



FROM DIGITAL RESENTMENT TO RADICALIZATION: GAMERGATE AS A GATEWAY TO THE ALT-RIGHT

DİJİTAL HOŞNUTSUZLUKTAN RADİKALLEŞMEYE: GAMERGATE'İN ALT-RIGHT'A AÇILAN BİR GEÇİT OLARAK ROLÜ

Mert Can ATAR 

(Sorumlu Yazar-Corresponding Author)

İstanbul Aydın Üniversitesi, İletişim Fakültesi, Yeni
Medya ve İletişim, İstanbul, Türkiye
Faculty of Communication, Department of New Media
and Communication, Istanbul Aydın University,
İstanbul, Türkiye
mertatar@aydin.edu.tr

Araştırma Makalesi
Research Article

DOI: 10.20875/makusobed.1679380

Geliş Tarihi/Received	18.04.2025
Revizyon/Revision	02.08.2025
Kabul Tarihi/Accepted	29.09.2025
Yayın Tarihi/Publication Date	30.11.2025

Cite this article:

Atar, M. C. (2025). From digital resentment to radicalization: gamergate as a gateway to the alt-right. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Journal of Social Sciences Institute*, (42), 35-51.

Atf:

Atar, M. C. (2025). Dijital hoşnutsuzluktan radikalleşmeye: gamergate'in alt-right'a açılan bir geçit olarak rolü. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, (42), 35-51.

Abstract

This study analyzes the role of the GamerGate controversy in the digital evolution of alt-right discourse. Originating from gaming culture, the movement evolved into a radical subculture driven by opposition to woke values and political correctness. The alt-right framed these progressive discourses as elitist, exclusionary, and authoritarian, portraying themselves as culturally displaced. GamerGate facilitated digital radicalization by mobilizing disaffected white, male, and heteronormative users and weaponizing cultural grievances. A qualitative, theoretically grounded, and conceptually informed approach is adopted. The findings show that GamerGate impacted not only gaming culture but also the broader digital public sphere and political communication strategies. Tactics such as trolling, doxxing, and harassment became normalized, contributing to the rise of far-right's digital mobilization. Ultimately, GamerGate should be viewed as a critical precursor to platform-driven ideological polarization, marking an early stage in the formation of today's digitally mediated political landscape.

Keywords: GamerGate, far-right, alt-right, digital radicalization, woke discourse.

Öz

Bu çalışma, GamerGate olayının alt-right dijital söyleminin gelişimindeki rolünü analiz etmektedir. Oyun kültüründen doğan bu hareketin, zamanla "woke" ve politik doğruculuk söylemlerine karşı gelişen tepkiyle nasıl radikal bir dijital alt-kültür inşa ettiğini incelemektedir. GamerGate, sosyal adalet girişimlerini elitist ve dışlayıcı olarak çerçeveleyen bir ideolojik refleks geliştirmiş; özellikle beyaz, erkek ve heteronormatif kimlikleri tehdit altında hisseden bireylerin dijital radikalleşmesini hızlandırmıştır. Çalışma, niteliksel, kuramsal ve kavramsal analiz yöntemleriyle yürütülmüştür. Bulgular, GamerGate'in sadece oyun alanını değil, dijital kamusal alanı ve politik iletişim pratiklerini de dönüştürdüğünü göstermektedir. Hareket, trolleme, doxxing ve çevrimiçi taciz gibi taktikleri meşrulaştırmış, aşırı sağcı alt-kültürlerin dijital mobilizasyonuna zemin hazırlamıştır. Sonuç olarak GamerGate, platform merkezli kutuplaşmanın erken evresinde belirleyici bir dönüm noktası olarak değerlendirilmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: GamerGate, alt-right, aşırı sağ, dijital radikalleşme, woke söylem.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

GENİŞLETİLMİŞ ÖZET

Araştırma Problemi

Bu çalışma, GamerGate olayının, aşırı sağın dijital radikalleşme sürecinde, nasıl işlevsel bir rol üstlendiğini sorgulamaktadır. Dijital kültürdeki kimlik mücadeleleri ve politik doğruculuğa karşı geliştirilen tepkiler bağlamında, GamerGate’in siyasal kutuplaşma ve dijital örgütlenme süreçleri üzerindeki etkisi analiz edilmektedir.

Araştırma Soruları

Bu çalışma, aşağıda belirtilen üç temel araştırma sorusunu yanıtlamayı amaçlamaktadır:

- Araştırma Sorusu 1: GamerGate olayı, —aşırı sağın dijital veçhesi olan— alt-right’ın (alternatif-sağ) gelişiminde nasıl bir rol oynamıştır? Bu olay çevresinde şekillenen kültürel hoşnutsuzluklar, dijital ortamda nasıl ideolojik mobilizasyonlara ve radikalleşme süreçlerine dönüşmüştür?
- Araştırma Sorusu 2: GamerGate örneği, woke söylemine yönelik aşırı sağ yönelimli eleştirilerin nasıl yapılandığını ve bu söylemin dijital kültür savaşları bağlamında nasıl bir karşı-hegemonik anlatıya dönüştüğünü nasıl göstermektedir?
- Araştırma Sorusu 3: Sosyal medya platformları (özellikle 4chan, Reddit, YouTube, X) GamerGate’in radikalleştirici etkisini nasıl pekiştirmiştir? Bu dijital altyapılar, alt-right gibi oluşumlar için nasıl kimliksel bir seferberlik ve örgütlenme zemini sunmuştur?

Literatür Taraması

GamerGate olayı, 2014 yılında bir oyun geliştiricisine yönelik cinsiyetçi saldırılarla başlayarak, kısa sürede çevrimiçi taciz ve ideolojik kutuplaşmanın merkezi hâline gelen bir dijital kriz olarak ortaya çıkmıştır. Bu bağlamda olay, yalnızca oyun dünyasını değil, aynı zamanda daha geniş çapta siyasal kutuplaşmayı etkileyen bir dönüm noktası olarak değerlendirilmektedir. Literatürde GamerGate; erkek egemen oyuncu kimliğinin tehdit altında hissedilmesi, woke ve politik doğruculuk kavramlarına karşı gelişen tepkiler ile sosyal medyanın bu tepkileri örgütleyen bir araca dönüşmesi gibi temalar çerçevesinde ele alınmaktadır. Oyuncu (gamer) kimliği ise dijital ortamda değişen toplumsal normlar karşısında şekillenen ve çoğu zaman “kültürel dışlanma” duygusunu besleyen bir unsur olarak değerlendirilmektedir.

Woke söylemi, toplumsal adalet, eşitlik ve kapsayıcılık ilkeleri etrafında şekillenen; özellikle ırkçılık, cinsiyetçilik ve ayrımcılıkla mücadeleyi merkeze alan bir farkındalık biçimi olarak değerlendirilmektedir. Dijital kültürde ise kimi zaman toplumsal duyarlılık göstergesi, kimi zaman ise elitist bir dayatma olarak yorumlanmaktadır. Alt-right hareketi ise, beyaz üstünlüğü ve Batı uygarlığına yönelik tehdit algısı etrafında şekillenen, dijital mecralarda ironi, mem kültürü ve karşı-hegemonik söylemlerle güçlenen dijital bir aşırı sağ oluşumdur. Bu çalışma, woke söylemini kültürel hegemonya aracı olarak; alt-right hareketini ise buna karşı gelişen dijital bir reaksiyon biçimi olarak ele almaktadır.

Bu çerçevede, alt-right hareketlerin GamerGate gibi olaylar üzerinden kendilerini nasıl konumlandığı da literatürde önemli bir yer tutmaktadır. Alt-right gruplar, kendilerini “susturulan çoğunluk” veya “yeni marjinalleştirilenler” olarak tanımlayarak, woke kültürünü ve politik doğruculuğu, kültürel hegemonya araçları olarak kodlamaktadırlar. Bu bağlamda, kültürel hoşnutsuzluklar birer kimlik krizine dönüşmekte, bu krizler ise dijital platformlarda karşı-hegemonik ve radikal eğilimlerin güçlenmesine zemin hazırlamaktadır.

