

**Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications** 

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/fujma



# Differential bubordinations and argument inequalities for certain multivalent functions defined by convolution structure

Mohamed Kamal Aouf<sup>a</sup>, Rabha El-Ashwah<sup>b</sup> and Ekram Elsayed Ali<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damiette, 34517, Egypt <sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port-Said University, Port-Said, 42521, Egypt <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: ekram\_008eg@yahoo.com

operator  $D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)$  defined by Hadamard product

#### **Article Info**

#### Abstract

Keywords: Multiplier transformation , Hadamard product, Subordination 2010 AMS: 30C45 Received: 12 March 2018 Accepted: 5 June 2018 Available online: 30 June 2018

## 1. Introduction

Let A(p) denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$
(1.1)

The main object of the present paper is to investigate certain interesting argument inequalities

and differential subordinations properties of multivalent functions associated with a linear

which are analytic and *p*-valent in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . If *f* and *g* are analytic in *U*, we say that *f* is subordinate to *g*, written symbolically as follows:

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z)$$
,

if there exists a Schwarz function w, which (by definition) is analytic in U with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 ( $z \in U$ ) such that f(z) = g(w(z)) ( $z \in U$ ). In particular, if the function g(z) is univalent in U, then we have the following equivalence (cf., e.g., [4], [13]; see also [14, p. 4]:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For functions  $f(z) \in A(p)$  given by (1.1), and  $g(z) \in A(p)$  defined by

$$g(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}),$$
(1.2)

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of f(z) and g(z) is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} = (g * f)(z) \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U).$$
(1.3)

For functions  $f, g \in A(p)$ , we define the following differential operator:

Email addresses: mkaouf127@yahoo.com (M. K. Aouf) r\_elashwah@yahoo.com (R. M. El-Ashwah) ekram\_008eg@yahoo.com (E. E. Ali)

$$D^{0}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) = (f*g)(z), \tag{1.4}$$

$$D^{1}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) = D_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) = (1-\lambda)(f*g)(z) + \frac{\lambda z}{p}(f*g)'(z) \ (\lambda \ge 0),$$
(1.5)

and (in general)

$$D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z) = D_{\lambda,p}(D_{\lambda,p}^{n-1}(f*g)(z)) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^{n} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} (\lambda \ge 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$
(1.6)

From (1.6) it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{\lambda}{p} z(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z))' = D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}(f*g)(z) - (1-\lambda)D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z) \ (\lambda > 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}).$$
(1.7)

The operator  $D_{\lambda,p}^n(f * g)(z)$ , when p = 1, was introduced and studied by Aouf and Mostafa [3].

We observe that the linear operator  $D_{\lambda,p}^n(f*g)(z)$  reduces to several interesting operators for different choices of  $n, \lambda, p$  and the function g(z):

(i) For  $\lambda = 1$  and  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  (or  $b_{k+p} = 1$ ),  $D_{1,p}^n(f * g)(z) = D_p^n f(z)$ , where  $D_p^n$  is the *p*-valent Salagean operator introduced and studied by Kamali and Orhan [9], Orhan and Kiziltunc [17] (see also [2]); (ii) For  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  (or  $b_{k+p} = 1$ ), we have

$$D^n_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) = D^n_{\lambda,p}f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (\lambda \ge 0);$$

for p = 1, the operator  $D_{\lambda}^{n}$  is the generalized Sălăgean operator introduced and studied by Al-Oboudi [1]) which in turn contains as special case the Sălăgean operator see [20]; (*iii*) For n = 0 and

 $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{p + \ell + \lambda k}{p + \ell} \right]^m z^{k+p} \quad (\lambda \ge 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$ 

we see that  $D^0_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z) = (f * g)(z) = I^m_p(\lambda, \ell)f(z)$ , where  $I^m_p(\lambda, \ell)$  is the generalized multiplier transformation which was introduced and studied by Cătaş [5], the operator  $I^m_p(\lambda, \ell)$ , contains as special cases, the multiplier transformation  $I^m_p(\ell)$  (see Kumar et al. [11] and Srivastava et al. [23]); (*iv*) For n = 0,

$$g(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_{1})_{k}...(\alpha_{q})_{k}}{(\beta_{1})_{k}...(\beta_{s})_{k}} \cdot \frac{z^{k+p}}{k!}$$
(1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = 1, ..., q; \boldsymbol{\beta}_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, ...\}; j = 1, ..., s; \\ &q \leq s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U ) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{\theta})_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\nu})}{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta})} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 & (\boldsymbol{\nu} = 0; \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - 1)...(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\nu} - 1) & (\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}; \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{C}), \end{array} \right.$$

we have  $D^{0}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) = (f*g)(z) = H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z)$ , where  $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$  is the Dziok-Srivastava operator introduced and studied by Dziok and Srivastava [8]. The operator  $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$  contains in turn many interesting operators such as, Carlson and Shaffer linear operator (see [19]), the Ruscheweyh derivative operator (see [10]), the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator (see [7]), the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator (see [6]), the differeintegral operator (see Srivastava and Aouf [22] and Patel and Mishra [18]) and the Noor integral operator (see Liu and Noor [12]);

