

Conceptualizing Africanization in Higher Education: A Systematic Categorization and its Implications for Global Engagement

Yükseköğretimde Afrikalılışmayı Kavramsallaştırmak: Sistematik bir Sınıflandırma ve Küresel Etkileşim için Çıkarımlar

İbrahim Hakan Karataş¹ , Nicholas Itaaga² 

¹ İstanbul Medeniyet University, Faculty of Educational Sciences, Educational Administration, İstanbul, Türkiye

² Makerere University, College of Education and External Studies, School of Education, Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Kampala, Uganda

Abstract

Africanization remains a central yet contested theme in the transformation of higher education in Africa. While Africanization promotes intellectual sovereignty, curriculum reform, and the integration of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), it also contends with pressures from globalization, internationalization, and modernization. This study employs conceptual analysis to systematically identify and categorize 29 key concepts associated with Africanization, distinguishing between internally and externally generated frameworks. These concepts are grouped into seven thematic categories that reflect the ideological, philosophical, practical, and critical dimensions of the discourse. The study critically engages with emerging perspectives such as Afroskepticism and Afrorealism, which question the practicality and coherence of Africanization efforts. Beyond regional relevance, the findings carry implications for global higher education policy and partnerships. By offering a structured framework, the research provides scholars, policymakers, and practitioners with a nuanced understanding of Africanization and its place in a globally interconnected academic landscape.

Keywords: Africanization, Higher Education, Internationalization, Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Conceptual Analysis

Özet

Afrikalılışma, Afrika'da yükseköğretimin dönüşümünde merkezi ancak tartışmalı bir tema olmaya devam etmektedir. Afrikalılışma, entelektüel egemenliği, müfredat reformunu ve Yerli Bilgi Sistemlerinin (IKS) entegrasyonunu teşvik ederken, aynı zamanda küreselleşme, uluslararasılaşma ve modernleşmeden gelen baskılarla da mücadele etmektedir. Bu çalışmada, kavramsal analiz yöntemiyle Afrikalılışma ile ilişkili 29 anahtar kavram sistematik olarak tanımlanmış ve Afrika içinden ve Afrika dışından üretilen kavramlar olarak tasnif edilmiştir. Bu kavramlar, söylemin ideolojik, felsefi, pratik ve eleştirel boyutlarını yansıtan yedi tematik kategoride gruplandırılmıştır. Çalışma, Afrikalılışma çabalarının pratikliğini ve tutarlılığını sorgulayan Afroskeptisizm ve Afrorealizm gibi yeni ortaya çıkan perspektiflerle eleştirel bir şekilde ilgilenmektedir. Bulgular, bölgesel ilginin ötesinde, küresel yükseköğretim politikası ve ortaklıkları için çıkarımlar taşımaktadır. Araştırma, yapılandırılmış bir çerçeve sunarak akademisyenlere, politika yapıcılara ve uygulayıcılara Afrikalılışma ve bunun küresel olarak birbirine bağlı bir akademik ortamdaki yeri hakkında incelikli bir anlayış sağlamaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Afrikalılışma, Yükseköğretim, Uluslararasılaşma, Yerli Bilgi Sistemleri, Kavramsal Analiz

African higher education has experienced significant growth in the past three decades, driven by demographic pressures and global educational trends. Following investments in universal primary and secondary education in the 1990s, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, the region has witnessed an unprecedented surge in demand for higher education. Recent reports from the World Bank (2021) and UNESCO (2024) indicate that Sub-Saharan

Africa now hosts approximately 400 million individuals between the ages of 15 and 35, presenting both immense opportunities and critical challenges for higher education systems across the continent. Despite this rapid expansion, Africa's higher education enrollment rate remains significantly below the global average only 9% compared to the global average of 39% underscoring the urgency and complexity of expanding access and quality in this sector.

İletişim / Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. İbrahim Hakan Karataş
İstanbul Medeniyet University,
Faculty of Educational Sciences,
Educational Administration,
İstanbul / Türkiye
e-mail: ihkaratas@gmail.com

Yükseköğretim Dergisi / TÜBA Higher Education Research/Review (TÜBA-HER), 16(1), 175-187. © 2026 TÜBA
Geliş tarihi / Received: Nisan / April 13, 2025; Kabul tarihi / Accepted: Eylül / September 8, 2025

Bu makalenin atıf künyesi / How to cite this article: Karataş, İ. H. & Itaaga, N. (2026). Conceptualizing Africanization in higher education: A systematic categorization and its implications for global engagement. *Yükseköğretim Dergisi*, 16(1), 175-187. <https://doi.org/10.53478/yuksekogretim.1675218>

ORCID: İ. H. Karataş: 0000-0001-5569-014X; N. Itaaga: 0009-0002-2012-1929

Central to the ongoing transformation of African higher education is the discourse on Africanization, a multi-dimensional concept closely related to the continent's historical, socio-cultural, political, and epistemological contexts. While various scholars have explored concepts such as Ubuntu, Afrocentrism, and Decolonialism individually, there is a notable gap in systematically categorizing and synthesizing these diverse ideas into an integrated conceptual framework. Addressing this gap, this article aims to:

1. Systematically identify and categorize the central concepts emerging from the discourse on Africanization in higher education.
2. Analyze how these concepts collectively shape contemporary African higher education systems, practices, and policies.
3. Clarify how these conceptual discussions influence internationalization strategies and global partnerships, with a special emphasis on the practical implications for higher education collaborations.

By synthesizing these concepts and clearly mapping their interrelationships, this study provides a comprehensive conceptual toolkit for scholars, policymakers, and higher education administrators both within Africa and internationally. This research contributes uniquely to the global discourse on higher education by offering an external yet contextually informed perspective that helps international educators and policymakers better understand and navigate the complexities and potential of African higher education. Ultimately, the article aims to support international partnerships, inform sustainable higher education practices, and facilitate meaningful intercultural dialogue and collaboration between African institutions and global educational stakeholders.

Africanization is central to the transformation of African higher education, yet it remains conceptually contested and multidimensional (Botha, 2010; Nkoane, 2006). The literature often presents fragmented or overlapping interpretations. Vorster (1995) offers a dual definition: Africanization asserts African cultural identity within an intercultural context and encourages non-Africans to engage with and respect this epistemic assertion.

Makgoba (1997) clarifies that Africanization is not exclusionary but affirms African identity within global discourse. He highlights its diasporic connections and global relevance. Ramose (1998) similarly frames it as a call for epistemological justice and Africa's right to contribute to global knowledge production. Rooted in anti-colonial resistance (Horsthemke, 2004), Africanization gained momentum through Pan-African thought leaders like Nkrumah and was later institutionalised via frameworks such as NEPAD under Mbeki's African Renaissance agenda (Makgoba & Seepe, 2004; Xulu, 2015).

Philosophically, Africanization promotes epistemic transformation and Afrocentric scholarship (Asante, 2020; Ramose, 1998). Moderate scholars such as Higgs (2016) and Botha (2010) view it through inclusive values like Ubuntu, focusing on shared humanity and collective identity. In contrast, critics like Horsthemke (2004, 2017) warn against uncritical romanticism, advocating Afrorealism, a pragmatic, globally engaged form of Africanization.

