evident that the story’s stylistic, thematic, and narratological layers work in unison to construct a storyworld grounded in a postcolonialist and ecofeminist vision of symbiosis.

“Bloodchild” and the stylistics of postcolonial space fiction

“Bloodchild” is a science fiction novelette that narrates a pivotal day in Gan’s life as he steps into maturity by allowing T’Gatoi, a female member of an insect-like alien species called the Tlic, to implant her eggs into his body. The story is set on an unspecified extrasolar planet where a human population (the Terrans) settled a few generations ago after escaping genocide on Earth. The planet’s natives, the Tlic, are parasitic species that require hosts for their eggs. Following an unsuccessful armed rebellion by the Terrans to subjugate the Tlic, the aliens have disarmed the humans and sought to “pen” them as livestock for reproduction, as the Terrans have proven to be ideal hosts.

In response, a political faction within the Tlic established the Preserve, where the Terrans are protected from other Tlic in exchange for compliance: Each Terran family must offer a child, preferably male, to serve as a host for Tlic eggs. On the day of Gan’s anticipated impregnation, the last day of his childhood, he unexpectedly witnesses the traumatic sight of Bram Lomas, a Terran, being cut open by T’Gatoi during an emergency operation to save him from the larvae inside, which are poisoning and consuming him alive.

The story provides a detailed, visceral account of the bloody procedure and Gan’s attempts to process the trauma. However, his sympathy for Lomas takes a backseat to his evolving relationship with T’Gatoi. Gan, who has shared an intimate bond with T’Gatoi since birth, finds himself conflicted. The cold efficiency with which T’Gatoi performs the operation and her unsettling act of licking the man’s blood leads Gan to abject her and forces him to reassess his previously unchallenged decision to host her offspring. On a broader scale, this moment compels him to reevaluate the power dynamics between the Tlic and the Terrans, further complicating his feelings about his role in their relationship.

In the closing remarks of her 2005 afterword to the story, Butler acknowledges that many renowned classics of space fiction, such as *Star Trek*, are “the British Empire in

space." These narratives presume that humans are the rightful owners of the universe, portraying it as familiar, easily conquerable, and unproblematically traversable. However, Butler's own narrative does not reproduce the stereotypical patterns ingrained in science fiction, despite her familiarity with them as a passionate reader of the genre. Instead, "Bloodchild" profoundly challenges patriarchal, colonial, and anthropocentric ideas about the human and the non-human (Butler, 2005).

Charles Stross' commentary on politically and ecocritically engaged space fiction further highlights Butler's significant contributions to rethinking humanity's relationship with the environment, as well as her discussion of postcolonialist and feminist representations of the self and the Other. Stross critiques traditional space fiction, arguing that it often replicates the patriarchal practices of history, ranging from the medieval codes of "absolute monarchies" to their modern counterparts, "hereditary military dictatorships." He points out that even contemporary portrayals of the posthuman condition, enhanced by technological assistance, often overlook the physical limitations of the human body and the complexities of alien spaces (Stross, 2013).

According to Stross, a prominent writer of contemporary English space fiction, this tendency is highly problematic. It manipulates the guise of scientific realism to perpetuate a humanist fantasy, ascribing to men the role of autonomous individuals who not only survive against all odds but also extend their material subjectivity by assimilating the environment to perpetuate operatic colonization (Stross, 2013). Similarly, Simoneti observes that the colonial frameworks underpinning space fiction, particularly in invasion and first-contact stories, have historically helped reproduce the concept of the modern subject through themes of disembodiment, progress, and anthropocentrism. However, Simoneti argues that these frameworks are increasingly displaced in the 21st century to "problematize the category of the modern subject" (Simoneti, 2022).

Viewed within this context, Butler's story makes a significant contribution to science fiction because, while it highlights the relationship between humans and the Other/alien under harsh conditions, it also subverts preconceived assumptions about the colonizer/oppressed divide and humanity's relationship with the environment. To start, the historical background that Butler envisions situates the Tlic not as colonizers but as the indigenous inhabitants of the planet, while the Terrans are portrayed as colonizers *and* refugees. This positioning diverges not only from the historical accounts that Butler is often claimed to draw upon but also from the conventions of traditional space fiction.

In "Bloodchild", unlike in typical space fiction, space travel occurs only once. It is neither narrated nor romanticized, and there is no possibility of returning. The story lacks futuristic weapons; Terrans are not even allowed to use basic, old-fashioned guns. Far from depicting a triumphant or advanced civilization, the Terrans remain permanently isolated from Earth. They are unable to dominate the Tlic and instead must negotiate and form a symbiotic relationship, one that comes at a cost.

If anything, rather than aligning with the slave narrative genre, this structure bears greater similarity to the captivity narratives of the Puritan settlers. These narratives often focused less on stereotyping Native Americans and more on reflecting the writers' anxieties when confronted with the fluidity of colonizer-colonized positions, the destabilization of cultural identity, the fragility of the colonial project, and the emergence of a third space characterized by cultural hybridity and ambiguity (Pierson, 2018). Viewed in this context, Gan's narrative can be interpreted as a reflection of the anxiety surrounding hylomorphism—the conception of the non-human environment as a passive entity to be mastered by the active/masculine subject—a shift from an organic cosmology to a mechanistic worldview that forms the foundation of modern subjectivity (Merchant, 1980).

However, the notable differences between "Bloodchild" and traditional captivity narratives underscore Butler's nuanced approach. She does not merely subvert binary oppositions by reproducing the history of the United States. The story is neither narrated by a first-generation settler nor framed as a victorious narrative, as one might expect from such a replication. Instead, Gan's last night of childhood portrays an era in which both species have already taken meaningful steps toward forming a symbiotic community. Remarkably, no scholar has considered this situation as a futuristic metaphor for an alternative history, one in which an indigenous culture retains its power despite an armed occupation. This omission is likely because the story defies the typical search for innocent victims or ideal revolutionaries, making such simplistic interpretations futile.

