ISSN: 2146-1740
https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/ayd,
Doi: 10.54688/ayd.1629620

Araştırma Makalesi/Research Article

THE RISE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: FRIEND OR FOE OF ECONOMIC GROWTH?

Aras YOLUSEVER[1]

Article Info

Received:
30/01/2025

Accepted:
12/05/2025

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is fundamentally altering the global economic landscape, unveiling both remarkable growth opportunities and significant challenges. As a pivotal technology of the 21st century, AI is set to revolutionize productivity, catalyze innovation, and spawn entirely new sectors within the economy. Its applications extend across various industries—including healthcare, manufacturing, finance, and logistics—where it streamlines operations and enables advancements that were previously inconceivable. However, the transformative power of AI is accompanied by critical concerns. Potential job displacement resulting from automation, the exacerbation of economic inequality, and ethical considerations surrounding AI deployment remain pressing issues. This analysis delves into the complex and dualistic impact of AI on economic growth, focusing on its ability to enhance productivity and drive innovation while also examining the implications for the labour market and the regulatory framework needed to address these challenges. This discussion evaluates AI's dual role in driving economic prosperity and posing risks to inclusive, sustainable growth. Ultimately, it questions whether AI will be an ally or adversary in achieving equitable economic development.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Economic Growth, Productivity, Innovation, Labor Market

JEL Codes: O31, O40, J01

[1]**Sorumlu Yazar:** Dr., İstanbul Kültür Üniversitesi, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9810-2571, arasyolusever4@gmail.com.
Atıf: Yolusever, A. (2025). The rise of artificial intelligence: Friend or foe of economic growth. *Akademik Yaklaşımlar Dergisi*, *16*(1), 362-390.

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is emerging as a pivotal disruptor in the 21st century. It is significantly transforming various sectors, redefining labor dynamics, and challenging established economic paradigms. Innovations such as autonomous vehicles, optimized logistics through automated supply chains, and advanced AI algorithms for healthcare diagnostics and financial modeling illustrate the profound impact of AI on operational efficiency and decision-making processes.

The technology holds substantial promise for enhancing productivity and fostering innovation, and there is considerable optimism surrounding its potential to catalyze a new phase of economic growth. However, this progress is accompanied by legitimate concerns regarding workforce displacement, exacerbation of economic inequality, and the ethical ramifications of AI applications, necessitating a nuanced discourse on its implications for sociotechnical systems.

AI represents a double-edged sword for economic growth. On one hand, it offers unmatched opportunities to enhance efficiency, create new industries, and tackle global challenges such as climate change and public health. On the other hand, its disruptive nature raises important questions about its impact on labor markets, wealth distribution, and regulatory frameworks. Will AI widen the gap between the rich and the poor? Can economies adapt quickly enough to meet the changing demands of an AI-driven future? How can policymakers ensure that AI acts as a force for inclusive and sustainable growth rather than exacerbating existing disparities?

This article analyzes the intricate dynamics between artificial intelligence and economic growth, focusing on the transformative impact of AI on productivity and innovation. It critically evaluates the challenges associated with AI adoption, including labor market disruptions, socioeconomic disparities, and ethical considerations. By integrating a nuanced perspective that balances aspirational potential with practical concerns, we seek to ascertain whether AI will act as a catalyst or hinder economic expansion. Strategies to leverage AI's capabilities to maximize its benefits for society are also explored.

2. Theoretical Perspectives on AI and Economic Growth

Understanding the multifaceted impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on economic structures requires more than empirical observations and policy analysis; it demands a grounding in foundational theoretical frameworks. This section explores relevant perspectives

from political economy, labour economics, and Science and Technology Studies (STS) to provide a deeper conceptual analysis of AI's role in shaping economic growth, labor markets, and institutional structures.

2.1. Political Economy: Innovation, Inequality, and Technological Dependency

From a political economy perspective, AI represents a new chapter in the capitalist logic of accumulation and transformation. Building on Joseph Schumpeter's concept of creative destruction, technological revolutions are endogenous to capitalist evolution, where innovation disrupts established modes of production and creates new industries (Schumpeter, 1942). AI embodies such a transformation, simultaneously displacing labor-intensive sectors and fostering knowledge-intensive domains.

However, critical political economy traditions offer a more skeptical view of these transformations. Drawing from neo-Marxist analyses, technologies like AI are not neutral forces but are embedded in capitalist relations of production that privilege capital owners over labor. For instance, David Harvey's theory of the spatial-temporal fix suggests that technological innovation, is a tool to resolve crises of overaccumulation by opening new profit frontiers (Harvey, 2001). In this light, AI may temporarily boost productivity and growth but at the cost of deepening class inequalities.

At the global scale, dependency theory highlights how AI reproduces core-periphery divisions. According to Samir Amin (1976) and Andre Gunder Frank (1967), the benefits of technological innovation accrue to advanced economies, while less developed nations are relegated to roles as raw data providers, consumers of foreign-made software, or passive users of surveillance systems (Amin, 1976; Frank, 1967). Without deliberate technology transfer and institutional support, the Global South risks becoming structurally locked out of the AI value chain. Moreover, regulation theory underscores that the outcomes of technological revolutions are not preordained but mediated by institutional arrangements—such as labor regulations, welfare states, and education systems (Boyer, 2010).

Recent analyses indicate that artificial intelligence (AI), regarded as a transformative general-purpose technology, has the potential to profoundly reshape production processes and economic frameworks. According to Trammell and Korinek (2023), the integration of AI into various industries could lead to increased efficiency, innovation, and productivity, while also challenging traditional economic models. This shift may result in significant changes in workforce dynamics, the nature of work itself, and the distribution of economic value across

different sectors, ultimately influencing both local and global economies (Trammell & Korinek, 2023).

However, critical perspectives highlight potential exacerbation of global inequalities. Dependency theorists argue that AI development predominantly benefits advanced economies, potentially marginalizing emerging markets. A 2023 IMF study indicates that while advanced economies exhibit higher AI exposure due to a concentration in professional occupations, emerging markets face challenges in integrating AI technologies effectively (Pizzinelli et al., 2023).

2.2. Labour Economics: Displacement, Complementarity, and Polarization

AI's disruptive effect on employment has been a major focus in labour economics, particularly through the task-based model and the theory of skill-biased technological change (SBTC). These frameworks move beyond simplistic predictions of job loss to explore how and which types of tasks are transformed.

In the task-based approach, developed by Autor, Levy, and Murnane (2003), technological change is understood in terms of its ability to substitute or complement human tasks (Autor et al., 2003). Routine cognitive and manual tasks—those that follow explicit rules—are most susceptible to automation. By contrast, non-routine tasks that require judgment, interpersonal interaction, or creative problem-solving are more resilient and may even be complemented by AI. For example, an AI system may process patient data, but the interpretation and relational care still demand human expertise.