Practically, Africanization involves aligning curricula, pedagogies, and institutional cultures with African realities, challenging Western dominance and validating Indigenous Knowledge Systems (Letsekha, 2013; Nyoni, 2020). This includes integrating African languages, philosophies, and context-specific approaches (Prinsloo, 2010). However, challenges persist, including curriculum marketisation, epistemological relativism, and policy tensions (Hungwe & Ndofirepi, 2022).

This article contributes by systematically categorising Africanization concepts and clarifying their ideological and practical implications. It also incorporates global perspectives, enhancing international dialogue and offering a foundation for more equitable academic partnerships. Future research should empirically explore how these frameworks influence institutional practices and cross-continental collaborations in African higher education.

Methodology

This study employed a conceptual analysis approach (Furner, 2004) to systematically identify and categorize key ideas associated with Africanization in higher education. Concept selection followed five criteria: (1) relevance to the study's aims, particularly African higher education transformation; (2) recurrence in academic literature, based on a qualitative review of peer-reviewed journals and books; (3) theoretical significance, with attention to foundational or frequently debated frameworks (Furner, 2004; Rodgers, 2000); (4) disciplinary and geographic diversity, including African and diasporic perspectives (Asante, 2020; Botha, 2010); and (5) conceptual grouping, applying Rodgers' evolutionary method to account for synonyms and related terms.

This conceptual analysis was carried out in three steps. The first phase involved an initial literature review to trace how the term Africanization has evolved and when it first gained systematic attention in academia, taking Asante's works from the 1980s as the starting point. In the second phase, the main academic works on "Africanization in higher education", "decolonization", and "transformative education in Africa" were explored through searches in Scopus and Google Scholar, covering publications from 1980 to 2023. 65 relevant sources including articles, books, book chapters, and reports listed in the references were selected for detailed review. In the third phase, the collected works are



scanned according to the concepts defined in the previous step and defined accordingly. Although special concepts and terms are often linked to certain authors, it is difficult to assign ownership definitively; however, each term is clearly referenced to its source where it is discussed in the text. This multi-step process, supported by the five criteria above and by systematic keyword searches, ensured a representative, coherent, and context-sensitive conceptual framework for analyzing Africanization in higher education.

Identification of Concepts: Relevant concepts related to Africanization were identified through an extensive literature review of scholarly articles, book chapters, and theoretical texts from multiple disciplines such as higher education, philosophy, cultural studies, and postcolonial studies.

Criteria for Inclusion: Concepts were included based on their relevance to higher education contexts, particularly African universities. Emphasis was placed on concepts frequently debated within African and diasporic scholarly literature. Key foundational, practical, critical, and diasporic concepts were prioritized.

Analytical Procedure: Each identified concept was critically examined in terms of its origins, definitions, theoretical significance, practical implications, and its interrelations or tensions with Africanization. Concepts were categorized according to their origins (African or diaspora), theoretical underpinnings (ideological, philosophical, practical, critical), and their relationship with higher education practices.

Critical Examination and Synthesis: The selected concepts were analyzed in depth, with attention given to internal contradictions, strengths, weaknesses, theoretical coherence, and practical applicability in the African context. The interplay, intersections, and divergences among concepts were critically evaluated to develop a coherent conceptual framework that could meaningfully inform policy development, curricular reforms, and institutional strategies in African higher education.

Concepts Related to Africanization

To systematically track and facilitate the understanding of the diverse concepts associated with Africanization, it is essential to categorize them effectively. However, defining, delimiting, and classifying these concepts remains a challenge due to their broad, interconnected, and sometimes overlapping nature.

Africanization-related concepts can be broadly divided into two primary categories (■ Table 1): *externally generated concepts*, which originated outside of Africa but significantly influence Africanization discourses, and *internally generated concepts*, which have been developed by Africans as part of intellectual, ideological, and practical engagements with Africanization.

The first category, *externally generated concepts*, includes ideas that were not initially developed within Africanization discourses but remain highly relevant due to their historical and contemporary impact on Africa. These concepts, such as *colonialism*, *postcolonial theory*, *apartheid*, *the Global South*, *third worldism* and *negritude*, provide essential context for understanding Africanization as a response to external forces. Additionally, another subset of externally generated concepts *globalization*, *internationalization*, *regionalization*, and *modernization* plays a significant role in shaping the broader context in which Africanization operates. While these concepts represent global dynamics that Africanization engages with, they also raise important debates about cultural, economic, and epistemological positioning. Although neocolonialism is often discussed as an internal challenge to Africa, its conceptual roots and implications align it with this category, given its connection to external economic and political structures.

The second category, *internally generated concepts*, consists of ideas explicitly developed within Africanization discourses by African scholars, intellectuals, and communities. While some of these concepts also engage with the African diaspora or have contributions from non-African scholars, they are fundamentally rooted in Africanization efforts. Within this category *the ideological foundations of Africanization* include *decolonialism*, *Afrocentrism*, *Pan-Africanism*, *the African Renaissance*, and *Black Consciousness*. These intellectual frameworks provide guiding principles for Africanization efforts, shaping discourses on identity, self-determination, and epistemic liberation. *The African philosophical and knowledge systems* category covers concepts like *Ubuntu*, *IKS*, *Ujamaa* and *African Feminism*. These ideas emphasize African communal values, indigenous epistemologies, and alternative knowledge frameworks central to Africanization. *The practical and institutional concepts* subcategory includes Africanization as a process, as well as institutional implementations like *Africanization*, *African* and the *African University*. These concepts address the operationalization of Africanization within education and institutional structures. *The diaspora and academic-oriented concepts* refer to fields of study such as *Africology*, *Africana Studies*, *Black Studies*, and *Afrofuturism*. These disciplines contribute to Africanization discourses from an academic and research-based perspective, often emphasizing historical and contemporary experiences of African and African-descended peoples. Lastly, *critical approaches to Africanization* and *Afrocentrism* *Afroskepticism*, *Afrorealism*, *Neoliberalism* provide nuanced perspectives that challenge, refine, or reassess Africanization discourses. This categorization highlights the necessity of a continuous reassessment of Africanization within broader global, regional, and ideological frameworks.



Externally Generated Concepts

Africanization is shaped by both externally and internally generated concepts. Externally generated ideas, often developed outside Africa, have played a foundational role in defining African identity, shaping historical contexts, and influencing global debates. This section examines key externally generated concepts that continue to impact Africanization.

Historical and Colonial Frameworks Shaping Africa

Colonialism

Colonialism refers to the political and economic control of Africa by European powers, particularly during the late 19th and 20th centuries. Rooted in capitalist expansion (Wabah & N-ue, 2020), colonialism significantly structured Africa's political, social, and economic landscapes. Despite formal decolonization, its legacy persists through socioeconomic inequalities and cultural dependencies, often framed under neocolonialism (Alemzung, 2010).

Postcolonial Theory

Postcolonial Theory, emerging in the 1980s, critically examines colonial legacies and their ongoing impact on formerly colonized societies (Elam, 2019). It challenges Eurocentric perspectives in academia and continues to evolve, addressing modern issues such as globalization, environmental justice, and human rights (Elam, 2019; Kalua, 2019).

