

# $h$ -Fourier Integral Operators with Complex Phase

Chafika Amel Aitemrar and Abderrahmane Senoussaoui\*

(Communicated by Nihal YILMAZ ÖZGÜR)

## Abstract

We study in this work a class of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase. These operators are continuous on  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and on  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Keywords:**  $h$ -admissible Fourier integral operators; complex phase; boundedness; compactness; amplitude; composition.

**AMS Subject Classification (2010):** Primary: 35S30; Secondary: 35S05; 47G30.

\*Corresponding author

## 1. Introduction

A Fourier integral operator is an operator that can be written in the form

$$(I(a, \phi) f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\phi(x, \theta, y)} a(x, \theta, y) f(y) dy d\theta, \quad (1.1)$$

$f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (the Schwartz space). The function  $a(x, \theta, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is called the amplitude, the function  $\phi(x, y, \theta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$  is called the phase function. The study of these operators, which are intimately connected to the theory of linear partial differential operators, has a long history and there is a large body of results made by a several authors (see, e.g., [2, 5–12]). The first works on Fourier integral operators deal with local properties. We note that, K. Asada and D. Fujiwara [2] have studied for the first time a class of Fourier integral operators defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In this paper we consider one of the most important problems in the theory of differential equations which is the study of the  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with a complex phase, this type of operator is represented by formula of the type

$$(I(a, \phi; h) f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x, \theta, y)} a(x, \theta, y) f(y) dy d\theta, \quad (1.2)$$

in which appear two  $C^\infty$ -functions, the phase function  $\phi(x, y, \theta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the amplitude  $a(x, \theta, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  and a semiclassical parameter  $h \in ]0, h_0]$ .

The purpose of this work is to generalize the notion of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators defined in [8] by considering the phase function  $\phi$  with complex values, and applying the same technique of [2] to show that the  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase are well defined and they are continuous on  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and on  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (the space of tempered distributions). We give also a result where it is shown that these types of operators are stable by composition.

When the phase function  $\phi(x, y, \theta) = S(x, \theta) - y\theta$ , where  $S \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^n; \mathbb{C})$ , the operator (1.2) will be a particular case of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase. In this case we will also give some hypothesis on the phase function  $\phi$  and the amplitude  $a$ .

Let us now describe the plan of this article. In the second section we recall the continuity of some general class of Fourier integral operators on  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and on  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The composition of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase is given in the third section. The last section is devoted to study the particular case.

## 2. A general class of $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase

In this section we define the class of integral transformations of type

$$(I(a, \phi; h), f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x, \theta, y)} a(x, \theta, y) f(y) dy d\theta, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h \in ]0, h_0]$ , and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

In general the integral (2.1) is not absolutely convergent, so we can use the technique of oscillatory integral developed by Hörmander in [10].

**Notation 2.1.** For  $(x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) = \left(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |\theta|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The phase function  $\phi = \varphi + i\psi$  and the amplitude  $a$  are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $C^\infty$  application.

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\forall (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^N \times \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \geq 0 :$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma \phi(x, \theta, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} [\lambda(x, \theta, y)]^{2-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|)}.$$

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exist real numbers  $K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that

$$K_1 \lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq \lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y) \leq K_2 \lambda(x, \theta, y), \forall (x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N \times \mathbb{R}_y^n.$$

(H<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>) There exist real numbers  $K_1^*, K_2^* > 0$  such that

$$K_1^* \lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq \lambda(x, \partial_\theta \phi, \partial_x \phi) \leq K_2^* \lambda(x, \theta, y), \forall (x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N \times \mathbb{R}_y^n.$$

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\forall (x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(x, \theta, y) \geq 0$ .

For any open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ , we set

$$\Gamma_\rho^\mu(\Omega) = \left\{ a \in C^\infty(\Omega) : |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma a| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} [\lambda(x, \theta, y)]^{\mu-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|)} \right\}.$$

For  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ , we denote  $\Gamma_\rho^\mu(\Omega) = \Gamma_\rho^\mu$ .