(v) For p = 1 and g(z) of the form (1.8), the operator  $D_{\lambda}^{n}(f * g)(z)$  inroduced and studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [21]. For  $f, g \in A(p), \lambda > 0, \delta \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ , we define a function H(z) by

$$H(z) = H^n_{\lambda,p,\delta}(f * g)(z) = \left[1 - \delta\left(1 + \frac{p}{\lambda} - p\right)\right] D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z) + \delta\frac{p}{\lambda} D^{n+1}_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z).$$
(1.9)

We note that:

(*i*) For  $\lambda = 1$  and  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  in (1.9), we obtain

$$H_{1,p,\delta}^{n}(f*\frac{z^{p}}{1-z})(z) = G_{p,\delta}^{n}f(z) = G(z) = (1-\delta)D_{p}^{n}f(z) + \delta p D_{p}^{n+1}f(z);$$
(1.10)

(*ii*) For  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  in (1.9), we obtain

$$H^{n}_{\lambda,p,\delta}(f*\frac{z^{p}}{1-z})(z) = K^{n}_{\lambda,p,\delta}f(z) = K(z)$$

$$= \left[1-\delta\left(1+\frac{p}{\lambda}-p\right)\right]D^{n}_{\lambda,p}f(z) + \delta\frac{p}{\lambda}D^{n+1}_{\lambda,p}f(z).$$
(1.11)

In this paper, we investigate some interesting argument inequalities and differential subordinations properties of the function H(z) given by (1.9). The following lemma will be required in our investigation.

**Lemma 1.1.** [15], [16] Let a function  $\phi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + ...$  be analytic in U and  $\phi(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in U$ ). If there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  such that

$$|\arg\phi(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \ (|z| < |z_0|) \quad and \quad |\arg\phi(z_0)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \ (0 < \beta \le 1),$$

then we have  $z_0 \phi'(z_0) / \phi(z_0) = ik\beta$ , where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \quad (\text{where } \arg\phi(z_0) = \frac{\pi\beta}{2}),$$
  
$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \quad (\text{where } \arg\phi(z_0) = -\frac{\pi\beta}{2}),$$

and  $(\phi(z_0))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \pm ia \ (a > 0).$ 

## 2. Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that  $\lambda > 0, \delta \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and g(z) is given by (1.2). **Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f, g \in A(p)$  and let H be defined by (1.9). If

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{H^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}}\right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (z \in U) ,$$
(2.1)

then

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{\left( D_{\lambda,p}^n(f \ast g)(z) \right)^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in U) \; ,$$

where  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq q \leq p$ .

Proof. Let

$$\phi(z) = \frac{(p-q)!}{p!} \frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f * g)(z)\right)^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} \quad (z \in U).$$
(2.2)

Then  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in U,  $\phi \neq 0$  for all  $z \in U$  and  $\phi(z)$  can be written as  $\phi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \dots$ . Since

$$\left(z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z)\right)'\right)^{(q)} = q\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z)\right)^{(q)} + z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z)\right)^{(q+1)},$$
(2.3)

we have from (1.7), (1.9) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} H^{(q)}(z) &= \left[1 - \delta\left(1 + \frac{p}{\lambda} - p\right)\right] \left(D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)\right)^{(q)} + \delta \frac{p}{\lambda} \left(D^{n+1}_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)\right)^{(q)} \\ &= \left[1 - \delta\left(1 + \frac{p}{\lambda} - p\right)\right] \left(D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)\right)^{(q)} + \delta \left(z \left(D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)\right)'\right)^{(q)} \\ &+ \delta \frac{p}{\lambda}(1 - \lambda) \left(D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z)\right)^{(q)} \\ &= (1 - \delta + \delta q) (D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z))^{(q)} + \delta z (D^n_{\lambda,p}(f * g)(z))^{(q+1)}. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see from (2.4) and (2.2) that

$$\frac{H^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} = (1-\delta+\delta q) \frac{(D^{n}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z))^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} + \delta \frac{z(D^{n}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z))^{(q+1)}}{z^{p-q}} \\
= \frac{p!(1-\delta+\delta q)}{(p-q)!}\phi(z) + \frac{\delta p!}{(p-q)!}\left((p-q)\phi(z) + z\phi'(z)\right) \\
= \frac{p!(1-\delta+\delta p)}{(p-q)!}\left(\phi(z) + \frac{\delta}{1-\delta+\delta p}z\phi'(z)\right).$$
(2.5)

Suppose there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  such that

$$\arg\phi(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (|z| < |z_0|)$$

and

 $|\arg\phi(z_0)|=\frac{\pi}{2}\beta$ .

