

YARI-RIEMANN MANİFOLDUNUN LIGHTLIKE İSOTROPİK ALTMANİFOLDU

Erol YAŞAR¹

Muğla Üniversitesi, Ula Ali Koçman M.Y.O., 48600 Ula-Muğla, Türkiye.

Özet: Bu makalede, yarı-Riemann manifoldunun isotropic altmanifoldu çalışıldı. Lightlike isotropic altmanifoldun denklem yapıları verildi. Sonra ise M isotropic altmanifoldu total geodezik yapan şartlar elde edildi.

LIGHTLIKE ISOTROPIC SUBMANIFOLD OF SEMI-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

Abstract: In this paper, we study isotropic submanifold of semi-Riemannian manifold. We give the structure equations of lightlike isotropic submanifold. Then we obtain that the condition on M lightlike isotropic submanifold is totally geodesic.

Key Words and Phrases : *Lightlike isotropic submanifolds, Totally geodesic, Gauss and Codazzi equations.*

MOS Clasification : 53B15 53B30 53C05

¹ Sorumlu Yazar
yerol@mu.edu.tr

1. Introduction

The theory of submanifolds of a Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifold is one of the most important topics in differential geometry. It is well known that the primary difference between theory of lightlike submanifold and semi-Riemannian submanifold arises due to the fact that in the first case, a part of the normal vector bundle TM^\perp lies in the tangent bundle TM of the submanifold M of \tilde{M} , whereas in the second case $TM \cap TM^\perp = \{0\}$. In 1992, Duggal and Bejancu [3] introduced a half lightlike submanifold M , of codimension 2 and found geometric conditions for the induced connection on M to be metric connection. In 2004, Erol Kılıç and Bayram Şahin [5] studied coisotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold. In this study they investigated the integrability condition of the screen distribution and gave a necessary and sufficient condition on Ricci tensor of a coisotropic submanifold to be symmetric.

In the present paper, we have proved that the connection induced from semi-Riemannian manifold of codimension $(m+n)$ on lightlike isotropic submanifold is metric. Besides we obtain the structure equations of lightlike isotropic submanifold and proved the theorem on M in semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, of codimension $(m+n)$.

2. Preliminaries

Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) be a real $(2m+n)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant index q such as $m \geq 1, 1 \leq q \leq 2m$, and M be an m -dimensional submanifold of \tilde{M} . In case \tilde{g} is degenerate on the tangent bundle TM of M . We say that M is a lightlike submanifold of \tilde{M} [2]. Throughout this paper we denote the algebra of smooth function on M by $F(M)$ and the $F(M)$ module of smooth section of a vector bundle E over M by $\Gamma(E)$. The following range of induced is used :

$$i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}.$$

For a degenerate tensor field g on M , there exists a locally vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\xi \neq 0$ such as $g(X, \xi) = 0$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then each tangent space $T_x M$ we have

$$T_x M^\perp = \{ u \in T_x \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{g}(u, v) = 0, \forall v \in T_x M \}$$

which is degenerate $(m+1)$ -dimensional subspace of $T_x \tilde{M}$. The radical (null) subspace of $T_x M$, denoted by $RadT_x M$, is defined by

$$T_x M^\perp = \{ \xi_x \in T_x \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{g}(\xi_x, X_x) = 0, \forall X_x \in T_x M \}.$$

The dimension of $RadT_x M$ depends on $x \in M$. The submanifold M of \tilde{M} is said to be r -lightlike submanifold if the mapping

$$RadTM : x \in M \rightarrow RadT_x M$$

define a smooth distribution on M of rank $r > 0$, where $RadTM$ is called the radical (null) distribution on M [2]. In this paper, we study lightlike isotropic submanifold where

$$RadTM = \{0\} \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq m.$$

Therefore, $S(TM) = \{0\}$. Thus we can write (2.1)

$$T\tilde{M}|_M = TM \oplus tr(TM) = (TM \oplus ltr(TM)) \perp S(TM^\perp).$$

According to the decomposition (2.1), we choose the field of frames $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ and $\{N_1, \dots, N_m, W_{m+1}, \dots, W_n\}$ on M and \tilde{M} , respectively.

Example 2.1 Suppose that (M, g) be a surface of R_2^5 given by equations

$$x^3 = \cos x^1, x^4 = \sin x^1, x^5 = x^2.$$

We choose a set of vectors $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, u_1, u_2\}$ given by

$$\xi_1 = \partial_2 + \partial_5, \xi_2 = \partial_1 - \sin x^1 \partial_3 + \cos x^1 \partial_4,$$

$$u_1 = -\sin x^1 \partial_1 + \partial_3, u_2 = \cos x^1 \partial_1 + \partial_4$$

so that $RadTM = TM = sp\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, $TM^\perp = sp\{\xi_1, u_1, u_2\}$. Therefore M is an

isotropic lightlike submanifold. Construct two null vectors

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{-\partial_1 + \partial_5\} \text{ and}$$

$$N_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{-\partial_1 - \sin x^1 \partial_3 + \cos x^1 \partial_4\}$$

such as $g(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $ltr(TM) = sp = \{N_1, N_2\}$.

