Results in Nonlinear Analysis 1 (2018) No. 2, 49–57 Available online at www.nonlinear-analysis.com



Some Convergence Theorems of Modified Proximal Point Algorithms for Nonexpansive Mappings in CAT(0) Spaces

Shengquan Weng^a, Dingping Wu^a,

^a Department of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu, 610225, China

Abstract

In this paper, a new modified proximal point algorithm is proposed for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, and the set of fixed points of a multivalued nonexpansive mapping, and the set of minimizers of convex and lower semicontinuous functions. We obtain convergence of the proposed algorithm to a common element of three sets in CAT(0) spaces.

Keywords: CAT(0) space, proximal point algorithm, fixed point, resolvent identity, functional analysis. 2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25 .

1. Introduction

A metric space (X, d) is said to be a geodesic space, if it is connected geodesically. A geodesic path joining x to y in X is a mapping g from a closed interval $[0, l] \subseteq R$ to X such that g(0) = x, g(l) = y and d(g(s), g(t)) = |s - t| for all $s, t \in [0, l]$. In particular, the mapping g is an isometry and d(x, y) = l. The image of g is called as a geodesic segment joining x and y, which is uniquely denoted by [x, y]. We denote the unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that

$$d(x, z) = kd(x, y)$$
 and $d(y, z) = (1 - k)d(x, y)$,

by $(1-k)x \oplus ky$, where $0 \le k \le 1$.

A geodesic space is called as a CAT(0) space, if every geodesic triangle in X is at least as 'thin' as its comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane R^2 . A geodesic triangle $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in a geodesic space (X, d)

Received Februray 05, 2018, Accepted May 16, 2018, Online May 22, 2018.

Email addresses: ssldstg@qq.com (Shengquan Weng), wdp68@163.com (Dingping Wu)

consists of three points in X (the vertices of \triangle) and a geodesic segment between each pair of points (the edges of \triangle). A comparison triangle for $\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in (X, d) is a triangle $\overline{\triangle}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \triangle(\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3})$ in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 such that

$$d_{R^2}(\bar{x_i}, \bar{x_j}) = d(x_i, x_j)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let \triangle be a geodesic triangle in X and let $\overline{\triangle}$ be a comparison triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then the triangle \triangle is said to satisfy the CAT(0) inequality if

$$d(x,y) \le d_{R^2}(\bar{x},\bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \Delta$ and all comparison points $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{\Delta}$.

The useful inequality of a CAT(0) space is the (CN) inequality[1], that is, if z, x, y are points in a CAT(0) space and if $\frac{x \oplus y}{2}$ is the midpoint of a geodesic segment [x, y], then the CAT(0) inequality implies

$$d^{2}(z, \frac{x \oplus y}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^{2}(z, x) + \frac{1}{2}d^{2}(z, y) - \frac{1}{4}d^{2}(x, y),$$
(CN)

which equals to the following inequality [2]

$$d^{2}(z,\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda d^{2}(z,x) + (1-\lambda)d^{2}(z,y) - \lambda(1-\lambda)d^{2}(x,y), \qquad (CN^{*})$$

for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where $\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y$ denotes a unique point in [x, y]. Moreover, if X is a CAT(0) space and $x, y \in X$, then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y \in [x, y]$ such that

$$d(z,\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \le \lambda d(z,x) + (1-\lambda)d(z,y), \text{ for any } z \in X.$$

$$(1.1)$$

In 2013, the proximal point algorithm was introduced by $Ba\check{c}\acute{a}k$ [3] into CAT(0) spaces. For any x_1 in a CAT(0) space X, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \arg\min_{y \in X} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)],$$
(1.2)

where $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n \in N$. If f has a minimizer, then the sequence $\{x_n\} \Delta$ -converges to its minimizer.

For all $\lambda > 0$, in a complete CAT(0) space X, the Moreau – Yosida resolvent of f [4] is defined as follows:

$$J_{\lambda}(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X}[f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}d^2(y, x)],$$

where $f: X \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function.