This is not to suggest, of course, that "Bloodchild" functions as a metaphor of any kind. Drawing parallels between colonial history and the text introduces additional challenges, particularly in the context of racial conflict. Notably, the only aspect of race in "Bloodchild" that might catch the reader's attention is the absence of conventional white signifiers: Gan and his family bear Chinese names that hold no societal significance, Lomas—the central Terran figure in the story—has brown skin, and the Tlic species

does not recognize the concept of race. It is as if the notion of race becomes effortlessly irrelevant in the absence of *whiteness*, despite it not being a focal concern of the narrative. In this way, the story permits a discourse on the history of African American people through absence and contrast, without directly mimicking historical atrocities. This approach might ultimately allow for a deeper confrontation with contemporary mechanisms of domination than a straightforward allegory could provide. Naomi Morgenstern acknowledges this absence of race but, in her comparison of "Bloodchild" with Toni Morrison's "Recitatif," argues that such an effacement might signify wish-fulfillment. She contends that the story should undergo psychoanalytic scrutiny to uncover potential replacements for the racialized maternal subject (Morgenstern, 2022).

However, imposing tenuous parallels on the text risks trivializing both the story and the lived African American experience, reducing them to caricatures. In contrast, Butler offers significant divergences that not only enrich the narrative on multiple levels but also enable a profound reexamination of both historical and contemporary realities through the speculative lens of a fictional future. It is important to note that Butler's fiction is far from escapist; she is a politically and philosophically engaged science fiction writer and a pivotal figure in Afrofuturism (Womack, 2013). While her works, such as the neo-slave narrative *Kindred*, explicitly address race and slavery, she is deliberate in doing so. This is why her assertion that "Bloodchild" aims, in part, to subvert the anthropocentric and colonial logic of space fiction dominating the 1980s should be taken seriously.

This aspect of "Bloodchild" has often been overlooked, but Butler's subsequent major work, the *Xenogenesis* series (later published as *Lilith's Brood*), has received recognition in this regard. Lisa Dowdall observes that "in contrast to much of the white liberal sf of the 1970s and 1980s, which is often preoccupied with superseding limits to growth through, for example, space odyssey, artificial intelligence, or extropianism," *Lilith's Brood* "intervenes into futurological discourse by revealing how a radical reinvention of humanity's relationship with other species is necessary to survive within the planet's material limits" (Dowdall, 2017).

Moreover, the interspecies relationship in "Bloodchild," which Butler herself describes as fundamentally *symbiotic*, critically challenges preconceived notions about the utilitarian function of non-human entities and processes that are often passively subject to human domination. The nuanced dynamic between T'Gatoi and Gan moves beyond

unilateral commodification, narcissistic desires for domination, paternal infantilization, and appropriation. Instead, it proposes an alternative form of symbiosis, one that is simultaneously shaped by *and* despite profound differences. This relationship remains deliberately problematic and asymmetrical, as the story carefully resists idealizing nature or granting undue privilege to humanity.

Such symbiosis becomes possible, first and foremost, through the historical background Butler envisions for her storyworld, which radically reimagines the histories of space fiction. A closer examination of the consequences of this historical background reveals how it moves the characters toward symbiosis and demonstrates the hybridization of the cultures and behaviors of the two species. This hybridity becomes evident in the opening scene of the story. "Bloodchild" begins with a striking image of T'Gatoi, a massive alien being with insect, serpent, and mammal-like characteristics, not a parasitic organism, but a source of comfort and a provider of her species' sterile eggs, which both soothe and prolong human life.

Gan, contrary to what one might expect from a human subject in contact with such a creature, complements this image by reclining "against T'Gatoi's long velvet underside, sipping from (his) egg now and then," feeling a deeper affinity for T'Gatoi than for his own mother (Butler, 2005). Similarly, T'Gatoi, using "six or seven limbs" to probe him, notices that the scrawny Gan is gaining weight and begins to caress him with a maternal tenderness. This interaction disrupts not only traditional host-parasite dynamics but also those of human-pet relationships. Gan's sense of self is deeply intertwined with T'Gatoi's worldview, even though his mother has instilled in him a sense of respect and distance toward T'Gatoi, who is the Tlic government official overseeing the Preserve. "It was impossible to be formal with her," Gan reflects, "while lying against her and hearing her complain as usual that I was too skinny," further noting that T'Gatoi, his mother's childhood friend, had never been "interested in being honored in the house she considered her second home".

Later, it is revealed that Gan possesses the "ability to imitate (T'Gatoi's) expressions" highlighting the depth of their bond. Furthermore, the two species communicate in the same language, although it remains unclear whether this language corresponds to English in the storyworld. It is also uncertain whether the Tlic had already developed speech before encountering humans or if the Terrans adapted to the Tlics' language, which the reader perceives as English. Regardless of these ambiguities, the two

species share the same sociolinguistic order, further emphasizing their interconnectedness.

The cognitive and behavioral proximity of the species is highlighted by two additional details in the opening scene. The first is Gan's matter-of-fact account of the life cycle of the Terrans and his indifference toward the death of his father, who holds no symbolic power over his psychosexual development. This suggests that pre-established human categories are not easily applicable to Gan, and his emotional struggles lie elsewhere. Gan's hybrid psyche, shaped by these circumstances, is more immersed in the direct experiences of the present than in the retroactive tendencies of a typical human mind.

The second detail reflects Gan's fascination with T'Gatoi during his experiences "outside," where he once "lived with her" as a potential prey to the desperate Tlic. Through his engagement with T'Gatoi, Gan was able to observe the Tlic from a safe distance (Butler, 2005). This recollection reveals two remarkable factors in the storyworld that contrast with humanity's problematic relationship with the environment. On the one hand, Gan is consistently aware of the human body's significance as a nutritious host for Tlic eggs. In contrast, the modern human subject, entrenched in an exceptionalist obsession with bodily integrity, operates under the illusion of autonomy and separation from the ecological processes of decomposition during life and after death (Plumwood 2000). On the other hand, T'Gatoi, brimming with life and confidence, symbolizes the embodiment of ecological processes. She represents the inevitability of violation—albeit horrific within the limits of anthropocentric thought—while also serving as a protective border between the inside and the outside. T'Gatoi actively resists her species' predatory instincts, subverting hunter-prey dynamics to the extent that Gan's mother instructs her feeble son to "take care of her" when they venture outside the Preserve, rather than the other way around (Butler, 2005).

