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#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct codes which are an improvement on the previously known block-wise burst error correcting codes in terms of their error correcting capabilities. Along with different bursts in different sub-blocks, the given codes also correct overlapping bursts of a given length in two consecutive sub-blocks of a code word. Such codes are called mixed burst correcting ( $m b c$ ) codes.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Burst is the most common error in many communication systems and block-wise burst error correcting codes are developed to deal with such errors. Correcting burst error in blocks has an additional benefit as one knows the pattern of errors in each subblock and when we consider error correction in such a system, we correct errors which occur in the same sub-block.

Most of the studies in burst error correcting codes are with respect to the usual definition of burst according to which ' $A$ burst of length $b$ is $a$ vector whose all the non zero components are confined to some $b$ consecutive positions, the first and last of which is non zero'.

There is another definition of burst due to Chein and Tang [2] with a modification due to Dass [3], known as CTD-burst,
according to which" $A$ CTD-burst is a vector whose all the non zero components are confined to some b -consecutive positions, the first of which is non zero".

According to this definition, (10000000) is a burst of length 8 whereas ( 0001000 ) will be a burst of length at most 4 . This definition has been found very useful in error analysis experiments on telephone lines [1] and in channels where error normally do not occur near the end of a vector particularly when the burst length is large. Such block wise burst error correcting codes were first introduced by Dass and Tyagi [4]. Recently, Tyagi and Sethi [6], have generalized this idea to three subblocks of length $n_{1}, n_{2}$ and $n_{3}, n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}=n$ and named them as $\left(n_{1 b_{1}}, n_{2 b_{2}}, n_{3 b_{3}}\right)$ linear codes, some of which turn out to be byte oriented [5].

Definition. An ( $\left.\boldsymbol{n}_{1 b_{1}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2 \boldsymbol{b}_{2}}, \boldsymbol{n}_{3 b_{3}}\right)$ code is an $\left(\mathbf{n}=\boldsymbol{n}_{1}+\boldsymbol{n}_{2}+\boldsymbol{n}_{3}, \mathbf{k}\right)$ code that correct all bursts of length $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ (fixed) in the first subblock of length $\boldsymbol{n}_{1}$, all bursts of length $\boldsymbol{b}_{2}$ (fixed) in the second sub-block of length $\boldsymbol{n}_{2}$ and all bursts of length $\boldsymbol{b}_{3}$ (fixed) in the third sub-block of length $\boldsymbol{n}_{3}$.

In this communication, we modify $\left(n_{1 b_{1}}, n_{2 b_{2}}, n_{3 b_{3}}\right)$ codes as mixed burst correcting codes, ( $m b c$-codes) in such a way that along with fixed bursts of length $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$, the modified codes also correct over all burst of length $b$ (fixed) in two consecutive sub-blocks, thereby improving upon their error correcting capabilities. The paper is divided into two sections. In section 1, we present necessary condition where as in section 2 we give sufficient condition.

### 1.1 Necessary Condition

Theorem 1. The number of parity check digits required for an ( $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}, k$ ) linear code that correct all fixed bursts of length $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ in first $n_{1}$, next $n_{2}$ and last $n_{3}$-components along with the overlapping burst of length $b, b \geq b_{1}+b_{2}$ and $b \geq b_{2}+b_{3}\left(b=b_{1}+b_{2}, b=b_{2}+b_{3}\right.$ only when $\left.n_{i}=b_{i}, i=1,2\right)$, in any two consecutive sub-blocks, is at least

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{n-k} \geq & 1+(q-1)\left(\left(n_{1}-b_{1}+1\right) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right) q^{b_{3}-1}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+1\right)\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+2\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The theorem is proved by enumerating
(i) all the error patterns of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in first $n_{1}$ components;
(ii) all the error patterns of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in next $n_{2}$ components;
(iii) all the error patterns of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the last $n_{3}$ components;
(iv) all bursts of length b (fixed) in the first two sub-blocks of length $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$; and
(v) all bursts of length b (fixed) in the last two
(vi) sub-blocks of length $n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$.