The set $F(J_{\lambda})$ of fixed points of the resolvent associated with f coincides with the set $\operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} f(y)$ of minimizers of f, which is found in reference [5]. For any $\lambda > 0$, the resolvent J_{λ} of f is nonexpansive [6].

The following algorithm is proposed by Suthep Suantai et.al[7] in 2017 as follows:

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \arg \min_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ y_n = \beta_n z_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) w_n, \quad w_n \in S z_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n, \quad \forall n \in N, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where T is a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, S is a multi-valued nonexpansive mapping, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and some λ . Inspired by the above work, in this paper, we come up with a new modified algorithm, which improved and extended the results[7].

2. Preliminaries

We collect some definitions, lemmas, which will be used in next section.

Definition2.1[8] Let D be a nonempty closed subset of a CAT(0) space X and let CB(D), CC(D) and KC(D) denote the families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, closed convex subsets and compact convex subsets of D, respectively. The Pompeiu – Hausdorff distance on CB(D) is defined by

$$H(A,B) = max\{sup_{x \in A} dist(x,B), sup_{y \in B} dist(y,A)\}$$

for $A, B \in CB(D)$, where $dist(x, D) = inf\{d(x, y) : y \in D\}$ is the distance from a point x to a subset D.

Definition2.2[7] A single-valued mapping $T: D \to D$ is said to be *semicompact* if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges strongly to $p \in D$. The set of fixed points of T is denoted by F(T), that is, $F(T) = \{x \in D : x = Tx\}$.

Definition2.3[7] A multi-valued mapping $S: D \to CB(D)$ is said to be

(1) nonexpansive if $H(Sx, Sy) \le d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$;

(2) hemi - compact if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} dist(x_n, Sx_n) = 0$$

there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges strongly to $p \in D$.

An element $x \in D$ is called a fixed point of S if $x \in Sx$. The set of all fixed points of S is denoted by F(S), that is, $F(S) = \{x \in D : x \in Sx\}$.

Definition2.4[7] Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a CAT(0) space X. For $x \in X$, we define a mapping $r(\cdot, \{x_n\}) : X \to [0, \infty)$ by $r(x, \{x_n\}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(x, x_n)$. The asymptotic radius of $\{x_n\}$ is given by $r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\}$ and the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ is the set $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}$. In a complete CAT(0) space, the asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ consists of exactly one point[9].

Definition2.5[7] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a CAT(0) space X is said to Δ – converge to $x \in X$ if x is the unique asymptotic center of every subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. In this case, we write $\Delta - \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ and call x as $\Delta - \lim_{n \to \infty} t$ of $\{x_n\}$.

It is easy to see that CAT(0) spaces satisfy *Opial* condition, which is known in Banach spaces theory as *Opial* property, that is, given $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ such that the sequence $\{x_n\} \Delta$ -converges to $x \in X$ and given $y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, then the following inequality holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d(x_n, x) < \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d(x_n, y).$$

Lemma2.6/10 Every bounded sequence in a CAT(0) space has a Δ -convergent subsequence.

Lemma2.7[11] Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a CAT(0) space X. If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in D, then the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ is in D.

Lemma2.8[2] If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space with $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x\}, \{u_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ with $A(\{u_n\}) = \{u\}$ and the sequence $\{d(x_n, u)\}$ converges, then x = u.

Lemma2.9[2] Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X and $T: D \to D$ be a nonexpansive mapping. If $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in D such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$ and $\Delta - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$, then x = Tx.

Lemma2.10[6] Let (X, d) be a complete CAT(0) space and $f : X \to (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then the following identity holds:

$$J_{\lambda}x = J_{\mu}(\frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda}J_{\lambda}x \oplus \frac{\mu}{\lambda}x), \forall x \in X, \lambda > \mu > 0,$$

where J_{λ} is the *Moreau* – *Yosida* resolvent of f.

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda}d^2(J_{\lambda}x,y) - \frac{1}{2\lambda}d^2(x,y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}d^2(x,J_{\lambda}x) + f(J_{\lambda}x) \le f(y),$$

where J_{λ} is the *Moreau* – *Yosida* resolvent of f.