For the family, and especially for Gan, T'Gatoi is the source of life and meaning. It is through her political efforts that they perceive the intrinsic value of being human beyond merely serving as livestock. This life-affirming stance, paradoxically, draws its power from humanity's potential to relinquish the pursuit of privilege and autonomy. The symbiotic logic Butler emphasizes in "Bloodchild" aligns with a specific position in ecofeminist thought: An understanding of life as "an interrelated web in which each individual is a small node that exists thanks to the others' presence," forming "a multiplicity

of elements in a free-range order, with each element different from the next, yet all recognizably part of the whole” (Anderlini-D’Onofrio, 2004). This “interaction of the human and the non-human world” in Butler’s fiction creates “a storyworld in which distinct characters operate not only according to the logic of the narrative in their local places and (semi)private/communal spaces but also as distinct configurations of the Anthropocene, that is, as agents of a larger story of humans” (Federmayer, 2017).

Politics of the Alien motif, longevity, and interspecies love

The storyworld constructed in “Bloodchild” is not only shaped by its postcolonial history and the cultural hybridity it fosters but is also deeply rooted in *biological difference*. This foundation provides a naturalistic basis for an embodied experience that transcends the simplistic binaries of good and evil. The narrative is fundamentally grounded in the concept of biological determinism, which Butler employs as a realistic device to retrospectively construct the historical backdrop of her characters. This approach allows her to imagine the evolutionary development of humanity and other species while simultaneously embracing change and uncertainty through the unpredictable mechanisms of mutation, an element intricately embedded within deterministic processes (Papke, 2013).

Mary E. Papke highlights that Butler’s focus is not on determinism itself but rather on “what people make of it” when they frame it as the foundation for ideological constructs and teleological worldviews. Butler rejects “the compulsion toward imagining one’s self as always already subjugated and thus powerless to effect new structures of feeling out of which a new sense of collective and community might arise” (Papke, 2013). In “Bloodchild,” the possibility of such a community emerges through the intricate relationship between the Tlic and the Terrans, showcasing their potential to move beyond fixed essences and reductive stereotypes.

On another level, this dimension positions “Bloodchild” as an alien story, compelling us to interpret deterministic differences as manifestations of pure Otherness within the realm of space fiction, rather than reducing them to mere social constructs. In this context, it is essential to acknowledge that since Olaf Stapledon, with the exception of mainstream right-wing interpretations that render the concept as a “spectrum” (Welch-Larson, 2021)—potentially construing it as an essentialist symbol of threat and oppression—the alien motif in space fiction has consistently represented a utopian

impulse. This impulse exists in stark contrast to the challenges of its realization and actualization (Jameson, 2006).

Under the constraints of post-industrial capitalism and humanist traditions, which limit aesthetic production to anthropocentric frameworks, alien bodies have emerged as non-human fields of perception. These signify alternative forms of community, emphasizing “the peculiarities of new life forms rather than the ultimate destiny of our own” (Jameson, 2006). This notion aligns closely with Butler’s stated intent in “Bloodchild,” where she sought to explore and convey this dynamic within her narrative.

Furthermore, longevity in speculative fiction, from its inception, has consistently served as an empowering tool for the oppressed, a revolutionary intervention against historical *telos* and the progressive domination of the ruling classes. It is described as “a figure and a disguise for [...] historical change, for radical mutations in society and collective life itself” (Jameson, 2006). The unexpected emergence of longevity in narratives often marks a pivotal threshold, where disruptions render previous historical conditions obsolete, eroding established categories of race and gender, not in a post-racial or post-feminist sense, but by subverting colonialist and upper-class paradigms, as exemplified in Wells’ *War of the Worlds* (Jameson, 2006).

Significantly, in the late 20th century, the theme of longevity began to evolve toward the politically unconscious expression of the individual’s vulnerability and transience, juxtaposed against the seemingly *immortal* dominance of the neocolonialist condition driven by transnational corporations that position themselves as the global precondition for Utopia, welfare, and scientific progress (Jameson, 2006). In “Bloodchild,” however, this theme does not replicate the dynamics of biopower, as some critics have suggested. Instead, the encounter between species (and the subsequent theme of longevity, achieved through sterile eggs) represents Butler’s alternative vision of power and economic distribution in contemporary biopolitics. This vision reframes historical progression, challenging the entrenched social categories of race and gender and shifting the focus back to the alien environment, rather than to corporatist structures (which cannot conquer it) as a foundation for sustainable communal longevity.

Interestingly, Jameson argues that progression and succession in longevity narratives often occur diagonally and non-linearly, moving not from father/mother to son/daughter but from “uncle to nephew,” in order to defamiliarize readers from the conventional,

anthropocentric progression of history (Jameson, 2006). Similarly, in "Bloodchild," Gan consents to give birth to his surrogate nephews and nieces, a dynamic that reflects the story's non-linear familial structures. This occurs because T'Gatoi, a surrogate sister gestated by Gan's father before Gan was born, stands in a hybrid familial relationship to him. This layered complexity is why Gan's sexual encounter with T'Gatoi is often interpreted as punishment by incest, symbolizing the experiences of African American women. However, Butler herself challenges such reductive readings, framing the relationship as an act of love. She describes "Bloodchild" as "a love story between two very different beings," a "coming-of-age story in which a boy must absorb disturbing information and use it to make a decision that will affect the rest of his life," and a "pregnant man story" where a man "put into that most unlikely of all positions" chooses "to become pregnant—not through misplaced competitiveness to prove that a man could do anything a woman could do, not because he was forced to, not even out of curiosity—but as an act of love, choosing pregnancy in spite of, as well as because of, surrounding difficulties" (Butler, 2005).