Apartheid

"Apartheid", a form of internal colonialism, institutionalized racial segregation in South Africa from 1948 to 1994 (Vosloo, 2015). This system entrenched systemic racial inequalities, fostering political resistance that remains integral to post-apartheid Africanization. Its legacy continues to shape education, governance, and cultural identity in South Africa and beyond.

Concepts of External Identity Formation for Africa

As African nations gained independence, external frameworks continued to shape perceptions of Africa's place in the world. These concepts remain central to Africanization discourses, particularly in debates about economic justice, global inequality, and self-representation.

Global South

The Global South refers to economically developing regions, including many former colonies in Africa, Latin America, and Asia. While its definition is contested, the concept highlights global economic inequalities and the persistent structural disadvantages of post-colonial states (Chitadze, 2019; Horner & Carmody, 2019). Africa, especially Sub-Saharan Africa, is frequently positioned as a focal point in Global South debates, reinforcing its role in discussions on trade, knowledge production, and international development (Odeh, 2010).

Third Worldism

Third Worldism, emerging during the Cold War era, represented newly independent nations that sought non-alignment with Western capitalism or Eastern communism. This ideological movement emphasized national sovereignty, economic independence, and solidarity among marginalized nations. Although the term "Third World" has declined in academic use, its core principles continue to influence discussions on alternative development models and global inequalities (Berger, 2004).

Negritude

Negritude, founded in the 1930s by figures like Aimé Césaire and Léopold Sédar Senghor, was a literary and cultural movement aimed at affirming African identity in response to colonial oppression (Constant & Mabana, 2009). While criticized for essentializing African identity, Negritude played a crucial role in African literature, cultural nationalism, and postcolonial thought. Although it emerged outside Africa, particularly in the Caribbean and France, its legacy continues to shape Afro-diasporic consciousness and Africanization debates (Martins, 2020).

The concepts explored *Colonialism, Postcolonial Theory, Apartheid, Global South, Third Worldism, and Negritude* originate outside Africa but remain deeply embedded in Africanization discourses. While these ideas were not initially framed within Africanization, they are essential to understanding its historical development and intellectual responses. Their evolving meanings continue to shape discussions in higher education, political thought, and cultural identity, demonstrating the ongoing negotiation between African self-definition and external global frameworks.

Global Dynamics Impacting Africanization

Africanization aims to center African values, cultures, and historical contexts within higher education, knowledge production, and institutional frameworks (Horsthemke, 2007). However, it does not exist in isolation; it interacts with globalization, internationalization, regionalization, and modernization, each influencing Africanization in ways that create both opportunities and challenges. While some global forces can reinforce African epistemic agency, others risk promoting Western dominance and cultural homogenization.

Globalization

Globalization enhances technological advancements, knowledge exchange, and economic growth providing African scholars with greater global visibility (Schoole & De Witt, 2014). However, it also introduces significant challenges, such as Western epistemic dominance, economic dependency, and the marginalization of IKS (Horsthemke, 2006). Although globalization offers opportunities for African



voices to be amplified, it requires strategic engagement to prevent the dilution or co-optation of Africanization by dominant global forces.

Internationalization

Internationalization fosters academic mobility, research collaboration, and cross-cultural exchange, offering African scholars a platform for global engagement. However, its emphasis on universal academic standards often conflicts with Africanization's focus on localized knowledge (Makgoba, 1997, 1998). If not carefully implemented, internationalization may lead to academic alienation and the privileging of Western institutions in partnerships, perpetuating neocolonial academic structures. To balance these dynamics, African institutions must retain agency in shaping collaborations, ensuring that African epistemologies are actively integrated into global academia.

Regionalization

Regionalization, which promotes cooperation within African nations based on shared cultural and historical ties, is most aligned with Africanization (Sehoole & De Witt, 2014). It supports Pan-African academic collaboration, cultural and linguistic preservation, and economic self-sufficiency. However, regionalization also faces challenges, including external influence from non-African actors and unequal development among African nations (Botha, 2010). To maximize its benefits, regionalization must remain African-led, focusing on intra-African partnerships that prioritize self-reliance.

Modernization

Modernization, often associated with Western-centric models of development, presents epistemological and ideological conflicts with Africanization (Horsthemke, 2007). While it encourages technological progress and economic integration, it has historically disregarded IKS and reinforced external economic dependencies. However, modernization and Africanization do not have to be inherently opposed; hybrid models that integrate technological advancements while preserving cultural identity can provide a balanced approach to development.

Africanization interacts dynamically with global processes that either constrain or support its goals. While *globalization* and modernization often conflict with Africanization due to their association with Western dominance, cultural erosion, and epistemic dependency they also offer platforms for visibility and technological advancement if strategically approached. In contrast, *regionalization* aligns strongly with Africanization by promoting intra-African collaboration and self-reliance, though disparities and external influence pose risks. *Internationalization* presents a mixed picture: it can marginalise African epistemologies when driven by Western standards, yet offers meaningful opportunities for global engagement when African institutions retain agency. Overall, these relationships highlight the need for con-

text-sensitive strategies that safeguard Africa's intellectual autonomy while engaging with global academic systems on equitable terms.

Internally Generated Concepts

Ideological and Intellectual Foundations of Africanization

Africanization is grounded in internally generated concepts that challenge colonial epistemologies, reclaim African identity, and guide socio-political renewal. These ideological foundations *Afrocentrism*, *Pan-Africanism*, *African Renaissance*, *Decolonialism*, and *Black Consciousness* form the intellectual backbone of Africanization efforts.

Afrocentrism

Afrocentrism, developed by Molefi Kete Asante, emphasizes African history, culture, and intellectual traditions as primary reference points for research (Asante, 1980). It rejects Eurocentric paradigms, advocating for Africa-centered knowledge production (Mazama, 2001). Afrocentrism plays a crucial role in curriculum reform and historiography, ensuring that African narratives are reclaimed from colonial distortions (Asante, 2020). Despite critiques of romanticizing African identity, Afrocentrism remains essential for intellectual self-determination and resisting epistemic marginalization.

Pan-Africanism

Pan-Africanism, a political and intellectual movement, advocates for African unity, self-determination, and global solidarity. Emerging in response to colonialism and slavery, it underscores Africa's shared struggles and collective resistance (Appiah, 1992). The movement operates through three key principles: political independence, as seen in its crucial role in decolonization, with figures like Kwame Nkrumah advocating for continental liberation; African unity, institutionalized in organizations such as the African Union (AU) and the Organisation of African Unity (OAU); and cultural renewal, which focuses on reclaiming African identity, values, and heritage (Adi & Sherwood, 2003). Beyond its historical significance, Pan-Africanism remains relevant in Africanization debates, reinforcing Africa's intellectual and socio-political autonomy.

African Renaissance

The African Renaissance, championed by Nelson Mandela and Thabo Mbeki, advocates for the revival of Africa's intellectual, cultural, and political sovereignty (Mbeki, 1998). It emphasizes the rediscovery of African heritage by countering colonial narratives and reaffirming IKS (Mazrui & Laremont, 2002); political and economic self-sufficiency through African-led governance and equitable resource control (Adejumobi, 2001); and intellectual and cultural renewal by revitalizing African languages, artistic traditions, and philosophies (Ramose, 2003). Aligned with Africanization, the African Renaissance promotes decolonization and institutional empowerment, ensuring Africa's agency in shaping its future.