3. Main results

Next, we give the results of proposed algorithms in this section.

Theorem3.1 Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Let X be a complete CAT(0) space and D be a nonempty closed convex subset of X;

(2) Let $T: D \to D$ be a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, $S: D \to CB(D)$ be a multi-valued nonexpansive mapping, and $f: D \to (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex and lower semi-continuous proper function;

(3) $\{\alpha_n\},\{\beta_n\},\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in (0,1) with $0 < a \le \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \le b < 1$ for all $n \in N$ and for some a, b are positive constants in [0, 1], and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lambda_n \ge \lambda > 0$ for all $n \in N$ and some λ ;

(4) Suppose that $\Omega = F(T) \cap F(S) \cap argmin_{y \in D} f(y)$ is nonempty and $Sq = \{q\}$ for all $q \in \Omega$;

(5) Suppose that J_{λ} is semi-compact or T is semi-compact or S is hemi-compact.

For any $x_1 \in D$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \arg\min_{y \in D} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ t_n = \gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, \ w_n \in S z_n, \\ y_n = \beta_n z_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) T t_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n t_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \ \forall n \in N, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.1)$$

then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point in Ω .

Proof. This proof will be divided into a few steps as follows.

(i) Let $q \in \Omega$. Then we have $Tq = q \in Sq$ and $f(q) \leq f(y)$, for all $y \in D$. It follows that

$$f(q) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(q,q) \le f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y,q), \quad \forall y \in D.$$

Hence, $q = J_{\lambda_n} q$ for all $n \in N$. Since $z_n = J_{\lambda_n} x_n$, it follows by the nonexpansiveness of J_{λ_n} that

$$d(z_n, q) = d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, J_{\lambda_n} q) \le d(x_n, q).$$

$$(3.2)$$

For $q \in \Omega$, by virtue of $Sq = \{q\}$, by (1.1) and (3.1)-(3.2), it shows that

$$d(t_n, q) = d(\gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, q)$$

$$\leq \gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) d(w_n, q)$$

$$\leq \gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) dist(Sz_n, q)$$

$$\leq \gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) H(Sz_n, Sq)$$

$$\leq \gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) d(z_n, q)$$

$$= d(z_n, q)$$

$$\leq d(x_n, q).$$
(3.3)

By (3.3), we have

$$d(y_n, q) = d(\beta_n z_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) T t_n, q)$$

$$\leq \beta_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(T t_n, q)$$

$$\leq \beta_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(t_n, q)$$

$$\leq \beta_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(z_n, q)$$

$$= d(z_n, q)$$

$$\leq d(x_n, q)$$
(3.4)

and we get

$$d(x_{n+1},q) = d(\alpha_n t_n \oplus (1-\alpha_n)y_n,q)$$

$$\leq \alpha_n d(t_n,q) + (1-\alpha_n)d(y_n,q)$$

$$\leq \alpha_n d(z_n,q) + (1-\alpha_n)d(y_n,q)$$

$$\leq d(z_n,q)$$

$$\leq d(x_n,q).$$
(3.5)

Therefore, by (3.5), we obtain that the sequence $\{d(x_n, q)\}$ is decreasing and bounded. So, $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, q)$ exists for all $q \in \Omega$.

(ii) Let

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, q) = l \ge 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By lemma 2.11, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_n}d^2(z_n, q) - \frac{1}{2\lambda_n}d^2(x_n, q) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n}d^2(z_n, x_n) \le f(q) - f(z_n).$$

Since $f(q) \leq f(z_n)$ for all $n \in N$, we get

$$d^{2}(z_{n}, x_{n}) \leq d^{2}(x_{n}, q) - d^{2}(z_{n}, q).$$
(3.7)

From (3.5), we get

$$d(x_{n+1},q) \le d(z_n,q) \le d(x_n,q).$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, q) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(z_n, q) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, q).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(z_n, q) = l. \tag{3.8}$$

