Bazı Çukur-Tuzak Kuran Karıncaaslanı Larvalarının Mevsimsel Çokluğu ve Çeşitliliği (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae)

Hakan Bozdoğan^{*1}, Ali Satar²

^{*1} Ahi Evran Üniversitesi, Bitkisel ve Hayvansal Üretim Bölümü, Teknik Bilimler MYO Kırşehir, 40100, Türkiye

²Dicle Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bölümü, 21100, Diyarbakır, Türkiye

(Almış / Received: 03-11-2017, Kabul/Accepted: 12-12-2017, Online Yayınlanma/ Published Online: 29.12.2017)

Anahtar Kelimeler:	Öz : Bu çalışmada, Amanos Dağları'nda 2015 Mayıs-2016 Ekim ayları arasında
Amanos Dağları,	aslankarıncası larvalarının (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) mevsimsel
Karıncaaslanları, Çukur-Yuva Yapımı,	varyasyonları ve tür çeşitliliği tartışılmıştır. Aslankarıncaları'nın da içerisine dahil
Türkiye	olduğu böcekler, küresel ısınmayı karakterize etmede biyoindikatör rol oynarlar.
	Diğer organizmalar gibi böcekler de iklimsel değişikliğin etkisi altındadırlar. Bu
	araştırmadaki öncül adım, aslankarıncaları'nın kurak mevsimlerde hayatta
	kalmaları için adapte oldukları, bulunuş ve bolluklarına etki eden bazı çevresel
	(sıcaklık, nem, gün ışığı, toprak, rüzgar) faktörler olmuştur. Türlerin bolluğunu
	etkileyen olası nedenler tartışılmıştır. Çukur tuzak yapan aslankarıncaları'nın
	yoğunluğu ile abiyotik faktörler arasındaki olası ilişkiler de öne sürülmüştür.

Seasonal abundance and diversity of some pit building antlions larvae (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae)

Keywords:	Amanos
Mountains,	Antlion,
Pit fall trap	building,
Turkey	

Abstract: The paper discusses the seasonal variations and species diversity of antlions (Neuroptera:Myrmeleontidae) in the Amanos Mountains during May, 2015 to October, 2016. The insect including antlions may play a role as bio indicator to characterize the global warming. Like other organisms, insects are also under the influence of climate change. The present investigation in among preliminary steps to identify insects adapted to survive in dry season and some environmental factors (temperature, humidity, sunlight, soil, wind) impacting their presence and abundance. Possible seasonal causes of fluctuations in their abundances have been discussed. A possible interaction between abiotic factors and the abundance of antlions (pit fall trap) is proposed.

hakan.bozdogan@ahievran.edu.tr

Introduction

Pit building antlions (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) construct conical traps in dry, loose substrate and wait for their prey at the bottom of the trap. build inverted conical pits on the sand surface to capture their prey. larvae (Neuroptera Myrmeleontidae) are sand-dwelling insects, but only a few antlion species build pitfall traps, which is considered to be the most specialized strategy within the family Myrmeleontidae for capturing prey [1,2,3,4,5]. Myrmeleontidae, commonly known as antlions, are the most species-rich family of the order Neuroptera, including 1657 described species [6.7]. Similarly to other sitand-wait predators, antlion larvae are generalist predators that capture small arthropods (mainly ants) falling into their pits [8].

Biodiversity has received recent national and international recognition. The importance of biodiversity arises from the fact that the world depends on self-sustaining biological systems that include many kinds of organisms. Knowledge of biodiversity is required to understand the natural world and the natural and artificial changes it may undergo; and in turn, such knowledge permits the wise use and management of ecosystems, both as elements of natural heritage and as reservoirs of actual and potential resources.

Among the Turkish natural areas, Amanos mountains are by far the richest of plant species, many endemic, with a flora of 91 families, 419 genera and 880 species. Amanos Mountains contain consequently about a half of all genera of plant recorded from Turkey, about 850 following Davis (1965-1988). Regarding the Mediterranean flora elements, they partecipate the Flora of Amanos Mountains with a percentage more than twice that of the whole Turkey [9]. The unknow situation of the Fauna should be very similar, with a number of endemic species and still undetected taxa. The naturalistic interest and the richness in species derive from both ancient and recent geography, clima and ecology of these focal Mediterranean territory [10].

The biodiversity of the pit building antlions larvae (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) of Amanos Mountains has been insufficiently investigated up to now.

The aim of the present study is to investigate the biodiversity of the pit-building ant-lion larvae living in open or protected microhabitats in Amanos Mountains.

Material and Methods

Myrmeleon formicarius and *Euroleon nostras* (Fourcroy, 1785) are the most abundant pit-building antlion in Turkey. The samplings were carried out in all three larvae stages (L1, L2, L3) in a 10 x10 series by pits.