The number of error pattern in (i) to (iii) comes out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-1)\left[\left(n_{1}-b_{1}+1\right) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right) q^{b_{3}-1}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in Tyagi and Sethi [6]. Therefore, we need to calculate number of error patterns in (iv) and (v).

In (iv), since burst error $b$ (fixed) is of the type that part of it that lies in the first $n_{1}$-digits is a burst of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) and remaining part in next $n_{2}$ digits is a burst of length $b_{2}$ (fixed), therefore, the starting positions of such a burst in the first $n_{1}$ digits can be and $\left(n_{1}-\left(b-b_{2}\right)+1\right)$ the last starting position can be $\left(n_{1}-b_{1}+1\right)$ th component. To enumerate the number of such vectors assume that the burst starts from the jth component. Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}-\left(b-b_{2}\right) \leq j \leq n_{1}-b_{1}+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This burst may continue up to $(b+j-1)$ th component where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b-n_{1}+j-1 \leq n_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of bursts of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) starting from the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ component is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-1) q^{b_{1}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as the number of bursts of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in a vector of length ( $b-n_{1}+j-1$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b-b_{2}+n_{1}+j\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the total number of bursts under category (iv) starting from the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ component is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b-b_{2}-n_{1}+j\right)(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the total number of bursts under category (iv) for all possible values of $j$ is.
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$$
\begin{gather*}
(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2} \sum_{j=n_{1}-b+b_{2}+1}^{m_{1}-b_{1}+1}\left(b-b_{2}-n_{1}+j\right)  \tag{8}\\
=\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+1\right)\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+2\right) . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, the total number of bursts under category (v) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all these error vectors in (2), (9) and (10) should have different syndromes for error correction, therefore, the total number of cosets $q^{n-k}$ should be at least as large as the number of error patterns (including the pattern of all zeros) and therefore we must have $q^{n-k} \geq 1+(2)+(9)+(10)$ i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{n-k} \geq 1 & \left.+(q-1)\left(n_{1}-b_{1}+1\right) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right) q^{b_{3}-1}\right\rfloor \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+1\right)\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+2\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Incidentally, it can be shown that the result applies to non-linear codes also.
Discussion. If there is no overlapping burst of length $b$, the condition reduces to upper bound given by Tyagi and Sethi [6] i.e.
$q^{n-k} \geq 1+(q-1)\left[\left(n_{1}-b_{1}+1\right) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right) q^{b_{3}-1}\right]$.

### 1.2 Sufficient Condition

Theorem 2. Given positive integers $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$; there will always exists an $\left(n_{1 b_{1}}, n_{2 b_{2}}, n_{3 b_{3}}\right)-(n, k)$ linear code that correct all fixed bursts of length $b_{1}$ (fixed), $b_{2}$ (fixed) and $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the first $n_{1}$, next $n_{2}$ and last $n_{3}$ digits and all the overlapping bursts of length b (fixed) ( $b \geq b_{1}+b_{2}$ and

$$
b \geq b_{2}+b_{3}, b=b_{1}+b_{2}=b_{2}+b_{3}
$$

only when $n_{i}=b_{i}, i=1$ to 2 ) in any two consecutive sub-blocks, satisfying the inequality.

$$
\begin{gather*}
q^{n-k} \geq q^{b_{3}-1}\left[1+\left(n_{3}-2 b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right]+ \\
q^{b_{2}-1}+\left[1+\left(n_{2}-2 b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)\right]+ \\
+q^{b_{1}-1}\left[1+k-\left(n_{3}-n_{2}-2 b_{1}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1}+\right. \\
\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right) \times \\
\left.\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+1\right)\right] . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The existence of such a code is shown here by constructing an appropriate ( $n-k$ ) $\times n$ parity check matrix H for the desired code. If $H_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ denotes the number of columns of the parity check matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ in the first $n_{1}$-digits, $H_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ denotes the columns of the parity check matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ in the next $n_{2}$-digits, and $H_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ denotes the columns of the parity check matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ in the last
$n_{3}$-digits, then the matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ may be expressed as $H^{\prime}=\left[H_{3}^{\prime} H_{2}^{\prime} H_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Then the required matrix H may be obtained from $H^{\prime}$ by reversing the order of its columns. i.e. $H^{\prime}=\left[H_{1}{ }^{\prime} H_{2}{ }^{\prime} H_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right]$.