By virtue of (3.6) - (3.8), it shows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0.$$
(3.9)

Because of $0 < a \le \alpha_n \le b < 1$, also by (3.5) we get

$$d(x_{n+1},q) \le \alpha_n d(x_n,q) + (1-\alpha_n)d(y_n,q)$$

and change it as

$$d(y_n, q) \ge \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - \alpha_n d(x_n, q)]$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - bd(x_n, q)],$$
(3.10)

thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d(y_n, q) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ \frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - bd(x_n, q)] \} = l$$

and by (3.4), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(y_n, q) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(x_n, q) = l.$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, q) = l. \tag{A*}$$

Similarity, by (3.5), we also get

$$d(x_{n+1},q) \le \alpha_n d(t_n,q) + (1-\alpha_n)d(y_n,q)$$

and also change it as

$$d(t_n, q) \ge \frac{1}{\alpha_n} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - (1 - \alpha_n) d(y_n, q)]$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{a} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - (1 - a) d(y_n, q)]$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{a} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - (1 - a) d(x_n, q)].$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d(t_n, q) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ \frac{1}{a} [d(x_{n+1}, q) - (1 - a)d(x_n, q)] \} = l.$$

and by (3.3), this show

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(t_n, q) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(x_n, q) = l$$

Then, we obtain

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(t_n, q) = l. \tag{B*}$

Also from the inequality (CN^*) , $Sq = \{q\}$ and (3.1) - (3.3), we have

$$d^{2}(t_{n},q) = d^{2}(\gamma_{n}z_{n} \oplus (1-\gamma_{n})w_{n},q)$$

$$\leq \gamma_{n}d^{2}(z_{n},q) + (1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(w_{n},q) - \gamma_{n}(1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},w_{n})$$

$$\leq \gamma_{n}d^{2}(z_{n},q) + (1-\gamma_{n})dist^{2}(q,Sz_{n}) - \gamma_{n}(1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},w_{n})$$

$$\leq \gamma_{n}d^{2}(z_{n},q) + (1-\gamma_{n})H^{2}(Sq,Sz_{n}) - \gamma_{n}(1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},w_{n})$$

$$\leq \gamma_{n}d^{2}(z_{n},q) + (1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},q) - \gamma_{n}(1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},w_{n})$$

$$\leq d^{2}(x_{n},q) - \gamma_{n}(1-\gamma_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},w_{n}).$$
(3.11)

By (3.1) - (3.4), we get

$$d^{2}(y_{n},q) = d^{2}(\beta_{n}z_{n} \oplus (1-\beta_{n})Tt_{n},q)$$

$$\leq \beta_{n}d^{2}(z_{n},q) + (1-\beta_{n})d^{2}(Tt_{n},q) - \beta_{n}(1-\beta_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},Tt_{n})$$

$$\leq \beta_{n}d^{2}(x_{n},q) + (1-\beta_{n})d^{2}(t_{n},q) - \beta_{n}(1-\beta_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},Tt_{n})$$

$$\leq d^{2}(x_{n},q) - \beta_{n}(1-\beta_{n})d^{2}(z_{n},Tt_{n}).$$
(3.12)

Similarly, by (3.1) - (3.5), we have

$$d^{2}(x_{n+1},q) = d^{2}(\alpha_{n}t_{n} \oplus (1-\alpha_{n})y_{n},q)$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n}d^{2}(t_{n},q) + (1-\alpha_{n})d^{2}(y_{n},q) - \alpha_{n}(1-\alpha_{n})d^{2}(t_{n},y_{n})$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n}d^{2}(x_{n},q) + (1-\alpha_{n})d^{2}(y_{n},q) - \alpha_{n}(1-\alpha_{n})d^{2}(t_{n},y_{n})$$

$$\leq d^{2}(x_{n},q) - \alpha_{n}(1-\alpha_{n})d^{2}(t_{n},y_{n}).$$
(3.13)