Complementing this is the SBTC framework, which argues that technology increases the relative demand for high-skilled workers, widening wage gaps (Acemoglu & Autor, 2011). Empirical studies have confirmed that AI is accelerating labor market polarization: demand is growing for top-tier professionals in AI-related fields, while middle-skilled jobs—such as clerical or manufacturing roles—are declining. Meanwhile, low-paid service jobs persist but with little mobility. This "hollowing out" of the labor market risks intensifying both income and opportunity inequality (Goos et al., 2014; Bessen, 2019).

Furthermore, the skill-biased technological change (SBTC) framework posits that AI disproportionately benefits high-skilled workers, intensifying wage disparities. Marguerit (2025) finds that automation AI negatively impacts employment and wages in low-skilled occupations, while augmentation AI enhances outcomes for high-skilled workers (Marguerit, 2025).

Regional analyses also reveal nuanced effects. Huang (2024) reports that U.S. regions with higher AI adoption experienced declines in employment-to-population ratios, particularly affecting manufacturing and low-skill service sectors. Similarly, Qiao et al. (2024) observes that freelancers in translation and localization faced reduced work volume post-AI adoption, whereas web development professionals saw increased demand.

2.3. Science and Technology Studies (STS): Sociotechnical Systems and Ethical Considerations

While political economy and labor economics emphasize distributional and institutional dynamics, Science and Technology Studies (STS) offer a cultural and epistemological critique of how technologies are designed, legitimated, and deployed. STS challenges the assumption that technology is autonomous or exogenous, highlighting how it is socially constructed and contested.

Langdon Winner's seminal essay, Do Artifacts Have Politics?, argues that technological systems can embody specific forms of power and authority (Winner, 1980). For example, urban infrastructure (like bridges too low for buses carrying minority passengers) may seem neutral but reflect and enforce political agendas. Likewise, AI systems can encode and reinforce social hierarchies through biased training data, opaque algorithms, and asymmetrical access.

Building on this, Sheila Jasanoff's (2004) concept of co-production posits that science and social order evolve together. AI technologies are not only shaped by technical goals but also by social norms, regulatory institutions, and public values (Jasanoff, 2004). Bias in facial recognition, algorithmic discrimination in hiring, and opaque predictive policing systems illustrate how AI can reproduce structural injustices if not designed with inclusivity and accountability in mind (Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018).

Moreover, STS scholars emphasize reflexivity in technological development (Stilgoe et al., 2013). Rather than treating AI governance as a technical challenge, they advocate for participatory, interdisciplinary, and value-sensitive design processes. This includes the involvement of marginalized communities in algorithmic auditing, transparent impact assessments, and deliberative public forums. In doing so, STS offers a normative vision of technology that is democratic, pluralistic, and socially embedded.

3. The Potential of AI to Drive Economic Growth

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly acknowledged as a pivotal technology with significant ramifications for economic advancement. Its capabilities in task automation, large-

scale data processing, and fostering innovation position it as a crucial catalyst for productivity and development. The transformational potential of AI across various industries draws parallels to historical industrial revolutions, which fundamentally altered economic frameworks and interactions. This chapter delves into AI's potential to stimulate economic growth through enhancements in productivity, catalyzing innovation, and transitioning labor market dynamics, supported by empirical research and theoretical frameworks.

3.1. Productivity as the Foundation of Economic Growth

At the core of economic growth is productivity, which is defined as the efficiency with which inputs like labor and capital are transformed into outputs. AI technologies have proven their capacity to significantly boost productivity by automating repetitive and time-consuming tasks, minimizing errors, and optimizing decision-making processes. For instance, in the manufacturing sector, AI-driven automation technologies have streamlined production lines, allowing companies to produce goods at lower costs and with increased speed (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2016). Likewise, in logistics, AI algorithms optimize supply chains by forecasting demand, identifying inefficiencies, and enhancing inventory management (McKinsey Global Institute, 2024).

The potential productivity enhancements associated with AI integration are considerable. According to a PwC report from 2017, AI has the capacity to inject up to $15.7 trillion into the global economy by 2030, potentially translating to an average GDP increase of 26% across local economies (PwC, 2017). These productivity improvements are particularly pronounced in sectors historically characterized by stagnant growth, such as healthcare and public administration. For example, the implementation of AI in healthcare—spanning diagnostic algorithms and predictive modeling—not only optimizes operational workflows but also enhances patient outcomes. This dual advantage manifests as both cost efficiency and improved societal welfare, as highlighted in the OECD report. (OECD, n.d.).

3.2. AI as a Catalyst for Innovation

The potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to catalyze innovation is a fundamental aspect of its economic impact. Innovation serves as a key driver of sustained economic growth by facilitating the creation of novel products, services, and business paradigms. AI technologies are transforming established industries and pioneering emergent sectors, including robotics, autonomous systems, and AI-enhanced biotechnology (Cockburn, Henderson, & Stern, 2018).

This dynamic interplay between AI and innovation is reshaping the economic landscape, leading to new competitive advantages and operational efficiencies.

In the healthcare sector, artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized the drug discovery process by significantly reducing both the time and financial investment required to develop new medications. One prominent example is the work done by companies like DeepMind, which has employed advanced machine learning techniques to accurately predict protein structures. This capability not only accelerates the pace of scientific research but also enhances the understanding of various diseases at the molecular level, ultimately leading to more effective treatments (Jumper et al., 2021).

Meanwhile, in the financial sector, AI-driven tools have transformed operations in several critical areas. For instance, these technologies have greatly improved fraud detection mechanisms by analyzing vast datasets in real-time to identify suspicious activities and patterns that may indicate fraudulent behavior. Additionally, AI algorithms have optimized trading strategies by analyzing market trends and executing trades at speeds unattainable by human traders, thus maximizing profit opportunities. Moreover, customer service has seen a notable enhancement through the implementation of chatbots and virtual assistants powered by AI, which efficiently address customer inquiries and concerns. This not only improves the customer experience but also allows financial institutions to operate more effectively and allocate resources more judiciously (World Economic Forum, 2023).

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) with other cutting-edge technologies like blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT) has paved the way for significant synergistic opportunities that can drive economic development. For example, in the context of smart cities, the collaboration between AI and IoT has revolutionized urban planning and management. Additionally, the combined forces of AI and IoT play a crucial role in energy management within urban environments. Smart grids can use AI algorithms to forecast energy demand, automate usage adjustments, and integrate renewable energy sources more seamlessly into the existing infrastructure. This leads to a significant reduction in energy consumption and carbon emissions, addressing critical issues associated with climate change (OECD, n.d.).

Moreover, the implementation of these technological advancements informs better decision-making in public services. For instance, predictive analytics powered by AI can help municipalities anticipate maintenance needs for infrastructure, leading to proactive rather than reactive measures. This not only ensures the longevity of urban infrastructure but also maximizes resource efficiency. Overall, these innovations foster economic growth by

contributing positively to gross domestic product (GDP) while simultaneously tackling pressing global challenges such as rapid urbanization and environmental sustainability (OECD, n.d.).

