



ON PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Ahmet YILDIZ¹, Mine TURAN¹, Bilal Eftal ACET²

¹Dumlupınar University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Kütahya

²Adıyaman University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Adıyaman
ahmetyildiz@dumlupinar.edu.tr minegturan@hotmail.com eacet@adiyaman.edu.tr

Geliş Tarihi: 12.08.2010 Kabul Tarihi: 05.01.2011

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to study Para-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions on the curvature tensor.

M.S.C. 2000: 53B20, 53C15, 53C25.

Key words: *Sasakian manifolds, Para-Sasakian manifolds, Weyl-pseudosymmetric manifolds.*

PARA-SASAKIAN MANİFOLDLAR ÜZERİNE

ÖZET

Bu çalışmanın amacı eğrilik tensörü üzerinde belirli şartları sağlayan Para-Sasakian manifoldları incelemektir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: *Sasakian manifoldlar, Para-Sasakian manifoldlar, Weyl-pseudosimetrik manifoldlar.*

1.Introduction

In ([1]), T. Adati and K. Matsumoto defined para-Sasakian and special para-Sasakian manifolds which are considered as special cases of an almost paracontact manifold introduced by I. Sato and K. Matsumoto ([10]). In the same paper, the authors studied conformally symmetric para-Sasakian manifolds and they proved that an n -dimensional ($n>3$) conformally symmetric para-Sasakian manifold is conformally flat and special para-Sasakian ($n>3$). In ([5]), U. C. De and N. Guha showed that an n -dimensional Weyl-semisymmetric para-Sasakian manifold is conformally flat.

Let (M,g) be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ . We denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection. We define endomorphisms $R(X,Y)$ and $X \wedge Y$ by

$$R(X,Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z, \quad (1)$$

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y, \quad (2)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ and $\chi(M)$ is being the Lie algebra of vector fields on M . The Riemannian-Christoffel tensor R is defined by $R(X,Y,Z,W) = g(R(X,Y)Z, W)$, $W \in \chi(M)$.

By the definition of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C of n -dimensional ($n>3$) differentiable manifold (M,g) is given by

$$C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} \left[g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY \right] + \frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y], \quad (3)$$

where Q denotes Ricci operator, i.e. $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ and τ is the scalar curvature of M ([11]). The Weyl conformal curvature tensor C is defined by $C(X, Y, Z, W) = g(C(X, Y)Z, W)$. If $C=0$, then M is called *conformally flat*.

For a $(0, k)$ -tensor field $T, k \geq 1$, on (M, g) we define $R \cdot T, C \cdot T$, and $Q(g, T)$ by

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k) = -T(R(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - T(X_1, R(X, Y)X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, R(X, Y)X_k), \quad (4)$$

$$(C(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k) = -T(C(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - T(X_1, C(X, Y)X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, C(X, Y)X_k), \quad (5)$$

$$Q(g, T)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) = -T((X \wedge Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - T(X_1, (X \wedge Y)X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, (X \wedge Y)X_k), \quad (6)$$

respectively ([7]).

If the tensor $R \cdot C$ (respectively $C \cdot R$) and $Q(g, C)$ are linearly dependent then M is called Weyl-pseudosymmetric. This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \quad (7)$$

(respectively $C \cdot R = L_C Q(g, C)$), which holds on the set $U_C = \{x \in M : C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ where L_C is some function on U_C . If $R \cdot C = 0$ then M is called Weyl-semisymmetric (see ([6]), ([7]), ([8])). If $\nabla C = 0$ then M is called conformally symmetric (see [4]). It is obvious that a conformally symmetric manifold is Weyl-semisymmetric.

Furthermore we define the tensors $R(\xi, X) \cdot C$ and $C(\xi, X) \cdot R$ on (M, g) by

$$(R(\xi, X) \cdot C)(Y, Z)W = R(\xi, X)C(Y, Z)W - C(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - C(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W - C(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W, \quad (8)$$

$$(C(\xi, X) \cdot R)(Y, Z)W = C(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W - R(C(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - R(Y, C(\xi, X)Z)W - R(Y, Z)C(\xi, X)W. \quad (9)$$

In this study our aim is to obtain the characterization of P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying the conditions $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ and $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = L_C Q(g, C)$.

2.Preliminaries

Let M be an n -dimensional contact manifold with contact form η , i.e. $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$. It is well known that a contact manifold admits a vector field ξ , called the characteristic vector field, such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $d\eta(\xi, X) = 0$ for every $X \in \chi(M)$. Moreover, M admits a Riemannian metric g and a tensor field ϕ of type (1,1) such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y).$$

We then say that (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a contact metric structure. A contact metric manifold is said to be a Sasakian if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

holds, where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect of g ([3]). In this case, we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X, \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y.$$

Now we give a structure similar to Sasakian but not contact.

