



Abant Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi

Journal of Abant Social Sciences

2025, 25(3): 1281-1298, doi: 10.11616/asbi.1742521



Geopolitical Dynamics of Turkic Presence in the Arctic: The Geostrategic Position of the Sakha Republic and Its Integration Potential with the Organization of Turkic States

Arktik'te Türk Varlığının Jeopolitik Dinamikleri: Saha Cumhuriyeti'nin Jeopolitik Konumu ve Türk Devletleri Teşkilatı ile Bütünleşme Potansiyeli

Fatih DEMİRCİOĞLU¹ 

Geliş Tarihi (Received): 15.07.2025

Kabul Tarihi (Accepted): 24.11.2025

Yayın Tarihi (Published): 30.11.2025

Abstract: In the 21st century, the Arctic is emerging not only as a geopolitical arena but also as a space of cultural connectivity. The Sakha (Yakutia) Republic, home to the Turkic-origin Yakut people, represents a unique cultural bridge linking the Arctic to the Turkic World. As the Organization of Turkic States advances its agenda of cultural integration and transregional connectivity, Sakha's role could gain greater institutional recognition. This study highlights Sakha's potential as a cultural and strategic connector, strengthening the northward dimension of Turkic cooperation.

Keywords: Arctic Geopolitics, Sakha Republic, Organization of Turkic States

&

Öz: 21. yüzyılda Arktik, yalnızca jeopolitik bir alan değil, aynı zamanda kültürel bağlantısallığın da mekânı olarak öne çıkmaktadır. Türk kökenli Yakut halkına ev sahipliği yapan Saha (Yakutya) Cumhuriyeti, Arktik ile Türk Dünyası arasında benzersiz bir kültürel köprü niteliği taşımaktadır. Türk Devletleri Teşkilatı kültürel entegrasyon ve bölgesel bağlantısallık gündemini ilerletirken, Saha'nın rolü daha kurumsal bir tanınırlık kazanabilir. Bu çalışma, Saha'nın kültürel ve stratejik bir bağlayıcı olarak Türk iş birliğinin kuzeye yönelen boyutunu güçlendirme potansiyelini vurgulamaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Arktik Jeopolitiği, Saha Cumhuriyeti, Türk Devletleri Teşkilatı

Atıf/Cite as: Demircioğlu, F., (2025). Geopolitical Dynamics of Turkic Presence in the Arctic: The Geostrategic Position of the Sakha Republic and Its Integration Potential with the Organization of Turkic States. *Abant Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 25(3), 1281-1298. doi: 10.11616/asbi.1742521

İntihal-Plagiarism/Etik-Ethic: Bu makale, en az iki hakem tarafından incelenmiş ve intihal içermediği, araştırma ve yayın etiğine uyulduğu teyit edilmiştir. / This article has been reviewed by at least two referees and it has been confirmed that it is plagiarism-free and complies with research and publication ethics. <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/asbi/policy>

Copyright © Published by Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Since 2000 – Bolu

¹ Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Fatih Demircioğlu, Hakkari Üniversitesi, fatihdemircioglu@hakkari.edu.tr.

1. Introduction

The Arctic region has rapidly transformed from a peripheral zone of ice and isolation into a strategic epicenter of global geopolitics. As climate change accelerates the melting of polar ice and unlocks previously inaccessible maritime routes and natural resources, the Arctic is becoming a contested and dynamic space where environmental transformation intersects with power politics. In this context, the Arctic is no longer just a subject of environmental discourse but a geopolitical theatre involving major powers, regional actors, and indigenous communities. Among the numerous subregions and actors within this emerging geopolitical landscape, the Sakha Republic, also known as Yakutia, has begun to attract increasing attention due to its vast territorial expanse, abundant natural resources, and unique ethnocultural composition. The Arctic is no longer just a subject of environmental discourse but a geopolitical theatre involving major powers, regional actors, and indigenous communities (Keskitalo, 2022).

Situated in northeastern Russia and occupying nearly 20 percent of the Russian Federation's total landmass, the Sakha Republic is one of the largest subnational entities in the world. Rich in oil, natural gas, diamonds, and rare earth elements, the republic represents a critical energy node within the Arctic architecture. Its geographic proximity to the Northern Sea Route (NSR) and the broader Arctic maritime domain further amplifies its strategic importance, positioning it at the nexus of future logistical, economic, and political developments in the region. However, beyond its material attributes, Sakha holds a deeper geopolitical value rooted in its identity as a Turkic-majority region within the Russian Federation. The Sakha people, with their linguistic and cultural ties to the broader Turkic World, constitute a unique point of intersection between the Arctic and Turkic geopolitics. Its geographic proximity to the Northern Sea Route (NSR) and the broader Arctic maritime domain further amplifies its strategic importance (Käkönen, 2023).

In recent years, the Organization of Turkic States (OTS) has expanded its institutional capacity and strategic vision beyond its traditional Central Asian core, aiming to build a more cohesive, multidimensional integration among Turkic-speaking states and communities. This evolving orientation has opened the door to the possibility of including or at least strategically engaging peripheral Turkic regions such as Sakha in the cultural-diplomatic orbit of the Turkic World. Such an engagement would not only enhance the visibility of Turkic presence in the Arctic but also serve as a symbolic and strategic expansion of the Turkic World's geopolitical horizon toward the Far North. While the current geopolitical configuration does not allow for straightforward political realignment, the tools of cultural diplomacy, academic collaboration, and institutional networking offer alternative pathways for deepening relations. Such an engagement would not only enhance the visibility of Turkic presence in the Arctic but also serve as a symbolic and strategic expansion of the Turkic World's geopolitical horizon toward the Far North (Hamzaoglu, Çora, & Mikail, 2022).

This paper examines the geopolitical relevance of the Sakha Republic within the broader dynamics of Arctic transformation and Turkic integration. By adopting a multidimensional framework that incorporates classical geopolitical theories and contemporary concepts such as connectivity and regional integration, the study seeks to analyze how the Arctic, traditionally perceived as geographically remote and politically marginal, can emerge as a meaningful frontier for Turkic strategic imagination. The analysis unfolds in three major parts: first, the growing geopolitical significance of the Arctic region and the strategic role of the Sakha Republic are explored; second, the demographic, economic, and cultural profile of Sakha is examined to assess its relevance to the Turkic World; and third, the institutional framework, strategic ambitions, and integrative potential of the Organization of Turkic States are discussed in relation to Sakha's prospective involvement. In this revision, Mackinder and Spykman are treated only as historical context, while contemporary frameworks such as connectivity, identity geopolitics, and indigenous agency are prioritized.

In doing so, the paper not only aims to reposition the Sakha Republic as a pivotal actor in Arctic geopolitics but also as a latent strategic bridge connecting the Turkic World to one of the most rapidly transforming regions of the 21st century. This reconceptualization of Sakha from a frozen periphery to a dynamic frontier challenges conventional geographical imaginaries and invites a reassessment of how cultural, strategic, and regional identities can be redefined in an era of shifting power balances and ecological urgency.

2. Literature

The academic literature surrounding Arctic geopolitics has expanded significantly in recent decades, largely in response to the intensifying environmental, economic, and strategic developments in the region. Early works on the Arctic primarily focused on climatology, indigenous survival, and environmental preservation, treating the region as a fragile ecological system rather than a geopolitical zone. However, the onset of climate change, which has dramatically reduced sea ice cover and opened up new possibilities for maritime navigation and resource extraction, has led to a growing body of literature that positions the Arctic as a central arena in global strategic competition. Scholars have increasingly analyzed the Arctic in terms of state interests, sovereignty claims, energy geopolitics, and emerging transportation corridors such as the Northern Sea Route (NSR). Notable contributions include Dodds' (2019) analysis of Arctic securitization, Lackenbauer and Kikkas' (2022) study on indigenous agency in Arctic governance, and Exner-Pirot's (2021) work on the Northern Sea Route as a geopolitical corridor. These studies collectively illustrate the transition of the Arctic from a zone of scientific cooperation to one of strategic competition. As a result, the Arctic is no longer a peripheral concern but a focal point of 21st-century geopolitical inquiry (Heininen, 2018: 45).