3.3. Transforming the Labor Market

The impact of AI on the labor market presents a complex dynamic of both disruption and opportunity. While AI is frequently linked to automation and job displacement, it also holds the potential to create new roles and transform the nature of work. According to the World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2023, an estimated 69 million new jobs will be generated by 2027, driven by emerging technologies and the green transition. However, it is projected that 83 million jobs will be displaced, resulting in a net loss of 14 million jobs worldwide. The report emphasizes that professions such as data analysts, AI and machine learning specialists, and digital transformation experts are among the fastest-growing, underscoring the increasing demand for digital skills in the evolving job market (World Economic Forum, 2023.

The transition to an AI-driven economy necessitates a concentrated effort on workforce development and reskilling initiatives. Both governments and organizations play a crucial role in this transformation and must commit substantial resources to establish comprehensive education and training programs. These programs should aim to equip workers with the specific skills required to thrive in an increasingly automated and technology-focused job market. A particular emphasis on STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) education is essential, as these fields will be foundational to a future where technological proficiency is paramount. However, it is equally important to incorporate training in soft skills, such as creativity, critical thinking, communication, and emotional intelligence. These competencies will become increasingly valuable as machines take over more routine tasks, requiring human workers to bring unique, innovative, and emotionally perceptive contributions to their roles.

By fostering a balanced approach that prioritizes both technical and interpersonal skill development, we can better prepare the workforce to navigate the challenges of this evolving job landscape and ensure that individuals are not only employable but also capable of adapting to ongoing changes in the marketplace (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017).

The integration of AI into hybrid work models optimizes collaboration between humans and AI systems, ultimately enhancing productivity. In the healthcare sector, for example, sophisticated AI algorithms analyze intricate datasets, thereby enabling physicians to concentrate on clinical decision-making and patient engagement. This synergy underscores the

complementary nature of human expertise and AI functionality, advocating for the incorporation of AI into workforce dynamics rather than positioning it merely as a replacement for human labor (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

3.4. Summary

In sum, Artificial Intelligence (AI) stands as a pivotal innovation with the potential to significantly transform the global economic landscape. Its capabilities in task automation, large-scale data analysis, and facilitating innovation position AI as a crucial catalyst for economic advancement in the 21st century. Across diverse sectors, AI has effectively enhanced productivity through operational streamlining, inefficiency reduction, and improved decision-making frameworks. In manufacturing, AI-driven systems optimize production lines, while in supply chain management, predictive analytics enhance logistics and inventory control. The healthcare sector benefits from AI advancements in diagnostic accuracy and personalized treatment protocols, while financial services leverage machine learning for risk assessment and algorithmic trading.

Moreover, AI acts as a catalyst for innovation by facilitating the development of advanced products, services, and business models. It has driven progress in various sectors, including biotechnology, renewable energy, and urban planning, tackling urgent global challenges such as climate change and resource management. The combination of AI with other emerging technologies, like blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), has further enhanced its transformative capabilities. For instance, smart city initiatives powered by AI are optimizing energy consumption, minimizing waste, and improving urban mobility, showcasing AI's potential to promote sustainable development. These innovations not only contribute to economic growth but also enhance the quality of life for individuals and communities.

AI fundamentally disrupts traditional labor markets by not only driving innovation and enhancing productivity but also by redefining job roles and skill requirements. Concerns regarding job displacement due to automation are pertinent; however, the advancement of AI technologies concurrently opens avenues for new employment in fields such as AI engineering, data science, and related disciplines that demand higher levels of specialization. As the labor demand shifts towards skill sets emphasizing creativity, complex problem-solving, and advanced technical competences, we are observing a transformation in job structures that prioritize collaborative dynamics between humans and AI systems. This hybrid workforce model enhances operational efficiency and precision, allowing for more adaptable organizational frameworks.

Nevertheless, to unlock the full potential of AI in the economy, substantial investments in education and workforce development are essential. It is crucial to cultivate a labor pool equipped with the necessary skills to navigate and excel in these evolving, AI-driven environments.

While AI has the potential to transform various sectors, it also presents several challenges. Key issues include workforce displacement, economic inequality, and ethical concerns related to bias, privacy, and accountability. To ensure that the benefits of AI are shared equitably, it is essential to address these concerns proactively. Without such measures, the advantages of AI may be concentrated among a small group, worsening existing disparities and leaving vulnerable populations further behind. Policymakers, businesses, and academic institutions must collaborate to create frameworks that emphasize fairness, transparency, and inclusivity in the development and implementation of AI.

To effectively leverage AI's transformative potential for sustainable economic growth, it is crucial for societies to prioritize the development of resilient infrastructure, cultivate innovation-centric ecosystems, and implement inclusive policy frameworks. Education systems must be recalibrated to prioritize lifelong learning paradigms, equipping the workforce with the skills necessary to navigate the complexities of evolving job requirements. Strategic investments in digital infrastructure, particularly in high-speed broadband and AI research facilities, are essential to ensure equitable access for all regions, including rural and underdeveloped areas, to fully engage with and derive benefits from the AI-driven economy. Concurrently, fostering international collaboration will be vital in tackling cross-border issues, including data governance, intellectual property management, and the formulation of regulatory standards for AI technologies.

In conclusion, artificial intelligence (AI) has immense potential to boost productivity, foster innovation, and transform labor markets, making it a crucial driver of future economic growth. However, to fully realize this potential, societies must proactively address the associated challenges with foresight and determination. By investing in education, infrastructure, and inclusive policies, we can ensure that AI becomes a force for sustainable and equitable growth, benefiting not just businesses and governments, but also individuals and communities around the globe. The journey toward an AI-powered future presents both an opportunity and a responsibility, requiring a collective commitment to creating a world where technology serves the greater good.

4. Challenges and Risks of AI in Economic Growth

Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents significant opportunities for enhancing productivity, fostering innovation, and transforming labor markets. However, its deployment also introduces a multitude of challenges and risks that must be critically assessed. These challenges encompass ethical and social implications, potential economic disruptions, and the need for robust regulatory frameworks. If these issues are not effectively addressed, there is a risk of deepening inequalities, eroding public trust, and compromising the prospective benefits associated with AI-driven advancements. This chapter delves into the principal challenges linked to AI implementation, such as labor market disruptions, escalating economic inequality, ethical dilemmas, and governance frameworks, bolstered by pertinent literature and empirical evidence and a cross-national comparison.