Because of $0 < a \le \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \le b < 1$, and from (3.6), and $(A^*), (B^*)$, this shows that

$$0 \le \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \le d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(t_n, q) \to 0 (n \to \infty),$$

$$0 \le \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d^2(z_n, Tt_n) \le d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(y_n, q) \to 0 (n \to \infty),$$

$$0 \le \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(t_n, y_n) \le d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(x_{n+1}, q) \to 0 (n \to \infty).$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(z_n, Tt_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(t_n, y_n) = 0.$$
(3.14)

In fact, because $t_n = \gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n$, we get

$$d(t_n, x_n) = d(\gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, x_n) \leq \gamma_n d(z_n, x_n) + (1 - \gamma_n) d(w_n, x_n) \leq \gamma_n d(z_n, x_n) + (1 - \gamma_n) \{ d(w_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \} \to 0(n \to \infty).$$
(3.15)

By the nonexpansiveness of T, and this together (3.14) with (3.15) shows that

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) \le d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, Tt_n) + d(Tt_n, Tx_n) \le d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, Tt_n) + d(t_n, x_n) \to 0(n \to \infty).$$
(3.16)

Immediately, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$$

(*iii*) Because of nonexpansiveness of S, also from (3.10) and (3.14), we get

$$dist(x_n, Sx_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n) + dist(z_n, Sz_n) + H(Sz_n, Sx_n)$$
$$\leq d(x_n, z_n) + dist(z_n, Sz_n) + d(z_n, x_n)$$
$$\leq 2d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, w_n)$$
$$\rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \infty} dist(x_n, Sx_n) = 0.$$

(iv) By $\lambda_n>\lambda>0,$ lemma 2.10 and nonexpansiveness of $J_\lambda,$ and $z_n=J_{\lambda_n}x_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, J_{\lambda} x_n) &\leq d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, J_{\lambda} x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, z_n) + d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, J_{\lambda} x_n) \\ &= d(x_n, z_n) + d(J_{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} x_n), J_{\lambda} x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, z_n) + \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, x_n) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} d(x_n, x_n) \\ &= (2 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}) d(x_n, z_n) \\ &\to 0(n \to \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This also shows that

$$\lim_{n\infty} d(x_n, J_\lambda x_n) = 0.$$

(v) Suppose that the mapping S is hemi-compact. By the step of (iii), we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} dist(x_n, Sx_n) = 0$. From the hemi-compactness of S and we have that there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, which strongly converges to an element q in D. Furthermore, by the above(ii) - (iv), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, Tu_n) = 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} dist(u_n, Su_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, J_\lambda u_n) = 0.$$

It follows by the nonexpansiveness of T and the nonexpansiveness of J_{λ} so that $q = Tq = J_{\lambda}q$, we get

$$q \in F(T) \cap F(J_{\lambda}) = F(T) \cap argmin_{y \in D} f(y).$$

By the nonexpansiveness of S, we have

$$dist(q, Sq) \leq d(q, u_n) + dist(u_n, Su_n) + H(Su_n, Sq)$$
$$\leq 2d(q, u_n) + dist(u_n, Su_n)$$
$$\rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty).$$

It shows that dist(q, Sq) = 0. This implies that $q \in Sq$. Therefore, we get $q \in F(S)$. By (3.16), we have

$$q \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap argmin_{y \in D} f(y) = \Omega.$$

Through the double extract subsequence principle, it shows that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ strongly converges to a point q in Ω .

This completes the proof.

Theorem3.2 Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X. Let $T : D \to D$ be a nonexpansive single-valued mapping, $S : D \to KC(D)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping, and $f : D \to (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex and lower semi-continuous proper function. Suppose that $\Omega = F(T) \cap F(S) \cap argmin_{y \in D} f(y)$ is nonempty and $Sp = \{p\}$ for all $p \in \Omega$. For $x_1 \in D$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1), where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in [0, 1] such that $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \leq b < 1$ for all $n \in N$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \in N$ and some λ . Then the sequence $\{x_n\} \Delta$ -converges to a point in Ω .