Now if  $\varphi$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>), (H<sub>4</sub>) and  $a \in \Gamma_0^\mu$ , we can give a meaning to the right hand side of (2.1), so we consider  $g \in S(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N \times \mathbb{R}_y^n)$ ,  $g(0) = 1$ . If  $a \in \Gamma_0^\mu$ , we define

$$a_\sigma(x, \theta, y) = g\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}, \frac{\theta}{\sigma}, \frac{y}{\sigma}\right) a(x, \theta, y), \quad \sigma > 0.$$

We have the following result concerning the boundedness of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase on  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and on  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If the phase function  $\phi$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>) and if  $a \in \Gamma_0^\mu$ , then*

1. *For all  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [(I(a_p, \phi; h), f)](x)$  exists for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and is independent of the choice of the function  $g$ . We set then*

$$(I(a, \phi; h), f) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (I(a_p, \phi; h), f)$$

2.  *$I(a, \phi; h)$  is a linear continuous operator from  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into itself.*

3. *Furthermore, if  $\phi$  satisfies (H<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>), so  $I(a, \phi; h) \in \mathcal{L}(S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (here  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\text{supp}\delta \subseteq [-1, 2]$  and  $\delta \equiv 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x, \theta, y) = \delta\left(\frac{|\partial_y \phi|^2 + |\partial_\theta \phi|^2}{\varepsilon \lambda(x, \theta, y)^2}\right).$$

From  $(H_3)$  there exists  $C > 0$  for which we have on the support of  $\omega_\varepsilon$

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq C \left[ \left(1 + |y|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda(x, \theta, y) \right].$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough we get that there exists a constant  $C_0$ , such that the inequality

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq C_0 \left(1 + |y|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds in the support of  $\omega_\varepsilon$ .

From this inequality we can see that  $I(\omega_\varepsilon a_p, \phi; h) f$  is an absolutely convergent integral and we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I(\omega_\varepsilon a_p, \phi; h) f = I(\omega_\varepsilon a, \phi; h) f. \quad (2.2)$$

The continuity of the operator  $I(\omega_\varepsilon a, \phi; h) f$  from  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into itself follows from  $(H_2)$ .

Next we study the limit  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, \phi; h) f$ . Consider the operator

$$L = \frac{h}{i} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{y_j} \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N (\partial_{\theta_j} \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}\right)}{|\partial_y \phi|^2 + |\partial_\theta \phi|^2}.$$

One can show easily that

$$L(e^{i\phi}) = e^{i\phi}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $\Omega_0$  be the open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$\Omega_0 = \left\{ (x, \theta, y), |\partial_y \phi|^2 + |\partial_\theta \phi|^2 > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \lambda(x, \theta, y)^2 \right\}.$$

By recurrence we prove that:

For all integers  $q \geq 0$ , and  $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^N)$ , we have

$$({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) b) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq q} g_{\alpha, \beta}^q \partial_y^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) b), \quad (2.4)$$

where the  $g_{\alpha, \beta}^q$  are in  $\Gamma_0^{-q}(\Omega_0)$  and depend only on  $\phi$ . In particular for  $q = 0$ , we have

$${}^t L = \sum_j F_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \sum_j G_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + H, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $F_j \in \Gamma_0^{-1}(\Omega_0)$ ,  $G_j \in \Gamma_0^{-1}(\Omega_0)$ ,  $H \in \Gamma_0^{-2}(\Omega_0)$ .

From (2.3) we have also for all integer  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, \phi; h) f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h} \phi(x, \theta, y)} ({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, f) dy d\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

But

$$({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, f) \text{ described (when } p \text{ varies) a bound of } \Gamma_0^{\mu-q}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} ({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, f)(x, \theta, y) = ({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, f)(x, \theta, y), \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $(x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

To finish, we have for all  $s > n + N$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda^{-s}(x, \theta, y) dy d\theta \leq \gamma_s \lambda^{n+N-s}(x). \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.6)-(2.9) and using the Lebesgue's theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a_p, \phi; h) f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h} \phi(x, \theta, y)} ({}^t L)^q ((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, f; h) dy d\theta, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $q$  satisfies  $q > n + N + \mu$ .

The first assertion of the theorem can be proved from (2.2) and (2.10).