In the Amanos Mountains, the larva was removed from its funnel using a spatula. The larva collected was put in a plastic film box with dry soil from its site of collection. The box was labelled, and brought back to the laboratory where the larva was farmed. The label of each box contained the following information: The site and date of collection, sample number, location coordinates and the name of the plant from which it was collected. In the study we collected 43 antlion larvae in the field and brought them to the lab. For this experiment we chose first, second and third instar larvae. The larvae were fed with one flour beetle larva (of approximately 1 mg), starved for a week and were afterwards placed in aluminum trays $(25 \times 17 \text{ cm})$ at varying densities (one or four individuals per tray) and sand depths (2 or 4 cm).

Results

Table 1 shows the seasonal activity of antlion larvae. The maximum larvae has been collected in May and June in the survey area. It appears that wetlands are the most abundant habitat, representing 33.33%, followed by oak forests with 25% for *M. formicarius* and finally fagus forests with 20.8 %. For *E. nostras* the most abundant habitat represents with 47.3% in the pine forests.



Figure 1. *M. formicarius* (left) (a, b) and *E. nostras* sand pit trap (c, d)

The depth and diameter were noted without disturbing the antlion larvae. Pit diameters and depth were measured to the nearest mm. The soil temperature of the study area was between 24°C-41°C. We observed that there is no releationship between the pit size of antlions and soil temperature during survey.

Table 4 shows the pit size of both *M. formicarious and E. nostras* ranges from 9-18mm and 8-16mm, respectively.

This results could be explained by the fact that *M. formicarious* has a capacity to adapt to the wetlands environmental conditions. These results are in agreement with those in Devetak (2008), Scharf and Ovaida (2006) and Elimelech and Pinshow (2008) who showed that some species appear in the wetlands including lakes, dam periphery and puddle [11,12,13].

These results show that there is no releationship between the size of pit and habitat preference in both species.

Discussion

For antlion larvae trap size depends on to sand particle size appears to be of key importance for ef cient sit-andwait and sit- and-pursue predatory strategies. In natural habitats including Amanos Mountains, pit- constructing antlion larvae are capable of discriminating between areas of habitat and environmental factors (temperature, humidity, sunlight, soil, wind) [14,15,16,4,17]. In the survey area humidity and wind has been found constantly during all the survey working. Soil types and sunlight has been differed depends on the habitat.

T-hl. 1 Community of the	- Caller	Juniu - 2015 Mars 2016 October
Table 1: Seasonal variation	of the antiion farvae	during 2015 May-2016 October

Species	Total	May	June	July	Sep	Oct
Myrmeleon formicarius Linnaeus, 1767	24	13	5	3	2	1
Euroleon nostras (Fourcroy, 1785)	19	8	5	2	2	2

Table 2: Numbers of individuals and species collected from each study area.

Species	1 st Instar	2nd Instar	3 rd Instar
M.formicarius Linnaeus, 1767	12	8	4
E. nostras (Fourcroy, 1785)	7	11	1

Table 3. Relationship between ant lion larvae and the habitat types

Habitat Type	Species			
	<i>M. formicarius</i> Linnaeus, 1767	E. nostras (Fourcroy, 1785)		
Oak Forest (n=14)	6	2		
Shrubbery (n=11)	1	2		
Pine Forest (n=17)	4	9		
Wetlands (n=29)	8	3		
Fagus Forest (n=10)	5	3		

Table 4. Pit size of antlion larvae trap diameter

Species		Larvae Code	Trap diameter	Soil	
			(mm)	Temperature	
M.formicarius	Linnaeus,	1st Instar	L1MA	11	24°C
1767			L1MB	10	25°C
			L1MC	11	30°C
			L1MD	12	30°C
			L1ME	10	27°C
			L1MF	11	26°C
			L1MG	9	24°C
			L1MH	12	30°C
			L1MI	11	33°C
			L1MJ	10	33°C
			L1MK	12	32°C
			L1ML	10	26°C
		2nd Instar	L2MA	14	27°C
			L2MB	13	25°C
			L2MC	15	25°C
			L2MD	15	39°C
			L2ME	15	39°C
			L2MF	13	27°C
			L2MG	14	24°C
			L2MH	14	35°C
		3rd Instar	L3MA	17	35°C
			L3MB	17	30°C
			L3MC	18	26°C
			L3MD	18	26°C
E. nostras	(Fourcroy,	1st Instar	L1EA	8	37°C
1785)			L1EB	9	35°C
			L1EC	8	35°C

Seasonal abundance and diversity of some pit building antlions larvae (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae)