Let $W = \cos x^1 \partial_3 + \sin x^1 \partial_4$ be a spacelike vector such that $S(TM^\perp) = sp\{W\}$. Thus $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, N_1, N_2, W\}$ is a basis of R_2^5 along M . From (2.1), there exist $\xi_i, W_\alpha \in T_x M^\perp$ such that $\tilde{g}(\xi_i, u) = 0, \tilde{g}(W_\alpha, W_\beta) \neq 0, \forall u \in T_x M^\perp$.

Above relation implies that $\xi_i \in TM$ and hence $\xi_i \in RadT_x M$. Thus, locally there exists a lightlike vector fields on M , it is also denoted by ξ_i such as

$$\tilde{g}(\xi_i, X) = \tilde{g}(\xi_i, Y) = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \forall Y \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$$

Consequently, the m -dimensional radical distribution $RadTM$ of lightlike isotropic submanifold M of \tilde{M} is locally spanned by ξ_i . We choose such a non-degenerate distribution on the screen transversal vector bundle $S(TM^\perp)$ of M . Thus we have the following orthogonal direct decomposition (2.2)

$$TM^\perp = Rad(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp).$$

Thus we choose W_α as a unit vector field and put $\tilde{g}(W_\alpha, W_\beta) = \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon = \mp 1$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $(M, g, S(TM))$ be an isotropic submanifold of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . Suppose that U be a coordinate neighborhood of M and $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ be a basis of $\Gamma(TM|_U)$. Then there exist smooth $\{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$ of $T\tilde{M}|_M$ such that $\tilde{g}(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and

$$\tilde{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0, \tilde{g}(N_i, W_\alpha) = 0,$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \alpha \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ and $W_\alpha \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$. Suppose that $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the

Levi-Civita connection on \tilde{M} . According to (2.1) we have

$$(2.3)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h^s(X, Y),$$

$$(2.4)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^s N + \nabla_X^L N,$$

$$(2.5)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X W = -A_W X + \nabla_X^s W + \nabla_X^L W$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), N \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$

and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ where

$\{\nabla_X Y, A_N X, A_W X\}$ and

$\{h^s(X, Y), \nabla_X^s N, \nabla_X^s W, \nabla_X^L N, \nabla_X^L W\}$

belong to $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(tr(TM))$

respectively. Here $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the metric connection on \tilde{M} but ∇ and ∇^s are linear connections on M and $tr(TM)$ respectively.

Besides, we define the $F(M)$ -bilinear mappings

$$(2.6)$$

$$\nabla_X^L : \Gamma(ltr(TM)) \rightarrow \Gamma(ltr(TM)); \nabla_X^L(LV) = D_X^L(LV),$$

$$(2.7)$$

$$\nabla_X^s : \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)); \nabla_X^s(SV) = D_X^s(SV),$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$D^L : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)) \rightarrow \Gamma(ltr(TM))$$

$$D^L(X, SV) = D_X^L(SV),$$

and

$$(2.9)$$

$$D^s : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(ltr(TM)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$$

$$D^s(X, LV) = D_X^s(LV)$$

for any $x \in \Gamma(TM), V \in \Gamma(tr(TM))$. Since

$\{\xi_i, N_j\}$ are locally lightlike sections on

$U \subset M$, we define symmetric $F(M)$ -

bilinear form D^s and 1-forms $p_{ij}, \tau_{i\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha\beta}$

and $V_{\alpha i}$ on U by

$$D^s(X, Y) = \varepsilon_\alpha \tilde{g}(h^s(X, Y), W_\alpha),$$

$$p_{ij}(X) = \tilde{g}(\nabla_X^L N_j, \xi_i),$$

$$\tau_{i\alpha} = \varepsilon_\alpha \tilde{g}(D^s(X, N_i), W_\alpha),$$

$$\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon_\beta \tilde{g}(\nabla_X^s W_\alpha, W_\beta)$$

and

$$V_{\alpha i} = g(D^L(X, W_\alpha), \xi_i)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. It follows that

$$h^s(X, Y) = D^s(X, Y)W_\alpha,$$

$$\nabla_X^L N_i = P_{ij}(X)N_j,$$

$$D^s(X, N_i) = \tau_{i\alpha}W_\alpha,$$

$$\nabla_X^s W_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha\beta}W_\beta$$

$$D^L(X, W_\alpha) = V_{\alpha i}N_i.$$

Hence (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) become (2.10)