Mevcut çalışmalar, çoğunlukla feminist kuram, medya teorisi veya kültürel çalışmalar perspektifinden meseleye yaklaşmaktadır. Bu çalışma ise, söz konusu yaklaşımları dijital radikalleşme kuramı çerçevesinde bütünleştirerek, GamerGate’i aşırı sağın dijital alandaki siyasal görünürlüğü ve mobilizasyonu açısından tarihsel bir kırılma noktası olarak ele almaktadır. Nitekim bu olay, aşırı sağın marjinal ideolojileri ana akım dijital etkileşime dönüştürebilecek retorik araçlardan oluşan bir dijital cephaneliği -memler, ironi yüklü söylemler, oyunlaştırılmış düşmanlık ve algoritmik görünürlük gibi -ilk kez bütünlüklü biçimde edindiği anı temsil etmektedir.

Yöntem

Bu çalışma, nitel bir araştırma olarak tasarlanmış olup, eleştirel kültürel çözümleme yöntemine dayanmaktadır. Belirli bir vaka çalışması (GamerGate) üzerinden, dijital ortamlarda radikalleşme süreçlerinin ideolojik ve kültürel dinamikleri analiz edilmiştir. Çalışma, nicel veri veya teknik söylem çözümlemesi (discourse analysis) yürütmemekte, bunun yerine teori destekli argümantatif analiz yaklaşımıyla kuramsal literatürü yorumlamaktadır. Bu yöntemde kavramsal bağlantılar, tarihsel gelişim çizgileri ve dijital kültür içerisindeki duygusal/ideolojik yapı taşları betimsel ve analitik olarak değerlendirilmiştir.

Bulgular ve Sonuçlar

Bu çalışma, GamerGate olayını dijital kültür savaşlarının bir semptomu olmanın ötesinde, aşırı sağın dijital radikalleşme süreçlerinde bir dönüm noktası olarak analiz etmeyi amaçlamıştır. Araştırma sorularına yanıt olarak ulaşılan başlıca bulgu, GamerGate’in oyun toplulukları içindeki kültürel hoşnutsuzlukları sistematik bir siyasi söyleme

dönüştürerek, çevrimiçi alt-right mobilizasyonun erken aşamasını oluşturduğudur. Dijital ortamda şekillenen bu süreçte, politik doğruculuk ve woke kültürüne karşı geliştirilen karşı-hegemonik söylemler, yalnızca oyun dünyasına değil, daha geniş bir siyasal temsil krizine işaret etmektedir.

GamerGate vakası, platform temelli dijital iletişimin hem kimlik inşası hem de duygusal aidiyet üretimi açısından nasıl işlevselleştiğini göstermektedir. Katılımcıların kendilerini “susturulan çoğunluk” olarak konumlandırması, toplumsal kapsayıcılığa karşı yönelen reaksiyoner bir dilin gelişmesine zemin hazırlamıştır. Bu bağlamda çalışmanın bir diğer temel bulgusu, dijital platformların yalnızca içerik paylaşım araçları değil; aynı zamanda kimliklerin yeniden kurulduğu, ideolojik çerçevelerin yayıldığı ve siyasal kutuplaşmanın derinleştiği mekânlar haline geldiğidir.

Bu bağlamda dikkat çeken önemli bir diğer sonuç, GamerGate’in aşırı sağın dijital çağdaki dönüşümüne zemin hazırlamış olmasıdır. GamerGate, yalnızca içerik ve söylem üretimi değil, aynı zamanda örgütlenme biçimi ve duygusal mobilizasyon açısından da aşırı sağ için bir eşik işlevi görmüştür. Bu süreçte aşırı sağ, memetik anlatılar, ironik nefret söylemleri ve algoritmik görünürlük gibi dijital iletişim araçlarını kullanarak radikal mesajlarını yaymak için etkili bir repertuar geliştirmiştir. Böylece alt-right, hem görsel hem stratejik düzeyde dijital platformlara özgü yeni bir kimlik ve ifade biçimi kazanmıştır.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the relationship between digital media and political radicalization has become a central concern in media and cultural studies. One of the earliest and most illustrative episodes of this phenomenon is the GamerGate controversy, which erupted in 2014 and rapidly evolved from a dispute about journalistic ethics in video game culture into a broader ideological struggle. This study examines how GamerGate functioned as a formative episode in the digital development of alt-right discourse, shaping not only the culture of online harassment but also influencing the political strategies of movements like the alt-right. The central research question guiding this study is: *What role did the GamerGate controversy play in the digital development of the alt-right?* To explore this question, the study formulates the following hypotheses:

- (H1) GamerGate served as a gateway for individuals—especially disaffected white male users—to be gradually exposed to alt-right ideologies through online subcultures.
- (H2) The communicative tactics and emotional narratives developed during GamerGate (such as trolling, irony, and anti-feminist rhetoric) became foundational strategies adopted by alt-right political movements.
- (H3) GamerGate contributed to a symbolic backlash against progressive discourses, reinforcing narratives of cultural displacement and reverse marginalization in digital environments.

This research adopts a qualitative, interpretive approach rooted in critical cultural analysis. It draws upon interdisciplinary insights from political communication, digital media studies, and subcultural theory to analyze the ideological dynamics and affective mechanisms behind digital radicalization. Rather than applying formalized discourse analysis, the study engages in conceptual synthesis and theoretical interpretation to assess GamerGate's cultural significance within the far-right's digital evolution.

The importance of this inquiry lies in its ability to show how seemingly subcultural digital events can catalyze large-scale ideological shifts. GamerGate is not an isolated incident confined to gaming culture; rather, it has contributed to the normalization of alt-right narratives, the erosion of institutional trust, and the emergence of platform-based radical political communities. By framing GamerGate as a foundational case in digital radicalization, this study offers insights into how online grievances, identity struggles, and symbolic resistance shape the infrastructure of today's digitally mediated political realities.

2. IDENTITY POLITICS AND THE CULTURE WAR IN THE UNITED STATES

Identity politics emerged as an approach that centers the social, economic, and political demands of individuals and groups based on their identity affiliations. The term was first articulated in 1977 by the Combahee River Collective (CRC), a group of Black lesbian feminists who emphasized the intersectionality of multiple forms of oppression they faced (Léger, 2024, p. 20). CRC conceptualized identity politics as a means through which individuals could affect the most radical political change by focusing on the specific oppressions they experienced.

However, Slavoj Žižek (2018) criticizes identity politics for rejecting universality and rendering each group's experience unique and incommunicable. Francis Fukuyama (2018, pp. 7-8) also critiques identity politics, arguing that it shifts the focus from economic exploitation to status loss, with many individuals equating their economic grievances with a perceived disregard for their identity.

The history of identity politics is deeply rooted in the civil rights, feminist, and LGBTQ+ movements that gained momentum in the 1960s. What began as a struggle for equal rights gradually evolved into an emphasis on individual identities and lived experiences. However, this shift created a rupture within leftist politics. While traditional leftist movements aimed to build collective consciousness around exploited classes, identity-based politics became dominant by the 1980s, pushing class struggle into the background. Simultaneously, the political right also adopted identity frameworks—framing nationalism and conservatism as defenses against the perceived threats of immigration, globalization, and growing economic inequality. Those who felt their societal status eroding became the core support base for right-wing populist movements (Fukuyama, 2018, pp. 8–12).

The ascendance of identity-based political discourse has significantly contributed to the intensification of cultural polarization in the United States, giving rise to what is often referred to as the “culture wars.” Originating in the late 20th century, these conflicts have increasingly revolved around symbolic issues—such as race, gender, sexuality, religion, and national identity—rather than traditional economic divides (Hunter, 1992; Williams, 2017). As identity categories became central to political expression, opposing ideological camps began to frame social justice and multiculturalism either as progress or as threats to national cohesion. This binary framing not only deepened partisan divides but also redefined political allegiances around affective and moral commitments rather than policy preferences (Mason, 2018). As a result, American politics has become a battleground for competing cultural narratives, where moral superiority and symbolic representation take precedence over compromise or deliberation. It is within this context of intensified cultural antagonism that both the “woke” movement and the far-right emerged as emblematic forces of ideological identity politics.