	L1ED	9	34°C
	L1EE	10	26°C
	L1EF	9	32°C
	L1EG	9	26°C
2nd Ins	tar L2EA	11	33°C
	L2EB	11	26°C
	L2EC	12	38°C
	L2ED	12	33°C
	L2EE	11	37°C
	L2EF	10	39°C
	L2EG	10	40°C
	L2EH	9	25°C
	L2EI	9	26°C
	L2EJ	11	26°C
	L2EK	11	27°C
3rd Inst	ar L3EA	16	26°C

L1; 1st Instar, L2; 2nd Instar, L3; 3rd Instar, M; M. formicarius Linnaeus, E: E. nostras

Conclusion

In conclusion, rainfall and temperature are the basic factors influencing abundances of most of the species. However, there appear to be species level differences in the response to these factors such that *M. formicarius* species is more closely dependent on these factors than other species. At present, there is nothing known habitat types, migration, and larval development of antlion species in the Amanos Mountains. Much more local specific information is needed before the causes of seasonal variation can be better understood.

References

1.Ngamo, L.S.T., Maogé, J., Thomas, K., Diversity of pit building antlions (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) and their potential preys in the sudano Guinean zone of Cameroon., Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2016; 4(1): 198-202.

2.Krishnan, A., Kakkassery, F.K., 2014., Statistical Analysis of Larval and Pit Size of Antlion (Family: Myrmeleontidae) with Short Notes on its., Trailing Behaviour 1728-1731.

3.Roberto A. Pantaleoni, R.A. Badano, D.,2012., *Myrmeleon punicanus* n. sp., a new pit-building antlion (Neuroptera Myrmeleontidae) from Sicily and Pantelleria., Bulletin of Insectology 65 (1): *139-148*.

4. Devetak, D., 2005. Effects of larval antlions *Eu- roleon nostras* (Neuroptera, Myrmeleontidae) and their pits on the escape-time of ants. *Physiological Entomology*, 30: 82-86.

5.Liang, S.H., Lin, W.Y. Yi-Ching Lin, Chen, Y.C. Shieh, B.S. Variations in the Pit Size of *Cueta sauteri* (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) Larvae in Response to Past Pit-Building Experience and Food Limitation., *Zoological Studies* 49(1): 102-107 (2010).

6.Badano, D., Aspöck, H., Aspöck, U., 2017. Taxonomy and phylogeny of the genera *Gymnocnemia* Schneider, 1845, and *Megistopus* Rambur, 1842, with remarks on the systematization of the tribe Nemoleontini (Neuroptera, Myrmeleontidae)., Dtsch. Entomol. Z. 64 (1) 2017, 43–60., DOI 10.3897/dez.64.11704.

7.Devetak, D., Arnett, A.E., Preference of antlion and wormlion larvae (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae; Diptera: Vermileonidae) for substrates according to substrate particle sizes. Eur. J. Entomol. 112(3): 500–509, 2015 doi: 10.14411/eje.2015.052.

8.Scharf I, B Golan, O Ovadia. 2009. The effect of sand depth, feeding regime, density, and body mass on the foraging behaviour of a pit-building antlion. Ecol. Entomol. 34: 26-33.

9.Ezer, T. 2008. Güney Amanos Dağları (Musa Dağı) Biryofit Florası ve Epifitik Biryofit Vejetasyonunun Araştırılması, (Doktora Tezi), Çukurova Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Biyoloji Anabilim Dali

10.Güzelmansur, A., Lise, Y., Amanosdağları'nın Biyoçeşitliliği, Mku Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi18(2):55-68, 2013.

11.Devetak, D., 2008. Substrate particle size-preference of wormlion Vermileo vermileo (Diptera: Vermileonidae) larvae and their interaction with antlions., Eur. J. Entomol. 105: 631–635, 2008.

12.Scharf I, Ovadia, O., 2006. Factors influencing site abandonment and site selection in a sit-and-wait predator: a review of pit-building antlion larvae. J. Insect Behav. 19: 197-218.

13.Elimelech E, B Pinshow. 2008. Variation in food availability influences prey-capture method in antlion larvae. Ecol. Entomol. **33:** 652-662.

14.Lucas J.R., 1986 – Antlion pit construction and klep- toparasitic prey. *Florida Entomologist*, 69: 702-710.

15.Loiterton S.J. & Magrath R.D., 1996. – Substrate type affects partial prey consumption by larvae of the antlion *Myrmeleon acer* (Neuroptera: Myrmeleonti- dae). *Australian Journal of Zoology*, 44: 589-597.

16.Botz J.T., Loudon C., Barger J.B., Olafsen J.S. & Steeples D.W., 2003.Effects of slope and particle size on ant locomotion: implications for choice of substrate by antlions. *Journal of the Kansas Ento- mological Society*, 76: 426-435.

17.Klein, B.G., 1982. Pit construction by antlion larvae: influences of soil illumination and soil temperature. *New York entomological Society*, 90: 26-30.