4.1. Labor Market Disruption

A significant concern regarding artificial intelligence is its capacity to disrupt labor markets through the automation of a wide array of occupational roles. Advanced AI systems are increasingly adept at undertaking tasks traditionally reserved for human employees, encompassing fields such as data analytics, customer service, and even sophisticated decision-making processes. For example, research by Frey and Osborne (2017) suggests that nearly 47% of jobs in the United States are vulnerable to automation in the foreseeable future, with particular susceptibility observed in sectors such as manufacturing, retail, and transportation. This trend raises important questions about the future of work and the potential need for strategies to address workforce displacement.

The displacement of workers as a result of advancing technologies, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), presents substantial economic and social challenges. One major concern is the potential increase in unemployment rates, which can lead to significant income polarization within society. Although the rise of AI is expected to generate new types of jobs—such as AI specialists, data scientists, and machine learning engineers—these positions typically require specialized and advanced technical skills. Unfortunately, many current workers may not possess these qualifications, highlighting a pressing need for comprehensive reskilling and upskilling initiatives tailored to equip the workforce for the demands of an AI-driven economy (World Economic Forum, 2023).

Moreover, the effects of AI on employment are not uniform across different regions and industries. The disparity in job creation and loss may exacerbate existing economic inequalities,

as certain areas will experience a greater impact than others. Rural regions and less-developed areas, which traditionally depend on low-skilled labor, find themselves particularly at risk of significant disruptions. These communities may lack the resources and infrastructure necessary to transition into new, technology-oriented job markets, thereby increasing the susceptibility of their populations to job displacement. It is crucial to address these challenges proactively to ensure that the benefits of AI advancement are equitably distributed, so as not to further deepen the divisions within our economy (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2017).

4.2. Economic Inequality

The proliferation of AI technologies may exacerbate economic inequality both domestically and globally. At the national level, entities that have access to advanced AI systems—be they corporations or individuals—are poised to reap disproportionate rewards. This phenomenon aligns with the "winner-takes-all" dynamic articulated by Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017), wherein organizations that adeptly leverage AI capabilities secure a competitive edge, enabling them to assert dominance over their respective markets. Consequently, this trend poses a significant threat to the viability of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and fosters conditions conducive to monopolistic practices.

The disparity in artificial intelligence (AI) adoption between developed and developing countries presents a substantial challenge on the international stage. High-income countries, characterized by their advanced technological infrastructure and extensive research ecosystems, are strategically positioned to harness and benefit from the transformative potential of AI. These nations typically have better access to cutting-edge tools, skilled labor, and substantial funding, which facilitates innovation and implementation of AI technologies.

In contrast, low-income countries encounter significant barriers that hinder their ability to adopt AI solutions effectively. One major obstacle is the limited access to digital infrastructure, which includes reliable internet connectivity, computing resources, and modern technologies necessary for implementing AI initiatives. Additionally, these countries often grapple with inadequate education systems that do not equip their workforce with the necessary skills and knowledge to engage with AI and related technologies. Furthermore, insufficient funding for AI research and development constrains local innovation efforts and limits opportunities for collaboration with international research communities (OECD, n.d.)

The consequences of this digital divide are profound, as it may deepen existing global economic inequalities. Developing nations, unable to adopt AI at the same pace as their

developed counterparts, risk falling further behind in a world that is increasingly driven by artificial intelligence. This imbalance not only stifles their ability to compete economically but also restricts their potential to participate fully in a global economy that is progressively shaped by technological advancements. Unless addressed, these disparities could become entrenched, perpetuating a cycle of disadvantage for low-income countries in an AI-empowered future (OECD, n.d.).

4.3. Ethical and Social Concerns: Discrimination, Surveillance, and Global Inequality

Ethical debates surrounding Artificial Intelligence (AI) cannot be reduced to abstract calls for fairness and transparency. As AI systems increasingly mediate access to work, credit, healthcare, and political representation, concerns about algorithmic discrimination, techno-authoritarianism, and global digital divides have become central to scholarly and policy discourse.

Algorithmic bias occurs not merely from flawed data, but from social hierarchies embedded in data collection, labeling, and modeling processes. Scholars such as Noble (2018) and Eubanks (2017) have demonstrated how algorithmic systems used in search engines and welfare services reinforce structural racism and class exclusion. For example, automated hiring systems have systematically downgraded applicants based on gendered or racialized patterns in historical datasets (Raji & Buolamwini, 2019). These systems are not just technically flawed—they reproduce historical inequalities under the guise of objectivity.

Moreover, predictive policing and recidivism algorithms, such as COMPAS, have faced criticism for disproportionately flagging Black individuals as high-risk, even when controlling for offense type and criminal history (Angwin et al., 2016). These developments call for not only technical solutions (e.g., fairness metrics) but structural audits and participatory governance in AI development.

AI-driven surveillance has become a defining feature of techno-authoritarian regimes, particularly where facial recognition and predictive analytics are integrated into public security frameworks. China's *Social Credit System* is emblematic of how AI can be used to consolidate state power, automate repression, and restrict civil liberties (Zuboff, 2019). However, similar dynamics are emerging in liberal democracies through the deployment of AI in migration control, public housing, and protest surveillance—raising questions about the creep of authoritarian logic under digital capitalism.

This convergence between corporate surveillance (via data monopolies) and state surveillance (via biometric AI systems) underscores the concept of "surveillance capitalism" (Zuboff, 2019), in which human experience becomes raw material for behavioral prediction and control. The erosion of anonymity, consent, and due process in these systems signals a pressing need for constitutional-level protections and democratic oversight.

AI also exacerbates global digital inequalities, as innovation clusters in a few data-rich countries and platforms extract value from users across the Global South without reciprocity. Scholars have termed this phenomenon "data colonialism"—the appropriation of personal and communal data from low-regulation environments to fuel AI systems based in the Global North (Couldry & Mejias, 2019).

Most developing countries remain at the periphery of the AI value chain, contributing data but lacking infrastructure, legal capacity, or market power to govern its use. This imbalance mirrors older patterns of resource extraction and dependency, suggesting that AI governance must also address issues of digital sovereignty, data localization, and equitable access to algorithmic infrastructure.

4.4. Governance and Regulatory Challenges

The swift advancement of artificial intelligence technologies has surpassed the capacity of governmental and regulatory entities to implement thorough oversight frameworks. This regulatory void poses significant risks, including the potential for misuse, market manipulation, and inadequate ethical governance. Notably, instances of AI-fueled misinformation campaigns and sophisticated deepfake technologies have underscored their capability to compromise political integrity and threaten democratic processes (Hao, 2019).

The governance of artificial intelligence (AI) necessitates international collaboration, given the inherently transnational characteristics of the technology. Key challenges include managing cross-border data flows, establishing intellectual property rights, and navigating competition policy, all of which require harmonized actions between governments, international organizations, and private sector players. However, reaching a consensus on global AI governance frameworks is complicated by the diverse priorities and cultural values of different nations (UNESCO, 2021)

Regulatory frameworks must achieve a delicate equilibrium between promoting innovation and managing associated risks. Excessive regulation risks hindering advancements in AI research and development, whereas insufficient regulation may result in instances of

unchecked exploitation and potential harm. It is imperative for policymakers to collaborate with researchers, industry stakeholders, and civil society to develop regulatory structures that are both flexible and adaptive. These structures should effectively address emerging challenges while simultaneously facilitating technological advancement.