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n D^s_\alpha(X, Y)W_\alpha,$$

(2.11)

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i}X + \sum_{j=1}^{m<n} P_{ij}(X)N_j + \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \tau_{i\alpha}(X)W_\alpha,$$

(2.12)

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X W_\alpha = -A_{W_\alpha}X + \sum_{i=1}^{m<n} V_{\alpha i}(X)N_i + \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \theta_{\alpha\beta}(X)W_\beta$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. We call D^s the screen second fundamental form of M with respect to $tr(TM)$. Both A_{N_i} and A_{W_α} are linear operators on $\Gamma(TM)$. We will see by (2.15) that the first one is $RadTM$ -valued, called the shape operations of M . Since ξ_i and ξ_j are lightlike vector fields, from (2.10)-(2.12) we obtain

(2.13)

$$D^s(X, \xi_i) = 0,$$

(2.14)

$$D^L(X, \xi_i) = 0,$$

(2.15)

$$\tilde{g}(A_{N_i}X, \xi_i) = \tilde{g}(A_{W_\alpha}X, \xi_i).$$

Further, taking in to account that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection and by using (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{g})(Y, Z) \\ &= X(\tilde{g}(Y, Z)) - \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - \tilde{g}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= X(g(Y, Z)) - g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n D^s_\alpha(X, Y)g(W_\alpha, Z)$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n D^s_\alpha(X, Z)g(W_\alpha, Y)$$

$$= (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Denote by \tilde{R} and R the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. Then by straightforward calculation and using (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain

(2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \{D^s(X, Y)A_{W_\alpha}Y - \\ &D^s(Y, Z)A_{W_\alpha}X + D^s(Y, Z)(\nabla_X W_\alpha) + \\ &(\nabla_X D^s)(Y, Z)W_\alpha - D^s(X, Z)(\nabla_Y W_\alpha) + \\ &(\nabla_Y D^s)(X, Z)W_\alpha\} + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \{D^s(Y, Z)V_{\alpha i}(X)N_i - D^s(X, Z)V_{\alpha i}(Y)N_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

(2.18)

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)W_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \{R^s(X, Y)W_\beta +$$

$$D^s(Y, A_{W_\beta}X)W_\alpha - D^s(X, A_{W_\beta}Y)W_\alpha +$$

$$V_{\beta i}(Y)\tau_{i\alpha}(X)W_\beta - V_{\beta i}(X)\tau_{i\alpha}(Y)W_\beta +$$

$$(\nabla_Y A)(W_\beta, X) - (\nabla_X A)(W_\beta, Y) +$$

$$V_{\beta i}(X)A_{N_i}Y - V_{\beta i}(Y)A_{N_i}X +$$

$$(\nabla_X D^L)(Y, W_\beta) - (\nabla_Y D^L)(X, W_\beta)\},$$

(2.19)

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)N_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha, \beta=m+1}^n \{R^L(X, Y)N_i +$$

$$\tau_{i\alpha}(Y)V_{\alpha i}(X)N_i - \tau_{i\alpha}(X)V_{\alpha i}(Y)N_i -$$

$$\tau_{i\alpha}(Y)A_{W_\alpha}X + \tau_{i\alpha}(Y)A_{W_\alpha}X +$$

$$(\nabla_Y A)(N_i, X) - (\nabla_X A)(N_i, Y) +$$

$$(\nabla_X D^s)(Y, N_i) - (\nabla_Y D^s)(X, N_i) +$$

$$D^s(Y, A_{N_i}X) - D^s(X, A_{N_i}Y)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$W_\alpha, W_\beta \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ and $N_i \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$. Consider the Riemannian curvature of type (0,4) of $\tilde{\nabla}$ and by using (2.17)-(2.19) and the definition of curvature tensor, we derive the following structure equations :

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, N_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \{ \tilde{g}(R(X, Y)Z, N_i) + \varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(Y)D^s(X, Z) - \varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(X)D^s(Y, Z) \},$$

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, W_\beta, N_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \{ \tilde{g}((\nabla_Y A)(W_\beta, X) - (\nabla_X A)(W_\beta, Y), N_i) + V_{\beta i}(Y)\tilde{g}(A_{N_i}X, N_i) - V_{\beta i}(X)\tilde{g}(A_{N_i}Y, N_i) \},$$