Decolonialism

Decolonialism challenges Eurocentric modernity and global knowledge structures, advocating for alternative epistemologies (Herzog, 2013; Woldegiorgis, 2020). It critiques the “metaphysical empire”, referring to colonial influences embedded in education, governance, and culture. Decolonialism is especially influential in South African scholarship, where debates on curriculum decolonization, epistemic justice, and IKS remain central (Lock, 2023). By addressing structural inequalities left by colonialism, decolonialism strengthens Africanization, moving beyond political independence to intellectual and cultural liberation.

Black Consciousness

The Black Consciousness Movement (BCM), developed by Steve Biko and Nyameko Barney Pitso, emerged as a response to systemic racial oppression under apartheid (Sono, 1993). It emphasized psychological liberation by encouraging Black self-awareness and resistance against internalized racial subjugation, as well as political empowerment by mobilizing African communities to reclaim their identities and agency (Hull, 2017; Lamola, 2016). Although critiqued for potentially contradicting non-racialist ideals, Black Consciousness remains a powerful influence on racial justice and Africanization discourses.

Afrocentrism, Pan-Africanism, African Renaissance, Decolonialism, and Black Consciousness collectively challenge colonial epistemologies and promote African-centered knowledge. These frameworks shape Africanization as an evolving movement, influencing education, governance, and cultural identity. By examining these ideological foundations, it becomes clear that Africanization is not only a response to historical oppression but a forward-looking effort to reclaim Africa’s intellectual sovereignty.

African Philosophical and Knowledge Systems

Africanization is rooted in indigenous philosophies, thought systems, and knowledge traditions that challenge Western epistemic dominance and promote African-centered development. Among these, Ubuntu, IKS, Ujamaa, and African Feminism provide critical frameworks for self-reliance, decolonization, and social justice.

Ubuntu

Ubuntu, a foundational African philosophical concept, emphasizes interconnectedness, collective responsibility, and communal well-being (Dolamo, 2013; Letseka, 2013). Rooted in Bantu traditions, Ubuntu translates to “I am because we are,” prioritizing social cohesion over individualism. It has been central to African liberation struggles, particularly in South Africa, where Nelson Mandela and Desmond Tutu used it in post-apartheid reconciliation efforts (Mangena, 2016). Ubuntu continues to shape Africanization discourses in governance, ethics, and education, influencing restorative justice, decolonization, and institutional transformation beyond Africa.

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS)

IKS refer to the knowledge, practices, and skills developed within African communities, spanning agriculture, medicine, environmental conservation, and governance (Nyoni, 2020). Historically marginalized under colonial rule, IKS is regaining recognition, with scholars such as Kwasi Wiredu (1980) and Paulin Hountondji (1996) advocating for its integration with contemporary science. Institutions like the African Union (2023) emphasize its role in sustainability, food security, and climate adaptation. Key applications of IKS include sustainable agriculture, as seen in traditional seed preservation techniques in Nigeria that enhance productivity; medicine, where indigenous healing practices contribute to public health strategies in collaboration with modern medicine (WHO, 2019); and education and governance, where community-based learning models align with African epistemological frameworks. By validating IKS, Africanization fosters epistemic justice and self-determined development, challenging Western knowledge hierarchies.

Ujamaa

Ujamaa, conceptualized by Julius Nyerere, represents Tanzania’s vision of African socialism, emphasizing communal development, economic self-sufficiency, and social equality (Sheikheldin, 2015). As an alternative to both capitalism and Marxism, it promoted rural collectivism through government-supported village cooperatives aimed at achieving economic self-reliance; education reform by fostering community-based learning, civic responsibility, and practical skills; and national identity by strengthening Swahili as Tanzania’s national language, reinforcing postcolonial identity formation (Blommaert, 2014). Although its practical implementation faced economic challenges, Ujamaa remains influential in Africanization debates on governance, education, and social justice (Kyando & Sumbizi, 2020).

African Feminism

African feminism, unlike Western feminist frameworks, is deeply rooted in the lived experiences of African women, prioritizing economic empowerment, political participation, and land rights (Ossome, 2020). Defined by “bread, butter, and power” struggles, it emerges from anti-colonial movements, Pan-Africanism, and labor activism (Mama, 2019). Key contributions include the decolonization of gender narratives, as African feminists reclaim historical and indigenous feminist traditions (Mama, 2019); economic and political agency, advocating for women’s leadership in governance and land ownership (Ossome, 2020); and community-oriented activism, which prioritizes collective empowerment over individualistic models. Despite misrepresentations as externally influenced, African feminism is intrinsically African, ensuring gender inclusivity within Africanization discourses.

Ubuntu, IKS, Ujamaa, and African Feminism anchor Africanization in local epistemologies, challenging colonial legacies and promoting self-reliance, inclusive governance,



and community-driven development. These concepts move Africanization beyond rejecting external influences toward actively constructing alternative paradigms of knowledge and social organization, ensuring that Africanization remains a self-defined, evolving process.

Institutional and Structural Applications of Africanization

As a post-colonial transformative framework, Africanization seeks to reclaim African identity and knowledge production, particularly in higher education. This involves decentering Western epistemologies, integrating IKS, and fostering contextually relevant scholarship. However, its implementation faces philosophical, pedagogical, and institutional challenges, including definitional complexities and debates on epistemological relativism. The concepts of Africanization, African identity, and the African University are central to operationalizing Africanization in academic institutions.

Africanization

Africanization is an evolving movement aimed at repositioning African perspectives in education, research, and governance (Letsekha, 2022; Prinsloo, 2010). Within higher education, it advocates for curriculum decolonization by adapting educational content to reflect African realities and intellectual traditions (Horsthemke, 2004; Letsekha, 2022); epistemological transformation through the integration of IKS alongside global academic standards (Prinsloo, 2010); and institutional restructuring to ensure that universities serve African societal needs rather than replicating colonial models. Despite its transformative potential, Africanization faces ongoing debates. Some scholars caution against epistemic relativism, arguing that uncritical Africanization may create false dichotomies between African and Western knowledge (Horsthemke, 2004). Others contend that Africanization is not exclusionary but rather an effort to reclaim intellectual sovereignty while engaging global paradigms (Botha, 2010). Achieving Africanization requires institutional commitment, interdisciplinary scholarship, and inclusive educational policies.

African

To engage meaningfully with Africanization, it is essential to define what constitutes “African” within scholarly and institutional contexts. While the term originates from geographical identity, its meaning extends to cultural, philosophical, and socio-historical dimensions (Van Wyk & Higgs, 2007). Being African involves historical and cultural belonging, encompassing connections to African heritage, traditions, and shared experiences; philosophical perspectives, engaging with thought systems such as Ubuntu, Ujamaa, and IKS; and intellectual agency, reclaiming African voices in knowledge production while resisting reliance on Western epistemologies. This fluid and relational definition reinforces the need for African-centered educational, research, and governance frameworks that reflect lived African realities.