Select any non zero (n-k)-tuple as the first column of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ( in $H_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ ). Subsequent columns are added to $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ such that after having selected $n_{3}-1$ columns $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots ., h_{n_{3}-1}$ a column $h_{n_{3}}$ is added provided that

$$
h_{n_{3}} \neq\left(u_{n_{3}-b_{3}+1} h_{n_{3}-b_{3}+1}+\ldots+u_{n_{3}-1} h_{n_{3}-1}\right)+\left(v_{i} h_{i}+\ldots+v_{i+b_{3}-1} h_{i+b_{3}-1}\right)
$$

where either all $v_{i}$ are not zero or if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient then $b_{3} \leq s \leq n_{3}-b_{3}$.

This construction assures that the code which is the null space of the finally constructed matrix H will be capable of correcting all bursts of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the third sub-block of length $n_{3}$. To choose the $v_{i}$ is equivalent to enumerating the number of bursts of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in an $\left(n_{3}-b_{3}\right)$ tuple.

$$
\left(n_{3}-2 b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1} .
$$

Thus, the total number of columns to which $h_{n_{3}}$ cannot be equal is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{b_{3}-1}\left[1+\left(n_{3}-2 b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we shall add $\left(n_{3}+1\right)^{\text {t/ }},\left(n_{3}+2\right)^{t^{h}} \ldots$ columns of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ (in $\left.H_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. We wish to assure that the code so constructed is capable of correcting all bursts of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in the second sub-block of length $n_{2}$, along with an overlap burst of length $b\left(b \geq b_{1}+b_{2}\right)$ in $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ components.

As the first requirement, the general $t^{t h}$ column $\left(t>n_{3}\right)$ to be added should not be a linear combination of the immediate proceeding $b_{2}-1$ colmns $h_{t-b_{2}+1} \ldots \ldots \ldots . h_{t-1}$ together with any $b_{2}$ consecutive amongst $h_{n_{3}+1}, h_{n_{3}+2}, \ldots \ldots, h_{t-b_{2}}$ i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{t} \neq\left(u_{t-b_{2}+1} h_{t-b_{2}+1}+\ldots+u_{t-1} b_{t-1}\right)+\left(v_{r} h_{r}+\ldots+h_{r+b_{2}-1} h_{r+b_{2}-1}\right) \text {. } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $h_{r}$ amongst $h_{n_{3}+1}, h_{n_{3}+2}, \ldots, h_{t-b_{2}}$ and either all the $v_{r}$ are zero or if $v_{t}$ is the last non-zero coefficient, then $b_{2} \leq t \leq t-n_{3}-b_{2}$. The $u_{t}$ in (15) can obviously be selected in $q^{b_{2}-1}$ ways. Using the $u_{t}$ in (15) is equivalent to choosing the number of bursts of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $t-n_{3}-b_{2}$. Their number is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-n_{3}-2 b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second requirement is that $t^{\text {th }}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately proceeding $b_{2}-1$ columns $h_{t-b_{2}+1}, \cdots, h_{t-1}\left(t-b_{2}+1 \geq n_{3}+1\right)$ together with any $b_{3}$ consecutive columns from amongst $h_{1}, h_{2 . .1} h_{n_{1}}$ i.e.
$h_{t} \neq\left(u_{t-b_{2}+1} h_{t-b_{2}+1}+\ldots+u_{t-1} h_{t-1}\right)+\left(v_{i} h_{i}+\ldots+v_{i+b_{3}-1} h_{i+b_{3}-1}\right)$
where all the $v_{i}$ are not zero, and if $v_{s}$ is the last non-zero coefficient, then $b_{3}<s$. The number of ways in which the coefficient. $u_{t}$ in (17) can be selected in $q^{b_{2}-1}$ ways, choosing the coefficient $v_{i}$ in (17) is equivalent to enumerating the bursts of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $n_{3}$. Their number is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third requirement is that the $t^{\text {th }}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately proceeding $b_{2}-1$ columns $h_{t-b_{2}+1} h_{t-b_{2}+2} \ldots h_{t-1}$ together with any $b_{3}+b_{2}$ consecutive columns amongst $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n_{3}-1}, h_{n_{3}}, h_{n_{3}+1}, \ldots, h_{n_{3}+t-1}$. i.e