Now let's show the continuity of  $I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, \phi; h)$ . From (2.5) and (2.10), we have

$$I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, \phi; h) f(x) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h} \phi(x, \theta, y)} b_\gamma^{(q)}(x, \theta, y) \partial_y^\gamma f(y) dy d\theta, \quad (2.11)$$

with  $b_\gamma^{(q)} \in \Gamma_0^{\mu-q}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \left( e^{\frac{i}{h} \phi} b_\gamma^{(q)}(x, \theta, y) \right) \in \Gamma_0^{\mu-q+|\alpha|+|\beta|}. \quad (2.12)$$

This property and (2.11) imply that, for all  $q > n + N + \mu + |\alpha| + |\beta|$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta, q}$  such that

$$\left| x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, \phi; h) f(x) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, q} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\gamma| \leq q}} |\partial_x^\gamma f(x)|,$$

which proves the continuity of  $I((1 - \omega_\varepsilon) a, \phi; h)$ .

The last assertion of the theorem is an immediate consequence of the second one, indeed the matter is to show that the operator  ${}^t F$  is continuous from  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself, where  $F = I(a, \phi; h)$ . But  ${}^t F = I(\tilde{a}, \tilde{\phi}; h)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(x, \theta, y) &= \phi(y, \theta, x), \\ \tilde{a}(x, \theta, y) &= a(y, \theta, x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  satisfies  $(H_3^*)$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies  $(H_3)$ , so we can deduce the result.  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* We can obtain the same result if the hypothesis on  $\phi$  are fulfilled only on the support of the amplitude  $a$ .

### 3. Composition of two $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase

In this section we prove that the composition of two  $h$ -Fourier integral operators with complex phase, have a meaning, and give an operator of same type.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that the phase functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Set*

$$\phi(x, \theta, z) = \phi_1(x, \theta_1, y) + \phi_2(y, \theta_2, z), \quad (3.1)$$

with  $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$ ,  $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, y, \theta_2)$ . Then  $\phi$  verifies  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ , and for all  $a_1 \in \Gamma_0^{\mu_1}$ ,  $a_2 \in \Gamma_0^{\mu_2}$ , we have

$$I(a_1, \phi_1; h) I(a_2, \phi_2; h) = I(a_1 \times a_2, \phi; h), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$(a_1 \times a_2)(x, \theta, z) = a_1(x, \theta_1, y) a_2(y, \theta_2, z).$$

*Proof.* We first observe that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$  are trivial. So we have to prove the condition  $(H_3)$ .

We can see that the first inequality is evident, so it suffices to show that  $\phi$  satisfies the following property: there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\lambda(x, \theta_1, y, \theta_2, z) \leq K \lambda(z, \partial_z \phi_2, \partial_y \phi_1 + \partial_y \phi_2, \partial_{\theta_1} \phi_1, \partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2). \quad (3.3)$$

Applying  $(H_3)$  to  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  we get that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\lambda(x, \theta_1, y, \theta_2, z) \leq C \lambda(\partial_y \phi_1, \partial_{\theta_1} \phi_1, y, \partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \partial_z \phi_2, z), \quad (3.4)$$

but we have also

$$\lambda(y) \leq C' \lambda(\partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \partial_z \phi_2, z), \quad (3.5)$$

from  $(H_3)$  applied to  $\phi_2$ , and

$$|\partial_y \phi_2| \leq C'' \lambda(y, \theta_2, z) \leq C''' (\lambda(\partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \partial_z \phi_2, z)), \quad (3.6)$$

from  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  applied to  $\phi_2$ .

Next we note that

$$|\partial_y \phi_1| \leq |\partial_y \phi_1 + \partial_y \phi_2| + |\partial_y \phi_2|. \quad (3.7)$$

The inequalities (3.4)-(3.7) imply (3.3).