The African University

The African University prioritizes African intellectual traditions, contextual realities, and societal needs, moving away from colonial academic models (Makgoba, 1998; Moulder, 1995). Its key principles include African agency in education, ensuring that universities address African-specific challenges rather than adhering to Western academic norms; the integration of IKS, embracing African epistemologies alongside global knowledge; a commitment to social transformation, positioning universities as drivers of Africa’s economic, political, and cultural renewal; and the adoption of African-oriented pedagogies that reflect African values and practices. Aligned with Afrocentric thought, as theorized by Asante (2020), the African University redefines knowledge production to serve African development rather than external interests. Despite debates over its feasibility, it remains central to Africanization, necessitating institutional reforms that challenge inherited colonial structures (Makgoba, 1998).

Africanization, African identity, and the African University collectively translate Africanization from theory into practice. Africanization requires curricular, epistemological, and institutional transformations to ensure that higher education reflects Africa’s intellectual traditions while engaging globally. The African University stands as a framework for self-determined education, fostering independent research and sustainable development. Through these concepts, Africanization moves beyond abstract discourse, shaping tangible reforms that ensure Africa’s intellectual and institutional landscapes reflect its historical experiences and aspirations.

Critical Approaches to Africanization

While Africanization is widely embraced as a transformative framework, it faces critical scrutiny and debate. Some scholars warn against epistemic relativism and ideological rigidity, while others highlight external forces that perpetuate Africa’s economic, political, and intellectual dependence. This section examines Afroskepticism, Afrorealism, and Neocolonialism, perspectives that challenge aspects of Africanization and raise essential questions about its implementation, limitations, and external constraints.

Afroskepticism

Afroskepticism critically examines Africanization, cautioning that its uncritical application could lead to intellectual insularity and academic decline. Key concerns include the uncritical prioritization of African traditions, which risks endorsing outdated knowledge systems without rigorous academic scrutiny (Horsthemke, 2006); tensions with multiculturalism and globalization, as an overemphasis on African identity may result in self-marginalization within global academia; and threats to academic freedom, where Africanization could impose ideologically driven curricula and policies that limit university autonomy (Horsthemke, 2017). Rather than rejecting Africanization entirely, Afroskepticism calls for a balanced approach that critically engages with IKS while maintaining academic rigor and inclusivity.



Afrorealism

Afrorealism responds to Afroskepticism by promoting a pragmatic and culturally sensitive approach to Africanization (Horsthemke, 2006). It advocates for acknowledging Africa's historical and cultural contexts without idealizing or rejecting external knowledge systems, adopting adaptable education models that integrate both indigenous and global perspectives, and taking a realistic approach to Africa's socio-political and economic challenges, ensuring that Africanization remains solution-driven rather than ideologically rigid. Afrorealism suggests that for Africanization to be sustainable, it must be both transformative and globally relevant.

Neocolonialism

Neocolonialism refers to the continuation of colonial-era exploitation under the guise of political independence, where many African nations remain economically and politically dependent on former colonial powers (Herzog, 2013). Mechanisms of neocolonial control include economic dominance through unfair trade agreements, foreign aid dependencies, and multinational corporations that reinforce external economic control (Herzog, 2013); political interference, where former colonial powers support authoritarian regimes or manipulate electoral processes (John et al., 2023); and cultural subjugation, as Western education, media, and economic models continue to shape African development discourses, often sidelining IKS. For instance, France institutionalized its neocolonial influence through bilateral agreements, maintaining economic leverage over its former colonies (Herzog, 2013).

Afroskepticism, Afrorealism, and Neocolonialism present critical challenges to Africanization. Afroskepticism warns against potential intellectual isolation and epistemic relativism, while Afrorealism advocates for a balanced, globally relevant approach. Neocolonialism highlights external forces that continue to hinder Africa's full sovereignty. Engaging with these perspectives enables Africanization to evolve into a more nuanced, contextually grounded framework that not only decolonizes knowledge but also ensures Africa's intellectual, economic, and political self-sufficiency.

Diaspora and Transnational Knowledge Disciplines

Afrocentrism serves as a foundational intellectual framework that centers African history, culture, and worldviews. Within the diaspora, it manifests in various disciplines, each offering unique methodological approaches to understanding African and African-descended experiences. The diversity of terminologies Black Studies, Africana Studies, Africology, and Afrofuturism reflects the expansiveness and complexity of African-focused scholarship. While these fields share Afrocentric principles, they differ in scope, emphasis, and disciplinary focus.

Africology

Africology, as defined by Asante (1987), is an extension of Afrocentrism that repositions African knowledge production at the center of scholarly inquiry. It challenges Eurocentric frameworks by advocating for African agency, rejecting portrayals of Africa as a passive subject in global history, and promoting knowledge reclamation to correct historical distortions and privilege African epistemologies. Africology also emphasizes interdisciplinary analysis, drawing from history, philosophy, and political science to examine African realities. Unlike Africana and Black Studies, Africology is distinct in its commitment to an exclusively African-centered worldview rather than a broader diasporic or multicultural approach.

Africana Studies

Africana Studies is broader than Africology, extending beyond Afrocentrism to explore the interconnected histories of Africa and its diaspora (Asante, 2020). It engages with Pan-Africanism and global Black identity, examining shared histories and cultural exchanges between Africa, the Americas, the Caribbean, and Europe. It also focuses on social justice and activism, addressing issues such as racism, economic inequality, and political disenfranchisement. Through interdisciplinary engagement, Africana Studies combines history, literature, and sociology to explore Black experiences. Emphasizing inclusivity and intersectionality, it serves as a central site for transnational Black intellectual thought.

Black Studies

Black Studies, closely related to Africana Studies, primarily focuses on African American history, culture, and resistance (Asante, 2020). Emerging from the Civil Rights and Black Power movements of the 1960s, it sought to correct the exclusion of Black experiences from academia. Its key themes include examining systemic racism and segregation, addressing the historical and contemporary struggles of African Americans; political resistance and activism, exploring movements such as civil rights, Black nationalism, and grassroots organizing; and being localized yet globally relevant, as it centers on the U.S. experience while engaging with broader diasporic struggles. Unlike Africology, which focuses on Africa as the central subject, Black Studies is deeply rooted in African American social movements.

Afrofuturism

Afrofuturism is a cultural and intellectual movement that merges science fiction, speculative history, technology, and Black identity (Elia, 2014). It challenges historical erasure and envisions alternative Black futures through literature, music, film, and visual arts. Key elements include counter-historical narratives, which rewrite history to center Black agency in global progress and innovation; speculative fiction and aesthetics, which blend mythology, digital culture, and African folklore to create new visions of Black identity; and an exploration of race, gender, and power,



addressing social justice issues through imaginative and futuristic frameworks (Grue, 2020). Afrofuturism is defined by its “hope impulse,” rejecting dystopian futures for Black people and instead envisioning excellence, resilience, and self-determined progress (Brown, 2022).