$$
h_{1} \neq\left(u_{t-b_{2}+1} h_{t-b_{2}+1}+\ldots+u_{t-1} h_{t-1}\right)+\left(v_{j} h_{j}+v_{j+1} h_{j+1}+\ldots+v_{j} h_{j+b-1}\right)
$$

where $j=n_{3}-\left(b-b_{2}\right)+1, \ldots, n_{3}-b_{3}+1$, and all $v_{j}$ 's are not zero and if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient, then $b_{1}+b_{2}<s$. The number of ways in which the coefficient $u_{t}$ in (19) can be selected is $q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}$. Choosing the coefficient $v_{j}$ in (19) is equivalent to enumerating the burst of length b (fix) in a vector of length $n_{3}+n_{2}, b-b_{2} \leq n_{2}$, Their number is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2} \sum_{j=m_{3}-\left(b-b_{2}\right)+1}^{m_{3}-b_{3}+1}\left(j+\left(b-b_{2}\right)-n_{3}\right) \text { i.e. } \\
& \frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)+1\right)\left(b-\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the total number of combination to which $h_{t}$ cannot be equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is }(16)+(18)+(20) \text { i.e. } \\
& \begin{aligned}
q^{b_{2}-1}[1+ & \left.\left(t-n_{3}-2 b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $t=n_{3}+n_{2}$ as the last column of the second sub-block, the equation (21) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{b_{2}-1}[1+ & \left.\left(n_{2}-2 b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first requirement assures that in the code which is the null space of the finalconstructed matrix H . The syndromes of any
two bursts each of which is of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) are not equal, the second requirement assures that the syndrome of two bursts, one of which is the burst of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of length $n_{2}$ and the other bursts of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the subblock of length $n_{3}$ are different and the third requirement assures that the syndromes of two bursts, one of which is a burst of length $b_{2}$ in the sub-block of length $n_{2}$ and the other burst of length $b$ fixed in two consecutive sub-blocks of length $n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$, are different.

Now we shall start adding $\left(n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)^{\text {th }},\left(n_{3}+n_{2}+2\right)^{\text {th }}, \ldots$, columns of $H^{\prime}$ (in $H_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ ), we wish to assure that the code so constructed is capable of correcting all bursts of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in the first sub-block of length $n_{1}$. For this, we lay down the following requirements.
As the first requirement, the general $k^{\text {th }}$ column $\left(k>n_{3}+n_{2}\right)$ to be added should not be a linear combination of the immediately preceding $b_{1}-1$ columns

$$
h_{k-b_{1}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-1},\left(k-b_{1}+1 \geq n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)
$$

together with any $b_{1}$ consecutive columns from amongst

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n_{3}+n_{2}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-b} \text {, i.e } \\
& \quad h_{k} \neq\left(u_{k-b_{1}+1} h_{k-b_{1}+1}+\ldots+u_{k-1} h_{k-1}\right)+\left(v_{r} h_{r}+\ldots+v_{r+b_{1}-1} h_{r+b_{1}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{r}$ are amongst $h_{n_{3}+n_{2}+1}, h_{n_{3}+n_{2}+2}, \ldots, h_{k-b_{1}}$, and either all the $v_{r}$ are zero or if $v_{k}$ is the last non-zero coefficient, then

$$
b_{1} \leq k \leq k-\left(n_{3}+n_{2}\right)-b_{1} .
$$
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The $u_{k}$ in (23) can obviously be selected in $q^{b_{1}-1}$ ways. Choosing $v_{r}$ in (23) is equivalent to choosing the number of bursts of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $k-\left(n_{3}+n_{2}\right)-b_{1}$. Their number is

$$
1+\left(k-n_{3}-n_{2}-2 b_{1}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{1}-1} .
$$