Then, by using Lemma 1.1, we can write that  $z_0\phi'(z_0)/\phi(z_0) = ik\beta$  and  $(\phi(z_0))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \pm ia$  (a > 0). Therefore, if  $\arg \phi(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta$ , then by using (2.5), we have

$$\frac{H^{(q)}(z_0)}{z_0^{p-q}} = \frac{p!(1-\delta+\delta p)}{(p-q)!}\phi(z_0)\left(1+\frac{\delta}{1-\delta+\delta p}\frac{z_0\phi'(z_0)}{\phi(z_0)}\right) \\
= \frac{p!(1-\delta+\delta p)}{(p-q)!}a^{\beta}e^{i\pi\beta/2}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{1-\delta+\delta p}ik\beta\right).$$

This shows that

$$\begin{split} \arg\left(\frac{H^{(q)}(z_0)}{z_0^{p-q}}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \arg\left(1 + \frac{\delta k\beta i}{1-\delta+\delta p}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta k\beta}{1-\delta+\delta p}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta, \ (where \ k \geq \frac{1}{2}(a+\frac{1}{a}) \geq 1), \end{split}$$

which contradicts the condition (2.1). Similarly, if  $\arg \phi(z_0) = \frac{-\pi\beta}{2}$ , then we obtain

$$\arg\left(rac{H^{(q)}(z_0)}{z_0^{p-q}}
ight) \leq -rac{\pi}{2}eta \;,$$

which also contradicts the condition (2.1). Thus, the function  $\phi(z)$  satisfies  $|\arg \phi(z)| < \frac{\pi\beta}{2}$  ( $z \in U$ ). This shows that

$$\left| \arg\left( \frac{\left( D_{\lambda,p}^n(f * g)(z) \right)^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in U)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Putting n = 0 and  $\lambda = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary. **Corollary 2.2.** Let  $f, g \in A(p)$  and let Q be defined by

$$Q(z) = (1 - \delta)(f * g)(z) + \delta \frac{z}{p} \left( (f * g)(z) \right)'.$$
(2.6)

If

$$\left| \arg\left( \frac{Q^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in U) \;,$$

$$\left| \arg\left( \frac{((f * g)(z))^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in U) ,$$

where  $0 < \beta \le 1$  and  $0 \le q \le p$ .

(2.4)

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f, g \in A(p)$  and let H be defined by (1.9). If

$$\frac{\left(D^{n}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z)\right)^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} \prec \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)z}{1-z} \quad (z \in U) \;.$$
(2.7)

Then

$$\frac{H^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} \prec \frac{p!(1-\delta+\delta p)}{(p-q)!} \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z} \quad (|z|<\rho) \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where  $0 \le q \le p, 0 \le \alpha < 1$ , and

$$\rho = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\delta}{1 - \delta + \delta p}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta + \delta p} .$$
(2.9)

*The bound*  $\rho \in (0,1)$  *is the best possible.* 

Proof. Set

$$\psi(z) = (1 - \gamma) \frac{z}{1 - z} + \gamma \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} \quad (z \in U) ,$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta + \delta p} > 0$ . We need to show that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\psi(\rho z)}{\rho z}\right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U) , \qquad (2.10)$$

where  $\rho = (1 + \gamma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \gamma$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Let  $\frac{1}{1-z} = R e^{i\theta}$  and |z| = r < 1. In view of

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1 + R^2 (1 - r^2)}{2R} , R \ge \frac{1}{1 + r} ,$$

we have

$$2\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\psi(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{2}\right\} = 2(1 - \gamma)R\cos\theta + 2\gamma R^2\cos 2\theta - 1$$
  
$$= R^4\gamma(1 - r^2)^2 + R^2\left((1 - \gamma)(1 - r^2) - 2\gamma r^2\right)$$
  
$$\geq R^2(\gamma(1 - r)^2 + (1 - \gamma)(1 - r^2) - 2\gamma r^2)$$
  
$$= R^2(1 - 2\gamma r - r^2) > 0$$

for  $|z| = r < \rho$ , which gives (2.10). Thus the function  $\psi$  has the integral representation

$$\frac{\psi(\rho z)}{\rho z} = \int_{|x|=1}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1-xz} \quad (z \in U) , \qquad (2.11)$$

where  $\mu(x)$  is a prabability measure on |x| = 1.