Diaspora and transnational knowledge disciplines namely Africology, Africana Studies, Black Studies, and Afrofuturism play vital roles in advancing Afrocentric intellectual traditions, each with distinct emphases. Africology adopts a strictly African-centred lens, focused on self-representation and the correction of historical distortions. Africana Studies takes a broader, interdisciplinary view of the global African diaspora, promoting Pan-Africanism, cultural exchange, and social justice. Black Studies, grounded in the African American experience, highlights racial resistance and community empowerment, often rooted in activism. Meanwhile, Afrofuturism combines speculative creativity with critical race theory to reimagine Black futures through art, literature, and technology. Collectively, these disciplines expand the theoretical and cultural scope of Africanization, bridging African and diasporic perspectives and reinforcing the global relevance of African intellectual thought (Asante, 2020).

Discussion

This study set out to clarify and categorize the diverse and often contested conceptual terrain surrounding Africanization in higher education. By applying a conceptual analysis methodology, the research systematically identified 29 core concepts, grouped into internally and externally generated frameworks, and critically examined their theoretical, ideological, and practical relevance. The aim was not only to systematise existing discourse but also to provide a foundation for informed policy-making and sustainable international collaboration. The findings confirm that Africanization is a dynamic, multidimensional process shaped by a broad spectrum of intellectual traditions, historical legacies, and contemporary global forces.

Africanization as a Multidimensional and Evolving Process

The results demonstrate that Africanization cannot be understood as a fixed ideology. Instead, it should be viewed as a multi-layered transformation agenda encompassing epistemological, institutional, cultural, and political dimensions. It involves the reclamation of African identity and knowledge systems, particularly through integrating Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), Ubuntu, and Afrocentrism into curriculum design and institutional culture (Higgs, 2016; Letsekha, 2022). At the same time, Africanization necessitates the reconfiguration of institutional structures historically modelled on colonial frameworks, aligning them with African contexts, languages, and societal priorities (Makgoba & Seepe, 2004; Ramose, 2003).

Interplay Between Internally and Externally Generated Concepts

The categorisation of concepts into internally and externally generated frameworks offers a novel lens for analysing the forces shaping Africanization. Internally generated concepts such as Afrocentrism, Pan-Africanism, African Renaissance, and Ujamaa are deeply rooted in African intellectual traditions and serve as instruments of epistemic sovereignty and cultural revival (Asante, 2020; Mazrui & Laremont, 2002). In contrast, externally generated ideas such as colonialism, postcolonial theory, globalization, and neocolonialism function as both historical constraints and analytical tools. These concepts shape how Africa is perceived globally and how African institutions negotiate their place within international academic and policy structures (Alemazung, 2010; Horner & Carmody, 2019).

This dual conceptual structure is essential for contextualising Africanization as a response to both internal aspirations for intellectual liberation and external pressures from global modernity and neoliberalism.

Complementarity and Tension: Africanization vs. Internationalization

A significant theme emerging from the analysis is the tension and potential synergy between Africanization and internationalization. While traditionally viewed as incompatible, this study supports a more nuanced perspective: the two can be mutually reinforcing if power asymmetries are addressed. Internationalization, when uncritically adopted, risks marginalising local knowledge systems and reinforcing Western epistemic dominance (Botha, 2010; Horsthemke, 2006). However, if African institutions retain agency in defining the terms of engagement, internationalization can offer platforms for amplifying African scholarship globally. The critical task is ensuring that international collaboration is anchored in African values, contexts, and intellectual autonomy.

This complementary potential is mirrored in national strategies. For instance, Türkiye’s ambitious higher education engagement in Africa including scholarships, student recruitment, and inter-university partnerships demonstrates how internationalization efforts can align with African-centric agendas (Waruru, 2022; Yüner et al., 2024). Similarly, China’s educational aid and capacity-building initiatives in Africa serve both its soft-power goals and support institutional development on the continent (King, 2013).

Ultimately, this section argues that effective internationalization must be co-designed to respect African contexts and knowledge systems. The critical task, is to develop partnerships where Africanization sets the terms of engagement, turning internationalization into a vehicle for equitable scholarly exchange and institutional empowerment.

The Value of Critical Perspectives

The inclusion of Afroscepticism and Afrorealism as critical dimensions of Africanization adds conceptual balance and intellectual honesty to the discourse. Afroscepticism cautions against the uncritical romanticisation of tradition and warns of potential intellectual isolation (Horsthemke, 2017). Afrorealism, by contrast, promotes a pragmatic synthesis, encouraging Africanization that is context-sensitive, globally informed, and grounded in real institutional and societal challenges (Nyoni, 2020). Together, these perspectives reinforce the importance of critical reflexivity in advancing Africanization beyond rhetorical posturing.

The African University as an Agent of Transformation

At the institutional level, the concept of the African University is central to the realisation of Africanization. Such a university is not merely defined by geography but by its epistemic orientation, curriculum design, and social function (Van Wyk & Higgs, 2007). It seeks to disrupt colonial academic models and reposition African institutions as centres of knowledge production rooted in local realities yet globally engaged (Makgoba, 1997; Moulder, 1995). The African University becomes a site of resistance and renewal, where intellectual sovereignty and global dialogue are not contradictory but co-constitutive.

Towards a Strategic and Contextualised Implementation

This study highlights the need for strategic, institution-specific approaches to Africanization. The framework developed herein can inform curriculum reforms, research agendas, and institutional policies that are grounded in African perspectives while responding to global academic standards. However, the conceptual clarity provided must now be matched by empirical engagement, through case studies, policy analysis, and institutional audits that evaluate how these ideas are being operationalised across the continent.

In sum, Africanization is best understood not as a nostalgic return to tradition, but as an intentional, future-oriented process that reclaims African agency in knowledge production while engaging critically and constructively with global systems.

Conclusion

This study provides a structured and critical conceptual framework for understanding Africanization in higher education, distinguishing between internally and externally generated ideas that shape its evolution. By identifying 29 key concepts and categorising them thematically, the research contributes to clarifying a complex and often fragmented discourse. Africanization emerges not as a static ideology but as a multi-dimensional and dynamic process grounded in epistemic sovereignty, cultural affirmation, and institutional transformation.

The findings underscore the potential for Africanization to coexist with internationalization, provided that African institutions assert intellectual agency and resist epistemic dependency. Moreover, the inclusion of critical perspectives, such as Afroscepticism and Afrorealism, enriches the discourse and urges a pragmatic, context-sensitive implementation of Africanization.

However, this study is limited by its conceptual nature; it does not empirically examine how these frameworks operate in specific institutional contexts. Future research should explore how Africanization is enacted in curricula, governance, and partnerships across diverse African universities. Comparative case studies and policy analyses could offer valuable insights into challenges, innovations, and best practices.

As African higher education navigates both local priorities and global engagements, a strategically grounded, intellectually rigorous approach to Africanization remains essential. This study offers a foundation upon which future empirical and theoretical work can build to further advance the transformation of African universities.

The findings of this study offer valuable implications for higher education stakeholders within Africa and internationally. For African higher education policymakers, institutions, and academics, the conceptual framework provides a foundation for developing contextually relevant education policies that integrate African philosophical and epistemological traditions. It also highlights the importance of curricular reform grounded in Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), linguistic inclusion, and community-responsive pedagogy across universities and community-based colleges. For international partners and global higher education networks, the framework encourages engagement with African institutions from a position of mutual respect, epistemic agency, and knowledge equity. Additionally, scholars and researchers can use the categorisation of concepts as a tool to evaluate the coherence and alignment of Africanization initiatives in diverse contexts. Ultimately, this study supports a strategic, reflexive, and action-oriented approach to Africanization that aligns local realities with global relevance.