An n -dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to admit an almost paracontact Riemannian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is a (1,1)- tensor field, ξ is a vector field, η is a 1-form and g is a Riemannian metric on M such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \xi &= 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \\ \phi^2 X &= X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M . The equation $\eta(\xi) = 1$ is equivalent to $|\eta| \equiv 1$, and then ξ is just metric dual of η , where g is the Riemannian metric on M . If (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfy the following equations

$$d\eta = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi X,$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi,$$

then M is called a Para-Sasakian manifold or, briefly, a P-Sasakian manifold. Especially, a P-Sasakian manifold M is called a special para-Sasakian manifold or, briefly, a SP-Sasakian manifold if M admits a 1-form η satisfying

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

In a P-Sasakian manifold the following relations hold:

$$S(X, \xi) = (1 - n)\eta(X), \tag{10}$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X), \tag{11}$$

$$Q\xi = -(n-1)\xi, \tag{12}$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \tag{13}$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \eta(X)Y - g(X, Y)\xi, \tag{14}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, (see ([2]), ([9]) and ([10])).

A Para-Sasakian manifold M is said to be η -Einstein if its Ricci tensor S is of the form $S = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$, where a, b are smooth functions on M ([2]).

3. Main results

In the present section our aim is to find the characterization of the P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying the conditions $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ and $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = L_c Q(g, C)$.

Theorem 1. Let M be an n -dimensional, $n > 3$, P-Sasakian manifold. If the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ holds on M then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. Let M^n ($n > 3$) a Para-Sasakian manifold. Then from (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\xi, X) \cdot C)(Y, Z)W &= R(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W - R(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W \\ &\quad - R(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W - R(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} \begin{bmatrix} S(R(\xi, X)Y, W)Z + g(R(\xi, X)Y, W)QZ \\ -S(R(\xi, X)Z, W)Y - g(R(\xi, X)Z, W)QY \\ -S(Z, R(\xi, X)W)Y + S(Y, R(\xi, X)W)Z \\ -g(Z, R(\xi, X)W)QY + g(Y, R(\xi, X)W)QZ \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} \begin{bmatrix} g(R(\xi, X)Y, W)Z - g(R(\xi, X)Z, W)Y \\ +g(Y, R(\xi, X)W)Z - g(Z, R(\xi, X)W)Y \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (C(\xi, X) \cdot R)(Y, Z)W &= C(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W - R(C(\xi, X)Y, Z)W \\ &\quad - R(Y, C(\xi, X)Z)W - R(Y, Z)C(\xi, X)W \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{n-2} \begin{bmatrix} S(X,R(Y,Z)W)\xi - S(\xi,R(Y,Z)W)X \\ + (1-n)g(X,R(Y,Z)W)\xi - \eta(R(Y,Z)W)QX \\ - S(X,Y)R(\xi,Z)W + (1-n)\eta(Y)R(X,Z)W \\ - (1-n)g(X,Y)R(\xi,Z)W + \eta(Y)R(QX,Z)W \\ + S(X,Z)R(\xi,Y)W + (1-n)\eta(Z)R(Y,X)W \\ + (1-n)g(X,Z)R(\xi,Y)W + \eta(Z)R(Y,QX)W \\ - S(X,W)R(Y,Z)\xi + (1-n)\eta(W)R(Y,Z)X \\ - (1-n)g(X,W)R(Y,Z)\xi + \eta(W)R(Y,Z)QX \end{bmatrix} \\
 & + \frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} \begin{bmatrix} g(X,R(Y,Z)W)\xi - g(\xi,R(Y,Z)W)X \\ - g(X,Y)R(\xi,Z)W + \eta(Y)R(X,Z)W \\ + g(X,Z)R(\xi,Y)W + \eta(Z)R(Y,X)W \\ - g(X,W)R(Y,Z)\xi + \eta(W)R(Y,Z)X \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Multiplying equations (15) and (16) with ξ and using the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{n-2} \begin{bmatrix} S(R(\xi, X)Y, W)\eta(Z) + (1-n)g(R(\xi, X)Y, W)\eta(Z) \\ - S(R(\xi, X)Z, W)\eta(Y) - (1-n)g(R(\xi, X)Z, W)\eta(Y) \\ - S(Z, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Y) + S(Y, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Z) \\ - (1-n)g(Z, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Y) + (1-n)g(Y, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Z) \\ - S(X, R(Y, Z)W) - (1-n)g(X, R(Y, Z)W) \\ + S(X, Y)g(Z, W) - (1-n)g(X, Y)g(Z, W) \\ + S(X, Z)g(Y, W) + (1-n)g(X, Z)g(Y, W) \end{bmatrix} \\
 & + \frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} \begin{bmatrix} g(R(\xi, X)Y, W)\eta(Z) - g(R(\xi, X)Z, W)\eta(Y) \\ + g(Y, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Z) - g(Z, R(\xi, X)W)\eta(Y) \\ - g(X, R(Y, Z)W) - g(X, Y)g(Z, W) + g(X, Z)g(Y, W) \end{bmatrix} = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Putting $Y = W = \xi$ in (17) and then using (10) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{n-2} [5(1-n)g(X, Z) - 8(1-n)\eta(X)\eta(Y) + 3S(X, Z)] \\
 & + \frac{4\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From equation (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(X, Z) &= \left(\frac{4\tau}{3(n-1)} - \frac{5}{3}(1-n) \right) g(X, Z) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{8}{3}(1-n) - \frac{4\tau}{3(n-1)} \right) \eta(X)\eta(Z).
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Theorem 2. Let M be an n -dimensional, $n > 3$, P-Sasakian manifold. If the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = L_c Q(g, C)$ is satisfied on M , then M is either conformally flat, in which case M is a SP-Sasakian manifold, or $L_c = -1$ holds on M .