Within this context, far-right identity politics coalesced around narratives of national identity, religious values, and anti-immigrant sentiment. Donald Trump's electoral success can be interpreted as a manifestation of this identity-based backlash. Many white working-class individuals who felt neglected and invisible turned toward right-wing populist rhetoric (Fukuyama, 2018, p. 16). The “culture wars” discussed in this study are thus analyzed through the lens of this ideological clash between left-leaning and right-leaning identity politics. In this framework, particular attention is given to the woke movement, often associated with political correctness and progressive social justice activism, and the far-right formation that counters these narratives. These opposing ideological poles represent not just different political visions but divergent understandings of identity, justice, and belonging in contemporary American society.

2.1. Political Correctness and the Woke Movement

Political correctness is defined as a discursive practice that encourages individuals to avoid discriminatory and exclusionary language by taking into account the social implications of their words (Zukić, 2017, p. 72). Its ideal aim is to increase mutual respect among social groups and eliminate biased discourse. Ullén (2024, p. 18) traces the origins of the concept to the women's liberation movement in North America during the 1970s. Political correctness aims to ensure social equality particularly through the transformation of linguistic codes, and over time, it has become a normative standard in academic and cultural circles that promotes specific modes of discourse (Zukić, 2017, p. 72). Beyond functioning as a communicative style, political correctness also operates as an ideological apparatus for internalizing specific values and social norms (Klotz, 1999, p. 156).

The term *woke*, which can be seen as the activist dimension of political correctness, signifies an awareness of and commitment to fighting social injustice. Originally used among African Americans in the early 20th century as a call for vigilance and resistance, its modern dissemination began with Erykah Badu's use of “stay woke” in her 2008 song *Master Teacher*, gaining global traction with the rise of the Black Lives Matter (BLM) movement (Madigan, 2023, p. 4). According to Madrid Gil (2023, p. 24.), the concept initially focused solely on racial injustice but has since expanded to include gender, sexual orientation, and other social inequalities. Although initially an expression of consciousness and activism, *woke* has evolved into a highly politicized and contested term, increasingly used pejoratively in conservative circles. In this process, *woke* has become associated with political correctness, cancel culture, and critical race theory, and has taken on diverse connotations within media discourse (Vogelaar, 2023, pp. 10–11).

Moreover, the *woke* has become a central element of the culture wars and is often invoked as a marker of political polarization in the United States (Muste, 2014, p. 432). Paché (2022, p. 8) argues that *woke* ideology may pose a threat to academic freedom by promoting the censorship of controversial ideas in some universities. Thus, *woke* has shifted from a mere social awareness movement into a battleground within academic and political discourse. While conservative actors frame *woke* as a threat to individual freedoms and traditional values, its advocates portray it as an essential component of the struggle for social justice.

Digital media plays a pivotal role in both disseminating and reshaping the discourse around “wokeness,” transforming it from a grassroots progressive concept into a highly politicized and polarizing label. Sobande, Kanai, and Zeng (2022, pp. 1579–1583) identify four dominant frames in which digital media portrays woke: (1) as hypersensitivity, often mocked through terms like “snowflake” or “SJW”; (2) as performative activism or “Instagram activism”; (3) as a corporate branding tool for signaling virtue; and (4) as a contradictory, contested term praised and ridiculed in equal measure. These framings are particularly prevalent in far-right digital ecosystems, where anti-woke rhetoric serves as a central tool of cultural antagonism (Lewis, 2020; Marwick & Partin, 2022). Far-right influencers often engage specifically with the

first two frames -mocking progressive ideals as fragile, elitist, or insincere- and employ memes, trolling, and irony to discredit them (Topinka, 2018; Nagle, 2017).

This antagonistic framing is not merely rhetorical; it is ideologically embedded in the broader far-right media strategies. The use of “woke” as a pejorative serves to delegitimize progressive movements by reframing calls for social justice as authoritarian, censorious, or morally incoherent (Lewis, 2020; Guhl et al., 2022). Digital spaces such as 4chan, Reddit, and YouTube have become breeding grounds for these counter-discourses, where the term “woke” becomes a shorthand for a perceived liberal elite disconnected from “real” national or traditional values (Munn, 2022; Schradie, 2019). Through this lens, the far-right utilizes anti-woke rhetoric not only to mock progressive ideals but to solidify its own cultural identity through opposition and affective boundary drawing.

Ultimately, the cultural controversy surrounding political correctness and the woke movement cannot be reduced to a binary clash between progress and regression. Rather, it should be understood as a deeper contestation over who holds symbolic power in shaping moral norms, identity recognition, and the language of legitimacy. While progressive activists employ “wokeness” as a framework for advocating inclusion and systemic awareness, opponents interpret it as a mechanism of elite moral enforcement and ideological conformity. This dialectic produces fertile ground for polarization, where discourses of social justice are reframed by adversaries as authoritarian or exclusionary. These tensions do not remain abstract; they manifest concretely in digital arenas—particularly in spaces like gaming communities—where identity, belonging, and power are continuously negotiated. As such, the backlash against political correctness is not merely rhetorical but intimately tied to broader anxieties about cultural displacement, providing an emotional and ideological bridge to far-right radicalization.

2.2. Ideological and Digital Continuities Between the Far Right and the Alt-Right

Far-right ideology is rooted in the assumption that certain social groups possess an intrinsic, immutable essence. This essence is ascribed to these groups through a narrative of historical continuity and is viewed as an innate characteristic that individuals carry from birth. Typically associated with a particular ethnic or national identity, this “superior essence” is legitimized through claims of natural hierarchical supremacy, implying that such qualities are absent in other groups. Far-right thought structures social order around this essentialist framework, emphasizing hierarchy and security, and seeks to preserve and reinforce the status of the group presumed to be superior. Within this logic, the use of force and authoritarian mechanisms is viewed as a legitimate means of governance, particularly in contexts framed as existential threats to national unity—such as immigration and security.

In this study, the far right is conceptualized as a reactionary, protest-driven, and often irrational ideological movement primarily embraced by middle- and lower-class populations who perceive their former economic and cultural privileges to be under threat. The ideology not only frames issues such as immigration and national security as dangers to public order but embeds these fears within broader cultural and social anxieties.

In this context, culture wars have become a central dynamic in political and societal polarization, particularly in the digital era where far-right movements have gained significant momentum. Hunter (1992) describes these culture wars in the U.S. as tensions between conflicting moral and ideological worldviews that shape the country’s social, economic, and political orientations. These conflicts extend beyond religion and ethics to include identity politics, immigration, multiculturalism, and gender issues. Davis (2019, p. 4) highlights the role of far-right media platforms such as *Breitbart* in fueling these conflicts by amplifying certain narratives and manipulating public discourse. Far-right ideology instrumentalizes culture wars to advance its political agenda and deepens these conflicts through identity-based polarization. Butt and Khalid (2018, p. 113) note that the rise of far-right politics in the U.S. is closely tied to economic anxieties and identity crises, particularly among the white working class affected by the 2008 financial crisis.

However, far-right movements are driven not only by economic concerns but also by fears of identity and cultural displacement. For example, white supremacist groups are mobilized by demographic changes that they perceive as a threat to white dominance in the United States. The term alt-right, short for “Alternative Right,” was coined by Richard Spencer in 2008. The movement gained mainstream recognition during Donald Trump’s presidential campaign and presidency, which facilitated the online mobilization of far-right ideologies. According to the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC), the alt-right is composed of far-right

ideologies, groups, and individuals united by the belief that “white identity” is under attack by multicultural forces operating through political correctness and social justice rhetoric.