The story's central tension, then, extends beyond survival to the possibility of love, which Butler positions as the antidote to power imbalances that often corrupt relationships (Japtok, 2020). Examining the subversive dimensions of this love reveals its departure from traditional space operas, which typically rely on heteronormative romantic subplots for melodramatic effect. Notably, such subplots did not undergo a feminist reimagining until the late 1990s (LaVigne, 2005). Butler highlights this disparity with irony, noting that her male readers often interpret Gan and T'Gatoi's relationship as a "horrible case of slavery," while women readers respond dismissively, remarking, "oh well, they had caesarians, big deal" (Kenan, 1991). This divergence, Butler asserts, underscores the historical context: Women's medical realities in European history, until late modernity, were far more precarious than the circumstances faced by the Terrans in the story. In those times, the preference for saving the baby's life over the mother's during emergencies reflected the systemic devaluation of women's lives. In contrast, the Tlic genuinely care for their hosts. Butler speculates that it would be a remarkable step forward if humanity, despite its hubris, could ever "make that good deal with another species" (Kenan, 1991).

As Jackson notes, male pregnancy narratives in science fiction have historically fantasized childbirth as painless, enabling easy penetration into a traditionally female domain. "Bloodchild," however, subverts this trope through Gan's impregnation by an

insect, which strips men of the power of penetration—not by feminizing Gan, but by framing pregnancy as an act of interdependence, something that patriarchy equates with castration (Jackson, 2020). By contrast, Gan’s older brother, Qui, embodies a cynical stance toward the Tlic, viewing his siblings as shields between himself and what he perceives as monstrous animals. This bitterness is rooted in his witnessing of a botched procedure that he claims led to a Terran’s brutal death. Qui’s disillusionment starkly contrasts Gan’s eventual growth, emphasizing the nuanced dynamics of fear, love, and agency in “Bloodchild.”

Still, the decision to frame this love story as incest appears to be a deliberate narrative device to transcend social norms and enter a subjectless act of becoming. By challenging and transgressing the familial structures that reproduce these norms, “Bloodchild” disrupts traditional frameworks. Deleuze and Guattari, in their exploration of minor literature, describe an *equalized* form of incest, which they term “schizo incest,” as occurring between siblings and providing evidence of a nonhuman sexuality akin to “becoming-animal” (Deleuze & Guattari, 2003). According to them, this form of incest cannot be reduced to the patriarchal and oedipal structures of conventional incest, which channel all acts of liberation into mere substitutions for the mother and father. Within these confines, untamed incestuous relationships are reabsorbed into the family structure, where they can only exist through coercion, manipulation, neurosis, displacement, reaction-formation, narcissism, and delusion (Deleuze & Guattari, 2003).

The interspecies pseudo-schizo-incest depicted in the Tlic-Terran relationship in “Bloodchild” dismantles the structure of the nuclear family, facilitating the emergence of a new form of collectivity. This collectivity transcends compartmentalization and the self-regulated hierarchies inherent in the micro-politics of daily life. It is crucial to emphasize, however, that this form of collectivity is a minor one in the Deleuzian sense, disrupting established norms without reverting to master–slave symbolism or oppressive binaries. Only within such a framework does it become possible to interpret symbiosis as love, to view the encounter with the Alien as an expression of the utopian impulse, and to recognize this unity as a revolutionary force propelling longevity and transformation.

However, the opening scene reveals more of Gan’s naive projection of his male ego-ideal than genuine love. For love to be fully actualized in the narrative, this projection must be tested—a trial that doubles as a political project. Butler juxtaposes this theme

with the enduring dilemma of security versus freedom, an issue that has increasingly supplanted natural disasters and human nature as central concerns in speculative fiction since the early 20th century. This rising focus stems from the organization of post-industrial societies around the risks and fears generated by their own activities, such as ecological destruction. These societies often push the concept of freedom into the realm of individual rights rather than interrogating the broader systemic premises that uphold sociological structures and social classes, because undertaking such an inquiry would destabilize these foundations (Beck, 1992).

In contrast, Butler envisions a symbiotic solidarity that relinquishes the traditional notions of institutionalized and individual security, rejecting the illusion of human autonomy. This transformation begins with Gan's realization that the solace he finds in his attachment to T'Gatoi is also the source of his suffering. His love must evolve to survive or even *emerge* after T'Gatoi proves unable to fulfill the expectations he has placed on her. This epiphany serves as the seed for a broader potential, one that gestures toward a social movement embracing freedom in its truest sense, encompassing both the human and the non-human.

This transformation first requires Gan's alienation, a paradoxical process that unfolds through his voluntary collaboration with T'Gatoi during an emergency procedure, disrupting the tranquility established in the opening scene. T'Gatoi is initially reluctant to carry out the procedure, let alone permit Gan to assist her, as it raises two significant challenges. First, N'Tlics (pregnant Terrans whose assigned T'lic is absent) depend on their specific T'lics—the biological mothers of the larvae—to be present during the birth. Only these T'lics can effectively soothe the Terrans' pain without endangering the larvae, underscoring the intimate bond between the two species. Second, T'Gatoi recognizes that the traumatic nature of the event will deeply affect Gan, who has spent his life sheltered as a figurative parasite to T'Gatoi and his parents.

Despite these concerns, T'Gatoi is compelled to act. The larvae, if not removed in time, will eat their way out of the human host's body. Confronted with this urgency, T'Gatoi resolves to save Lomas, a Terran whose T'lic is unwell and absent, and reluctantly accedes to Gan's insistent desire to help her, driven by the pressing time constraints. In the process, Gan takes a pivotal step in his maturation: he kills an animal for the first time to provide food for the newborn larvae. To do so, he uses a gun that his family has secretly kept, in defiance of the prohibition on Terrans possessing weapons.

This passage reveals that Gan's mother raises "a few Terran animals for the table and several thousand local ones for their fur," and that his siblings are skilled in slaughtering them. This suggests that the Terrans are not entirely exempt from the colonizing tendencies they attribute to the Tlic, even though T'Gatoi herself avoids consuming Terran animals. Still grappling with the shock of killing an animal for the first time, Gan participates in the operation and witnesses a visceral scene: Lomas' body writhes and convulses in pain, his screams give way to unconsciousness, and T'Gatoi cuts him open to reveal a swarm of ravenous grubs emerging from the soup of his viscera.