The second requirement is that the $k^{\text {th }}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately preceding $h_{k-b_{1}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-1}\left(k-b_{1}+1 \geq n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)$ together with any $b_{2}$ consecutive columns from amongst $h_{n_{3}+1}, \ldots, h_{n_{3}+n_{2}}$ i.e.

$$
h_{k} \neq\left(u_{k-b_{1}+1} h_{k-b_{1}+1}+\ldots+u_{k-1} h_{k-1}\right)+\left(v_{i} h_{i}+\ldots+v_{i+b_{2}-1} h_{i+b_{2}-1}\right)
$$

where all the $v_{i}$ are not zero and if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient, then $b_{2} \leq s$. The number of ways in which the coefficient $u_{k}$ in (25) can be selected is $q^{b_{2}-1}$. Choosing the coefficient $v_{i}$ in (25) is equivalent to enumerating the bursts of length $b_{2}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $n_{2}$ This number is

$$
\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1} .
$$

The third requirement is that the $k^{\text {th }}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately preceding $b_{1}-1$ columns $h_{k-b_{1}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-1}\left(k-b_{1}+1 \geq n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)$ together with any $b_{3}$ consecutive columns from amongst $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, h_{n_{3}}$ i.e.

$$
h_{k} \neq\left(u_{k-b_{1}+1} h_{k-b_{1}+1}+\ldots+u_{k-1} h_{k-1}\right)+\left(v_{i} h_{i}+\ldots+v_{i+b_{3}-1} h_{i+b_{3}-1}\right)
$$

where all the $v_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ are not zero, and if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient, then $b_{3} \leq s$. The number of ways in which the coefficient $u_{k}$ in (27). Can be selected is $q^{b_{3}-1}$. Choosing the
coefficient $v_{i}$ in (27) is equivalent to enumerating the bursts of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $n_{3}$. Their number is

$$
\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}
$$

The fourth requirement is the $k^{t h}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately preceding $b_{1}-1$ columns $h_{k-b_{1}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-1}\left(k+b_{1}-1 \geq n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)$ together with any $b_{2}+b_{3}$ consecutive columns from amongst $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots h_{r_{3}+n_{2}}$. i.e
$h_{k} \neq\left(u_{k-b_{1}+1} h_{k-b_{1}+1}+\ldots+u_{k-1} h_{k-1}\right)+\left(u_{j} h_{j}+\ldots+v_{j-b+1} h_{j-b+1}\right)$
where $j=n_{3}-\left(b-b_{2}\right)+1, n_{3}-\left(b-b_{2}\right)+2, \ldots, n_{3}-b_{3}+1$. Also all $v_{i}$ are not zero and if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient, then $b_{3}+b_{2} \leq s$, the number of ways in which the coefficient $u_{k}$ in (29) can be selected is $q^{b_{3}+b_{2}-2}$. Choosing the burst of length $b_{3}+b_{2}$ (fixed) in a vector of length $n_{3}+n_{2}$. Their number is

$$
(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2} \sum_{j=r_{3}\left(b-b_{2}\right)+1}^{r_{3}-b_{3}+1}\left(j+\left(b-b_{2}\right)-n_{3}\right)
$$

i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)+1\right)\left(b-\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)+2\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fifth requirement is that the $k^{\text {th }}$ column should also not be a linear combination of the immediately preceding $b_{1}-1$ columns $h_{k-b_{1}+1}, \ldots, h_{k-1}\left(k-b_{1}+1 \geq n_{3}+n_{2}+1\right)$ together with any $b_{1}+b_{2}$ consecutive columns from amongst