Within this expanding literature, particular attention has been paid to the actions and strategies of Arctic littoral states—especially Russia, the United States, Canada, and the Nordic countries. Russia's assertive Arctic policies, infrastructural investments, and military presence have sparked interest among researchers who analyze its regional ambitions in light of broader Eurasian and global strategies. However, there is comparatively limited scholarship that explores subnational actors within the Arctic region, such as the Sakha Republic, as independent or semi-autonomous agents of geopolitical relevance. Most academic treatments tend to subsume Sakha under broader analyses of Russian federal governance or regional resource politics, without fully exploring its unique ethnocultural composition or its potential for external engagement, particularly with the Turkic World (Landriault, Payette, & Roussel, 2021: 12).

At the intersection of identity politics and international relations, literature on Turkic geopolitics and the Organization of Turkic States (OTS) has also undergone a marked evolution. Earlier studies often viewed Turkic cooperation through the lens of cultural nationalism or pan-Turkism, generally emphasizing shared history, language, and identity among Turkic-speaking nations. In more recent years, scholars have begun to explore the institutionalization of Turkic cooperation, the formation of multilateral frameworks such as the OTS, and the strategic logic behind inter-Turkic integration. For instance, Limon (2023) analyzes Türkiye's evolving Arctic policy and its potential cultural outreach to Turkic communities in Siberia, including the Sakha people (Limon, 2023). While this body of literature has primarily concentrated on Central Asia, Azerbaijan, and Türkiye, there is growing interest in peripheral Turkic communities and their potential roles in expanding the cultural and geopolitical boundaries of the Turkic World (Ünver, 2024: 389).

Despite these developments, the specific link between the Arctic and Turkic geopolitics remains underexplored. There is a notable gap in the literature regarding how the Sakha Republic—inhabited predominantly by the Turkic-speaking Yakut people, might function as a strategic bridge between the Arctic region and the broader Turkic sphere. This absence is particularly striking given the simultaneous rise of Arctic strategic significance and the increasing institutional maturity of the OTS. The convergence of these two trajectories—Arctic transformation and Turkic integration, has yet to be fully examined in scholarly research, leaving ample room for new conceptual and empirical contributions (Coffey, 2022: 8).

This study seeks to address that gap by positioning the Sakha Republic at the intersection of two evolving research agendas: Arctic geopolitics and Turkic regionalism. By doing so, it contributes to the

diversification of Arctic studies beyond the traditional focus on state-centric security paradigms, and to Turkic studies by introducing a northern, non-sovereign, yet culturally significant actor into the analytical framework. Moreover, this work engages with contemporary theoretical debates around connectivity, regional integration, and identity-based diplomacy, aiming to offer a novel perspective on how seemingly peripheral regions can become central nodes in emerging geopolitical constellations.

3. Theoretical Framework

Understanding the geopolitical significance of the Sakha Republic in the evolving Arctic context requires a multidimensional theoretical approach, one that bridges classical geopolitical thought with contemporary perspectives on regional integration and connectivity. The Arctic's transformation from a remote, frozen frontier into a zone of strategic convergence calls for both historical depth and conceptual innovation in explaining how peripheral regions can assume pivotal geopolitical roles. This section outlines the theoretical underpinnings of the study by drawing on classical geopolitical theories such as Halford Mackinder's Heartland Theory and Nicholas Spykman's Rimland Theory, while also engaging with more recent frameworks such as regional connectivity, identity geopolitics, and the spatial turn in international relations (Heininen, 2018: 49).

Halford Mackinder's Heartland Theory, first articulated in the early 20th century, posits that the central Eurasian landmass, referred to as the "Heartland", constitutes the strategic core of global power. Mackinder famously declared that "Who rules East Europe commands the Heartland; who rules the Heartland commands the World-Island; who rules the World-Island commands the world." (Mackinder, 1919: 109). In Mackinder's schema, control over vast, resource-rich inland areas provided not only material leverage but also strategic depth. While the Sakha Republic is not located in the traditional Heartland core, it lies within the broader continental interior of Eurasia and contributes significantly to the resource base and territorial continuity of the Russian Federation, Mackinder's quintessential Heartland power. As climate change renders the Arctic more navigable and economically viable, Sakha's proximity to emerging Arctic sea routes and its integration into Russia's logistical and military infrastructure suggest a renewed relevance for Heartland-centric analysis, albeit adapted to contemporary environmental and technological conditions (Johnson, 2023: 152).

Complementing Mackinder's land-centered perspective, Nicholas Spykman's Rimland Theory emphasizes the importance of coastal fringes—particularly those bordering Eurasia, for controlling maritime trade and global power Dynamics (Spykman, 1944). From a Rimland perspective, the Arctic coastline, especially as it becomes more accessible due to melting ice, acquires newfound significance. Although Sakha is not a coastal entity per se, its geographical closeness to the Northern Sea Route and its potential role in connecting inland Eurasia to Arctic maritime corridors place it within the broader strategic ambit of Rimland logic. Sakha thus operates as a connective hinterland between inland resource zones and emerging coastal networks—an intermediary space that embodies both land and sea-oriented strategic logics (Reuters, 2024: 2).

These classical theories are retained briefly as historical background; the primary focus shifts to connectivity, identity geopolitics, and indigenous agency. Beyond these classical theories, more recent approaches in international relations emphasize connectivity as a critical axis of power in the 21st century. Rather than viewing geography solely through the lens of territory and control, the connectivity paradigm highlights the importance of infrastructure, networks, and flows, of energy, information, people, and capital, in shaping international influence. In this view, Sakha's integration into transcontinental infrastructure projects (such as pipelines, fiber-optic networks, and railroads) and its strategic adjacency to the Northern Sea Route position it as a key node within broader Eurasian and Arctic connectivity frameworks. Moreover, the region's potential to serve as a cultural and institutional bridge between the Arctic and the Turkic World aligns with the emerging concept of strategic connectivity, whereby regions

are valued not only for their physical location but for their ability to link disparate geopolitical spheres (Abildgaard et al., 2021: 3).

In parallel, theories of regional integration offer additional insights into how non-sovereign or semi-autonomous regions like Sakha can engage with supranational structures such as the Organization of Turkic States (OTS). Traditional models of regionalism emphasized formal intergovernmental arrangements among sovereign states. However, the contemporary literature increasingly acknowledges informal, cultural, and identity-based forms of integration, particularly in regions where hard borders are softened by shared linguistic, historical, or ethnic ties. In this light, Sakha's cultural affinity with the Turkic World, rooted in its predominantly Turkic-speaking Yakut population, enables a form of symbolic and cultural integration that transcends formal political affiliations. This approach resonates with the idea of identity geopolitics, wherein shared cultural identity becomes a vector of soft power and regional cohesion (Montoya et al., 2021: 245).

The incorporation of these theoretical dimensions allows for a nuanced understanding of Sakha's geopolitical role. It is not merely a passive recipient of strategic interests imposed from the outside but a potentially active agent capable of leveraging its unique position at the crossroads of Arctic transformation and Turkic integration. By integrating classical and contemporary theories, this framework captures both the structural determinants and the dynamic agency involved in Sakha's geopolitical trajectory. It also sets the stage for analyzing how this unique region may redefine its role in a multipolar, interconnected world where identity, geography, and infrastructure are increasingly intertwined.

4. The Yakuts and Turkic Identity: Historical and Cultural Background

The Yakuts, also known as the Sakha people, represent a northern extension of the Turkic ethnolinguistic family and constitute one of the most distinctive indigenous communities within the Russian Federation. Residing predominantly in the Sakha Republic (Yakutia), they form the majority population of the region and maintain a unique cultural identity shaped by centuries of adaptation to the extreme environmental conditions of northeastern Siberia. While their contemporary sociopolitical reality is shaped by the broader framework of the Russian Federation, the Yakuts possess deep historical, linguistic, and mythological ties to the Turkic World. These ties form the cultural substratum upon which potential symbolic and strategic alignments with broader Turkic regional structures, such as the Organization of Turkic States, may be envisioned (Pakendorf & Novgorodov, 2009: 496).