Within this broader landscape, the alt-right represents a digitally rebranded and technologically embedded version of traditional far-right ideology. While conventional far-right movements rely on overt expressions of nativism, authoritarianism, and xenophobia, the alt-right conveys these same messages through internet-native tools such as memes, trolling, and irony—effectively translating exclusionary ideologies into formats more palatable to digitally native youth audiences (Thompson & Hawley, 2021; Brown et al., 2021). This strategic repackaging does not merely modernize the far-right's aesthetic but also transforms its communicative logic. The ideological continuity with older far-right positions remains intact, yet the alt-right operates through new media environments and virality-based engagement strategies.

The alt-right is distinguished by its heavy use of social media, trolling, memes (such as *Pepe the Frog*), its rejection of mainstream conservatism, provocative rhetoric, youth-focused messaging, and a strong emphasis on white identitarianism (Winter, 2019, pp. 49–50). The movement has expanded its influence through platforms like *Breitbart* and uses internet forums and social media to radicalize younger audiences (Davis, 2019, p. 4). In this context, the alt-right can be seen as a digitally reshaped version of traditional far-right ideologies. Through aesthetic tools such as humor, irony, and visual symbols, these ideas reach broader and younger online audiences, forming new modes of radicalization. For conceptual clarity, this study uses “far-right” as an umbrella term, with digital practices (alt-right) viewed as its contemporary variants.

One of the key strategies employed by the alt-right in digital spaces is the use of memetic propaganda. Dafaure (2020, pp. 2, 20) characterizes the so-called “Great Meme War” as a far-right tactic that utilizes humor and visual content to disseminate ideological narratives and appeal to broader audiences. Platforms such as 4chan, 8chan, Reddit, and Telegram serve as central nodes for the circulation of such content, where memes, irony, and satire are mobilized to frame mainstream media as an ideological adversary. These materials often convey racialized or anti-immigrant messages and function as tools for political antagonism, contributing to the normalization of extremist discourses—particularly among younger users.

Another key strategy is the use of “digital guerrilla warfare” tactics. Cesarino and Nardelli (2021, pp. 17, 19) describe how the far right borrows from military strategies in the digital sphere. These include smokescreening (spreading misleading information), false flagging (staging attacks under fake identities), and firehosing (flooding the public with falsehoods). The aim is to destabilize credible sources and deepen societal polarization. For instance, during the 2020 U.S. presidential election, far-right groups propagated coordinated narratives about electoral fraud, which became a key driver of the January 6th Capitol insurrection (Masalha & Baş, 2023, p. 159).

A further tactic is a process known as “redpilling”, which refers to individuals' gradual exposure to far-right ideologies, especially via online forums. In this context, being “redpilled” means “seeing the truth” and “exposing liberal propaganda”—a message that particularly targets young men (Winter, 2019, pp. 52–53). This normalization encourages radical recruitment and can escalate into organized action or violence. Through targeted online harassment campaigns, far-right groups seek to silence dissent and intimidate critics. One of the most notable examples of such digital harassment and ideological mobilization is the GamerGate controversy, widely considered a landmark case of online misogyny, radicalization, and coordinated trolling (Miller-Idriss, 2023, pp. 228–229). It exemplifies the mechanisms through which the far right weaponizes digital culture to promote its worldview and construct a hostile environment for marginalized voices.

Taken together, the evolution of the far right into its alt-right variant demonstrates how ideological extremism has adapted to and thrived within the digital ecosystem. No longer confined to traditional hierarchies or overt nationalist rhetoric, far-right movements now operate through gamified, ironic, and emotionally resonant content, reshaping their communication strategies to appeal to digitally native audiences. Tactics such as meme warfare, algorithmic amplification, and participatory disinformation have not only blurred the lines between entertainment and ideology but have also transformed digital platforms into accelerators of radicalization. To fully grasp how these transformations occur and why they are so effective, the next section turns to the mechanisms and dynamics of digital radicalism—a process deeply embedded in the technological and emotional infrastructure of online life.

3. DIGITAL RADICALISM AND THE FAR RIGHT

Radicalization is commonly understood as a multifaceted and gradual process whereby individuals or groups come to embrace extremist ideologies that markedly deviate from societal norms and dominant cultural

values. This trajectory may eventually lead to the endorsement or perpetration of politically motivated violence (Marwick & Furl, 2021; Marwick et al., 2022). Fundamentally, radicalization entails a transformation in one's belief systems and attitudes, fostering increased openness to radical perspectives. This cognitive shift often reshapes the individual's worldview and can manifest in corresponding changes in behavior (Neumann, 2013).

Sunstein (2009) identifies several mechanisms that drive this process, including group polarization, information control, validation dynamics, and the pursuit of social status. Group polarization refers to the tendency for individuals to adopt more extreme views when interacting primarily with like-minded others. When the flow of information is ideologically constrained, individuals are less likely to encounter dissenting perspectives and therefore more susceptible to rapid radicalization. Validation mechanisms operate through a sense of belonging to a group, enabling individuals to legitimize extremist attitudes through perceived social alignment. Additionally, the desire for intra-group status can motivate individuals to adopt more radical positions in pursuit of recognition and influence (pp. 2,4, 21–27).

McCauley and Moskaleiko (2017) offer a complementary explanation through their pyramids of radicalization model, which outlines how individuals may evolve from holding moderate views to embracing radical ideologies. According to this model, social group dynamics—particularly sustained interaction within ideologically homogeneous groups—reinforce and intensify existing beliefs (Frissen, 2021, p. 2). Digital technologies further accelerate this process. Digital platforms foster echo chambers that isolate users from ideological diversity, exposing them predominantly to content that confirms their pre-existing beliefs (Sunstein, 2017, p. 80). Through algorithmic personalization, social media not only expedites radicalization but increases user exposure to extremist content (Valentini, Lorusso & Stephan, 2020, p. 5). The more users encounter extremist material online, the more likely they are to normalize such discourse and become receptive to radical ideologies, particularly among youth who are targeted with belonging-oriented narratives (Hassan et al., 2018, pp. 72, 83).

Digital platforms have proven particularly effective for disseminating far-right ideologies. Platforms such as 4chan, 8kun, Discord, and Steam have hosted extremist communities (Marwick & Lewis, 2018, p. 5; Kowert, Martel & Swann, 2022, p. 2). Zhang and Davis (2022, p. 2955) refer to this phenomenon as e-extremism, a decentralized network structure that connects a variety of radical actors online. Nagle (2017, pp. 10-11) characterizes the rise of digital extremism as a leaderless but potent counterrevolution, highlighting how online movements rapidly shifted in the early 2010s. These environments provide individuals with both ideological identity and justification for extremist beliefs. Far-right groups exploit digital spaces as venues for propaganda, constructing tightly knit cognitive environments where echo chambers restrict critical thinking and reinforce ideological conformity. Repeated exposure to ideologically homogeneous content desensitizes users to opposing viewpoints and fosters an increased susceptibility to radical messaging (Sunstein, 2017, pp. 8–9, 128). Continuous exposure to such narratives leads individuals to perceive extremist positions as normal and legitimate (Hassan et al., 2018, p. 83).

Gaming platforms also play a significant role in far-right radicalization. In-game identity fusion creates strong emotional ties among users, increasing their vulnerability to extremist influence (Kowert, Martel & Swann, 2022, pp. 1–2). Roberts-Ingleson and McCann (2023) argue that social homogeneity strengthens online echo chambers, thereby intensifying polarization (p. 42). Similar dynamics are observed within gaming communities. According to White et al. (2024), the popularity and cultural impact of the gaming industry is expected to exceed \$300 billion by 2028. The same study warns of the growing potential for exploitation by extremist actors. Events like the 2019 Christchurch attack underscore the intersection between radicalization and gamified violence (p. 5). These platforms expose users to ideologically reinforcing content, further accelerating radicalization (Valentini, Lorusso & Stephan, 2020, p. 5). Zhang and Davis (2022, p. 2957) note that the relationship between gaming culture and far-right communities is increasingly framed as a struggle against cultural and political hegemony.