$$
h_{n_{3}+1}, h_{n_{3}+2}, \ldots, h_{n_{3}+n_{2}}, h_{n_{3}+n_{2}+1}, \ldots, h_{n_{3}+n_{2}+k-1}
$$
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i.e. $h_{k} \neq\left(u_{k-b_{1}+1} h_{k-b_{1}+1}+\ldots+u_{k-1} h_{k-1}\right)+\left(v_{j} h_{j}+\ldots+v_{j+b-1} h_{j+b-1}\right)(31$
where $j=n_{2}-\left(b-b_{1}\right)+1, n_{2}-\left(b-b_{1}\right)+2, \ldots, n_{2}-b_{2}+1$. where all $v_{j}$ ' $s$ are non zero and if $v_{s}$ is the last non zero coefficient then $b_{1}+b_{2} \leq s$. The number of ways in which the coefficient $u_{k}$ in (31) can be selected is $q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}$. Choosing the coefficient $v_{j}$ in (31) is equivalent to enumerating the bursts of length $b_{1}+b_{2}$ fixed in a vector $n_{1}+n_{2}$. Their number is

$$
(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2} \sum_{j=n_{2}-\left(b-b_{1}\right)+1}^{n_{2}-b_{2}+1}\left(j+\left(b-b_{2}\right)-n_{2}\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+1\right)\left(b-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+2\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the total number of combination to which $h_{k}$ can not to equal is $(24)+(26)+(28)+(30)+(32)$ i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
q^{b_{1}-1}\left[1+\left(k-n_{3}-n_{2}-2 b_{1}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{1}-1}+\left(n_{2}-b_{2}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{2}-1}+\right. \\
\left.\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}\right]+  \tag{33}\\
+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b_{2}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+1\right)\left(b-b_{1}-b_{2}+2\right) \\
+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{2}+b_{3}-2}\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+1\right)\left(b-b_{2}-b_{3}+2\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The first requirement assures that in the code, which is the null space of the final constructed matrix H , the syndromes of any two bursts, each of which is of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) are not equal, the second requirement assures that the syndromes of two bursts, one
of which is a bursts of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of sub length $n_{1}$ and the other is a burst of length $b_{2}$ in the -block of length $n_{2}$, are different, the third requirement assures that the syndromes of two bursts, one of which is a burst of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of length $n_{1}$, and other is a burst of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of length $b_{3}$ (fixed) in the subblock of length $n_{3}$, are different, the fourth requirement assures that the two syndromes of two bursts, one of which is a burst of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of length $n_{1}$ and the other is a burst of length $b$ (fixed) in two consecutive sub-blocks of length $n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$ are different, and the fifth requirement assures that the syndromes of two bursts, one of which is a burst of length $b_{1}$ (fixed) in the sub-block of length $n_{1}$ and the other is the burst of length $b$ in two consecutive sub-blocks of length $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, are different. At worst of all these linear combination considered in (14), (22) and (33) may be distinct, thus while choosing the $n_{3}^{\text {th }}$ column, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n-k} \geq(14) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

while choosing the $\left(n_{3}+n_{2}\right)^{\text {th }}$ column, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n-k} \geq(22) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as while choosing the $n^{\text {th }}$ column $\left(n_{3}+n_{2}+n_{1}\right)$ we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n-k} \geq(33) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the requisite matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ can be completed if

$$
q^{n-k} \geq \max \{(34),(35),(36)\}
$$

which is expression (11). The required parity check matrix $H=\left[H_{1}^{\prime} H_{2}^{\prime} H_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right]=\left[h_{1} h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right]$ is then obtained from $H^{\prime}=\left[H_{3}{ }^{\prime} H_{2}^{\prime} H_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]=\left[h_{n} h_{n-1} h_{n-2}, \ldots, h_{2} h_{1}\right]$ by reversing its columns altogether i.e. $h_{j}$ becomes $h_{n-j+1}$.