However, Gan's subsequent alienation from T'Gatoi is not driven by an increased sympathy for his fellow Terrans or a rejection of the Tlic species. Instead, it arises from his inability to perceive T'Gatoi's vulnerability and her genuine intent to *save* Lomas. Gan erroneously interprets her actions as prioritizing the survival of her species at the cost of human life, perhaps even deriving pleasure from the gruesome process. This sense of abjection reaches its peak when T'Gatoi licks Lomas' blood off an egg case, a stark reminder to Gan that T'Gatoi herself was once a larva sustained by a human host, namely Gan's own father. This realization prompts him to question, "Did she like the taste? Did childhood habits die hard—or not die at all?" (Butler, 2005). At this moment of profound abjection, T'Gatoi is unveiled as the quintessential Alien, shattering Gan's idealized projections and compelling him to confront the void of the Other.

From parasitism to symbiosis: An ecofeminist nature writing in space

It is not surprising that Gan, at this critical juncture in the story, becomes susceptible to his brother's disillusioned perspective of the Tlic-Terran relationship as mere parasitism. Interestingly, Butler stated that she began writing "Bloodchild" from a similar emotional standpoint to Gan's, in an effort to confront her own fears of encountering the Other. This fear was embodied for her by the terrifying image of botflies, which she encountered during research in the Peruvian Amazon for *Lilith's Brood*. Much like the Tlic, botflies lay their eggs under the skin, and untrained attempts to remove them can lead to severe infections (Butler, 2005). Refusing to romanticize nature or shy away from this unsettling representation of the Other, Butler chose instead to interrogate her own biases and her place within the natural world. Through this process, "Bloodchild" emerged as a science fiction writer's symbolic exploration of nature writing, bridging the gaps between fear, coexistence, and understanding.

To this end, Butler subverted the entire colonial dynamics of space fiction to face her own anthropocentric attitude, which was ultimately reflected in Gan’s journey. Rather than constructing an allegory of domination or victimization, Butler crafted a narrative that forces both the protagonist and the reader to confront the complexities of interdependence, vulnerability, and agency. Gan’s initial revulsion mirrors Butler’s own discomfort with the unsettling realities of parasitism, yet his transformation into an active participant in the symbiotic relationship with T’Gatoi suggests a shift away from fear and resistance toward acceptance and negotiation. In doing so, Butler not only challenged the colonial frameworks embedded in traditional space fiction but also invited a reevaluation of the human position within ecological and interspecies entanglements.

Through “Bloodchild,” she proposes that true survival—whether in speculative or real-world contexts—requires an acknowledgment of mutual dependence rather than the illusion of absolute autonomy, which is often foundational to traditional space fiction. In works such as Heinlein’s *Starship Troopers*, for instance, extraterrestrial arachnid collectivity is explicitly associated with primitive servitude. By contrast, the encounter with the real-life species inspired Butler to conceptualize the alien Tlic as a liberating opportunity to engage with life’s creative power and to facilitate self-transformation and self-dispossession through the Other. She constructed a narrative agency that avoids reverting to anthropocentric frameworks and offers a perspective that neither seeks patronizing sympathy from humans nor can be entirely understood or mastered by them.

This vision depends on a collective effort to foster social change that dismantles the logic of mastery and moral conformity. Such a perspective aligns with Donna Haraway’s ontology of extended bodies, which posits that “from the parasite’s point of view, the host is part of the parasite’s phenotype,” whereas “from the point of the host, the parasite looks like an invader,” and ultimately, “disease is a relationship” (Haraway, 2000).

Warwick Anderson highlights how colonial Europe (and North America, up to the mid-20th century) prioritized microbiology and the study of viral and bacterial epidemics while relegating parasitology to tropical medicine and agriculture (Anderson, 2016). In contrast, postcolonial and ecocritical approaches to the history of science have since rejected this segregation. These perspectives demonstrate that in stable environments, host-parasite relationships tend to achieve equilibrium. This balance not only facilitates coexistence but also serves as a mechanism through which both species evolve, offering

mutual survival advantages over time (Anderson, 2016). However, Anderson notes that human-induced environmental changes disrupt such equilibrium (Anderson, 2016). He calls for a dynamic, interdisciplinary evaluation of reciprocal dynamics between species, emphasizing the need to consider parallels between biological processes in cosmopolitan centers and colonized margins, as well as human activities in both contexts (Anderson, 2016).

In her *Lilith's Brood*, which she was working on when she wrote "Bloodchild," Butler's central thematic concern is "the humans' drive to survival and adaptation" within "a post-nuclear, post-ecocide world," addressing "not only power relations but also narratives of evolution, including the potential creation of new, mixed-species beings" (Ferreira, 2010). According to Ferreira, Butler juxtaposes parasitism and infection, transforming both into symbiosis as she reimagines species as hybrid entities adapted to mutual survival rather than isolated hereditary conceptions (Ferreira, 2010). Butler "reimagines symbiosis to encompass parasitism as a mode of living together," offering a method "of navigating tough partnerships" that dissolves the rigid boundary between the Inside and the Outside (Lee, 2023).

In doing so, Butler *contaminates* both the Tlic and Terran perspectives, particularly in "Bloodchild," challenging the concept of disease as merely a marker of difference between altered Terrans and readers with human-centered preconceptions. By internalizing the external, the text gains additional depth as it engages more directly with socio-political discourses. Gan's story follows a similar trajectory of tensions: His initial traumatic encounter during the operation, his subsequent confrontation with his own feelings toward T'Gatoi, and his ultimate decision to become pregnant. This act is both transgressive and therapeutic, embodying a transformed awareness and a state of in-betweenness that signals a shift from unrelenting parasitism to active symbiosis.