References

- Adejumobi, S. (2001). Citizenship, rights, and the problem of conflicts and civil wars in Africa. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 23(1), 148-170.
- Adi, H., & Sherwood, M. (2003). *Pan-African history*. Routledge.
- African Union (AU). (2023). *Agenda 2063: The Africa we want*. African Union Publications. <https://au.int/en/agenda2063>
- Alemazung, J. A. (2010). Post-colonial colonialism: An analysis of international factors and actors marring African socio-economic and political development. *The Journal of Pan African Studies*, 3(10), 62-84.
- Appiah, A. (1992). *In my father's house: Africa in the philosophy of culture*. Oxford University Press.
- Asante, M. K. (1980). Intercultural communication: An inquiry into research directions. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 4(1), 401-410.
- Asante, M. K. (1987). *The Afrocentric idea*. Temple University Press.
- Asante, M. K. (2020). Africology, Afrocentricity, and what remains to be done. *The Black Scholar*, 50(3), 48-57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00064246.2020.1780859>
- Berger, M. T. (2004). After the third world? History, destiny, and the fate of third worldism. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(1), 9-39.
- Blommaert, J. (2014). *State ideology and language in Tanzania*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Botha, M. M. (2010). Compatibility between internationalizing and Africanizing higher education in South Africa. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 14(2), 200-213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315309357943>
- Brown, T. E. (2022). When tech meets tradition. In J. Decker & R. T. Jones (Eds.), *Black Panther and philosophy: What can Wakanda offer the world?* (pp. 65-76). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Chitadze, N. (2019). The global north-global south relations and their reflection on the world politics and international economy. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(1), 42-51.
- Constant, I., & Mabana, K. C. (Eds.). (2009). *Negritude: Legacy and present relevance*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Dolamo, R. (2013). Botho/Ubuntu: The core of African ethics. *Scriptura: International Journal of Bible, Religion and Theology in Southern Africa*, 11(2), 1-10.
- Elam, J. D. (2019). An anticolonial theory of reading. *PMLA*, 134(1), 172-177.
- Elia, A. (2014). The languages of Afrofuturism. *Lingue e Linguaggi*, 12, 109-120. <https://siba-ese.unisalento.it/index.php/linguelinguaggi/article/view/13733>
- Furner, J. (2004). Conceptual analysis: A method for understanding information science concepts. *Library Trends*, 52(2), 233-257.
- Grue, M. (2020). An Afrofuturistic vehicle for literacy instruction. *The English Journal*, 109(3), 69-76. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1241376>
- Herzog, B. (2013). Anticolonialism, decolonialism, neocolonialism. *The Encyclopedia of Global Human Migration*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444351071.wbeghm032>
- Higgs, P. (2016). The African Renaissance and the transformation of the higher education curriculum in South Africa. *Africa Education Review*, 13(1), 87-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2016.1186370>
- Horner, R., & Carmody, P. (2019). Global north/south. In *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography* (pp. 181-187). Elsevier BV.
- Horsthemke, K. (2004). Knowledge, education, and the limits of Africanisation. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 38(4), 571-587. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0309-8249.2004.00405.x>
- Horsthemke, K. (2006). The idea of the African university in the twenty-first century: Some reflections on Afrocentrism and Afrosepticism. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 20(4), 449-465. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC37268>
- Horsthemke, K. (2007). Local knowledge, assessment, and international standards. *Southern African Review of Education with Education with Production*, 13(1), 19-30.
- Horsthemke, K. (2017). Africanisation and diverse epistemologies in higher education discourses: Limitations and possibilities. In *Knowledge and change in African universities* (pp. 101-120). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6300-842-6_7
- Hountondji, P. J. (1996). *African philosophy: Myth and reality*. Indiana University Press.
- Hull, G. (2017). Black consciousness as overcoming hermeneutical injustice. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 34(4), 573-592.
- Hungwe, J. P., & Ndofirepi, A. P. (2022). A critical interrogation of paradigms in discourse on the decolonisation of higher education in Africa. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 36(3), 54-71.
- John, O. I., Messina, G. M., & Odumegwu, A. C. (2023). The effects of neocolonialism on Africa's development. *Pan-African Journal of Governance and Development*, 4(2), 3-35.
- Kalua, F. A. (2019). *African intellectuals in the post-colonial world*. Routledge.
- King, K. (2013). 4 Chinese Enterprise & Training in Africa: A theatre for win-win cooperation? In *China's Aid and Soft Power in Africa: The Case of Education and Training* (pp. 104-143). Boydell and Brewer. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781782041580-007>
- Kyando, N. M., & Sumbizi, E. J. (2020). Evolution and policy reforms in Tanzania: A glance on education sector development to year 2010. *Journal of Issues and Practice in Education*, 12(1), 119-136. <https://doi.org/10.61538/jipe.v12i1.913>
- Lamola, M. J. (2016). Biko, Hegel and the end of Black Consciousness: A historico-philosophical discourse on South African racism. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 42(2), 183-194.
- Letseka, M. (2013). Educating for Ubuntu/Botho: Lessons from Basotho indigenous education. *Open Journal of Philosophy*, 3(2), 337-344.
- Letsekha, T. (2013). Revisiting the debate on the Africanisation of higher education: An appeal for a conceptual shift. *The Independent Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 8(1), 5-18. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC145143>
- Letsekha, T. (2022). Decoloniality and Africanisation as instruments for change: A sociological discourse analysis of meanings and implications for higher education. *International Journal of African Higher Education*, 9(1), 73-93.
- Lock, E. (2023). Rethinking decolonization in "Sub-Saharan Africa": Toward reinventionism. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 60(2), 1120-1133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096231192330>