Historically, the origins of the Yakut people are traced to ancient Turkic tribes that migrated from the Central Asian steppes to the north, possibly between the 8th and 13th centuries. Linguistic and archaeological evidence suggests that the ancestors of the Yakuts belonged to Turkic-speaking nomadic communities, likely related to the Kipchaks or other steppe confederations, who gradually moved into the taiga and tundra zones of Siberia due to geopolitical pressures, inter-tribal conflicts, or ecological changes. This migratory trajectory not only transported language and material culture to the subarctic but also carried foundational elements of Turkic cosmology, social organization, and oral tradition (Fedorova et al., 2013: 5).

Despite the geographical isolation imposed by the vastness of Siberia, the Yakuts retained a Turkic linguistic structure, preserved core mythological motifs, and maintained kinship-based social organization characteristic of broader Turkic societies. The Sakha language, a branch of the Northern Turkic languages, is mutually intelligible, albeit to varying degrees, with other Turkic tongues and retains archaic elements absent from modern standard Turkish or Central Asian dialects. This linguistic continuity reinforces a shared civilizational framework that connects the Yakuts with other Turkic peoples across Eurasia, from Anatolia to Central Asia and beyond (Pakendorf & Stapert, 2020: 27).

Culturally, the Yakuts exhibit a rich tapestry of traditions that reflect both their Turkic heritage and their adaptation to subarctic life. The Olonkho epic tradition, for instance, is a hallmark of Sakha oral literature and stands as one of the oldest and most complex narrative traditions among Turkic peoples. Recognized by UNESCO as an Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity, Olonkho preserves themes common to the

mythologies of Turkic-speaking peoples, including cosmological dualism, heroic cycles, and the interplay between the human and spiritual worlds. Such continuities point to a profound cultural resilience that has enabled the Yakuts to sustain a coherent identity through centuries of isolation, colonization, and modernization (Harris, 2019: 226).

Religious practices among the Yakuts also reflect layers of Turkic cosmology blended with indigenous shamanistic beliefs and, more recently, Orthodox Christianity. Elements of Tengrism, the ancient Central Asian spiritual system centered on the sky god Tengri, animism, and ancestral veneration, can still be discerned in Yakut rituals, festivals, and symbolic systems. While the formal practice of Tengrism has largely declined, its symbolic language persists in cultural festivals such as Ysyakh, the Yakut New Year celebration that combines animistic offerings, communal feasting, and invocations of nature spirits. These practices not only sustain local identity but also echo broader Turkic spiritual frameworks, reinforcing a shared cultural grammar across Eurasia (Romanova & Ignat'eva, 2014: 45).

The endurance of Turkic identity among the Yakuts is particularly remarkable given the historical pressures they have faced under Russian imperial and Soviet rule. The 17th-century Russian colonization of Siberia brought the Yakuts into the orbit of the Russian Empire, initiating a long period of cultural, religious, and administrative assimilation. Under Soviet governance, policies of collectivization, Russification, and linguistic standardization further threatened indigenous languages and identities. Despite these forces, the Yakuts retained a strong sense of ethnocultural distinctiveness, and in the post-Soviet era, have experienced a cultural revival fueled by institutional support within the framework of the Sakha Republic's autonomous status (Ford & Kretzheim, 2023: 7).

Today, this revival includes language preservation efforts, educational initiatives, cultural festivals, and a renewed interest in Turkic identity among younger generations. Intellectual and artistic circles within Sakha have increasingly begun to explore connections with the wider Turkic World, participating in pan-Turkic cultural forums and fostering ties with institutions across Türkiye, Central Asia, and Azerbaijan. While these efforts remain largely symbolic and cultural rather than political, they reflect a deeper aspiration to reconnect with a broader civilizational identity that was historically interrupted but never extinguished (Danilov & Ignatyeva, 2022: 30).

The historical and cultural background of the Yakuts thus provides a crucial foundation for understanding their potential role in broader regional processes of Turkic integration. Their persistent linguistic, mythological, and ritual continuities demonstrate that Turkic identity in Sakha is not merely a constructed narrative but a living, evolving tradition rooted in centuries of cultural memory. This cultural depth offers fertile ground for soft power engagement, identity diplomacy, and symbolic alignment within the expanding framework of the Turkic World. More importantly, it challenges narrow definitions of Turkicness that center only on sovereign states, by illustrating how peripheral or subnational communities can sustain and project civilizational continuity across both time and space.

One of the most visible expressions of Sakha's cultural continuity is the Olonkho epic tradition, a monumental oral narrative cycle that has been recognized by UNESCO as an Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity. Performed by solo narrators who combine prose, verse, and song, Olonkho conveys mythological cosmologies, heroic struggles, and moral values rooted in Turkic tradition while reflecting Sakha's adaptation to Arctic realities (Harris, 2019). Similarly, the Ysyakh festival, celebrated each June as a summer solstice ritual, embodies both the revival of ancient Turkic cosmology and the endurance of Sakha communal identity. The festival involves rituals of purification, the recitation of Olonkho passages, communal feasting, horse races, and dances around the sacred serge pillar, symbolizing renewal and unity (Romanova & Ignat'eva, 2014). These practices illustrate how symbolic heritage translates into lived social practice, sustaining Turkic identity in everyday life and offering potential channels for cultural diplomacy.

5. Sakha's Role in Arctic Geopolitics

As the Arctic becomes an increasingly strategic theatre of global interest, the internal composition of this vast region reveals a mosaic of actors, resources, and infrastructures that challenge simplistic geopolitical binaries. While dominant narratives often emphasize the roles of sovereign Arctic states, such as Russia, the United States, and Canada, the significance of subnational entities, particularly those embedded with distinct cultural, economic, and territorial characteristics, has remained underexplored. In this context, the Sakha Republic (Yakutia), a vast federal subject of the Russian Federation, emerges as a pivotal yet often overlooked actor in Arctic geopolitics. Its geographical scale, resource wealth, cultural distinctiveness, and strategic location place it at the confluence of regional and global developments that are reshaping the political landscape of the Arctic in the 21st century.

Occupying over three million square kilometers, approximately one-fifth of Russia's total landmass, the Sakha Republic is not only the largest subnational entity in the Russian Federation, but also one of the most resource-rich regions in the Arctic. It holds some of the world's largest deposits of diamonds, gold, oil, natural gas, and rare earth elements, positioning it as a critical node in Russia's Arctic energy and mining strategies. As climate change gradually thaws permafrost and renders previously inaccessible territories viable for extraction, the economic value of Sakha's mineral reserves is expected to increase significantly. This economic potential translates directly into geopolitical relevance, as global competition over Arctic resources intensifies and the demand for critical minerals, particularly for technologies such as batteries, semiconductors, and renewable energy components, continues to rise (Pavlov & Malkova, 2023: 10).

Moreover, Sakha's proximity to the Northern Sea Route (NSR), a maritime corridor that promises to revolutionize global shipping by dramatically shortening the distance between Europe and Asia, amplifies its strategic position. While the republic itself does not possess a coastline, its logistical integration with Arctic transport infrastructure, such as ports, railways, and ice roads, allows it to function as a crucial hinterland supporting northern maritime flows. With the expansion of the NSR and the intensification of Russian efforts to develop year-round navigability, Sakha is increasingly becoming an inland logistical hub for the movement of goods, energy, and labor across the Russian Arctic. The planned infrastructure projects aimed at connecting Sakha more directly to Arctic coastal regions, such as the Lena River modernization and new rail links, further underscore its emerging role in Arctic connectivity (Global Affairs, 2023).

From a military-strategic perspective, the region is also significant. As Russia enhances its Arctic defense capabilities through the modernization of military bases, deployment of new technologies, and reinforcement of Arctic brigades, Sakha provides critical depth and redundancy in the country's broader northern defense architecture. The region hosts several key facilities related to aerospace monitoring, radar installations, and logistical supply chains that support operations in the High North. This military dimension adds an additional layer to Sakha's geopolitical profile, embedding it within a matrix of hard power calculations that transcend its subnational status (CSIS, 2024).