Eventually, Gan realizes that his need to question his place in the world should not lead him to isolation, like his brother Qui, who avoids meaningful connections under the guise of self-preservation. This understanding emerges after Gan confronts T'Gatoi, who reminds him that he has the option to forgo the process entirely, allowing her to impregnate his sister, Xuan Hoa, instead. However, this alternative is not T'Gatoi's ideal choice. The Tlic generally prefer male hosts—despite the advantage of women's higher body fat percentage making them biologically better hosts—because T'Gatoi desires

her children to be nurtured by someone with the greatest capacity for love. Although Hoa is willing to assume the role, her willingness stems more from an acceptance of the socially prescribed maternal role than from genuine affection for T'Gatoi or her future children.

Faced with this option and realizing that his consent genuinely matters, Gan understands that adopting an oppositional stance like his brother Qui would be a misstep, even if it might temporarily relieve him of responsibility. He comes to see that the only true escape from his predicament is death, evident in his conversation with T'Gatoi, held with a gun pointed at his own throat. However, rather than succumbing to despair, Gan searches desperately for an alternative that would allow him to form a meaningful, balanced connection with T'Gatoi and restore his faith in life. Initially, he feigns a willingness to accept impregnation as an act of chivalry to spare his sister, Xuan Hoa. Yet, his deeper motives are revealed when he lowers the gun and recognizes that T'Gatoi's insistence on taking it from him stems not from control but from fear. Far from being an unfeeling parasite, she is just as vulnerable as he is.

To further expose the complexities of the Terran and Tlic relations, T'Gatoi confides in Gan her own traumatic experiences, recounting how she witnessed the Terrans attempting to massacre the Tlic. Additionally, the Tlic species as a whole may be acting out of a deep, self-destructive reproductive anxiety (Jenkins and Scieurba, 2022). Despite Gan's perception of the Tlic as tyrannical parasites, T'Gatoi explains that the Tlic, at least those she represents, have grown to value the Terrans. She acknowledges that the presence of the Terrans has taught the Tlic "what it means to be healthy, thriving people," a perspective the Tlic had lost when their previous hosts began killing their eggs. The establishment of the Preserve represents the Tlic's recognition of the Terrans as equals, she continues, even from the time "when they still tried to kill us as worms" (Butler, 2005). This revelation underscores that the Tlic are not the aggressors they appear to be but rather survivors of the systematic and relentless Terran aggression. Viewed through this lens, the Tlic's survival mechanisms, no matter how grotesque or violent they seem to the Terrans, are comparatively benign.

This passage functions not only as a metaphorical extension of T'Gatoi's hand towards Gan in a gesture of love but also underscores the urgent need to counter victimization through symbiotic solidarity founded on equity. It enables Gan to confront multiple layers of hidden violence, such as the oppressive underpinnings of seemingly benevolent structures

like the Preserve, the problematic notion of unilateral preservation, and the parallels between personal relationships and broader macropolitics. These conflicts find expression in his evolving relationship with T'Gatoi, where genuine love becomes a possibility.

When Gan refuses to hand T'Gatoi the gun and insists that she must “accept the risk” for their relationship to be based on trust and equality rather than oppression or performative trust devoid of actual vulnerability, he reveals a deeper motivation. He admits that his consent stems not just from duty but also from an emotional desire: “to keep you for myself” (Butler, 2005). This moment unveils Gan’s “jealousy and fear of loss,” tempered with a newfound courage (McIntyre et al., 2010). Likewise, when T'Gatoi, despite having safer alternatives, agrees to let the Terrans possess guns to ensure that her children are entrusted to Gan’s care, Gan becomes motivated and hopeful for a future of mutual understanding. He suggests that his body serves as the first public demonstration of a successful birth procedure, allowing Terran children to witness a positive outcome and avoid trauma from unexpected, tragic encounters. “Not protected,” he asserts, “Shown. Shown when we’re young kids, and shown more than once. Gatoi, no Terran ever sees a birth that goes right. All we see is N'Tlic—pain and terror and maybe death” (Butler, 2005).

At this pivotal moment, Gan comprehends his mother’s earlier advice to “take care of her.” His newfound understanding reflects that protection and preservation must be reciprocal acts, not unilateral gestures from one party to another. This realization dismantles the notion of Terran dominance or Tlic benevolence as isolated solutions. Instead, it highlights the need for balanced coexistence. T'Gatoi’s closing promise to take care of Gan underscores the necessity of this mutual reciprocity.

Nature, as Butler illustrates, cannot act as a nurturing mother, nor can humans adopt a paternalistic attitude toward the non-human. Sustainability arises only through reciprocal preservation. This reciprocity, in turn, erodes the artificial boundaries between the Preserve and the Outside, challenging death, intraspecies stratification, and the commodification of the environment. Such interconnected *co-preservation* celebrates differences while rejecting the notion of autonomy as a fixed, separate state of being.

Gan’s solution, in Deleuze’s terms, transforms his body into a public “*zone of undecidability* between man and animal” (or, in this context, man and Alien), where “man becomes” alien while the alien “becomes spirit at the same time, the spirit of man, the physical spirit of man presented in the mirror as Eumenides or Fate” (Deleuze, 2003).

By embracing this process of becoming-alien, Gan opens his perspective to encompass the Other, creating a space for transformation and inclusion.

The story, of course, does not prescribe this exact model but instead offers symbiosis as a valid method of breaking down dualistic boundaries between human and non-human, male and female, colonizer and colonized, while maintaining the inherent tension of these oppositions. Gan's highly politicized and sexualized contact with his surrogate sister, T'Gatoi, in the closing scene, "more a scene of lovemaking than of alien or insect implantation"—enables him to renounce his stable sense of self as an autonomous being. This act challenges heteronormativity by incorporating "a number of elements [...] including notions of beauty, maternity, partnership, and choice" and aligns "the alien body and its relationship to Gan (and thus to the reader) with queer heteronormativity on a number of levels, evident in the re-imagining of family, birth, and eroticism" (Thibodeau, 2012).