- Makgoba, M. W. (1997). *Mokoko: The Makgoba affair A reflection on transformation*. Vivlia.
- Makgoba, M. W. (1998). South African universities in transformation: An opportunity to Africanize education. In S. Seepe (Ed.), *Black perspectives in tertiary institutional transformation* (pp. 1–20). Vivlia.
- Makgoba, M., & Seepe, S. (2004). Knowledge and identity: An African vision of higher education transformation. In S. Seepe (Ed.), *Towards an African identity of higher education* (pp. 13–58). Vista University & Skotaville Media.
- Mama, A. (2019). African feminist thought. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History*.
- Mangena, F. (2016). African ethics through Ubuntu: A postmodern exposition. *Africology: The Journal of Pan African Studies*, 9(2), 66–80.
- Martins, Z. (2020). Movimento da negritude: Ethos político na França e no Brasil. *Revista da Associação Brasileira de Pesquisadores/as Negros/as (ABPN)*, 12(31), 365–390.
- Mazama, A. (2001). The Afrocentric paradigm: Contours and definitions. *Journal of Black Studies*, 31(4), 387–405.
- Mazrui, A. A., & Laremont, R. R. (2002). *Africanity redefined* (Vol. 1). Africa World Press.
- Mbeki, T. (1998). *Statement by Deputy President Mbeki at the African Renaissance Conference*. Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Moulder, J. (1995). Universities and “Africanization.” *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 9(1), 7–8.
- Nkoane, M. M. (2006). The Africanisation of the university in Africa. *Alternation*, 13(1), 49–69.
- Nyoni, P. (2020). The paradox of internationalizing higher education through Ubuntu philosophy. *Indilinga: African Journal of Indigenous Knowledge Systems*, 19(1), 110–125.
- Odeh, L. E. (2010). A comparative analysis of global north and global south economies. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 12(3), 1–15.
- Ossome, L. (2020). African feminism. In *Routledge Handbook of Pan-Africanism* (pp. 159–170). Routledge.
- Prinsloo, P. (2010). Some reflections on the Africanisation of higher education curricula: A South African case study. *Africanus*, 40(1), 19–31. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC22688>
- Ramose, M. (2003). I doubt, therefore African philosophy exists. *South African Journal of Philosophy*, 22(2), 113–127.
- Ramose, M. B. (1998). Foreword. In S. Seepe (Ed.), *Black perspective(s) on tertiary institutional transformation*. Vivlia.
- Rodgers, B. L. (2000). Concept analysis: An evolutionary view. In B. L. Rodgers & K. A. Knafel (Eds.), *Concept development in nursing: Foundations, techniques, and applications* (pp. 77–102). Saunders.
- Schoole, C., & De Wit, H. (2014). The regionalization, internationalization, and globalization of African higher education. *International Journal of African Higher Education*, 1(1), 217–241. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ijaha.v1i1.5648>
- Sheikheldin, G. H. (2015). Ujamaa: Planning and managing development schemes in Africa, Tanzania as a case study. *The Journal of Pan African Studies*, 8(1), 78–96.
- Sono, T. (1993). *Reflections on the origins of Black Consciousness in South Africa*. HSRC Publishers.
- UNESCO. (2024). *What you need to know about higher education in Africa*. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/what-you-need-know-about-higher-education-africa#:~:text=While%20enrolment%20in%20higher%20education,employment%20are%20reaching%20alarming%20levels>
- Van Wyke, B., & Higgs, P. (2007). The call for an African university: A critical reflection. *Higher Education Policy*, 20(1), 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.hep.8300143>
- Vorster, P. J. (1995). Africanization: An explanation and some educational implications. *South African Journal of Education*, 15(1), 6–12.
- Vosloo, R. R. (2015). The Bible and the justification of apartheid in Reformed circles in the 1940’s in South Africa: Some historical, hermeneutical and theological remarks. *Stellenbosch Theological Journal*, 1(2), 195–215.
- Wabah, G., & N-ue, U. S. (2020). The phenomenon of colonialism in Africa: contending theoretical perspectives. *NIU Journal of Humanities*, 4(4), 31–38.
- Waruru, M. (2022). Turkey in plans to woo African students to its universities. *The PIE News*. <https://thepienews.com/turkey-in-plans-to-woo-african-students-to-its-universities/>
- Wiredu, K. (1980). *Philosophy and an African culture*. Cambridge University Press.
- Woldegiorgis, E. T. (2020). The emergence of decolonisation debates in African higher education: A historical perspective. In *Decolonisation of higher education in Africa* (pp. 17–35). Routledge.
- World Bank. (2021). *Tertiary education in Sub-Saharan Africa: Impact of COVID-19 on tertiary education in SSA*. <https://thedocs.worldbank.org>.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. (2019). *Integrating traditional medicine into health systems: Global practices and challenges*. WHO Press. <https://www.who.int/publications>
- Xulu, V. S. (2015). The place of African renaissance in South African education. *Journal of Pan African Studies*, 8(2), 151–248.
- Yüner, B., Eriçok, B., & Ertaş, B. (2024). Remarks on Turkish public institutions engaged in the internationalization of higher education (IoHE). *Yükseköğretim Dergisi*, 14(3), 41–57. <https://doi.org/10.53478/yuksekogetrim.1338106>



Appendix: Tables

Table 1
Categorization of Concepts Related to Africanization

Category	Concepts	Scope
Externally Generated Concepts		
Historical and Colonial Frameworks Shaping Africa	Colonialism Postcolonial Theory Apartheid	Foundations of systemic oppression and resistance; legacy shaping African institutions and identity.
Concepts of External Identity Formation for Africa	Global South Third Worldism Negritude	Frameworks of marginalisation, solidarity, and cultural affirmation constructed outside Africa but deeply influential.
Global Dynamics Impacting Africanization	Globalization Internationalization Regionalization Modernization	Opportunities and challenges for African epistemic agency; influences on collaboration, policy, and knowledge production.
Internally Generated Concepts		
Ideological and Intellectual Foundations of Africanization	Decolonialism Afrocentrism Pan-Africanism African Renaissance Black Consciousness	Anchoring Africanization in intellectual self-determination, collective identity, and liberation from colonial epistemologies; fostering African agency and unity in knowledge production and cultural renewal.
African Philosophical and Knowledge Systems	Ubuntu Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) Ujamaa African Feminism	Promoting community-oriented values, Indigenous worldviews, and socially embedded knowledge systems that challenge Western individualism and patriarchal structures.
Institutional and Structural Applications of Africanization	Africanization African African University	Operationalising Africanization through curriculum, language, and institutional reform; redefining universities as centres of African knowledge and development aligned with local realities.
Diaspora and Transnational Knowledge Disciplines	Africology Africana Studies Black Studies Afrofuturism	Expanding Africanization through global Black intellectual traditions; bridging African and diasporic experiences to reimagine identity, resistance, and futures in interdisciplinary scholarship.
Critical Approaches to Africanization	Afroskepticism Afrorealism Neocolonialism	Providing reflexive critiques that question essentialism, emphasise pragmatic engagement, and expose ongoing external control in African knowledge and development systems.

Bu makale Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) Lisansı standartlarında; kaynak olarak gösterilmesi koşuluyla, ticari kullanım amacı ve içerik değişikliği dışında kalan tüm kullanım (çevrimiçi bağlantı verme, kopyalama, baskı alma, herhangi bir fiziksel ortamda çoğaltma ve dağıtma vb.) haklarıyla açık erişim olarak yayımlanmaktadır. / *This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License, which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution and reproduction in any medium, without any changing, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Yayıncı Notu: Yayıncı kuruluş olarak Türkiye Bilimler Akademisi (TÜBA) bu makalede ortaya konan görüşlere katılmak zorunda değildir; olası ticari ürün, marka ya da kuruluşlarla ilgili ifadelerin içerikte bulunması yayıncının onayladığı ve güvence verdiği anlamına gelmez. Yayıncının bilimsel ve yasal sorumlulukları yazar(lar)ına aittir. TÜBA, yayınlanan haritalar ve yazarların kurumsal bağlantıları ile ilgili yargı yetkisine ilişkin iddialar konusunda tarafsızdır. / *Publisher's Note: The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the publisher, nor does any mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by Turkish Academy of Sciences (TÜBA). Scientific and legal responsibilities of published manuscript belong to their author(s). TÜBA remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.*