4.5. Cross- National Comparison: AI Adoption and Labor Disruption in the US and South Korea

While global discussions on Artificial Intelligence (AI) often focus on generalized effects, the real-world implications of AI adoption vary significantly across national contexts. This part provides a cross-national comparison between the United States and South Korea, two advanced economies with distinct labor market structures, innovation ecosystems, and policy responses.

The United States represents a liberal market economy characterized by decentralized governance, flexible labor markets, and innovation driven primarily by private actors. In this environment, AI development is dominated by a handful of powerful tech conglomerates—such as Google, OpenAI, and Microsoft—which leverage substantial capital, data resources, and global influence to pioneer machine learning and natural language processing tools (OECD, 2023; Stanford AI Index, 2025). The U.S. has maintained global leadership in AI R&D investment, scholarly publication volume, and venture capital intensity. However, it has lacked a coherent national AI policy until recent initiatives like the AI Bill of Rights (OSTP, 2022), which remain advisory rather than enforceable.

In contrast, South Korea exemplifies a coordinated market economy where the state plays a proactive role in shaping technological trajectories. The Korean government launched a comprehensive National AI Strategy in 2019 and updated it in 2023 to include human-centric and ethical AI objectives (KISDI, 2023). The strategy involves public investments in AI research institutes, incentives for AI adoption among SMEs, and integration of AI education into the national curriculum. Unlike the US, where market incentives dictate technological rollouts, Korea's state-led planning provides a more inclusive and structured path for AI dissemination, particularly in public health, education, and logistics sectors.

These contrasting pathways reflect underlying Varieties of Capitalism theory (Hall & Soskice, 2001), which argues that institutional complementarities in liberal and coordinated economies produce distinct patterns of innovation and adaptation. The US prioritizes disruptive

innovation and first-mover advantage, while Korea emphasizes systemic diffusion, coordination, and incremental upgrading.

On the other way, labor disruption from AI is occurring in both countries, but in structurally distinct ways. In the United States, the effects of AI are disproportionately felt in high-wage, information-intensive sectors. According to Eloundou et al. (2023), roughly 19% of the U.S. workforce is in occupations where over 50% of tasks could be affected by large language models (LLMs). Occupations such as legal services, software development, and financial analysis are particularly exposed. This disruption is consistent with findings from the task-based model in labor economics (Autor et al., 2003), wherein AI automates routine cognitive tasks but complements non-routine analytical and interactive tasks. However, the displacement is uneven, as access to upskilling opportunities is correlated with income and geographic location—deepening digital divides and contributing to labor market polarization (Bessen, 2019; Huang, 2024).

Meanwhile, South Korea's AI-induced labor disruption is concentrated in medium-skill occupations, particularly in manufacturing, transportation, and public administration—sectors historically central to its export-driven growth model. The Korea Labor Institute (2024) found that more than 30% of logistics and production jobs in metropolitan areas are being partially automated via AI-enabled robotics and predictive analytics systems. However, Korea's corporatist industrial relations model—where the government, firms, and unions regularly coordinate—has facilitated smoother transitions through state-supported retraining programs and digital inclusion initiatives. For instance, Korea's K-Digital Platform offers AI bootcamps and microcredentials for displaced workers, significantly reducing the risk of long-term unemployment (NIA, 2020).

The ethical governance of AI also diverges significantly between the two countries. In the United States, regulatory approaches are fragmented across jurisdictions and industries, often lagging behind private innovation. The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) have issued guidelines on algorithmic accountability, but enforcement remains limited. The White House's 2022 Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights articulated values such as fairness, privacy, and transparency, yet its voluntary nature reflects the U.S. tradition of limited government intervention in technology policy (OSTP, 2022).

By contrast, South Korea has formalized its AI ethics agenda through binding national frameworks. The AI Ethics Framework developed by the National Information Society Agency

(NIA) in 2023 mandates transparency, non-discrimination, and data minimization principles for all public AI deployments. Additionally, Korea is finalizing its AI Basic Act, a legislative framework that will establish independent algorithmic audit mechanisms and national AI ethics committees. These developments reflect Korea's broader tradition of anticipatory governance and technology foresight planning.

This comparative analysis reveals that AI's impacts are neither monolithic nor deterministic. Instead, they are structured by institutional design, labor market policies, and political priorities. The United States, with its entrepreneurial dynamism, excels in rapid innovation but faces challenges in equitable adaptation and regulation. South Korea, with its coordinated planning and labor-market interventions, demonstrates that state capacity and policy alignment can mitigate the adverse effects of automation while enabling inclusive innovation.

4.6. Summary

The complexities and risks inherent in Artificial Intelligence (AI) involve an interplay of economic, ethical, and governance dimensions that are increasingly intricate. As AI technologies continue to reshape various sectors, they present not only remarkable opportunities but also significant uncertainties that require meticulous scrutiny. Key challenges include labor market disruptions stemming from automation, exacerbated economic inequality, ethical considerations linked to algorithmic bias and data privacy, as well as regulatory voids stemming from the accelerated pace of AI innovation. Collectively, these factors highlight the pressing necessity for preemptive and strategic frameworks to ensure the responsible and equitable deployment of AI systems, optimizing their advantages while mitigating potential adverse impacts.

Labor market disruptions represent a critical economic challenge associated with the proliferation of artificial intelligence. As automation increasingly supplants human labor across a spectrum of roles—from routine manufacturing processes to sophisticated decision-making functions—workers in impacted sectors face significant displacement risks. Such disruptions are likely to intensify income polarization and unemployment rates, especially among low- and middle-skilled laborers who may lack the requisite retraining to transition into emerging job markets.

Conversely, the rise of AI has fostered the emergence of highly specialized professions, such as data scientists and AI engineers, which are experiencing substantial demand. This

shifting employment landscape underscores the urgent need for targeted reskilling and upskilling initiatives that equip the workforce with the competencies necessary for thriving in an AI-centric economy. By proactively investing in educational frameworks and workforce development programs, societies can better navigate the challenges of economic exclusion and facilitate more seamless transitions for individuals affected by rapid technological advancements.

Economic inequality poses a significant challenge with AI deployment. The benefits often concentrate in large corporations and advanced sectors, leaving smaller businesses and less tech-savvy regions at a disadvantage. This gap is further widened globally between developed and developing nations in AI infrastructure and digital literacy. Without targeted interventions, AI could entrench these disparities, hindering inclusive growth. Policymakers need to ensure equitable access to AI technologies and promote inclusive innovation.