Far-right movements have become increasingly adept at using digital tools for disinformation, propaganda, and radical recruitment. Tactics such as meme warfare, trolling, and AI-generated disinformation are now integral to extremist digital strategies (Risius, Blasiak & Wibisono, 2024, p. 932). Nagle (2017, p. 86) explores how the “manosphere”—online communities centered on male identity—functions as a gateway to far-right ideologies through anti-feminist rhetoric. The use of ironic or humorous hate speech lowers resistance to extremist narratives and normalizes them within digital discourse (Marwick & Lewis, 2018, p. 7). Algorithm-driven echo chambers further intensify this exposure, gradually radicalizing individuals over time (Valentini, Lorusso & Stephan, 2020, p. 5).

In sum, digital platforms have not merely served as channels for far-right ideology, but have become immersive ecosystems where cultural resentment, identity displacement, and political radicalization converge. Through algorithmic reinforcement, memetic expression, and emotionally charged subcultures—particularly within gaming communities—extremist actors have cultivated new modes of engagement that mask ideology under the guise of humor, irony, or free speech. This architecture of affective polarization not only normalizes radical worldviews but also embeds them into the everyday digital experiences of disaffected users. Crucially, these dynamics do not unfold in a vacuum; they are deeply rooted in broader socio-political tensions around identity, belonging, and symbolic power. Among the most revealing episodes where these dynamics coalesced is the GamerGate controversy—a formative case in which digital resentment, gender politics, and online mobilization converged into a coordinated culture war. The following section explores how GamerGate evolved into a prototype for far-right digital radicalization, marking a critical inflection point in the politicization of online communities.

4. GAMERGATE AS A CASE OF DIGITAL CULTURE WAR

The GamerGate controversy, which began in August 2014, was a complex and highly mediatized controversy that intertwined online harassment with broader debates about gender, ethics, and politics within gaming communities. The dispute was initially triggered by a blog post written by Eron Gjoni, the ex-boyfriend of game developer Zoë Quinn, who claimed that Quinn had engaged in a romantic relationship with a journalist to receive favorable reviews. Although these allegations were never substantiated, they ignited a massive digital movement framed under the guise of advocating for “ethics in game journalism” (Perreault & Vos, 2016; Ferguson & Glasgow, 2021).

While the movement initially focused on journalistic ethics, it quickly devolved into a campaign characterized by misogyny and harassment. Alongside Quinn, other women—most notably feminist media critic Anita Sarkeesian and developer Brianna Wu—became prime targets. Sarkeesian, known for her critiques of sexism in video games, faced intense backlash, including threats of violence (Cross, 2019; Salter, 2017; Jenson et al., 2024). The term *GamerGate* soon became synonymous with this hostile environment, marked by coordinated attacks against those advocating for diversity and equality in gaming (Wells et al., 2023; Gray et al., 2017).

As the controversy escalated, platforms such as Twitter (renamed as “X”), 4chan, and Reddit became virtual battlegrounds where conflicting GamerGate narratives clashed. The movement positioned itself as a form of “solidarity among gamers,” casting its supporters as defenders of traditional gaming culture against the perceived encroachment of feminists and social justice advocates. This victimhood narrative galvanized like-minded individuals and contributed to GamerGate’s broader diffusion in online culture, linking it with alt-right networks (Braithwaite, 2016; Bezio, 2018).

4.1. The Politicization of Gamer Identity

Although some scholars acknowledge demographic diversity among GamerGate supporters, many analyses highlight an ideological alignment with right-wing or conservative views (Ferguson & Glasgow, 2021). Moreover, the movement offered fertile ground for the growth of more radical ideologies, often overlapping with alt-right discourse, especially concerning masculinity and racial purity (Blodgett, 2019a; Bezio, 2018). The effects of GamerGate extended far beyond the gaming industry, becoming intertwined with key political events such as the 2016 U.S. presidential election, contributing to a broader radicalization of online discourse (Gandolfi & Ferdig, 2021).

Gamer identity in the digital world represents a complex and multifaceted construct shaped by various social, cultural, and technological factors. As the lines between players and game designers fade within online communities, contemporary gamers enact multiple identities, evolving their roles within participatory cultures. This shift is particularly visible in massive multiplayer online role-playing games (MMORPGs), where players not only engage in gameplay but also contribute to the game's ecosystem through modding and community involvement, thus developing dual identities as both players and creators (Ryu & Jeong, 2018). The construction of gamer identity is deeply intertwined with social perceptions, including gender norms and community expectations. Research has indicated that male gamers often navigate complex identities shaped by hegemonic masculinity, where they embody ideals of toughness and competence in virtual realms (Majamäki, 2016). Conversely, female gamers may experience paradoxical pressures; despite their growing numbers in the gaming community, many still feel compelled to downplay or hide their identity due to the

prevailing hostile environment within gaming communities, commonly perceived as toxic (McLean & Griffiths, 2013).

The “gamer” identity became a critical site for alt-right visibility. GamerGate supporters often consisted of individuals who felt their status and cultural territory were under siege (Mortensen, 2016, p. 11). Many gamers perceived the increasing visibility of women and LGBTQ+ individuals in gaming as a threat to their identity and community (Romero-Medina & Vilasis-Pamos, 2024, p. 175). GamerGate thus functioned as a reactionary movement, reflecting a desire to preserve a perceived “authentic” gaming culture.

While feminist critiques emphasize how gaming culture has historically marginalized women and LGBTQ+ individuals, it is also crucial to examine the perceived cultural displacement felt by certain segments of the traditional gamer community. Many GamerGate supporters interpreted the increasing emphasis on diversity and social justice as a disruption of an identity space they considered apolitical and meritocratic. This perception was not solely driven by overt misogyny but also by a reactionary stance against what they viewed as the politicization of their cultural domain. As Nagle (2017) suggests, digital subcultures often serve as havens for individuals who feel culturally excluded or misunderstood by dominant liberal narratives. The alt-right’s ability to weaponize this alienation—by framing progressivism as a form of elitist moral policing—allowed them to recast cultural anxiety into ideological opposition. In this sense, the gamer identity became both a symbolic refuge and a vessel for counter-hegemonic resistance.

Building on this layered understanding of gamer identity, GamerGate became a focal point where perceived threats to that identity were intensely politicized. Supporters of the movement—primarily male gamers—saw the growing presence of women, LGBTQ+ individuals, and progressive narratives in gaming spaces as a disruption to what they considered an “authentic” gaming culture (Romero-Medina & Vilasis-Pamos, 2024, p. 175). This perceived encroachment triggered a reactionary response aimed at reclaiming a traditional, exclusionary vision of gamer identity aligned with hegemonic masculinity. As Mortensen (2016, p. 11) observes, many participants felt culturally displaced and mobilized around a shared sense of loss and resentment. Within this context, GamerGate functioned not just as a backlash, but as a cultural battleground in which the alt-right found fertile ground to amplify its ideological messages through gamer-centric narratives of victimhood and territorial defense.

4.2 GamerGate as a Precursor to Digital Far-Right Mobilization

The movement’s connection to the alt-right became especially significant as GamerGate shifted from a discourse on journalistic ethics to a platform for far-right rhetoric (Dafaure, 2020, p. 9). Alt-right leaders strategically utilized GamerGate to merge gamer identity with far-right ideology, leveraging the movement’s emotional intensity for political ends (Bezio, 2018, p. 8). Figures like Milo Yiannopoulos and Steve Bannon saw GamerGate as an opportunity to co-opt gamer communities by exploiting their sense of anger and marginalization (Wells et al., 2023, p. 477). This dynamic fostered a persistent “us vs. them” narrative, portraying gamers as victims of external cultural forces (Wells et al., 2023, p. 476), closely mirroring alt-right grievance politics (Dafaure, 2020, p. 9). Many participants framed the conflict as a cultural counter-offensive against perceived exclusion by feminists and “social justice warriors” (SJWs) (Salter, 2018, p. 253). Primarily, an SJW refers to individuals who actively advocate for progressive values such as equality, environmental justice, and feminist causes. However, the term has garnered a pejorative connotation, particularly among critics who view SJWs as overly aggressive or excessive in their approach to activism (Hartanto et al., 2020, p. 107).