## 2. DISCUSSION

We present here different possible cases based on the length of the burst and size of the sub-blocks viz.
(1) $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3} ; n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}$; i.e. the length of bursts as well as sub-blocks is same.
(2) $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3} ; n_{1}=n_{2} \neq n_{3}$; i.e. the length of bursts is equal but the size of two sub-blocks is different.
(3) $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3} ; n_{1} \neq n_{2} \neq n_{3}$; i.e. the length of bursts is equal but the sub-blocks are of different size.
(4) $b_{1} \neq b_{2}=b_{3}, n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}$; i.e. the length of bursts is same only in two sub-blocks whereas size of sub-blocks is same.
(5) $b_{1} \neq b_{2}=b_{3}, \quad n_{1} \neq n_{2}=n_{3}$; i.e. the length of two burst as well as sub-blocks is same.
(6) $b_{1} \neq b_{2}=b_{3}, \quad n_{1} \neq n_{2} \neq n_{3}$; the length of two bursts are same but the size of all sub-blocks are different.

All the cases discussed above have been illustrated by the following examples 1 to 6 respectively.

Example 1. For $n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=N, b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=b^{\prime}$, the given bound in (1) can be expressed as

$$
2^{3 N-K} \geq 1+q^{b^{\prime-1}} 3\left(N-b^{\prime}+1\right)+(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right)
$$

For $N=2, b^{\prime}=1, b=3$, we have obtained $(6,1)$ - code that can correct all single errors in all the sub-blocks and a burst of length 3 simultaneously in two consecutive sub-blocks. For this the following matrix may be considered as parity check matrix. It can be verified in the following table that the code is a $m b c$ - code.

$$
H_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Table 1

| Error <br> Pattern | Syndrome |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100000 | 10000 |
| 010000 | 01000 |
| 001000 | 00100 |
| 000100 | 00010 |
| 000010 | 00010 |
| 000001 | 11111 |
| $10 \quad 1000$ | 10100 |
| 011000 | 01100 |
| 010100 | 01010 |
| $00 \quad 1010$ | 00101 |
| 000110 | 00011 |
| 000101 | 11101 |

Case 2. If $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=b^{\prime}, N=n_{1}=n_{2} \neq n_{3}$, then the bound in (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{n-k} \geq 1 & +2\left(N-b^{\prime}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b^{\prime}-1}+\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right)(q-1) q^{b_{3}-1}  \tag{38}\\
& +(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $N=3, n_{3}=4, b^{\prime}=2, b=5$ we have the following parity check matrix for a $(10,4)$ linear code

$$
H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1
\end{array} 0\right.
$$

It can be verified from the following error-pattern syndrome table that the code is a $m b c$-code

Table 2

| Error Pattern |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Syndrome |
| 100 | 000 | 0000 | 000100 |
| 110 | 000 | 0000 | 101110 |
| 010 | 000 | 0000 | 101010 |
| 011 | 000 | 0000 | 110111 |
| 000 | 100 | 0000 | 000010 |
| 000 | 110 | 0000 | 000011 |
| 000 | 010 | 0000 | 000001 |
| 000 | 011 | 0000 | 000101 |

Mixed Burst Error Correcting Codes

| 000 | 000 | 1000 | 100000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 000 | 000 | 1100 | 110000 |
| 000 | 000 | 0100 | 010000 |
| 000 | 000 | 0110 | 011000 |
| 000 | 000 | 0010 | 001000 |
| 000 | 000 | 0011 | 001100 |
| 100 | 100 | 0000 | 000110 |
| 100 | 110 | 0000 | 000111 |
| 110 | 100 | 0000 | 101100 |
| 110 | 110 | 0000 | 101101 |
| 010 | 100 | 0000 | 101000 |
| 010 | 110 | 0000 | 101001 |
| 011 | 100 | 0000 | 110101 |
| 011 | 110 | 0000 | 110100 |
| 010 | 010 | 0000 | 101011 |
| 010 | 011 | 0000 | 101111 |
| 011 | 010 | 0000 | 110110 |
| 011 | 011 | 0000 | 110010 |