AI also raises ethical concerns, particularly around bias, transparency, and accountability. Many AI systems use historical data that can perpetuate societal biases, leading to unfair outcomes in hiring, lending, and criminal justice. The opacity of these "black box" algorithms complicates understanding decision-making and accountability for errors. To address these issues, it’s crucial to incorporate fairness and transparency in AI design, fostering collaboration among technologists, ethicists, and policymakers to uphold human rights and promote social good.

Governance and regulatory hurdles present significant challenges in the evolving AI landscape. The rapid acceleration of AI development has consistently outpaced the capacity of many nations to formulate cohesive regulatory frameworks, leading to a disjointed landscape of AI governance. This regulatory gap raises concerns regarding the potential for misuse, including the application of AI technologies for nefarious purposes and the risk of monopolistic behavior within AI-centric markets. Furthermore, the inherently global nature of AI necessitates collaborative international efforts to tackle cross-border issues, such as data governance, intellectual property rights, and the ethical ramifications of AI applications. Striking a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring adequate oversight demands a sophisticated and adaptive regulatory paradigm capable of evolving with technological progress.

5. AI: Friend or Foe?

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence (AI) within contemporary economies and societies has initiated a contentious discourse regarding its dualistic role as both an ally and a potential adversary to humanity. On one side, AI offers exceptional prospects for transformative

advancements across various sectors, enhancing human capabilities and addressing intricate global challenges effectively. Conversely, it also introduces significant risks, encompassing ethical quandaries, exacerbation of economic disparities, social upheavals, and environmental repercussions. A nuanced understanding of this duality is essential for steering AI towards being a conduit for collective advancement rather than a catalyst for detrimental outcomes. This chapter delves into both dimensions, bolstered by insights from recent empirical research, to critically assess AI's ramifications for societal structures and economic frameworks.

5.1. AI as a Friend: Advancing Innovation and Progress

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as a pivotal force in driving innovation, economic expansion, and enhancements in quality of life across various sectors. Its unparalleled data processing capabilities facilitate significant advancements in fields such as healthcare, education, environmental science, and research. In the realm of healthcare, AI-driven methodologies have fundamentally transformed disease diagnosis, pharmaceutical development, and tailored therapeutic strategies. For example, AI algorithms have demonstrated superior accuracy and efficiency in analyzing medical imaging for cancer detection compared to seasoned professionals (Esteva et al., 2017). Furthermore, the development of AI platforms like AlphaFold has addressed critical challenges in protein structure prediction, thereby opening new avenues for understanding pathologies and devising targeted therapeutic interventions (Jumper et al., 2021).

In the realm of economic productivity, artificial intelligence (AI) serves as a pivotal enabler, significantly enhancing efficiency and driving down costs for businesses. By leveraging advanced algorithms and machine learning capabilities, companies are able to streamline their operations in multiple ways. For example, AI is instrumental in optimizing supply chains, where it analyzes vast amounts of data to anticipate demand fluctuations, manage inventory levels effectively, and minimize delays in delivery. This results in not only reduced operational costs but also an improved ability to respond to evolving market conditions.

Furthermore, AI automates a variety of repetitive tasks across different sectors, from administrative duties to complex manufacturing processes. This automation not only liberates employees to focus on more strategic initiatives but also improves accuracy and productivity in execution. In e-commerce, AI technologies are utilized to personalize the shopping experience; algorithms analyze consumer behavior to recommend products tailored to individual preferences, thereby enhancing customer engagement and loyalty. This personalized approach

can lead to increased sales, as customers are more likely to purchase items that resonate with their interests.

A recent report by McKinsey & Company (2023) highlights the profound economic impact of generative AI, estimating that it could contribute an astonishing $2.6 trillion to $4.4 trillion annually across a diverse range of applications. This potential underscores AI's critical role in driving economic progress and innovation.

In addition to boosting productivity in traditional business sectors, AI technologies are also at the forefront of advancing sustainable solutions. In the realm of renewable energy, for instance, AI optimizes energy consumption patterns and enhances efficiency in production methods. In smart agriculture, AI tools help in precision farming by analyzing soil health and weather patterns to maximize yield while minimizing resource waste. Urban planning has also benefited, with AI-driven models that analyze data to create more efficient transportation systems and reduce urban congestion. Ultimately, these advancements help tackle pressing global challenges such as climate change and food security, demonstrating that AI is not just a tool for economic gain but also a crucial ally in addressing some of the most urgent issues facing humanity today.

Artificial intelligence (AI) also enhances inclusivity and accessibility in innovative and transformative ways. In the educational sphere, AI-driven tools enable personalized learning pathways, allowing students from various backgrounds to tailor their learning experiences to their individual paces and styles. Additionally, AI-powered assistive technologies have significantly improved outcomes for individuals with disabilities; for instance, advanced screen reading software enhances accessibility for visually impaired users, while sophisticated speech recognition systems aid those with hearing impairments (Amershi et al., 2019). These advancements underscore the potential of AI, when implemented with intentionality and foresight, to foster equity and enhance social welfare.

5.2. AI as a Foe: Risks and Challenges

While artificial intelligence offers significant advantages, it also poses risks that warrant careful consideration. A major concern is its impact on the labor market, with automation threatening to displace numerous jobs, especially in repetitive and manual labor sectors. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2025 predicts a net gain of 78 million jobs by 2030, with around 170 million new jobs created but 92 million existing jobs displaced (World Economic Forum, 2025). This highlights the complex dynamics of job creation and loss.

Additionally, the potential displacement of 85 million jobs could lead to economic instability, particularly affecting marginalized communities and exacerbating existing socio-economic disparities (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2017).

The ethical concerns around artificial intelligence (AI) highlight its potential dangers. A significant issue is bias in AI algorithms, which can lead to discrimination in fields like hiring, lending, and criminal justice. For example, a study by Buolamwini and Gebru in 2018 found that facial recognition technologies often have higher error rates for women, particularly those of color and individuals with darker skin tones. This raises important questions about the fairness and accountability of AI systems, especially in high-stakes decision-making contexts (Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018).

The use of AI in surveillance and misinformation threatens public trust in democracy. AI-driven platforms enable the spread of disinformation, which can manipulate electoral processes. Research by Hao highlights how targeted AI campaigns distort public perception and hinder democratic engagement. This underscores the urgent need for strong frameworks to ensure AI systems are developed with fairness, accountability, and transparency (Hao, 2019).

The environmental implications of artificial intelligence warrant critical examination. The substantial energy consumption associated with AI training processes leads to considerable carbon emissions, which stands in stark contrast to global sustainability objectives. For example, the carbon footprint of training a single large AI model can equate to that of five automobiles over their entire lifespans (Strubell et al., 2019). This environmental toll highlights the urgent need for the adoption of sustainable AI practices aimed at mitigating the technology's ecological impact.