Proof. Assume that M , ($n > 3$), is satisfying the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot C - C(\xi, X) \cdot R = L_c Q(g, C)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R(\xi, X)C(Y, Z)W - C(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - C(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W \\
 & - C(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W - C(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W + R(C(\xi, X)Y, Z)W \\
 & + R(Y, C(\xi, X)Z)W + R(Y, Z)C(\xi, X)W \\
 & = L_c \left[\begin{array}{l} (\xi \wedge X)C(Y, Z)W - C((\xi \wedge X)Y, Z)W \\ -C(Y, (\xi \wedge X)Z)W - C(Y, Z)(\xi \wedge X)W \end{array} \right]. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and multiplying equation (20) with ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta(R(\xi, X)C(Y, Z)W) - \eta(C(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W) - \eta(C(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W) \\
 & - \eta(C(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W) - \eta(C(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W) + \eta(R(C(\xi, X)Y, Z)W) \\
 & + \eta(R(Y, C(\xi, X)Z)W) + \eta(R(Y, Z)C(\xi, X)W) \\
 & = L_c \left[\begin{array}{l} g(X, C(Y, Z)W) - \eta(C(Y, Z)W)\eta(X) \\ -g(X, Y)\eta(C(\xi, Z)W) + \eta(Y)\eta(C(X, Z)W) \\ -g(X, Z)\eta(C(Y, \xi)W) + \eta(Z)\eta(C(Y, X)W) \\ +\eta(W)\eta(C(Y, Z)X) \end{array} \right]. \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (10) and (11) in (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta(C(Y, Z)W)\eta(X) - g(X, C(Y, Z)W) - \eta(C(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W) \\
 & - \eta(C(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W) - \eta(C(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W) - \eta(C(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)W) \\
 & + g(C(\xi, X)Y, W)\eta(Z) - g(Z, W)\eta(C(\xi, X)Y) + g(Y, W)\eta(C(\xi, X)Z) \\
 & - g(C(\xi, X)Z, W)\eta(Y) + g(Y, C(\xi, X)W)\eta(Z) - g(Z, C(\xi, X)W)\eta(Y) \\
 & = L_c \left[\begin{array}{l} g(X, C(Y, Z)W) - \eta(C(Y, Z)W)\eta(X) \\ -g(X, Y)\eta(C(\xi, Z)W) + \eta(Y)\eta(C(X, Z)W) \\ -g(X, Z)\eta(C(Y, \xi)W) + \eta(Z)\eta(C(Y, X)W) \\ +\eta(W)\eta(C(Y, Z)X) \end{array} \right]. \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging X and Y in (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta(C(X,Z)W)\eta(Y)-g(Y,C(X,Z)W)-\eta(C(R(\xi,Y)X,Z) \\
 & -\eta(C(X,R(\xi,Y)Z)W)-\eta(C(X,Z)R(\xi,Y)W)-\eta(C(\xi,Y)R(X,Z)W) \\
 & +g(C(\xi,Y)X,W)\eta(Z)-g(Z,W)\eta(C(\xi,Y)X)+g(X,W)\eta(C(\xi,Y)Z) \\
 & -g(C(\xi,Y)Z,W)\eta(X)+g(X,C(\xi,Y)W)\eta(Z)-g(Z,C(\xi,Y)W)\eta(X) \\
 & = L_c \left[\begin{array}{l} g(Y,C(X,Z)W)-\eta(C(X,Z)W)\eta(Y) \\ -g(Y,X)\eta(C(\xi,Z)W)+\eta(X)\eta(C(Y,Z)W) \\ -g(Y,Z)\eta(C(X,\xi)W)+\eta(Z)\eta(C(X,Y)W) \\ +\eta(W)\eta(C(X,Z)Y) \end{array} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Subtracting (23) from (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta(C(Y,Z)W)\eta(X)-\eta(C(X,Z)W)\eta(Y)-g(X,C(Y,Z)W)+g(Y,C(X,Z)W) \\
 & +\eta(C(R(X,Y)\xi,Z)W)-\eta(C(Y,R(\xi,X)Z)W)+\eta(C(X,R(\xi,Y)Z)W) \\
 & -\eta(C(Y,Z)R(\xi,X)W)+\eta(C(X,Z)R(\xi,Y)W)-\eta(C(\xi,X)R(Y,Z)W) \\
 & +\eta(C(\xi,Y)R(X,Z)W)+g(Y,W)\eta(C(\xi,X)Z)-g(X,W)\eta(C(\xi,Y)Z) \\
 & = L_c \left[\begin{array}{l} g(X,C(Y,Z)W)-g(Y,C(X,Z)W)+2\eta(C(X,Z)W)\eta(Y) \\ -2\eta(C(Y,Z)W)\eta(X)-2\eta(Z)\eta(C(X,Y)W)+g(X,Z)\eta(C(\xi,Y)W) \\ -g(Y,Z)\eta(C(\xi,Y)W)+\eta(W)\eta(C(Y,X)Z) \end{array} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Putting $Z=\xi$ in (24) we get