It remains to show the composition formulas. Consider for  $i = 1, 2$ , the sequences of functions

$$\chi_p^i(x, \theta_i, y) = \exp\left(-p^{-1}\left(|x|^2 + |\theta_i|^2 + |y|^2\right)\right); (x, \theta_i, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N_i} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

We can show that (3.2) is satisfied for

$$a_p^1 = a_1 \chi_p^1, \quad a_p^2 = a_2 \chi_p^2.$$

But

$$\chi_p^1(x, \theta_1, y) \chi_p^2(y, \theta_2, z) = \exp\left(-p^{-1}\left(|x|^2 + 2|y|^2 + |\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2 + |z|^2\right)\right).$$

Then it results that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (I(a_p^1 a_p^2, \phi; h) f)(x) = (I(a_1 a_2, \phi) f; h)(x), \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

On the other hand, we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that there exists, for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , an integer  $M_{j,l}$  and a constant  $C_{j,l} > 0$ , such that, for all  $f$  in  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we have

$$\|I(a_p^j, \phi_j; h) f\|_{B^l} \leq C_{l,j} \|f\|_{B^{M_{j,l}}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $B^l(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), x^\alpha D_x^\beta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq l\}$ .

So, for all fixed  $f_0$  in  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g_p = I(a_p^2, \phi_2; h) f_0$  describes a bounded subset of  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  when  $p$  varies. Since  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Montel space, we can extract a subsequence, denoting also  $g_p$ , that converges in  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $g = I(a_1, \phi_2; h) f_0$ , but we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I(a_p^1, \phi_1; h) g_p - I(a_1, \phi_1; h) g\|_{B^l} \\ & \leq \|I(a_p^1, \phi_1; h) (g_p - g)\|_{B^l} + \|(I(a_p^1, \phi_1; h) - I(a_1, \phi_1; h)) g\|_{B^l}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Even re-extract a subsequence, we can suppose that

$$I(a_p^1, \phi_1; h) g \rightarrow I(a_1, \phi_1; h) g, \text{ in } S(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.9)-(3.11), It follows so, that for all  $l$ , leaves to extract a subsequence, we have

$$I(a_p^1, \phi_1; h) I(a_p^2, \phi_2; h) f_0 \rightarrow I(a_1, \phi_1; h) I(a_2, \phi_2; h) f_0 \text{ in } B^l.$$

□

## 4. The particular case

The purpose of this section is to study a particular case of the phase function  $\phi$  which is very important in Cauchy problems, see [13]. Consider  $\phi$  of the form

$$\phi(x, y, \theta) = S(x, \theta) - y\theta,$$

and suppose that  $S$  satisfies:

$$(G_1) \ S \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^n; \mathbb{C}), \text{ where } S = S_1 + iS_2.$$

(G<sub>2</sub>) For all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$ , there exist  $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ , such that

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta S(x, \theta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \theta)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|)}.$$

(G<sub>3</sub>) There exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta}(x, \theta) \right| \geq \delta_0.$$

$$(G_4) \ \forall (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, S_2(x, \theta) \geq 0.$$

*Remark 4.1.* From (G<sub>2</sub>) and (G<sub>3</sub>) and using the global inversion theorem we see that the mappings  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  defined by

$$\varphi_1 : (x, \theta) \rightarrow (x, \partial_x S(x, \theta)), \quad \varphi_2 : (x, \theta) \rightarrow (\theta, \partial_\theta S(x, \theta)),$$

are global diffeomorphisms from  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Indeed we have

$$J_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta} & \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$|J_{\varphi_1}| = |J_{\varphi_2}| = \left| \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta} \right| \geq \delta_0 \neq 0, \text{ for all } (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Furthermore

$$\left\| (\varphi_1'(x, \theta))^{-1} \right\| = \frac{1}{\left| \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta}(x, \theta) \right|} \| {}^t A(x, \theta) \| \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left\| (\varphi_2'(x, \theta))^{-1} \right\| = \frac{1}{\left| \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta}(x, \theta) \right|} \| {}^t B(x, \theta) \|, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $A(x, \theta)$  and  $B(x, \theta)$  are respectively the cofactor matrix of  $\varphi_1'(x, \theta)$  and  $\varphi_2'(x, \theta)$ . By (G<sub>2</sub>), we know that  $\| {}^t A(x, \theta) \|$  and  $\| {}^t B(x, \theta) \|$  are uniformly bounded.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $S$  satisfies (G<sub>1</sub>), (G<sub>2</sub>), (G<sub>3</sub>) and (G<sub>4</sub>), then  $S$  satisfies the following inequalities:*