The deployment of AI faces significant governance challenges that hinder effective integration. The absence of cohesive regulatory frameworks has led to a fragmented approach to AI governance, increasing risks of misuse and monopolistic behavior in the industry. Given the global nature of AI development, international collaboration is crucial for addressing issues like data sharing and intellectual property rights. Without strong governance, AI risks exacerbating existing power imbalances. In response, UNESCO launched an open consultation in 2024 to gather insights for developing effective AI legislation (UNESCO, 2024).

5.3. Balancing AI's Dual Nature

The dual nature of AI as both an ally and a potential adversary underscores the necessity for meticulous oversight in its development and implementation. To ensure that AI functions

as a beneficial agent, it is imperative to adopt proactive strategies that mitigate associated risks while enhancing its advantages. A collaborative approach involving policymakers, technologists, and representatives from civil society is essential to create robust ethical frameworks, foster transparency in AI systems, and allocate resources toward education and reskilling initiatives. This preparation is vital for equipping the workforce to thrive in an AI-enhanced economy.

In addition, fostering international collaboration will be essential for the development of harmonized regulatory frameworks that effectively tackle transnational challenges associated with artificial intelligence. According to a recent UNESCO report (2024), it is crucial to cultivate a global ethos concerning AI ethics. This shared understanding is not only important for addressing and mitigating potential risks tied to AI technologies but also plays a significant role in encouraging innovation. By establishing these ethical standards, we can create an environment that promotes equitable development and ensures that the benefits of AI are accessible to all, thereby fostering a more inclusive and sustainable future.

In conclusion, the characterization of AI as either an ally or adversary depends on the frameworks societies establish for its integration and oversight. AI has the capacity to facilitate substantial advancements and address critical global issues; however, it simultaneously presents considerable risks that necessitate response through collaborative efforts, informed regulation, and continued innovation. By navigating the intricacies of these dual perspectives, societies can leverage AI's transformative capabilities to foster a more equitable, sustainable, and prosperous future.

5.4. Mapping AI’s Opportunities and Risk Across Policy Domains

The debate over whether Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a "friend or foe" to economic and societal development, while rhetorically compelling, tends to oversimplify a highly nuanced reality. AI technologies are neither inherently beneficial nor harmful; rather, their outcomes are shaped by the policy environments, institutional readiness, and socio-technical configurations in which they are deployed. To bring analytical precision to this duality, this section offers a comparative mapping of AI’s opportunities and risks across five key policy domains: labor, education, healthcare, governance and ethics, and the environment. A comprehensive analysis can be seen at Table 1.

Table 1.

Opportunities and Risks of AI Across Key Policy Domains

Policy Domain	Opportunities	Risks
Labor & Employment	Automation of dangerous and repetitive tasks; creation of new tech-based roles (e.g., AI trainers, data ethicists); hybrid human-AI systems enhancing productivity.	Displacement of middle-skill jobs; skill-biased technological change; increasing regional and class inequality
Education	Personalized and adaptive learning tools; AI-supported assessment; increased scalability of tutoring services	Risk of algorithmic bias; data privacy concerns in ed-tech platforms; widening digital divide
Healthcare	Improved diagnostic accuracy (e.g., radiology, dermatology); accelerated drug discovery; pandemic forecasting.	Lack of transparency in clinical decision-making; liability concerns; bias in medical datasets
Governance & Ethics	Algorithmic impact assessments; inclusion of AI in digital rights charters; use of AI for public-sector optimization	Surveillance capitalism; opaque algorithmic decision systems; limited regulatory capacity
Environment	Smart grids and optimized energy use; AI-enhanced climate modeling; resource-efficient agriculture	High energy consumption of large models; risk of greenwashing; uneven global access to sustainable AI tools

This comparative mapping reveals that AI's transformative capacity spans multiple domains, yet its benefits and dangers are unequally distributed. In labor markets, the same technologies that augment high-skill occupations may erode employment in routine-intensive roles, exacerbating income inequality unless matched with inclusive reskilling strategies (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2018). In education, AI can democratize access to learning but may also reinforce exclusion if ed-tech infrastructures are unevenly deployed or algorithmically biased.

Healthcare demonstrates one of the clearest examples of AI's dual-use character: while AI improves diagnostics and streamlines medical workflows, it also raises critical concerns about accountability, especially in clinical decisions made by opaque models. Governance and

ethics emerge as cross-cutting concerns, with increasing attention to algorithmic audits, impact assessments, and anticipatory regulation (Stilgoe et al., 2013).

Environmental implications have only recently gained attention. While AI contributes to climate research and emissions tracking, training large-scale AI models (e.g., GPT-3) consumes staggering amounts of energy, raising concerns about sustainable AI development (Strubell et al., 2019).

This reframing encourages policymakers to move from binary judgments to multidimensional analysis, identifying leverage points for mitigation, investment, and governance. Ultimately, whether AI supports inclusive development or exacerbates global disparities will depend less on its technical design and more on the institutional structures and democratic choices that shape its deployment.

6. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a key technological advancement of the 21st century with the potential to drive economic growth, improve productivity, and address global challenges. Its applications span various sectors, including healthcare, education, and agriculture, transforming efficiencies and service delivery. AI also fosters innovation and new research avenues. However, its equitable impact on prosperity depends on the strategic decisions made by governments, businesses, and society. Addressing ethical concerns and promoting inclusivity will be crucial for sustainable growth.

Realizing the inclusive potential of AI requires acknowledging the institutional fragmentation that limits coherent governance. National governments often lack technical capacity, regulatory agility, or legal mandates to effectively oversee fast-moving AI technologies. Moreover, global asymmetries in data, capital, and compute power—concentrated in a few tech giants and high-income countries—skew the benefits of AI and diminish the bargaining power of the Global South (Couldry & Mejias, 2019; Zuboff, 2019).

Thus, international AI governance must account for uneven institutional development and offer capacity-building tools (e.g., policy toolkits, AI sandboxes, shared infrastructures). As Rodrik (2011) argues, governance reforms must align with institutional capabilities rather than impose one-size-fits-all norms (Rodrik, 2011).

In short, here is the important question: Who Should Do What? . To ensure accountability and coordinated action, we must delineate actor-specific responsibilities:

- National governments should:
    - Establish independent AI regulatory bodies.
    - Integrate algorithmic audits into procurement standards.
    - Mandate impact assessments for high-risk AI system.
- Multilateral institutions (e.g. UNESCO, OECD) must:
    - Facilitate cross-border data governance agreements.
    - Support governments through technical assistance and regulatory harmonization.
    - Champion ethical benchmarks and global standards with enforcement mechanism.
- Tech corporations must:
    - Adhere to ‘’ethics by design’’ principles.
    - Fund independent algorithmic audits and publish transparency reports.
    - Cease exploitative data practices in low-regulations environments.
- Civil society and academia should:
    - Participate in public oversight mechanisms.
    - Co-develop inclusive design standards.
    - Educate the public about algorithmic risks and rights.