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, N_i, N_i') = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \{ \tilde{g}((\nabla_X A)(N_i', X) - (\nabla_Y A)(N_i', Y), N_i) + \varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(X)\varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(Y) - \varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(Y)\varepsilon_\alpha \tau_{i\alpha}(X) \}.$$

Theorem 2.3 Let M be lightlike isotropic submanifold of an $(2m+n)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $(\tilde{M}(c), \tilde{g})$, and of codimension $(m+n)$. Then the curvature tensor of M and $\tilde{M}(c)$ related to the following equations :

$$(2.23) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \{ D^s(Y, Z)A_{W_\alpha}X - D^s(X, Z)A_{W_\alpha}Y + D^s(X, Z)V_{\alpha i}(Y)N_i - D^s(Y, Z)V_{\alpha i}(X)N_i + D^s(X, Z)(\nabla_Y W_\alpha) - D^s(Y, Z)(\nabla_X W_\alpha) + (\nabla_Y D^s)(X, Z)W_\alpha - (\nabla_X D^s)(Y, Z)W_\alpha \},$$

(2.24)

$$(2.25) \quad R^s(X, Y)W_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\beta=m+1}^n \{ D^s(X, A_{W_\beta}Y)W_\alpha - D^s(Y, A_{W_\beta}X)W_\alpha + V_{\beta i}(X)\tau_{i\alpha}(Y)W_\beta - V_{\beta i}(Y)\tau_{i\alpha}(X)W_\beta + (\nabla_X A)(W_\beta, Y) - (\nabla_Y A)(W_\beta, X) + V_{\beta i}(Y)A_{N_i}X - V_{\beta i}(X)A_{N_i}Y + (\nabla_Y D^L)(X, W_\beta) - (\nabla_X D^L)(Y, W_\beta) \},$$

$$(2.26) \quad R^L(X, Y)N_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha, \beta=m+1}^n \{ \tau_{i\alpha}(X)V_{\alpha i}(Y)N_i - \tau_{i\alpha}(Y)V_{\alpha i}(X)N_i + \tau_{i\alpha}(Y)A_{W_\alpha}X - \tau_{i\alpha}(X)A_{W_\alpha}Y + (\nabla_X A)(N_i, Y) - (\nabla_Y A)(N_i, X) + D^s(X, A_{N_i}Y) - D^s(Y, A_{N_i}X) + (\nabla_Y D^s)(X, N_i) - (\nabla_X D^s)(Y, N_i) \}$$

Proof. By using the definition of constant curvature $\tilde{M}(c)$ and (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) we obtain (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25).

Definition 2.4. A lightlike isotropic submanifold (M, g) of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is said to be totally umbilical in \tilde{M} if there is a smooth vector field H^s such as

$$(2.26) \quad h^s(X, Y) = H^s \tilde{g}(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Corollary 2.5. Lightlike isotropic submanifold of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is totally geodesic if M is totally umbilical, i.e.,

$$h^s(X, Y) = 0, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Then we have

Theorem 2.6. Let (M, g) be a isotropic submanifold of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) of codimension $(m+n)$ if M is totally umbilical in \tilde{M} then

$$(2.27) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z, \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Proof. By using (2.17) and Corollary 2.3 we get (2.27).

Corollary 2.7. Under the hypothesis of theorem we have

(2.28)

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)W_\beta = R^s(X, Y)W_\beta + (\nabla_Y A)(W_\beta, X) - (\nabla_X A)(W_\beta, Y),$$

(2.29)

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)N_i = (\nabla_Y A)(N_i, X) - (\nabla_X A)(N_i, Y).$$

References

- [1] Bejancu, A., Null Hypersurfaces in Semi-Euclidean Space, Saitama Math. J. Vol. 14, 25-40, (1996).
 [2] Duggal, K., and Bejancu, A., Lightlike Submanifold of Semi-Riemannian Manifold and Applications, Kluwer Academic Pub., (1996).

- [3] Duggal, K. and Jin, D.H., Half Lightlike Submanifolds of Codimension 2, Math. J. Toyama Uni., 22, 121-161, (1999)

- [4] Duggal, K.L. and Bejancu A., Lightlike Submanifold of Semi-Riemannian Manifold Applications, Acta Appl. Math 38, 197-215, (1995).

- [5] Kılıç, E., Şahin, B., H.B. Karadağ, Güneş, R., Coisotropic Submanifold of a Semi-Riemannian Manifold, Turk J. Math., 28, 335-352, (2004).

- [6] Katsuno, K., Null Hypersurfaces in Lorentzian Manifolds I, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 88, 175-182, (1980).

- [7] O' Neill, B., Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, Academic Press, London, (1983).

Geliş Tarihi: 13/10/2005

Kabul Tarihi: 30/01/2006