(i) *There exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , such that*

$$\begin{cases} |x| \leq C_1 \lambda(\theta, \partial_\theta S), & \text{for all } (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\ |\theta| \leq C_2 \lambda(x, \partial_x S), & \text{for all } (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

(ii) *There exists  $C_3 > 0$  such that for all  $(x, \theta), (x', \theta') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,*

$$|x - x'| + |\theta - \theta'| \leq C_3 [ |(\partial_\theta S)(x, \theta) - (\partial_\theta S)(x', \theta')| + |\theta - \theta'| ]. \tag{4.4}$$

The proof of the Lemma is similar to that of [12, lemma 3.3]

*Remark 4.2.* When  $\theta = \theta'$  in (4.4), we have for all  $(x, x', \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ ,

$$|x - x'| \leq C_3 |(\partial_\theta S)(x, \theta) - (\partial_\theta S)(x', \theta)|. \tag{4.5}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $S$  satisfies (G<sub>1</sub>), (G<sub>2</sub>), (G<sub>3</sub>) and (G<sub>4</sub>). Then the function  $\phi(x, y, \theta) = S(x, \theta) - y\theta$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>) and (H<sub>4</sub>).*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$  are satisfied. Let's prove  $(H_3)$ .

First observe that the second inequality in  $(H_3)$  is a consequence of (4.3). Also from (4.3) we have

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq \lambda(x, \theta) + \lambda(y) \leq C_4 (\lambda(\theta, \partial_\theta S) + \lambda(y)), \quad C_4 > 0.$$

Further  $\partial_{y_j} \phi = -\theta_j$ , and  $\partial_{\theta_j} \phi = \partial_{\theta_j} S - y_j$ , so

$$\lambda(\theta, \partial_\theta S) = \lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi + y) \leq 2\lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y),$$

which implies for some  $C_5 > 0$ ,

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) \leq C_4 (2\lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y)) \leq \frac{1}{C_5} \lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y).$$

The condition  $(H_3^*)$  can be shown in the same way. □

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume that  $S$  satisfies  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$  and  $(G_4)$ , so there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the phase function  $\phi$  given in (4.3) belongs to  $\Gamma_1^2(\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon})$ , where*

$$\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon} = \left\{ (x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}; |\partial_\theta S(x, \theta) - y|^2 < \varepsilon (|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |\theta|^2) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The matter is to show that:

there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , there exist  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} > 0$ :

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_y^\gamma \phi(x, \theta, y) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \lambda(x, \theta, y)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|-|\gamma|)}, \quad (x, \theta, y) \in \Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon}. \quad (4.6)$$

For  $|\gamma| = 1$ , (for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\gamma_j = 1$ ) we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_y^\gamma \phi(x, \theta, y) \right| = \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta (-\theta) \right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| \neq 0 \\ \left| \partial_\theta^\beta (-\theta_j) \right| & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \end{cases};$$

and for  $|\gamma| > 1$ , we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_y^\gamma \phi(x, \theta, y) \right| = 0.$$

Then the estimate (4.6) is satisfied. It remains the case  $|\gamma| = 0$ .

But for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1$ , and from  $(G_2)$  there exists  $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$  such that

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \phi(x, \theta, y) \right| = \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta S(x, \theta) - \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta (y\theta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \theta, y)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|)}.$$

If  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2$ , one has  $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \phi(x, \theta, y) = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta S(x, \theta)$ , and so in  $\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon}$  we have

$$|y| = |\partial_\theta S(x, \theta) - y - \partial_\theta S(x, \theta)| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left( |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |\theta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_6 \lambda(x, \theta), \quad (4.7)$$

with  $C_6 > 0$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, to get a constant  $C_7 > 0$  such that

$$|y| \leq C_7 \lambda(x, \theta), \quad \forall (x, \theta, y) \in \Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon}.$$

Which prove the equivalence

$$\lambda(x, \theta, y) \simeq \lambda(x, \theta) \text{ in } \Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon}. \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore from the last property and  $(G_2)$  we obtain (4.6). □