$$= [1+L_c] \left[\begin{array}{l} 3\eta(C(X,\xi)W)\eta(Y)-3\eta(C(Y,\xi)W)\eta(X)+g(X,C(Y,\xi)W) \\ -g(Y,C(X,\xi)W)-2\eta(C(X,Y)W) \end{array} \right] = 0. \tag{25}$$

So a contraction of (25) with respect to X gives us

$$[1+L_c][\eta(C(\xi,Y)W)] = 0. \tag{26}$$

If $L_c = 0$ then M is Weyl-semisymmetric and so equation (26) is reduced to

$$\eta(C(\xi,Y)W) = 0, \tag{27}$$

which gives

$$S(Y,W) = \left(\frac{\tau}{(n-1)} + 1 \right) g(Y,W) - \left(\frac{\tau}{(n-1)} + n \right) \eta(Y)\eta(W). \tag{28}$$

Therefore M is an η -Einstein manifold. So using (27) and (28) the equation (24) takes the form

$$C(Y,Z,W,X) = 0,$$

which means that M is conformally flat. So by ([2]), M is a SP-Sasakian manifold.

If $L_c \neq 0$ and $\eta(C(\xi,Y)W) = 0$, then $1+L_c = 0$, which gives $L_c = -1$. This completes the proof of the our Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adati T. and Matsumoto K., *On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds*, (1997), TRU Math.,13, 25-32.
- [2] Adati T. and Miyazawa, T., *On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions*, (1979), Tensor N.S., 33, 173-178.
- [3] Blair D.E., *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, (1976), Lectures Notes in Mathematics 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 146p.
- [4] Chaki M.C., and Gupta B., *On conformally symmetric spaces*, (1963), Indian J. Math., 5, 113-122.
- [5] De U. C. and Guha N., *On a type of P-Sasakian manifold*, (1992), Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Der., 35-39.
- [6] Deszcz R., *Examples of four-dimensional Riemannian manifolds satisfying some pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions*, Geometry and Topology of submanifolds, II (Avignon, 1988), 134-143, World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, (1990).
- [7] Deszcz R., *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, (1990), Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., 49, 134-145.
- [8] Deszcz R., *On four-dimensional Riemannian warped product manifolds satisfying certain pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions*, (1991), Colloq. Math., 1, 103-120.
- [9] Sato I., *On a structure similar to the almost contact structure*, (1976), Tensor N.S., 30, 219-224.
- [10] Sato I. and Matsumoto K., *On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions*, (1979), Tensor N.S., 33, 173-178.
- [11] Yano K., Kon M., *Structures on manifolds*, (1984), World Scientific, 508p.