Equally important, however, is the cultural and symbolic dimension of Sakha's geopolitical role. Home to the Turkic-speaking Sakha (Yakut) people, who constitute a majority of the republic's population, Sakha occupies a unique ethnocultural position within both the Russian Federation and the broader Eurasian space. Its linguistic, historical, and mythological ties to the Turkic World imbue the region with a soft power potential that resonates beyond its immediate borders. In the context of an increasingly multipolar world where cultural identity and civilizational narratives are being reasserted as tools of diplomacy and legitimacy, Sakha serves as a living testament to the northernmost extension of Turkic civilization. This symbolic capital positions it not merely as a passive territory within Russia's Arctic perimeter, but as an active bearer of identity, tradition, and potential diplomatic significance (Petrov & Chernyshova, 2019: 5).

Sakha's unique combination of resource endowment, geostrategic location, logistical integration, and cultural identity allows it to function as a multilayered geopolitical actor. It contributes materially to the Arctic's economic transformation, supports infrastructure and defense capabilities vital to Russian strategy, and represents an identity-based node that could potentially connect Arctic geopolitics with the growing ambitions of the Turkic World. Furthermore, its subnational status invites complex questions

about the agency of regions within federal states and the ways in which internal diversity can interact with transnational currents in diplomacy, culture, and economics (Akimov, 2021: 82).

While Sakha remains firmly within the administrative and political structure of the Russian Federation, its evolving role in the Arctic reveals a degree of strategic flexibility. It simultaneously participates in state-centered national strategies and embodies cultural narratives that transcend the Russian framework. This dual identity positions Sakha as both a contributor to Russia's Arctic vision and a latent platform for cross-cultural, transregional dialogue, particularly with entities such as the Organization of Turkic States. As geopolitical conditions in the Arctic continue to evolve, and as global actors seek new partnerships and alignments in the region, Sakha's ability to navigate its multiple affiliations may become increasingly important (Maj, 2012: 212).

In sum, the Sakha Republic is far more than a peripheral administrative region. It is an expansive, resource-rich, and culturally distinct Arctic actor whose multifaceted identity grants it a strategic relevance that reaches beyond traditional definitions of state power. Recognizing and analyzing Sakha's role within the broader Arctic geopolitical framework allows for a more inclusive, complex, and accurate understanding of how the High North is being reconfigured, not only through the actions of great powers, but also through the agency of regional communities positioned at the crossroads of geography, identity, and strategic ambition.

6. Energy, Transportation, and Climate Dynamics in Sakha

While energy security, climate adaptation, and infrastructural development constitute crucial dimensions of Sakha's geopolitical landscape, they do not fully capture the republic's broader significance in the context of this study. The intersections of these material forces with cultural diplomacy and transregional connectivity are equally vital. The Sakha Republic, through its Turkic heritage and geographic position at the northern frontier of Eurasia, represents not only a resource-rich Arctic territory but also a cultural gateway linking the Arctic to the Turkic World. In this sense, the challenges and opportunities described above, whether related to energy corridors, transportation routes, or climate resilience, serve as complementary factors that both enable and constrain Sakha's capacity to function as a cultural bridge. By embedding discussions of energy and infrastructure within a framework of connectivity and cultural diplomacy, this article emphasizes that Sakha's long-term strategic value cannot be reduced solely to its natural resource wealth. Rather, its role lies equally in its potential to institutionalize linkages between Arctic governance and Turkic integration, offering a multidimensional perspective that situates material developments within the larger architecture of intercultural and transregional relations.

The intersection of energy security, transportation infrastructure, and climate change has become one of the most consequential arenas for geopolitical competition in the 21st century. Nowhere is this triad more acutely observed than in the Arctic, where environmental transformation is reshaping the geostrategic map. Within this rapidly evolving landscape, the Sakha Republic occupies a uniquely complex and consequential position. As a resource-rich, landlocked, and climatically extreme region, Sakha simultaneously exemplifies the opportunities and the vulnerabilities embedded in Arctic development. Its vast energy reserves, evolving transportation links, and exposure to the intensifying effects of climate change render it a microcosm of broader Arctic transformations, while also highlighting its singular role in shaping the region's strategic future (Bergmann et al., 2024: 3).

At the core of Sakha's geopolitical relevance lies its formidable energy potential. The republic is one of the most resource-endowed territories in the Russian Federation and in the broader Arctic. It holds substantial reserves of fossil fuels, particularly natural gas and oil, as well as an immense wealth of solid mineral resources including coal, gold, silver, uranium, and, most famously, diamonds, accounting for over 90% of Russia's diamond production. These resources are not only critical to the Russian economy but are also integral to global supply chains in energy, technology, and luxury goods. The growing international

demand for rare earth elements and critical minerals, especially in the context of green technologies, further elevates Sakha's strategic profile, positioning it as a key node in the transition to a post-carbon economy (Rakhmangulov, 2022: 215).

The exploitation of these energy resources has historically been hindered by extreme climatic conditions, underdeveloped infrastructure, and vast geographic distances. However, recent advancements in extraction technologies, coupled with state-driven investment in Arctic development, have begun to shift this paradigm. Major energy corporations, often with state backing, are expanding exploration and production projects in Sakha, aiming to tap into its deep hydrocarbon reserves and integrate them into the larger Arctic energy corridor. These developments not only reflect Russia's broader Arctic energy strategy but also underscore Sakha's growing integration into national and international energy architectures (McGee, 2022: 4).

Closely tied to this energy dimension is the question of transportation. The Arctic's newfound economic value can only be realized through the creation of robust and resilient infrastructure networks capable of linking resource zones with global markets. In this regard, Sakha is emerging as a strategic inland hub that supports both east-west and north-south connectivity. The expansion of the Northern Sea Route (NSR), which offers a significantly shorter shipping path between Europe and Asia, has placed pressure on Russia to develop inland infrastructure that can efficiently funnel resources to Arctic ports. In response, a series of large-scale infrastructure projects have been initiated, including the modernization of the Lena River transport system, the extension of the Amur-Yakutsk Mainline (AYaM) railway, and proposals for integrated road-rail corridors that would link Sakha to the wider Eurasian transport network (Global Affairs, 2023).

These infrastructure projects are not merely logistical undertakings; they are strategic instruments aimed at reinforcing Russia's sovereignty in the Arctic, facilitating resource extraction, and asserting economic presence in a region increasingly contested by global actors. Sakha's inclusion in such projects reflects its vital role in enabling Russia's long-term vision of Arctic integration. Moreover, the region's internal infrastructure, ranging from airfields and winter roads to pipelines and energy grids, is being recalibrated to withstand permafrost melt, thaw cycles, and other environmental challenges posed by a warming Arctic (Leman, 2022: 157).

Climate dynamics represent both an enabler and a disruptor in this equation. On the one hand, rising temperatures and retreating permafrost are opening new economic frontiers by increasing accessibility to mineral deposits, making year-round shipping more feasible, and shortening construction timelines in once-inhospitable terrain. On the other hand, these very processes are destabilizing existing infrastructure, threatening traditional livelihoods, and introducing profound environmental risks. Sakha, as one of the coldest inhabited regions on Earth, is acutely sensitive to even minor shifts in climate. The degradation of permafrost has already begun to affect the structural integrity of buildings, roads, and pipelines, posing significant safety, economic, and logistical challenges (Romanovsky et al., 2020: 4).

Additionally, climate change carries significant social implications for Sakha's indigenous and rural communities, many of whom depend on reindeer herding, hunting, and fishing. Altered migration patterns, disrupted ecosystems, and extreme weather events have begun to erode the traditional knowledge systems and food security of these populations. This human dimension of climate change adds another layer of urgency to Sakha's development trajectory, demanding not only technical solutions but also culturally informed policy responses (García Molinos et al., 2024).

Importantly, the convergence of energy, transportation, and climate issues in Sakha is not occurring in isolation; it is embedded within broader geopolitical currents. As Russia seeks to leverage its Arctic assets for strategic advantage, amid intensifying global competition, economic sanctions, and shifting alliances, regions like Sakha become instrumental in realizing national objectives. Simultaneously, global actors interested in Arctic governance, environmental protection, and infrastructure financing view Sakha as a case study in the complexities of Arctic modernization. This dual visibility, as both a domestic asset and an

international point of interest, underscores Sakha's embeddedness in global debates about the future of the Arctic (Bifolchi, 2024: 1).