Beyond its ideological alignment with the alt-right, GamerGate also introduced a set of digital practices and rhetorical strategies that deeply influenced online political culture. GamerGate’s impact on digital culture was profound. It transformed not only gaming communities but also broader online discourses and political engagement strategies. Tactics such as doxxing, trolling, and cyber-harassment became normalized and later adopted by alt-right groups as standard practice (Wells et al., 2023, p. 477). This development accelerated online radicalization and contributed to the politicization of social media platforms (O’Donnell, 2019, p. 18). Moreover, GamerGate constructed a militarized narrative, framing the movement as a strategic battle and casting feminists as the enemy. As O’Donnell (2019, p. 12) notes, the movement repurposed the aesthetics of war gaming into ideological combat. In this sense, GamerGate stands as a case that illustrates how game aesthetics and political ideologies can merge in digital spaces.

In the years following GamerGate, the movement catalyzed a wave of backlash against left-leaning voices, becoming a flashpoint in broader cultural conflicts. Scholars such as Hagen (2023) argue that the

resentment generated during GamerGate did not dissipate but was absorbed by far-right groups seeking to bolster populist movements like the alt-right. These sentiments resonated especially in the politically charged climate preceding the 2016 election, influencing political rhetoric and campaign narratives.

The disillusionment with mainstream media and growing hostility toward progressive politics created structural opportunities for figures like Donald Trump, who appealed to marginalized and disaffected groups. Academic studies emphasize that online communities emboldened by incidents like GamerGate have played a pivotal role in shaping political identities and discourse. The intersection between online mobbing and real-world politics has produced a more aggressive rhetorical landscape around themes such as identity, representation, and cultural hegemony (Chen et al., 2024; Blodgett, 2019b; Butt, 2019).

By 2025, these dynamics have irreversibly altered both digital and social spheres. With the proliferation of new online platforms, tactics developed during GamerGate—such as hashtag-driven mobilization and coordinated harassment—have been repeatedly reused. Research indicates that political mobilization in digital contexts has undergone systemic changes, with GamerGate as a template for subsequent campaigns (Harley, 2019; Blodgett, 2019b). The once-fringe discourse opposing “political correctness” and “SJWs” has entered mainstream political rhetoric, providing a cohesive ideological framework that fuels collective action (Salter & Blodgett, 2025; Perreault & Vos, 2016).

Furthermore, the post-GamerGate era has seen a surge in skepticism toward mainstream news outlets and a rise in alternative media promoting extremist views. Platforms like YouTube and online forums have fostered a digital culture shaped by conspiratorial narratives, victimhood, and right-wing populism (Chen et al., 2024; Blodgett, 2019b; Davis, 2018). This trend, emerging as a response to traditional journalism, encourages ideologically driven media consumption, intensifying political polarization.

Perhaps the most lasting effect of GamerGate is its role in the normalization of extremist behaviors. Alt-right figures reframed the event as a war on political correctness and an existential threat to traditional masculinity. This reframing allowed supporters to view themselves as defenders of cultural authenticity while reducing resistance to extremist rhetoric (Selvanathan & Leidner, 2021). Over time, these cultural practices shifted public perceptions, making hate-based ideologies appear less deviant.

GamerGate also shaped political strategies beyond culture. Studies examining the pre-2016 period reveal that tactics pioneered during GamerGate—such as mobilizing disaffected online communities and using emotionally charged, memetic language—were adopted by political campaigns. The alt-right’s ability to maintain a distinct group identity and frame itself as a resistance to mainstream corruption enabled it to enter larger political conflicts, lending legitimacy to xenophobic and anti-progressive rhetoric (Bryden & Silverman, 2019). In this regard, GamerGate stands as a pivotal bridge between subcultural online movements and real-world political mobilization.

In order to understand how GamerGate transitioned from a subcultural phenomenon into a blueprint for far-right political tactics, it is essential to examine the ideological mechanisms and affective strategies that enabled such radicalization in digital spaces. While existing literature has thoroughly mapped the origins and dynamics of the GamerGate controversy, it is equally important to evaluate the movement as a formative case of digital radicalization that shaped the trajectory of far-right discourse online. GamerGate was not merely a backlash against feminist critiques of gaming; rather, it constituted an early and influential gateway through which many young internet users—particularly disaffected white men—were exposed to, and gradually assimilated into, far-right ideologies through humor, shared resentment, and memetic culture. Platforms such as 4chan, Reddit, and YouTube served as incubators for this process, circulating toxic narratives under the guise of free speech, satire, or “anti-political correctness.” Practices such as coordinated trolling, ironic misogyny, and conspiracy-laden discourse normalized aggression and culture-war rhetoric as valid political strategies in online arenas, aligning closely with digital radicalization pathways where ideological shifts occur incrementally and are often emotionally driven.

Crucially, this radicalization process was not rooted solely in explicit hostility toward progressive values, but also in a deeper affective dynamic—namely, a perceived loss of cultural centrality. Many participants began to view themselves as marginalized within a society that increasingly celebrated diversity and inclusivity, interpreting this shift as a form of reverse marginalization. As a result, social justice movements were reframed as elitist and exclusionary, casting traditional identities—especially those aligned with whiteness, masculinity, and heteronormativity—as unfairly maligned. In this context, “woke culture” emerged not merely as a political opponent but as a symbol of cultural displacement and moral

authoritarianism. This perception fueled a reactionary backlash that intensified identity-driven resistance. GamerGate thus illustrates how cultural grievances and emotional discontent can serve as powerful entry points into far-right worldviews through digital subcultures, where political ideology is intertwined with perceived dispossession and resentment.

5. CONCLUSION

This study has aimed to answer the central research question: “What role did the GamerGate controversy play in the digital development of the alt-right?” The findings demonstrate that GamerGate functioned not merely as a conflict over gaming ethics but as a formative episode in the evolution of digital radicalization. It served as an early example of how online subcultural grievances—especially among disaffected white, male users—could be harnessed to articulate far-right ideologies through the aesthetics of irony, antagonism, and resistance to “political correctness.”

Building upon this foundation, the analysis reveals that the digital infrastructure of social media played a catalytic role in transforming these subcultural grievances into broader political currents. From a digital radicalization standpoint, platforms such as Reddit, 4chan, and YouTube enabled the formation of affective echo chambers, where emotions such as resentment and exclusion were amplified. Within these spaces, practices like doxxing, trolling, and harassment became not just normalized but valorized—absorbed into the communicative repertoire of the alt-right as legitimate modes of political action. Underpinning this transformation was a symbolic conflict, wherein many participants came to perceive the liberal hegemonic order as a threat to their identity and cultural relevance.

This symbolic rupture continues to reverberate across today’s digital landscape. The legacy of GamerGate is not confined to the gaming world but has profoundly shaped how political discourse unfolds on major platforms like X (formerly Twitter), Reddit, YouTube, and TikTok. These environments now operate as ideological battlegrounds, where polarization is intensified, and the line between critique and culture war is increasingly blurred. Concepts such as “free speech,” “cancel culture,” and “wokeness” have become flashpoints of contention, routinely weaponized by reactionary groups to contest inclusive values and progressive reforms. In parallel, distrust toward traditional institutions has fueled the rise of fragmented, algorithm-driven media ecosystems, where truth claims are volatile and narrative control is decentralized.