Finally, any call for “inclusive AI” must be grounded in political feasibility. Policy reforms must navigate real-world constraints: lobbying power of tech giants, geopolitical rivalry over AI supremacy, and uneven public awareness. Following Thelen’s (2014) institutionalist analysis, change occurs not through wholesale overhauls but through layered reforms, policy drift, and institutional conversion.

In sum, the future of AI will not be decided by algorithms alone, but by institutional configurations, actor coalitions, and political negotiation. Realizing the promise of AI will require not only innovation—but also deliberation, redistribution, and regulation.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflicts of Interest: There is no potential conflict of interest in this study.

REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. In D. Card & O. Ashenfelter (Eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics* (Vol. 4, pp. 1043–1171). Elsevier.

Amershi, S., Inkpen, K., Teevan, J., et al. (2019). *Guidelines for Human-AI Interaction. Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM Press.

Amin, S. (1976). *Unequal Development: An Essay on the Social Formations of Peripheral Capitalism*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016). *Machine Bias.* https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing

Autor, D., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The Skill Content of Recent Technological Change: An Empirical Exploration. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118(4),* 1279–1333.

Bessen, J. E. (2019). AI and Jobs: The Role of Demand. In A. Agrawal & J. Gans & A. Goldfarb (Eds.), *The Economics of Artificial Intelligence: An Agenda* (pp. 291-307). University of Chicago Press.

Boyer, R. (2010). Is a Finance-Led Growth Regime a Viable Alternative to Fordism? A Preliminary Analysis. *Economy and Society, 29(1),* 111–145.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2016). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, *81,* 77-91.

Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). *The Costs of Connection: How Data is Colonizing Human Life and Appropriating It for Capitalism.* Stanford University Press.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, D. L., Ismagilova, E., et al. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice, and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*.

Eloundou, T., Manning, S., Mishkin, P., & Rock, D. (2023). *GPTs are GPTs: An Early Look at the Labor Market Impact Potential of Large Language Models*. arXiv:2303.10130.

Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., Ko, J., Swetter, S. M., Blau, H. M., & Thrun, S. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*, *542*(7639), 115–118.

Frank, A. G. (1967). *Capitalism and Underdevelopment in Latin America*. Monthly Review Press.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254-280.

Goos, M., Manning, A., & Salomons, A. (2014). Explaining Job Polarization: Routine-Biased Technological Change and Offshoring. *American Economic Review, 104(8),* 2509–2526.

Hall, P. A., & Soskice, D. (2001*). Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford University Press.

Harvey, D. (2001). *Spaces of Capital: Towards a Critical Geograpy*. London: Routledge.

Huang, Y. (2024). *The Labor Market Impact of Artificial Intelligence: Evidence from US Regions*. IMF Working Paper No. 2024/199.

Jasanoff, S. (2004). *States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and the Social Order*. Routledge.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., et al. (2021). Highly Accurate Protein Structure Prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, *596(7873),* 583–589.

Korea Information Society Development Institute (KISDI). (2023). *South Korea's National AI Strategy Update.* https://www.kisdi.re.kr

Marguerit, D. (2025). *Augmenting or Automating Labor? The Effect of AI Development on New Work, Employment, and Wages.* arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.19159.

National Information Society Agency (NIA). (2020). *The National Guidelines for AI Ethics.* https://ai.kisdi.re.kr/eng/main/contents.do?menuNo=500011

OECD. (2023). *Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-science-technology-and-innovation-outlook-2023_0b55736e-en.html

OECD. (n.d.). *Artificial Intelligence.* https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/artificial-intelligence.html

Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) (2022). *Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights*. https://www.whitehouse.gov/ostp/ai-bill-of-rights

Pizzinelli, C., Panton, A. J., Mendes Tavares, M., Cazzaniga, M., & Li, L. (2023). *Labor Market Exposure to AI: Cross-country Differences and Distributional Implications.* IMF Working Paper No. 2023/216.

PwC. (2017). *Sizing the prize.* https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/issues/artificial-intelligence/publications/artificial-intelligence-study.html

Qiao, D., Rui, H., & Xiong, Q. (2024). *AI and Jobs: Has the Inflection Point Arrived? Evidence from an Online Labor Platform.* arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04180.

Raji, I. D., & Buolamwini, J. (2019). *Actionable Auditing: Investigating the Impact of Publicly Naming Biased Performance Results of Commercial AI Products*. Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society.

Schumpeter, J. A. (1942). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy.* New York: Harper & Brothers.

Stanford HAI. (2024). *AI Index Report 2024.* https://hai.stanford.edu/ai-index/2025-ai-index-report

Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a Framework for Responsible Innovation. *Research Policy, 42(9),* 1568–1580.

Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2019). Energy and Policy Considerations for Deep Learning in NLP. *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 3645–3650.

Trammell, P., & Korinek, A. (2023). *Economic Growth under Transformative AI*. NBER Working Paper No. 31815.

Winner, L. (1980). Do Artifacts Have Politics? *Daedalus, 109(1),* 121–136.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. PublicAffairs.

Rodrik, D. (2011). *The Globalization Paradox: Democracy and the Future of the World Economy*. W. W. Norton.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2017, March). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets. *Nber Working Paper No 23285.*

Cockburn, I. M., Henderson, R., & Stern, S. (2018, March 18). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Innovation. *National Bureau of Economic Research*.

Hao, K. (2019, October 10). *The biggest threat of deepfakes isn't the deepfakes themselves*. https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/10/10/132667/the-biggest-threat-of-deepfakes-isnt-the-deepfakes-themselves/

World Economic Forum. (2023, April 30). *The Future of Jobs Report*. https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023/

McKinsey Global Institute. (2023, June 14). *The economic potential of generative AI : The next productivity frontier.* https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/the-economic-potential-of-generative-ai-the-next-productivity-frontier?utm_source=chatgpt.com

McKinsey Global Institute. (2024, May 21). *A New Future of Work : The race to deploy AI and raise skills in Europe and beyond.* https://www.mckinsey.com/mgi/our-research/a-new-future-of-work-the-race-to-deploy-ai-and-raise-skills-in-europe-and-beyond

UNESCO. (2024, August 19). *UNESCO launches open consultation to inform AI governance.* https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-launches-open-consultation-inform-ai-governance?utm_source=chatgpt.com

UNESCO. (2024, September 24). *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/recommendation-ethics-artificial-intelligence

World Economic Forum. (2025, January 07). *Future of Jobs Report 2025: 78 Million New Job Opportunities by 2030 but Urgent Upskilling Needed to Prepare Workforces*. https://www.weforum.org/press/2025/01/future-of-jobs-report-2025-78-million-new-job-opportunities-by-2030-but-urgent-upskilling-needed-to-prepare-workforces/?utm_source=chatgpt.com