In sum, the Sakha Republic stands at the nexus of three transformative forces: the pursuit of energy security, the expansion of Arctic connectivity, and the disruptive impacts of climate change. Its vast natural wealth, evolving transport corridors, and environmental volatility combine to make it a central actor in the Arctic's geopolitical reordering. Understanding Sakha's role within this triad is essential not only for analyzing Russia's Arctic strategy, but also for assessing how subnational regions can shape and be shaped by global dynamics in an era where geography, technology, and climate are inextricably intertwined.

7. The Strategic Horizon of the Organization of Turkic States and the Arctic Opening

In recent years, the Organization of Turkic States (OTS) has undergone a significant institutional evolution, transitioning from a predominantly cultural and linguistic forum into an increasingly cohesive geopolitical actor. Originally founded to promote solidarity among Turkic-speaking nations through shared historical, cultural, and linguistic bonds, the OTS has gradually expanded its strategic horizon to encompass regional integration, economic cooperation, security dialogue, and infrastructure connectivity. This transformation reflects a broader geopolitical ambition: to reposition the Turkic World as a coherent regional bloc capable of projecting influence beyond its traditional Central Asian and Anatolian core. Within this emerging framework, new geographies of engagement, such as the Arctic, are beginning to surface, not as immediate spheres of power, but as symbolic and strategic frontiers for long-term civilizational outreach. The Sakha Republic, home to the northernmost Turkic people, represents a compelling entry point into this new frontier (Hamzaoglu, Çora, & Mikail, 2022: 65).

The expanding vision of the OTS must be understood within the context of shifting global power dynamics and the rise of regionalism as a strategic imperative. As multipolarity deepens and global institutions become increasingly fragmented, regional organizations have begun to fill the vacuum of coordination, stability, and cultural diplomacy. The OTS, while still relatively young in comparison to other regional blocs, has taken notable steps toward institutional consolidation: the establishment of a permanent secretariat, regular summits, action plans, and working groups on diverse topics such as digitalization, transportation, education, and security. Member states, including Türkiye, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Kyrgyzstan, have pursued greater policy harmonization, intergovernmental dialogue, and infrastructural initiatives, particularly under the banner of "Turkic integration" (Güngör, 2021: 1).

A central component of the OTS's strategy is the promotion of transregional connectivity. Projects such as the Trans-Caspian East-West Middle Corridor, which links Türkiye to Central Asia via the Caucasus, and energy infrastructure partnerships have signaled the bloc's intent to anchor itself within the broader context of Eurasian development. In this setting, the Arctic emerges not merely as a distant geographic curiosity but as an eventual extension of Turkic spatial imagination. The inclusion of Northern Turkic communities, such as the Sakha people, into the cultural and diplomatic orbit of the OTS would symbolically reaffirm the civilizational expanse of the Turkic World, stretching from the Mediterranean to the Arctic (Acehan, 2023: 112).

While current political realities, especially the Sakha Republic's status within the Russian Federation, preclude any formal membership or political realignment, the OTS has at its disposal a wide range of soft power mechanisms that can facilitate engagement.

Given Sakha's federal status within the Russian Federation, any prospect of formal membership or institutional alignment with the OTS remains highly unrealistic in the foreseeable future. The republic's constitutional position as an integral subject of the Russian Federation imposes clear limitations on external political or organizational commitments, and any attempt to bypass these constraints would not only be impractical but also counterproductive. Nevertheless, these federal boundaries do not diminish the value of Sakha's cultural, linguistic, and historical connections to the wider Turkic World. On the contrary, they

make cultural and symbolic engagement even more significant, offering the OTS an opportunity to strengthen soft power ties without challenging state sovereignty. Initiatives rooted in cultural diplomacy, such as academic exchanges, heritage preservation, intellectual dialogue, and symbolic recognition, allow Sakha to be included within the OTS's civilizational and cultural sphere, while respecting the geopolitical sensitivities of the Russian Federation. This dual recognition, that institutional integration is constrained, but cultural connectivity is both feasible and strategically valuable, should frame the OTS's approach to its northern frontier.

Cultural diplomacy, academic exchange, language initiatives, joint research programs, and ethnolinguistic heritage preservation offer viable pathways for deepening ties without infringing upon state sovereignty. For instance, organizing conferences, publications, and cultural festivals that include Sakha scholars, artists, and institutions can foster a sense of belonging to the wider Turkic civilizational sphere. Similarly, educational scholarships, media partnerships, and digitized heritage archives can create sustainable platforms of intellectual and cultural integration (Kocaman, 2023: 5).

Moreover, the OTS has the opportunity to position itself as a normative actor in promoting inclusive identity-based diplomacy, one that transcends rigid state borders and embraces subnational cultural communities. By doing so, it can project a model of regionalism that values diversity within unity, acknowledging that Turkic identity is not limited to sovereign entities but lives across multiple geographies and historical continuities. The Arctic, in this conceptualization, becomes not just a space of cold war-style strategic competition, but a symbolic northern frontier of Turkic identity and resilience (Özkan, 2022: 89).

Engagement with Arctic territories also aligns with the OTS's growing focus on sustainability, climate diplomacy, and technological adaptation. As global warming reshapes the Arctic landscape, it introduces a host of governance challenges, from indigenous rights and ecological conservation to infrastructure resilience and migration. The OTS, by integrating its members' Arctic-adjacent knowledge systems, such as traditional nomadic practices, environmental adaptation models, and local resource management, can contribute to broader international dialogues on climate governance. Incorporating the experiences of the Sakha people in this respect would not only provide valuable insights into Arctic-specific challenges but also demonstrate the OTS's willingness to learn from peripheral yet resilient communities (Limon, 2023: 45).

Furthermore, the symbolic importance of including the Sakha Republic within the intellectual and cultural scope of the OTS should not be underestimated. In civilizational geopolitics, narratives matter. The recognition of Sakha as a part of the Turkic historical and cultural world extends the reach of the OTS beyond its conventional geographies, enabling it to challenge reductive spatial categories and reinforce its legitimacy as a pan-Turkic institution. This symbolic integration may serve a strategic function: expanding the imagined geography of Turkicness and enhancing the organization's global profile in cultural and academic circles. However, such an Arctic opening must be carefully navigated. Any engagement with Sakha must respect the geopolitical sensitivities of the Russian Federation and avoid any semblance of political subversion. The strategy, therefore, must emphasize cultural partnership over political alignment, identity affirmation over territorial ambition, and long-term dialogue over short-term gain. By adopting a patient, inclusive, and culturally grounded approach, the OTS can lay the foundation for a future in which the Arctic is not merely a zone of great-power rivalry but also a space of cultural reawakening and strategic imagination for the Turkic World (Powers, 2024).

In conclusion, the Organization of Turkic States, through its evolving institutional capacity and expanding cultural diplomacy, possesses the tools to engage with peripheral Turkic regions such as Sakha in ways that are both meaningful and geopolitically prudent. The Arctic opening, while still in its embryonic stage, represents an opportunity for the OTS to articulate a long-term vision that embraces forgotten geographies, reclaims historical ties, and redefines regionalism as a dynamic, pluralistic, and identity-driven enterprise. In doing so, the OTS not only amplifies its strategic horizon but also contributes to a more diverse and inclusive conceptualization of the Arctic itself.

8. Integration Scenarios: Diplomatic, Economic, and Cultural Perspectives

While the Sakha Republic remains politically integrated within the Russian Federation and subject to the constraints of federal governance, its unique ethnocultural identity and geostrategic location open possibilities for carefully calibrated forms of integration with the Turkic World, particularly through the evolving institutional framework of the Organization of Turkic States (OTS). Given the complex geopolitical environment of the Arctic and the sensitivities surrounding Russian sovereignty, any integration scenario must be pursued with strategic caution, privileging soft power tools, multi-level diplomacy, and long-term cultural engagement over immediate institutional alignment. Within this context, three principal vectors emerge as viable avenues for deepening ties between Sakha and the Turkic World: diplomatic connectivity, economic cooperation, and cultural integration (Erşen & Köstem, 2020: 8).