In virtue of the equivalence (4.8), we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If the amplitude  $a : (x, \theta) \rightarrow a(x, \theta)$  is in  $\Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^n)$ , then the amplitude  $b : (x, \theta, y) \rightarrow a(x, \theta)$  is in  $\Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\theta^n \times \mathbb{R}_y^n) \cap \Gamma_k^m(\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon})$ , for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ .*

As a consequence of the previous calculus we obtain a result of boundedness of  $h$ -admissible Fourier integral [1, 14, 15] operators with complex phase in  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $F_h$  be an integral operator of the form*

$$(F_h \psi)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(S(x, \theta) - y\theta)} a(x, \theta) \psi(y) dy d\theta.$$

where  $a \in \Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}_{x, \theta}^{2n})$ ,  $k = 0, 1$ ,  $h \in ]0, h_0]$  and  $S$  satisfies  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ ,  $(G_3)$  and  $(G_4)$ . Then  $F_h$  can be extended to a linear continuous operator from  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into itself, and from  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into itself.

## References

- [1] Aitemrar, C. A. and Senoussaoui, A.,  $h$ -Admissible Fourier integral operators. *Turk. J. Math.*, vol 40, 553-568, 2016.
- [2] Asada, K. and Fujiwara, D., On some oscillatory transformations in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . *Japanese J. Math.*, vol 4 (2), 299-361, 1978.
- [3] Bekkara, B., Messirdi, B. and Senoussaoui, A., A class of generalized integral operators. *Elect. J. Diff. Equ.*, vol 2009, no.88, (2009), 1-7.
- [4] Calderón, A.P. and Vaillancourt, R., On the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 23, 1971, p374-378.
- [5] Duistermaat, J.J., Fourier integral operators. Courant Institute Lecture Notes, New-York 1973.
- [6] Egorov, Yu.V., Microlocal analysis. In Partial Differential Equations IV. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, p1-147, 1993.
- [7] Hasanov, M., A class of unbounded Fourier integral operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 225, 641-651, 1998.
- [8] Harrat, C. and Senoussaoui, A., On a class of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, Vol. XLVII, No 3, 596-607, 2014.
- [9] Helffer, B., Théorie spectrale pour des opérateurs globalement elliptiques. *Société Mathématiques de France, Astérisque* 112, 1984.
- [10] Hörmander, L., Fourier integral operators I. *Acta Math.*, vol 127, 1971, p79-183.
- [11] Hörmander, L., The Weyl calculus of pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 32 (3), p359-443, 1979.
- [12] Messirdi, B. and Senoussaoui, A., On the  $L^2$  boundedness and  $L^2$  compactness of a class of Fourier integral operators. *Elect. J. Diff. Equ.*, vol 2006, no.26, (2006), p1-12.
- [13] Messirdi, B. and Senoussaoui, A., Parametrix du problème de Cauchy  $C^\infty$  muni d'un système d'ordres de Leray-Volevič. *J. for Anal and its Appl.*, Vol 24, (3), 581-592, 2005.
- [14] Robert, D., Autour de l'approximation semi-classique. Birkhäuser, 1987.
- [15] Senoussaoui, A., Opérateurs  $h$ -admissibles matriciels à symbole opérateur. *African Diaspora J. Math.*, vol 4, (1), 7-26, 2007.

## Affiliations

CHAFIKA AMEL AITEMRRAR

**ADDRESS:** University of Sciences and Technologies Mohamed Boudiaf, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics. B.P. 1505 El M'naouar, Oran, Algeria.

**E-MAIL:** aitemrar.c.a@gmail.com

**ORCID ID:** 0000 – 0002 – 2015 – 2410

ABDERRAHMANE SENOUSSAOUI

**ADDRESS:** Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Mathematics of Oran (LMFAO), University of Oran 1, Ahmed BEN BELLA. B.P. 1524 El M'naouar, Oran, Algeria.

**E-MAIL:** senoussaoui\_abdou@yahoo.fr or senoussaoui.abderahmane@univ-oran.dz

**ORCID ID:** 0000 – 0002 – 1890 – 9736