| Error Pattern |  |  | Syndrome |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 000 | 100 | 1000 | 100010 |
| 000 | 100 | 1100 | 110010 |
| 000 | 110 | 1000 | 100011 |
| 000 | 110 | 1100 | 110011 |
| 000 | 010 | 1000 | 100001 |
| 000 | 010 | 1100 | 110001 |
| 000 | 011 | 1000 | 100101 |
| 000 | 011 | 1100 | 110101 |
| 000 | 010 | 0100 | 010001 |
| 000 | 010 | 0110 | 011001 |
| 000 | 011 | 0100 | 010101 |
| 000 | 011 | 0110 | 011101 |
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Case 3. For $n_{1} \neq n_{2} \neq n_{3}, b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=b^{\prime}$, the inequality (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n-k} \geq 1+2^{b^{\prime}-1}\left(n-3 b^{\prime}+3\right)+(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, for $N=9, b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=1, b=3$, we have obtained a $(9,4)$ code that may correct all single errors in all the three subblocks together with the bursts of length 3(fix) simultaneously in the vector of length $n_{1}+n_{2}$ and $n_{2}+n_{3}$.

Consider the following parity check matrix

$$
H_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} 1\right.
$$

It can be verified that the code so constructed is a $(9,4) m b c$ code.

Case 4. If $b_{1} \neq b_{2}=b_{3}=b^{\prime}, n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=N$, then equality (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{n-k} \geq & 1+(q-1) q^{b_{1}-1}\left(N-b_{1}+1\right)+2(q-1)\left(N-b^{\prime}+1\right) q^{b^{\prime}-1} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{1}+b^{\prime}-2}\left(b-b_{1}-b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-b_{1}-b^{\prime}+2\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $N=3, b_{1}=1, b^{\prime}=2, b=4$, the parity check matrix for the code $(9,4)$ may be given as

$$
H_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It can be verified that the code so constructed is a $(9,4) m b c$ code.

Case 5. If $b^{\prime}=b_{1}=b_{2} \neq b_{3}, N=n_{1}=n_{2} \neq n_{3}$, then the bound given in (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{n-k} \geq 1+2(q-1)\left(N-b^{\prime}+1\right) q^{b^{\prime}-1}+ \\
\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right)+ \\
\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{3}+b^{\prime}-2}\left(b-b_{3}-b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-b_{3}-b^{\prime}+2\right) . \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $N=2, n_{3}=3, b^{\prime}=1, b_{3}=2, b=3$, it can be verified from the following parity check matrix

$$
H_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\
1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$
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that the code so constructed is a $(7,2) m b c$-code.
Case 6. If $b^{\prime}=b_{1}=b_{2} \neq b_{3}, n_{1} \neq n_{3} \neq n_{3}$, then the inequality (1) can be expressed as.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{n-k} \geq 1+(q-1)\left(n_{1}-b^{\prime}+1\right) q^{b^{\prime}-1}+(q-1)\left(n_{2}-b^{\prime}+1\right) q^{b^{\prime-1}}+ \\
& \quad(q-1)\left(n_{3}-b_{3}+1\right) q^{b_{3}-1}+  \tag{42}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{2\left(b^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-2 b^{\prime}+2\right)+ \\
& \frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2} q^{b_{3}+b^{\prime}-2}\left(b-b_{3}-b^{\prime}+1\right)\left(b-b_{3}-b^{\prime}+2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $n_{1}=2, n_{2}=3, n_{3}=4, b^{\prime}=1, b_{3}=2, b=3$, the $(9,4)$ code obtained from the following parity check matrix is a $m b c$-code

$$
H_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 3. OPEN PROBLEMS AND REMARKS

In this paper, we have obtained lower and upper bounds on the number of parity -check digits for $\left(n_{1 b_{1}}, n_{2 b_{2}}, n_{3 b_{3}}\right) m b c$-linear
codes, which corrects burst in three different sub-blocks of a codeword. We have shown the existence of linear codes for different values of the parameters
$n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, k, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \quad b \geq b_{1}+b_{2}=b_{2}+b_{3}$
by constructing appropriate parity check matrices following the synthesis procedure outlined in the proof of Theorem 1. However, the problem needs further investigation to

1) find the possibilities of the existence of $m b c$ - linear codes in non-binary case;
2) find the possibilities of the existence of $m b c$-optimal codes in binary and non-binary cases.
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