Diplomatic integration, in the case of Sakha, must operate outside traditional state-to-state paradigms. Instead, it requires a multi-layered approach based on identity-based diplomacy, subnational outreach, and track-two engagements. Given that Sakha is not a sovereign actor, formal diplomatic ties are neither feasible nor desirable in the short term. However, identity diplomacy, defined as the deployment of shared historical, linguistic, and cultural narratives as instruments of soft engagement, offers a powerful alternative. Under this model, the OTS and its member states can enhance cooperation with Sakha-based cultural institutions, academic centers, NGOs, and regional media platforms. Forums and symposia that include Sakha scholars and Turkologists, youth delegations, and local leaders would promote intellectual cross-pollination and mutual visibility. These interactions can be institutionalized through memoranda of understanding, cultural attachés, or the creation of "Turkic Culture Desks" in Yakutsk-based institutions, functioning as symbolic diplomatic presences without violating the Russian constitutional framework (De Lima Júnior, 2015: 4).

Crucially, any such engagement must be framed in a manner that aligns with Russia's interests in regional stability and cultural diversity. Russia has historically supported limited cultural autonomy for its Turkic republics as a tool of soft power projection in the post-Soviet space (Jonson, 2001). Therefore, OTS initiatives that emphasize non-political, civilizational, and educational cooperation, rather than institutional integration, can be presented as complementary to Russia's own narrative of a "multi-ethnic but unified" federation. By positioning Sakha not as a separatist actor but as a cultural ambassador of Russia's Turkic heritage, such outreach could gain tacit approval from Moscow, especially if framed as enhancing Russia's global cultural prestige.

While such initiatives are designed to operate within Russia's constitutional framework, their viability ultimately depends on Moscow's perception of their purpose and scope. To gain Russian acquiescence, these engagements must be framed not as geopolitical alignments but as cultural and academic exchanges that complement, rather than challenge, federal cohesion. Positioning them under the umbrella of Russia's own policies on "peoples' friendship" and interregional cooperation can help mitigate political sensitivities (Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation, 2023). Moreover, ensuring that activities are co-organized with federal or regional authorities, and aligning them with officially recognized cultural diplomacy programs, can provide the necessary assurances to the Russian state that these initiatives are non-threatening and mutually beneficial.

Such soft engagements could gradually normalize the inclusion of peripheral Turkic communities in regional dialogues, fostering a multi-polar model of diplomacy that values symbolic inclusion over territorial realignment. Over time, these contacts could evolve into structured partnerships, with Sakha represented in observer roles in relevant OTS initiatives (e.g., cultural councils, indigenous affairs working groups), similar to how Hungary has engaged with the OTS despite not being a Turkic-majority state.

The second vector of integration rests upon pragmatic economic cooperation, especially in sectors where Sakha's natural and logistical resources intersect with Turkic states' developmental ambitions. Sakha's vast reserves of critical minerals, hydrocarbons, timber, and freshwater position it as a potentially strategic partner for OTS countries seeking to diversify energy supplies, secure raw materials, and access Arctic

infrastructure development expertise. Although Russia maintains strict control over its internal economic flows, corporate partnerships, technology exchanges, and joint research projects present indirect but effective mechanisms for economic engagement. For example, Turkic engineering firms or mining equipment manufacturers could explore partnerships with Sakha-based enterprises through joint ventures registered under Russian jurisdiction. Similarly, Sakha's expertise in cold-climate infrastructure design and Arctic logistics could be shared with Turkic countries investing in their own mountainous or permafrost-prone regions (Kovrov et al., 2021: 41).

A future vision may also involve cooperation in digital infrastructure and smart governance. As Sakha continues to digitize its services—despite its geographic challenges, there are lessons and technologies that could be shared across Turkic regions facing similar terrain and demographic challenges. Building platforms for technological cooperation between innovation centers in Yakutsk, Astana, Ankara, and Tashkent could generate long-term synergies, especially in climate adaptation, remote learning, or Arctic medicine (Karaseva et al., 2023: 123).

Finally, the trans-Eurasian transportation grid, linking Central Asia, the Caspian Basin, and eventually the Russian Arctic, offers a visionary but plausible axis for future economic connectivity. If regional peace and infrastructure integration deepen, Sakha could serve as a northern terminal in an expanded Turkic economic space that stretches across multiple ecological zones.

Cultural integration represents the most feasible and least politically contentious avenue for connection. The Turkic identity of the Yakut people, expressed through their language, mythology, festivals, and oral literature, provides a solid basis for cultural diplomacy. Initiatives that promote cultural convergence, without necessitating political alignment, can form the backbone of long-term identity-based integration. Joint heritage preservation projects, digital Turkic libraries, language revitalization efforts, and pan-Turkic art exhibitions can foster emotional and intellectual proximity. Reviving Olonkho epic traditions in cooperation with OTS-backed literary programs, for instance, could position Sakha as a cultural lighthouse in the northern Turkic world. Cross-regional film, music, and visual art festivals can spotlight Sakha's Arctic aesthetic and spiritual universe, linking it with Central Asian steppe mythology and Anatolian motifs (The Arctic Institute, 2024).

Concrete initiatives could build upon these cultural anchors. For instance, student and youth exchange programs centered around Ysyakh celebrations or Olonkho performances could institutionalize Sakha's symbolic inclusion in the Turkic World. Likewise, collaborative projects on linguistic preservation, such as digital archives of Sakha oral literature or joint Turkic language revitalization workshops, would provide sustainable frameworks for cultural integration (Danilov & Ignatyeva, 2022). However, the feasibility of such initiatives ultimately depends on Moscow's tolerance. Russia has historically permitted symbolic festivals, folklore promotion, and academic research so long as they do not threaten federal integrity or encourage separatist narratives (Akimov, 2021). This implies that cultural diplomacy and academic cooperation can flourish if framed as non-political heritage preservation rather than geopolitical realignment.

Educational cooperation is another critical domain. Offering university scholarships for Sakha students in Turkic countries, establishing exchange programs, and funding research centers on Arctic Turkology can institutionalize the sense of belonging across generations. Moreover, the creation of a "Turkic Arctic Studies Center," hosted jointly in Sakha and a major OTS capital, could become a knowledge hub for indigenous studies, cold-climate adaptation, and Turkic identity resilience in marginal geographies. Such initiatives also serve a broader civilizational purpose. They demonstrate that Turkic identity is not bounded by borders or political status, but rather exists as a fluid, transhistorical and transregional continuum that includes sovereign nations and subnational communities alike. This redefinition of identity is not merely symbolic; it is strategic, allowing for flexible alliances and multidimensional solidarity in an era marked by fragmentation and identity politics (Northern Forum, 2023).

The integration of the Sakha Republic into the orbit of the Turkic World is neither imminent nor absolute. It is a process, tentative, layered, and contingent upon geopolitical conditions. However, by leveraging

cultural heritage, engaging in pragmatic economic cooperation, and embracing identity-based diplomacy, it is possible to construct a gradual and respectful integration model that both acknowledges existing political realities and aspires to deeper civilizational alignment. Such a model resists binary thinking. It does not demand that Sakha choose between East and West, between Moscow and Ankara. Rather, it envisions Sakha as a pluralist cultural bridge, a northern sentinel of Turkic heritage that can help redefine what regionalism means in the 21st century. In this formulation, integration is not an event, but a constellation of relationships: slowly expanding, normatively inclusive, and geopolitically imaginative.

9. Conclusion

As the Arctic emerges as one of the most dynamic and contested geopolitical arenas of the 21st century, new conceptual frameworks are required to fully grasp the complexity of actors, identities, and interests involved in this transformation. This study has proposed that subnational regions such as the Sakha Republic, often excluded from traditional geopolitical analyses, must be brought into sharper analytical focus, particularly when they embody both significant material resources and deep-rooted cultural identities that resonate across civilizational spaces. In examining the intersection of Sakha's geostrategic importance, its Turkic cultural heritage, and the evolving ambitions of the Organization of Turkic States (OTS), this paper has sought to chart a multi-dimensional perspective on Arctic regionalism that transcends narrow state-centric models.

Drawing upon classical geopolitical theories such as Mackinder's Heartland hypothesis and Spykman's Rimland thesis, the analysis has situated Sakha within a strategic corridor that connects Eurasian continental dynamics to the circumpolar north. Far from being a passive periphery, Sakha functions as a vital inland hub that supports Russia's Arctic ambitions while simultaneously embodying the cultural legacy of the Turkic world. Its vast territorial expanse, energy and mineral wealth, and proximity to emergent infrastructure corridors position it as a critical interface between northern resource geopolitics and southern civilizational affiliations.