Taken together, these dynamics underscore the importance of understanding GamerGate not as an isolated controversy, but as a critical inflection point in the consolidation of the alt-right and the broader ecosystem of digital populism. While far-right and proto-alt-right actors were already present in online spaces, GamerGate provided the conditions under which their discourses, tactics, and symbolic practices evolved into a more cohesive and culturally resonant formation. Crucially, it marked the moment when the far right fully acquired a digital arsenal of rhetorical weapons—memes, irony-laden rhetoric, gamified antagonism, and algorithmic virality—capable of translating fringe ideologies into mainstream digital engagement. The post-GamerGate visibility of the alt-right signaled not only a discursive shift within far-right politics, but also its deeper integration into the affective, technological, and aesthetic logics of the digital age. In this sense, GamerGate constitutes a symbolic threshold through which the alt-right became digitally embodied, networked, and strategically amplified.

That said, this study is not without its limitations. Relying primarily on qualitative and theoretical analysis, it does not incorporate empirical or quantitative methodologies, which may constrain the broader applicability of its findings. While this conceptual approach offers valuable insight into the ideological dynamics of digital radicalization, future research would benefit from mixed-methods designs that combine computational data analysis, social network mapping, and user-based surveys. Such approaches could better capture the scale, variance, and trajectory of radicalization processes across different digital environments. Longitudinal studies would also be instrumental in examining how cultural grievances evolve over time and how narratives emerging from subcultural spaces like GamerGate migrate into mainstream political discourse. Furthermore, comparative analyses across national and linguistic contexts could illuminate the transnational character of platform-driven extremism and enrich our understanding of the global contours of digital radicalization.

MEHMET AKİF ERSOY ÜNİVERSİTESİ SOSYAL BİLİMLER ENSTİTÜSÜ DERGİSİ	
Makale Bilgileri:	
Değerlendirme:	İki Dış Hakem /Çift Taraflı Körleme
Etik Beyan:	* Bu çalışmanın hazırlanma sürecinde bilimsel ve etik ilkelere uyulduğu ve yararlanılan tüm çalışmaların kaynakçada belirtildiği beyan olunur
Benzerlik Taraması:	Yapıldı /intihal.net vb.
Etik Bildirim:	sobed@mehmetakif.edu.tr
Etik Kurul Kararı:	Etik Kurul Kararından muaftır.
Katılımcı Rızası:	Katılımcı yoktur.
Mali Destek:	Çalışma için herhangi bir kurum ve projeden mali destek alınmamıştır.
Çıkar Çatışması:	Çalışmada kişiler ve kurumlar arası çıkar çatışması bulunmamaktadır.
Yapay Zekâ Kullanımı:	Yazar, bu çalışmada yapay zekâ destekli uygulama kullanmadığını belirtmiştir.
Telif Hakları & Lisans:	Çalışmada kullanılan görsellerle ilgili telif hakkı sahiplerinden gerekli izinler alınmıştır. Yazarlar dergide yayınlanan çalışmalarının telif hakkına sahiptirler ve çalışmaları CC BY-NC 4.0 lisansı altında yayımlanmaktadır.
Article Information:	
Peer-Review:	Double anonymized /Two External
Ethical Statement:	* It is declared that scientific and ethical principles have been followed while carrying out and writing this study and that all the sources used have been properly cited.
Plagiarism Checks:	Yes / intihal.net etc.
Complaints:	sobed@mehmetakif.edu.tr
Ethics Committee Approval:	It is exempt from the Ethics Committee Approval
Informed Consent:	No participants.
Financial Support:	The study received no financial support from any institution or project.
Conflict of Interest:	No conflict of interest.
Use of Artificial Intelligence:	The author has stated that he did not use any AI-assisted application in this study.
Copyrights & Licence:	The required permissions have been obtained from the copyright holders for the images and photos used in the study. Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0.

REFERENCES

- Bezio, K. M. (2018). Ctrl-alt-del: Gamergate as a precursor to the rise of the alt-right. *Leadership*, 14(5), 556–566. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715018793744>
- Blodgett, B. (2019a). Media in the post #Gamergate era: Coverage of reactionary fan anger and the terrorism of the privileged. *Television & New Media*, 21(2), 184–200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419879918>
- Blodgett, B. (2019b). *The persistence of whiteness: Race and contemporary gaming culture*. Routledge.
- Braithwaite, A. (2016). It's about ethics in games journalism? Gamergaters and geek masculinity. *Social Media + Society*, 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116672484>
- Bryden, J., & Silverman, E. (2019). Underlying socio-political processes behind the 2016 US election. *PLOS ONE*, 14(4), e0214854. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214854>
- Butt, M. A. R. (2019). *Gaming lifeworlds: Identity, experience, and ideology in contemporary gaming culture* (Master's thesis). York University.
- Butt, T., & Khalid, M. (2018). Economic anxieties and the rise of the far-right in the United States. *Journal of Political Economy Studies*, 6(3), 110–125.
- Cesarino, L., & Nardelli, P. H. J. (2021). The hidden hierarchy of far-right digital guerrilla warfare. *Digital War*, 2, 16–20. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s42984-021-00032-3>
- Chen, B., Bevan, C. I., Tunggal, J. S., & Zhang, A. (2024). The Gamergate social network: Interpreting transphobia and alt-right hate online. *Digital Studies/Le champ numérique*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.16995/dscn.11196>
- Cross, K. A. (2019). Toward a formal sociology of online harassment. *Human Technology*, 15(3), 326–346. <https://doi.org/10.17011/ht/urn.201911265023>
- Dafaure, M. (2020). The “Great Meme War:” The alt-right and its multifarious enemies. *Angles: New Perspectives on the Anglophone World*, 10. <https://journals.openedition.org/angles/369>
- Davis, M. (2019). A new, online culture war? The communication world of Breitbart.com. *Communication Research and Practice*, 5(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2018.1558790>
- Ferguson, C. J., & Glasgow, B. (2021). Who are Gamergate? A descriptive study of individuals involved in the Gamergate controversy. *Psychology of Popular Media*, 10(2), 243–247. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000280>
- Frissen, T. (2021). Internet, the great radicalizer? Exploring relationships between seeking for online extremist materials and cognitive radicalization in young adults. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 114, 106549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106549>
- Fukuyama, F. (2018). Against identity politics: The new tribalism and the crisis of democracy. *Foreign Affairs*, 97(5). <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/americas/2018-08-14/against-identity-politics-tribalism-francis-fukuyama>
- Gandolfi, E., & Ferdig, R. E. (2021). Sharing dark sides on game service platforms: Disruptive behaviors and toxicity in Dota2 through a platform lens. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 28(2), 468–487. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565211028809>
- Gray, K. L., Buyukozturk, B., & Hill, Z. G. (2017). Blurring the boundaries: Using Gamergate to examine “real” and symbolic violence against women in contemporary gaming culture. *Sociology Compass*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12458>
- Guhl, J., Ebner, J., & Rau, J. (2022). The online ecosystem of the far-right: From incel culture to anti-woke narratives. Institute for Strategic Dialogue.