Proof. Let $\omega_{\Delta}(x_n) := \bigcup A(\{u_n\})$, where the union is taken over all subsequences $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. Let $p \in \omega_{\Delta}(x_n)$. So there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $A(\{u_n\}) = \{p\}$. By Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, there exists a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\Delta - \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = v \in D. \tag{3.17}$$

From Theorem 3.1(ii), (iv), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(v_n, Tv_n) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(v_n, J_\lambda v_n) = 0.$$

Then, by the nonexpansiveness of T and J_{λ} , it implies by Lemma 2.9 that $v = Tv = J_{\lambda}v$. So, we get

$$v \in F(T) \cap F(J_{\lambda}) = F(T) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in D} f(y).$$
(3.18)

Since S is compact valued, for each $n \in N$, there exist $r_n \in Sv_n$ and $\delta_n \in Sv$ such that $d(v_n, r_n) = dist(v_n, Sv_n)$ and $d(r_n, \delta_n) = dist(r_n, Sv)$. By the third step of Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(v_n, r_n) = 0$$

By the compactness of Sv, so there exists a subsequence $\{\delta_{n_i}\}$ of $\{\delta_n\}$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \delta_{n_i} = \delta \in Sv$. Then we have $\lim_{i\to\infty} \inf d(u_i - \delta) \leq \lim_{i\to\infty} \inf (d(u_i - r_i) + d(r_i - \delta_i) + d(\delta_i - \delta))$

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \inf d(v_{n_i}, \delta) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf (d(v_{n_i}, r_{n_i}) + d(r_{n_i}, \delta_{n_i}) + d(\delta_{n_i}, \delta)) \\
\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf (d(v_{n_i}, r_{n_i}) + dist(r_{n_i}, Sv) + d(\delta_{n_i}, \delta)) \\
\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf (d(v_{n_i}, r_{n_i}) + H(Sv_{n_i}, Sv) + d(\delta_{n_i}, \delta)) \\
\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf (d(v_{n_i}, r_{n_i}) + d(v_{n_i}, v) + d(\delta_{n_i}, \delta)) \\
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf d(v_{n_i}, v).$$

By (3.17) and the uniqueness of asymptotic centers, we have $v = \delta \in Sv$. Thus, by (3.18), we get

 $v \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap argmin_{u \in D} f(y) = \Omega.$

It follows by the first step of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.8 so that p = v, and hence $\omega_{\Delta}(x_n) \subseteq \Omega$.

Suppose that $\{u_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ with $A(\{u_n\}) = \{u^*\}$ and $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x\}$. Since $u^* \in$ $\omega_{\Delta}(x_n) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\{d(x_n, u^*)\}$ converges, it implies by Lemma 2.8 that $x = u^*$, which shows that $\omega_{\Delta}(x_n)$ consists of exactly one point. This implies that $\{x_n\} \Delta$ -converges to a point in Ω .

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41(1972), 5-251.
- [2] S. Dhompongsa, B. Panyanak, On Δ -convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 56(2008), 2572-2579. [3] M. Bačák, The proximal point algorithm in metric spaces. Isr. J. Math. **194**(2013),689-701.
- [4] O. Guler, On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization. SIAM J. Control Optim. 29(1991),
- 403-419. [5] D. Ariza-Ruiz, L. Leustean, G. Lopez, Firmly nonexpansive mappings in classes of geodesic spaces. Trans. Am. Math.
- Soc.366 (2014), 4299-4322.
- [6] J. Jost, Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of nonpositive curvature. Comment. Math. Helv. **70**(1995), 659-673.
- [7] S. Suantai, W. Phuengrattana, Proximal Point Algorithms for a Hybrid Pair of Nonexpansive Single-Valued and MultiValued Mappings in Geodesic Metric Spaces. (2017).
- T. Rockafellar, R.J. Wets, Variational Analysis. Springer, Berlin(2005)
- [9] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk, B. Sims, Fixed points of uniformly Lipschitzian mappings. Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006), 762-772.
- [10] W.A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), 3689-3696.
- [11] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.8(2007), 35-45.
- [12] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savare, Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zrich, 2nd edn. Birkhuser, Basel (2008).