The study's historical and cultural investigation into the Yakut people, Turkic in language, mythology, and ancestral memory, has revealed a long-standing continuity of identity that persists despite centuries of colonization, assimilation, and modernization. This continuity offers fertile ground for engagement by the OTS, whose expanding institutional and symbolic reach makes it an increasingly relevant actor in Eurasian affairs. The Arctic, while not currently central to OTS activities, represents an unexplored frontier where the organization can exercise a form of soft influence rooted not in territorial claims, but in cultural recognition and identity diplomacy.

The articulation of integration scenarios across diplomatic, economic, and cultural domains demonstrates that engagement with Sakha is not only possible but strategically beneficial, provided that such engagement is approached with patience, nuance, and geopolitical sensitivity. Diplomatically, identity-based outreach and track-two diplomacy can foster symbolic inclusion without challenging Russia's territorial integrity. Economically, selective partnerships in energy, infrastructure, and technological resilience can build practical bridges of cooperation. Culturally, shared Turkic heritage, manifested in language, oral tradition, festivals, and mythology, can be mobilized to construct a sense of pan-Turkic continuity that includes, rather than excludes, peripheral communities.

This approach fundamentally rethinks the geography of the Turkic world. It challenges the assumption that Turkic identity is bounded by state sovereignty or regional proximity, and instead posits a civilizational geography that extends from the Mediterranean to the Arctic, an arc of cultural kinship, historical memory, and future possibility. In this formulation, Sakha is not an anomaly, but an anchor. It serves as a reminder that the Turkic world has long occupied diverse ecological zones, from steppes and deserts to mountains and polar tundras, and that its future relevance lies in the ability to incorporate this diversity into a coherent but pluralistic geopolitical vision.

The implications of this analysis are both theoretical and practical. Theoretically, it calls for a revision of prevailing geopolitical models that privilege only sovereign state actors and ignore the agency of subnational regions, cultural communities, and civilizational identities. It suggests that connectivity—both material and symbolic, should be the primary lens through which regional integration is analyzed. Practically, it offers a roadmap for the OTS and its member states to engage with regions like Sakha in a manner that is respectful of national borders while still being ambitious in civilizational scope.

Looking ahead, several recommendations emerge. First, the OTS should consider expanding its outreach to non-sovereign Turkic communities through cultural and academic partnerships that do not require political realignment. Second, Arctic and cold-region adaptation expertise, abundant in Sakha, should be integrated into OTS environmental and infrastructure dialogues. Third, collaborative digital platforms and research hubs focused on Arctic Turkic heritage, climate adaptation, and inter-regional identity can serve as instruments of both soft power and strategic learning.

Ultimately, this study underscores that the central lens through which Sakha's relevance should be interpreted is cultural diplomacy and connectivity. While factors such as energy wealth, climatic volatility, and infrastructural development provide important contextual depth, they function primarily as supporting dimensions rather than the main axis of analysis. The decisive theme lies in Sakha's ability to act as a cultural and symbolic bridge between the Turkic World and the Arctic. Yet, this vision must be tempered by geopolitical reality: Sakha's federal status within the Russian Federation precludes any prospect of formal political or institutional integration with the OTS in the foreseeable future. What remains both feasible and strategically significant is symbolic and cultural engagement, scholarly collaboration, heritage preservation, intellectual dialogue, and identity diplomacy, that affirms Sakha's Turkic continuity without transgressing state sovereignty. By reframing the Arctic not simply as a contested resource frontier but as a cultural and connective horizon, the paper emphasizes that the most realistic and sustainable pathway for engagement is one rooted in long-term cultural inclusion and symbolic recognition.

In sum, the Sakha Republic offers not only a test case for identity-driven regionalism in the Arctic but also a strategic opportunity to reimagine how geography, culture, and diplomacy intersect in a world increasingly shaped by polycentric and pluralistic dynamics. Its potential alignment, however gradual, symbolic, or partial, with the Turkic world opens new conceptual and strategic pathways for both Arctic governance and Turkic integration. Recognizing and nurturing this alignment is not merely a matter of cultural pride; it is a long-term investment in geopolitical imagination.

Finansman/ Grant Support

Yazar(lar) bu çalışma için finansal destek almadığını beyan etmiştir.

The author(s) declared that this study has received no financial support.

Çıkar Çatışması/ Conflict of Interest

Yazar(lar) çıkar çatışması bildirmemiştir.

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Açık Erişim Lisansı/ Open Access License

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY NC).

Bu makale, Creative Commons Atıf-GayriTicari 4.0 Uluslararası Lisansı (CC BY NC) ile lisanslanmıştır.

References

- Abildgaard, M. S., Ren, C., Leyva-Mayorga, I., Stefanovic, C., Soret, B., & Popovski, P. (2021). *Arctic Connectivity: A Frugal Approach To Infrastructural Development*. arXiv. Retrieved July 8, 2025, from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.13012>.
- Acehan, B. (2023). Connectivity-Led Regionalism: The Organization Of Turkic States And Its Eurasian Vision. *Journal of Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 64(2), p. 105–123.
- Akimov, Y. (2021). Arctic Paradplomacy Of The Republic Of Sakha (Yakutia): The Impact Of Federalism, Nationalism, And Identity. In M. Landriault, J.-F. Payette, & S. Roussel (Eds.), *Mapping Arctic*

- Paradiplomacy: Limits and Opportunities For Sub-national Actors In Arctic Governance* (pp. 77–98). Routledge.
- Bergmann, M., Svendsen, O., Moe, A., Husebekk, A., Elde, S., & Welde, E. K. (2024). Arctic Energy Security. *CSIS Analysis*. Retrieved July 2, 2025, from <https://www.csis.org/analysis/arctic-energy-security>.
- Bifolchi, G. (2024). *Russian Arctic Development And National Security Strategy: Analysing Current Trajectory And Implications*. *Geopolitical Report*, 48(6), pp. 1–12. *Special Eurasia*. Retrieved June 29, 2025, from <https://www.specialeurasia.com/2024/11/17/arctic-russia-strategy/>.
- Coffey, L. (2022). *Is There Space For The Organization Of Turkic States At The Arctic Council?* TRT World. Retrieved June 18, 2025, from <https://www.trtworld.com/opinion/is-there-space-for-the-organization-of-turkic-states-at-the-arctic-council-52404>.
- CSIS. (2024). *The Ice Curtain: Russia's Arctic Military Presence*. Center for Strategic & International Studies. Retrieved 23 June, 2025, from <https://www.csis.org/analysis/ice-curtain-russias-arctic-military-presence>.
- Danilov, I. A., & Ignatyeva, V. B. (2022). The Youth Of Indigenous Minorities In The North Of The Republic Of Sakha (Yakutia): Ethnolinguistic Identity And Linguistic Behavior. *Tomsk Journal of Linguistics and Anthropology*, 4, p. 27–40.
- De Lima Júnior, W. (2015). Cultural Paradiplomacy: Institutions And Agenda. *Civitas (Porto Alegre)*, 15(2), p. 185–203.
- Dodds, K. (2019). *The Arctic: What Everyone Needs To Know*. Oxford University Press.
- Erşen, E., & Köstem, Y. (2020). Turkey And The Eurasian Integration: Ideology Or Pragmatism? *Vestnik RUDN. International Relations*, 20(2), p. 1–20.
- Exner-Pirot, H. (2021). The Northern Sea Route: A New Frontier For Global Trade? *Marine Policy*, 133, 104730.
- Fedorova, S.A., Reidla, M., Metspalu, E. et al. (2013). Autosomal And Uniparental Portraits Of The Native Populations Of Sakha (Yakutia): Implications For The Peopling Of Northeast Eurasia. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 13, Article 127.
- Ford, J. D., & Kretzheim, C. W. (2023). Global Change Impacts On Indigenous Sustainability In Sakha Republic: A Synthesis Of Knowledge. *Sustainability*, 16(1157), p. 1–21.
- García Molinos, J., Stepanov, A., Sasidharan, V., & Yermakov, P. (2024). Climate And Land-Use Change Threaten Traditional Food Sources In Russia's Far East. *PNAS Nexus*, December 13, 2024. Retrieved June 30, 2025, from <https://www.global.hokudai.ac.jp/blog/climate-and-land-use-change-threaten-traditional-food-sources-in-russias-far-east/>.
- Global Affairs. (2023). *The Northern Window To The Global World: Freight, Arctic Rivers And The Northern Sea Route*. *Russia In Global Affairs*. Retrieved 26 June, 2025, from <https://eng.globalaffairs.ru/articles/the-northern-window-to-the-global-world/>.
- Güngör, G. (2021). Deepening Cooperation: Organization Of Turkic States. *Center For Strategic International Studies (AVİM)*. Retrieved July 4, 2025, from <https://www.avim.org.tr/en/Yorum/DEEPENING-COOPERATION-ORGANIZATION-OF-TURKIC-STATES>.
- Hamzaoglu, H., Çora, H., & Mikail, E. H. (2022). Institutional Development And Cooperation Mechanisms Of The Organization Of Turkic States. *China-USA Business Review*, 21(2), p. 62–69.
- Harris, R. P. (2019). Storytelling In Siberia: The Olonkho Epic In A Changing World (Review). *Journal of American Folklore*, 132(524), p. 225–228.