By abandoning his individualistic and fixed identity, Gan enters a transformative process of subjectivization that is crucial for a new understanding of trans-species interconnectedness. This transformation reflects an "embodied sensibility" toward the possibilities of active becoming, defining the ethical subject as one who responds "to its proximal relationship to the other through a mode of wonderment that is antecedent to consciousness" (Barad, 2007). As a result of his connection with T'Gatoi, Gan's *last day of childhood* culminates in a step into *alienhood* rather than traditional *manhood*. By the end of his journey, Gan emerges as a pregnant man—an ethical subject capable of forming meaningful connections with both *the impossible* and his own kind—not out of narcissism or self-sacrifice but as an act of love.

Elyce Rae Helford observes that what makes "Bloodchild" simultaneously disturbing and compelling is the impossibility of determining which of the two characters belongs to which gender, racial position, or even species by the end of the story (Helford, 1994). However, this resolution is ambivalent. For centuries, it has been difficult to conceive of humanity (and even human culture) as an intrinsic part of the physical processes we label as nature. The narrative does not depict a utopian formation in any anthropocentric sense. Despite indications that even the native/immigrant dichotomy might dissolve and the planet could foster a sense of belonging for all its inhabitants through reciprocal metamorphosis, the Terrans remain tenants rather than landlords. They are stripped of the power and dominance often expected in space fiction narratives.

This resolution and the story's underlying potential may seem compromising—if not outright oppressive—when viewed through the lens of privileged habits of reading. This perspective may explain, at least in part, why so few critics have taken Butler's remarks about the story seriously.

Conclusion

As I have argued, the focus of the novelette is not domination but symbiosis. The issues Butler addresses in "Bloodchild" are profoundly challenging, and complex problems often require equally complex solutions, particularly in science fiction, a genre that frequently engages with the multifaceted issues of its time. It is, therefore, easy to fall back on normative dichotomies of gender, race, and historically ingrained categories of humanism, which subtly shape our perspectives when interpreting literary texts, even those as nuanced as Butler's. "Bloodchild," with its deliberate ambiguity and resistance to easy categorization, demands an equally nuanced and reflective reading.

Even a widely read, anthologized, critically acclaimed, and multiple award-winning story like "Bloodchild" is not immune to reductive interpretations. Butler's text calls for an ethical transformation in its readers, an invitation to grapple with its themes on a deeper level to fully appreciate its significance. The question we should be asking is not whether we would choose to stand in Gan's place, but whether we, like Gan, are willing to embrace the liberating potential of rejecting masculine, authoritarian, and human-centered perspectives.

Are we prepared, in other words, to step out of our comfort zones, dismantle fixed identities, and relinquish or even *contaminate* the illusion of autonomy? Are we ready to embrace the hybridity that comes with continuous, heterarchical interaction with others? Butler challenges us to move beyond the self-centered worldview of utilitarian ownership and to reimagine ourselves as somatic tenants, integral parts of this universe, interconnected and interdependent. By doing so, we embark on the subjectless process of *becoming-alien*, a transformative journey that reshapes how we view ourselves, others, and the environment. This entails not only a subversion of the stories we tell about other planets but also a redefinition of symbiosis—not as a comfort zone, but as a disruption of all our historically contingent assumptions about the human being, particularly those shaped by the colonialist ideal of Man.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: The author has no conflict of interest to declare.

Grant Support: The author declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

- Anderlini-D’Onofrio, S. (2004). “The Gaia Hypothesis and Ecofeminism: Culture, Reason, and Symbiosis.” *disClosure: A Journal of Social Theory*, (13), 65–93. <https://doi.org/10.13023/disclosure.13.06>.
- Anderson, W. (2016). “Postcolonial Ecologies of Parasite and Host: Making Parasitism Cosmopolitan.” *Journal of the History of Biology*, (49)2, 241–259. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10739-015-9407-6>.
- Barad, K. (2007). *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter*. Duke UP.
- Beck, U. (1992). *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity* (trans. Mark Ritter). Sage Publications.
- Butler, O. E. (2005). *Bloodchild and Other Stories*. Seven Stories Press.
- Choi, S. (2021). “Do You Care That It’s Me?”: Octavia E. Butler’s “Bloodchild” and The New Normal in the Age of Posthumanism. *미국학논집*, 53(1), 211–233. <https://doi.org/10.22505/jas.2021.53.1.08>.
- Dahal, A. (2024). Octavia Butler’s Bloodchild and the Posthuman Complexities: A Process of Becoming. *Pursuits: A Journal of English Studies*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3126/pursuits.v8i1.65326>.
- Deleuze, G. (2003). *Francis Bacon: The Logic of Sensation* (trans. Daniel W. Smith). Continuum.
- Deleuze, G., & Guattari, F. (2003). *Kafka: Toward a Minor Literature*. (trans. Dana Polan). University of Minnesota Press.
- Donawerth, J. (1997). *Frankenstein’s Daughters: Women Writing Science Fiction*. Syracuse UP.
- Dowdall, L. (2017). “Treasured Strangers: Race, Biopolitics, and the Human in Octavia E. Butler’s *Xenogenesis* Trilogy.” *Science Fiction Studies*, (44)3, 506–25. <https://doi.org/10.5621/sciefictstud.44.3.0506>.
- Federmayer, É. (2017). “Migrants and Disaster Subcultures in the Late Anthropocene: An Ecocritical Reading of Octavia Butler’s Parable Novels.” *Hungarian Journal of English and American Studies (HJEAS)*, (23)2, 347–70. Retrieved from: <https://ojs.lib.unideb.hu/hjeas/article/view/7320>.
- Ferreira, M. A. (2010) “Symbiotic Bodies and Evolutionary Tropes in the Work of Octavia Butler.” *Science Fiction Studies*, (37)3, 401–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/25746441>.
- Haraway, D. (2000). *Like a Leaf: An Interview with Thyrza Nichols Goodeve*. Routledge.
- Heinlein, R. A. (1959). *Starship Troopers*. G. P. Putnam’s Sons.
- Helford, E. R. (1994). “‘Would You Really Rather Die than Bear My Young?’: The Construction of Gender, Race, and Species in Octavia E. Butler’s Bloodchild.” *African American Review*, (28)2, 259–71. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3041998>.
- Humann, H. D. (2017). “‘A Good and Necessary Thing’: Genre and Justice in Octavia Butler’s *Bloodchild and Other Stories*.” *Interdisciplinary Literary Studies*, 19(4), 517–28. <https://doi.org/10.5325/intelitestud.19.4.0517>.
- Jameson, F. (2006). *Archaeologies of the Future: The Desire Called Utopia and Other Science Fictions*. Verso.
- Jackson, Z. I. (2020). *Becoming Human: Matter and Meaning in an Antiracist World*. New York University Press.