- Hagen, S. (2023). 4Chumblr's divorce: Revisiting the online culture wars through the 2014 Tumblr–4chan raids. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565231190008>
- Harley, D. E. (2019). *The politics of consumer VR: Framing contemporary virtual reality* (Master's thesis). York University.
- Hartanto, H., Subandi, S., & Pavlova, O. (2020). Progressive view on social justice: netizen opinions about social justice warrior. *Psikohumaniora: Jurnal Penelitian Psikologi*, 5(1), 107. <https://doi.org/10.21580/pjpp.v5i1.5250>
- Hassan, G., Brouillette-Alarie, S., Alava, S., Frau-Meigs, D., Lavoie, L., Fetiü, A., Varela, W., Borokhovski, E., Venkatesh, V., Rousseau, C., & Sieckelinck, S. (2018). Exposure to extremist online content could lead to violent radicalization: A systematic review of empirical evidence. *International Journal of Developmental Science*, 12(1–2), 71–88. <https://doi.org/10.3233/DEV-170233>
- Hunter, J. D. (1992). *Culture wars: The struggle to define America*. Basic Books.
- Jenson, J., Castell, S. de., Kanapelka, O., & Skardzius, K. (2024). Gaming equity: Women, videogame companies, and public discourse. *International Conference on Gender Research*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.34190/icgr.7.1.2110>
- Klotz, P. (1999). Politeness and political correctness: Ideological implications. *Pragmatics*, 9(1), 155–161. <https://doi.org/10.1075/prag.9.1.08klo>
- Kowert, R., Martel, A., & Swann, W. B. (2022). Not just a game: Identity fusion and extremism in gaming cultures. *Frontiers in Communication*, 7, 1007128. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2022.1007128>
- Léger, M. J. (2024). *Class struggle and identity politics: A guide*. Routledge.
- Lewis, R. (2020). “This is what the news won’t show you”: YouTube creators and the reactionary politics of micro-celebrity. *Television & New Media*, 21(2), 201–217.
- Madigan, T. (2023). Inverted totems: On the significance of ‘woke’ in the culture wars. *Religions*, 14(11), 1337. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14111337>
- Madrid Gil, S. (2023). Woke culture and the history of America: From colonisation to depersonalisation. *Church, Communication and Culture*, 8(1), 18–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23753234.2023.2174890>
- Majamäki, M. (2016). Ordinary men with extra-ordinary skills? masculinity constructs among mmorpg-gamers. *Journal of Research in Gender Studies*, 6(2), 90. <https://doi.org/10.22381/jrgs6220166>
- Marwick, A. and Furl, K. (2021). Taking the redpill: talking about extremism. AoIR Selected Papers of Internet Research. <https://doi.org/10.5210/spir.v2021i0.12207>
- Marwick, A., & Lewis, R. (2018). *Media manipulation and disinformation online*. Data & Society Research Institute. https://datasociety.net/pubs/oh/DataAndSociety_MediaManipulationAndDisinformationOnline.pdf
- Marwick, A., & Partin, W. C. (2022). Constructing alternative facts: Populist expertise and the “alternative influencer” ecosystem. *New Media & Society*, 24(4), 868–887.
- Marwick, A., Clancy, B., & Furl, K. (2022). Far-right online radicalization: a review of the literature. *The Bulletin of Technology & Public Life*. <https://doi.org/10.21428/bfcb0bff.e9492a11>
- Masalha, O., & Baş, Ö. (2023). An analysis of social media content shared by right-wing extremist groups in the United States, the Great Britain and Australia. *Connectist: Istanbul University Journal of Communication Sciences*, 64, 155–182. <https://doi.org/10.26650/CONNECTIST2023-1055875>
- Mason, L. (2018). *Uncivil agreement: How politics became our identity*. University of Chicago Press.
- McCauley, C., & Moskalenko, S. (2017). *Friction: How radicalization happens to them and us*. Oxford University Press.

- McLean, L. and Griffiths, M. D. (2013). Female gamers. *International Journal of Game-Based Learning*, 3(3), 54-71. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijgbl.2013070105>
- Miller-Idriss, C. (2023). *Anavatanda nefret: Yeni küresel aşırı sağ* (B. Hiroğlu, Trans.). Ayrıntı Yayınları. (Original work published 2020)
- Mortensen, T. E. (2016). Anger, fear, and games: The long event of #GamerGate. *Games and Culture*, 13(8), 787–806. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1555412016648201>
- Munn, L. (2022). Alt-right pipeline: How extremists recruit and radicalize through gaming and meme culture. *Media, Culture & Society*, 44(2), 225–242.
- Muste, C. P. (2014). Reframing polarization: Social groups and "culture wars". *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 47(2), 432–442. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096514000353>
- Nagle, A. (2017). *Kill all normies: Online culture wars from 4chan and Tumblr to Trump and the alt-right*. Zero Books.
- O'Donnell, J. (2019). Militant meninism: The militaristic discourse of Gamergate and Men's Rights Activism. *Media, Culture & Society*, 42(5), 654–674. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443719876624>
- Paché, G. (2022). Woke culture syndrome: Is research in management under threat? *Journal of Management Research*, 14(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jmr.v14i1.19323>
- Perreault, G., & Vos, T. P. (2016). The Gamergate controversy and journalistic paradigm maintenance. *Journalism*, 19(4), 553–569. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884916670932>
- Phelan, S. (2019). Neoliberalism, the far right, and the disparaging of "social justice warriors." *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 12(4), 539–559. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ccc/tcz040>
- Risius, M., Blasiak, K. M., & Wibisono, S. (2024). The digital augmentation of extremism: Reviewing and guiding online extremism research from a sociotechnical perspective. *Information Systems Journal*, 34(3), 931–963. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12454>
- Romero-Medina, P., & Vilasis-Pamos, J. (2024). Alt-right shadows over gaming and masculinities: A systematic narrative review. *Review of Communication Research*, 12, 169–182. <https://doi.org/10.52152/RCR.V12.10>
- Ryu, D. and Jeong, J. (2018). Two faces of today's learners: multiple identity formation. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 57(6), 1351-1375. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633118791830>
- Salter, A., & Blodgett, B. (2025). *Toxic geek masculinity in media: Sexism, trolling, and identity policing* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Salter, M. (2017). From geek masculinity to Gamergate: The technological rationality of online abuse. *Crime, Media, Culture*, 14(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659017690893>
- Salter, M. (2018). From geek masculinity to Gamergate: The technological rationality of online abuse. *Crime, Media, Culture*, 14(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659017690893>
- Schradie, J. (2019). *The Revolution That Wasn't: How Digital Activism Favors Conservatives*. Harvard University Press.
- Selvanathan, H. P., & Leidner, B. (2021). Normalization of the alt-right: How perceived prevalence and acceptability of the alt-right is linked to public attitudes. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 25(6), 1594–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13684302211017633>
- Sobande, F., Kanai, A., & Zeng, N. (2022). The hypervisibility and discourses of 'wokeness' in digital culture. *Media, Culture & Society*, 44(8), 1576–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01634437221117490>
- Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Going to extremes: How like minds unite and divide*. Oxford University Press.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.

- Thompson, J., & Hawley, G. (2021). Does the alt-right still matter? An examination of alt-right influence between 2016 and 2018. *Nations and Nationalism*, 27(4), 1165–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nana.12736>
- Topinka, R. J. (2018). Politically incorrect participatory media: Racist nationalism on r/ImGoingToHellForThis. *New Media & Society*, 20(5), 2050–2069.
- Valentini, D., Lorusso, A. M., & Stephan, A. (2020). Onlife extremism: Dynamic integration of digital and physical spaces in radicalization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 524. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00524>
- Vogelaar, J. (2023). *Right to 'woke': A critical discourse analysis on the discursive trajectory of 'woke'* (Master's thesis). Erasmus School of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Wells, G., Romhanyi, A., Reitman, J. G., Gardner, R. T., Squire, K., & Steinkuehler, C. (2023). Right-wing extremism in mainstream games: A review of the literature. *Games and Culture*, 19(4), 469–492. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15554120231167214>
- White, J., Wallner, C., Lamphere-Englund, G., Kowert, R., Schlegel, L., Kingdon, A., Phelan, A., Newhouse, A., Saiz, G., & Regeni, P. (2024). Radicalisation through gaming: The role of gendered social identity. *Royal United Services Institute for Defence and Security Studies (RUSI)*.
- Williams, J. C. (2017). *White working class: Overcoming class cluelessness in America*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Winter, A. (2019). Online hate: From the far-right to the 'alt-right' and from the margins to the mainstream. In K. Lumsden & E. Harmer (Eds.), *Online Othering* (pp. 39–62). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12633-9_2
- Zhang, X., & Davis, M. (2022). E-extremism: A conceptual framework for studying the online far right. *New Media & Society*, 26(5), 2954–2970. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448221098360>
- Žižek, S. (2018). Troubles with identity. *The Philosophical Salon*. <https://thephilosophicalsalon.com/troubles-with-identity/>
- Zukić, M. (2024). The use of politically correct speech in media. *MAP Social Sciences*, 5, 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.53880/2744-2454.2024.5.6>