- Heininen, L. (2018). *Arctic Geopolitics From Classical To Critical Approach – Importance Of Immaterial Factors*. In *Arctic Yearbook* (pp. 37–61). University of Lapland.
- Johnson, A. (2023). Revisiting The Heartland Theory: Implications For Arctic Geopolitics. *International Geopolitics Review*, 12(2), p. 145–162.
- Jonson, L. (2001). Russia's Ethnic Elites And The State: The Case Of Tatarstan and Sakha. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 53(7), p. 1017–1037.
- Käkönen, V. (2023). Russia's Northern Sea Route: Between National Ambition And Global Interest. *Arctic Review on Law and Politics*, 14, p. 112–130.
- Karaseva, A., Gavrilova, K., Vasilyeva, V., & Veretennik, E. (2023). E-procurement And Arctic Infrastructural Geography: Challenges Of E-Governance In The Russian Arctic. *Polar Geography*, 46(2–3), p. 120–138.
- Keskitalo, E. C. H. (2022). *Arctic Governance And International Relations*. In E. C. H. Keskitalo & A. M. Kulyasova (Eds.), *Handbook Of The Changing Arctic* (pp. 3–18). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kocaman, Ö. (2023). Shaping Eurasia's Future: The Evolving Role And Impact Of The Organization Of Turkic States. *Insight Turkey*, 25(3), p. 1–20.
- Kovrov, G. S., Babkin, I. A., & Yegorov, N. E. (2021). Prospects For Investment In The Fuel And Energy Complex Of Yakutia With The Use Of Public-Private Partnership Mechanisms. *Sever Ĭ Rynok: Formirovanie Ekonomicheskogo Poryadka*, 71(1), p. 37–55.
- Lackenbauer, P. W., & Kikkas, K. (2022). Indigenous Peoples And Arctic Governance: From Marginalization To Partnership? *The Polar Journal*, 12(1), 1–22.
- Landriault, M., Payette, J.-F., & Roussel, S. (Eds.). (2021). *Mapping Arctic Paradiplomacy: Limits And Opportunities For Sub-National Actors In Arctic Governance*. Routledge.
- Leman, M. (2022). Climate-Resilient Infrastructure In The Russian Arctic: Engineering Responses To Permafrost Thaw. *Arctic Review on Law and Politics*, 13, p. 145–169.
- Limon, O. (2023). *Arctic Interests And Policy Of Turkey: Dilemmas, Approaches And Initiatives*. *Arctic Yearbook*. Retrieved June 21, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357159079_Arctic_Interests_and_Policy_of_Turkey_Dilemmas_Approaches_and_Initiatives.
- Mackinder, H. J. (1919). *Democratic Ideals And Reality: A Study In The Politics Of Reconstruction*. London, UK: Constable and Company.
- Maj, E. (2012). Internationalisation With The Use Of Arctic Indigeneity: The Case Of The Republic Of Sakha (Yakutia), Russia. *Polar Record*, 48(3), p. 210–214.
- McGee, R. (2022). Mapping Russia's Arctic Hydrocarbon Development Scheme: Spatial Embeddedness And Network Dynamics. *Center For Circumpolar Security Studies – The Arctic Institute*. Retrieved June, 24, 2025, from <https://www.thearcticinstitute.org/mapping-russia-arctic-hydrocarbon-development-scheme>.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation. (2023). Concept of the Foreign Policy of the Russian Federation. Retrieved 12 August, 2025, from https://www.mid.ru/en/foreign_policy/fundamental_documents/1860586/.
- Montoya, M., et al. (2021). The Politics Of Identity And Regional Integration – Updating Global Perspectives. *Journal of Nationalism, Memory & Language Politics*, 15(2), p. 230–256.
- Northern Forum. (2023). *International Arctic School: Integration Of Federal And International Standards In Arctic Education*. Retrieved July 4, 2025, from <https://www.northernforum.org/en/projects/ongoing/467-international-arctic-school>.

- Özkan, A. (2022). Cultural Diplomacy And Civilisational Continuity In The Organization Of Turkic States. *Turkish Studies Quarterly*, 23(1), p. 78–95.
- Pakendorf, B., & Novgorodov, I. (2009). Loanwords In Sakha (Yakut), A Turkic Language Of Siberia. In M. Haspelmath & U. Tadmor (Eds.), *Loanwords In The World's Languages: A Comparative Handbook* (pp. 496–524). De Gruyter Mouton.
- Pakendorf, B., & Stapert, E. (2020). Sakha And Dolgan, The North Siberian Turkic Languages. In *The Oxford Handbook of Turkic Languages* (pp. 10–30). Oxford University Press.
- Pavlov, A. V., & Malkova, G. A. (2023). Permafrost Change And Mineral Resource Potentials In The Arctic Regions Of Siberia: Implications For Future Development. *Polar Science*, 37, p. 1–15.
- Petrov, A. A., & Chernyshova, S. L. (2019). Cultural Heritage Of The Indigenous Arctic Peoples Of The Sakha Republic (Yakutia). *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 302(1), p. 1–7.
- Powers, J. (2024, October 3). A Strategic Opportunity For The Organization Of Turkic States Amid Great Power Competition. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved June 29, 2025, from <https://thediplomat.com/2024/10/a-strategic-opportunity-for-the-organization-of-turkic-states-amid-great-power-competition>.
- Rakhmangulov, R. (2022). Resource Wealth And Socio-Economic Development In The Russian Arctic: The Case Of The Sakha Republic. *Resources Policy*, 76, p. 214–223.
- Reuters. (2024, October 14). *Old Theories Offer New Insight Into Global Rivalry*. Reuters. Retrieved July 3, 2025, from <https://www.reuters.com/breakingviews/old-theories-offer-new-insight-into-global-rivalry-2024-10-14>.
- Romanova, E. N., & Ignat'eva, V. B. (2014). The Yakut [Sakha] National Festival Ysyakh In Transition: Historical Myth, Ethnocultural Image, And Contemporary Festival Narrative. *Anthropology & Archeology of Eurasia*, 50(4), p. 42–55.
- Romanovsky, V. E., Lammers, R. B., & Smith, S. L. (2020). *Permafrost And Climate Change: Reducing Vulnerability Of Arctic Infrastructure In A Warming World*. Arctic Report Card. NOAA. Retrieved July 1, 2025, from <https://arctic.noaa.gov/Report-Card/Report-Card-2020/ArtMID/7878/ArticleID/831/Permafrost-and-infrastructure>.
- Spykman, N. J. (1944). *The Geography Of The Peace*. New York, NY: Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- The Arctic Institute. (2024). Cultural And Sports Diplomacy In The North. *The Arctic Institute*. Retrieved July 4, 2025, from <https://www.thearcticinstitute.org/cultural-and-sports-diplomacy-in-the-north/>.
- Ünver, O. C. (2024). Organization Of Turkic States In The Context Of New Asian Geopolitics. *BRIQ: Belt and Road Initiative Quarterly*, 5(4), p. 386–397.