- Japtok, M. (2020). What Is "Love"? Octavia Butler's "Bloodchild". In M. Japtok & J. R. Jenkins (Eds.), *Human Contradictions in Octavia E. Butler's Work* (pp. 51–71). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jarrett, G. A. (2006). *African American Literature Beyond Race: An Alternative Reader*. NYU Press.
- Jenkins, J.R., & Sciurba, K. (2022). Body Knowledge, Reproductive Anxiety, and "Paying the Rent" in Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild". *Science Fiction Studies* 49(1), 120–137. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1353/sfs.2022.0008>.
- Kenan, R. (1991). "An Interview With Octavia E. Butler." *Callaloo*, (14)2, 495–504. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2931654>
- LaVigne, C. (2005). SPACE OPERA: MELODRAMA, FEMINISM AND THE WOMEN OF FARSCAPE. *Femspec*, 6(2), 54. Retrieved from: <https://www.proquest.com/docview/200046122>.
- Lee, H. M. (2023). On the Dreaded Parasite: A Fearful and Risky Symbiosis in Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild". *미국학논집*, 55(3), 111–130. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22505/jas.2023.55.3.05>.
- Lillis, K. (2014). "Mama's Baby, Papa's Slavery? The Problem and Promise of Mothering in Octavia E. Butler's 'Bloodchild.'" *MELUS*, (39)4, 7–22.
- McCoy, B. A. (2020). "Accept the Risk": Octavia Butler's "Bloodchild" and Institutional Power. *Human Contradictions in Octavia E. Butler's Work*, 73–89.
- McIntyre, V. N., Govan, S. Y., Tucker, J. A., Hollinger, Narayan, V. S., Barr, M. S., & Gordon, J. (2010). "Reflections on Octavia E. Butler." *Science Fiction Studies*, (37)3, 433–42. Retrieved from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25746443>.
- Merchant, Carolyn. (1980). *The Death of Nature*. Harper & Row.
- Morgenstern, N. (2024). "Is Your Mother Well?": Touch and the Racialized Maternal Subject in Toni Morrison's "Recitatif" and Octavia Butler's "Bloodchild". *Experimental Subjectivities in Global Black Women's Writing: Race and Narrative Innovation*, 119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781350383500.ch-007>.
- Papke, M. E. (2013). "Necessary Interventions in the Face of Very Curious Compulsions: Octavia Butler's Naturalist Science Fiction." *Studies in American Naturalism*, (8)1, 79–92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/san.2013.0001>.
- Pierson, L. (2018). *Navigating the In-Between: Cultural Uneasiness and Hybridity in Native American Captivity Narratives* (Master's thesis). Skidmore College.
- Plumwood, V. (2000). "Integrating Ethical Frameworks for Animals, Humans and Nature: A Critical Feminist Eco-Socialist Analysis." *Ethics and the Environment*, (5)2, 285–322. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1085-6633\(00\)00033-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1085-6633(00)00033-4).
- Plumwood, C. (2012). *The Eye of the Crocodile*. Edited by Lorraine Shannon. ANU E Press.
- Richard, T. S. (2005). "Defining Kindred: Octavia Butler's Postcolonial Perspective." *Obsidian III*, (6/7)2/1, 118–34. Retrieved from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44511666>.
- Ryle, S. J. (2023). The Uncanny Poetics of Capitalocene Meat: Carnologistics and Octavia Butler's 'Bloodchild'. *Anthropos: Revija za Filozofijo in Psihologijo*, 55(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.26493/2630-4082.55.215-236>.
- San Miguel, M. F. (2018). "Appropriated Bodies: Trauma, Biopower and the Posthuman in Octavia Butler's 'Bloodchild' and James Tiptree, Jr.'s 'The Girl Who Was Plugged In.'" *Atlantis*, (40)2, 27–44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.28914/Atlantis-2018-40.2.02>.

- Sawyer, A. (2009). Space opera. In M. Bould, A. M Butler, A. Roberts, S. Vint (Eds.), *The Routledge Companion to Science Fiction* (pp. 505–509). Routledge.
- Sen, S. (2022). Abuse, Coercion, and Power in Octavia Butler's "Bloodchild". In S. S. Ali (Ed.), *Literature and Theory: Contemporary Signposts and Critical Surveys* (pp. 180– 187). Routledge India.
- Smith, M. R. (2024). Bearing the Burden of Posthuman Reproduction in Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild" and Wild Seed. *The Black Scholar*, 54(2), 46–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00064246.2024.2318694>.
- Stross, C. (2013). "Crib Sheet: Saturn's Children." *Charlie's Diary*. Retrieved from: <http://www.antipope.org/charlie/blog-static/2013/07/crib-sheet-saturns-children.html>.
- Stross, C. (2013). "Crib Sheet: Singularity Sky." *Charlie's Diary*. Retrieved from: <http://www.antipope.org/charlie/blog-static/2013/05/crib-sheet-singularity-sky.html>.
- Suvin, D. (1977). *Metamorphoses: The Science Fiction Genre and Its Poetics*. Yale University Press.
- Thibodeau, A. (2012). "Alien Bodies and a Queer Future: Sexual Revision in Octavia Butler's 'Bloodchild' and James Tiptree, Jr.'s 'With Delicate Mad Hands.'" *Science Fiction Studies*, (39)2, 262–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5621/sciefictstud.39.2.0262>.
- Welch-Larson, S. (2021). *Becoming Alien: The Beginning and End of Evil in Science Fiction's Most Idiosyncratic Film Franchise*. Cascade Books.
- Womack, Y. L. (2013) *Afrofuturism: The World of Black Sci-Fi and Fantasy Culture*. Lawrence Hill Books.