Now letting  $\phi(z)$  be in the form (2.2), we see that  $\phi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + ...$  is analytic in U and it follows from (2.7) that

$$\operatorname{Re}\phi(z) > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1; z \in U) . \tag{2.12}$$

Since we can write

$$\phi(z) + \gamma z \phi'(z) = \left(\frac{\psi(z)}{z}\right) * \phi(z) ,$$

it follows from (2.11) that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi(\rho z) + \gamma \rho z \phi'(\rho z)\right\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{\psi(\rho z)}{\rho z}\right) * \phi(z)\right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{|x|=1}^{\infty} \phi(xz) d\mu(x)\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) .$$
(2.13)

Thus, from (2.3) and (2.13), we conclude that (2.8)) holds. To show that the bound  $\rho$  is sharp we take  $f, g \in A(p)$  defined by

$$\frac{(p-q)!}{(p)q} \frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{n}(f*g)(z)\right)^{(q)}}{z^{p-q}} = \alpha + (1-\alpha)\frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(p-q)!}{(p)_q(1-\delta+\delta p)} \frac{H^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} &= \alpha + (1-\alpha)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \gamma(1-\alpha)z\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \\ &= \alpha + (1-\alpha)\frac{1+2\gamma z - z^2}{(1-z)^2} = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

for  $z = -\rho$ , it follows that  $\rho$  is sharp.

**Remark 2.4.** (i) Putting  $\lambda = 1$  and  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  in the above results we obtain the results for function G(z) defined by (1.10). (ii) Putting  $g(z) = \frac{z^p}{1-z}$  in the above results we obtain the results for function K(z) defined by (1.11).

## 3. Conclusion

In this paper, three subclasses  $H^n_{\lambda,p,\delta}(f * g)(z)$ ,  $G^n_{p,\delta}f(z)$  and  $K^n_{\lambda,p,\delta}f(z)$  are introduced and certain interesting argument inequalities and differential subordinations properties are investigated.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent function defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004),1429-1436.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and A.O. Mostaafa, On a subclass of p-valent functions prestarlike functions, Comput. Math. Appl., (2008), no. 55, 851-861.
- [3] M.K.Aouf and A.O.Mostafa, Sandwich theorems for analytic functions defined by convolution, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 21(2010), 7-20.
- [4] T. Bulboaca, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [5] A. Catas, On certain classes of p-valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications: GFTA 2007 Proceedings (İstanbul, Turkey; 20-24 August 2007) (S. Owa and Y. Polatoglu, Editors), pp. 241-250, TC İstanbul Kűltűr University Publications, Vol. 91, TC İstanbul Kűltűr University, İstanbul, Turkey, 2008.
- [6] N. E.Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M Srivastava, Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated
- with a family of linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 292(2004), 470-483. [7] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276(2002), 432-445. [8] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), no. 1. 1–13.
- [9] M. Kamali and H. Orhan, On a subclass of certian starlike functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41 (2004), no. 1, 53-71.
- [10] Vinod Kumar and S. L. Shukla, Multivalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, I and II, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 15(1984), no. 11, 1216-1227, 15(1984), no. 1, 1228-1238.
- [11] S. S. Kumar, H. C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations, Kyungpook Math. J. (2006), no. 46, 97-109.
- [12] J. L. Liu and K. I. Noor, Some properties of Noor integral operator, J. Natur. Geom. 21(2002), 81-90.
   [13] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28(1981), 157-171.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations and univariant and Applications, Series on Monographs and Texbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [15] N. Nunokawa, On properties of non- caratheodory functions, Proc. Jpn Acad. Ser.A Math. Sci. 68(1992), 152-153.
- [16] N. Nunokawa, S. Owa, H. Saitoh, A. Ikeda and N. Koike, Some results for strongly starlike functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 212(1997), 98-106.
- [17] H. Orhan and H. Kiziltunc, A generalization on subfamily of *p*-valent functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput., 155(2004), 521-530.
   [18] J. Patel and A. K. Mishra, On certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with an extended fractional differintegral operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2007), 109-122.
- [19] H. Saitoh, A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations, Math. Japon. 44(1996), 31-38.
- [10] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag) 1013(1983), 362-372.
   [21] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 29 (2008), no. 2, 419-430.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava and M. K. Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients. I and II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 171(1992), 1-13, 192(1995), 673-688.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, K. Suchithra, B. Adolf Stephen and S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certian subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. 7(2006), no. 5, Art. 191, 1-8.