

Some properties of q - close-to-convex functions

H.Esra Özkan Uçar*, Oya Mert† and Yaşar Polatoğlu‡

Abstract

Quantum calculus had been used first time by M.E.H.Ismail, E.Merkes and D.Steyr in the theory of univalent functions [5].

In this present paper we examine the subclass of univalent functions which is defined by quantum calculus.

Keywords: q - convex function, q - close to convex function, growth theorem, distortion theorem, coefficient inequality.

2000 AMS Classification: 30C45

Received : 03.10.2016 *Accepted :* 08.03.2017 *Doi :* 10.15672/ HJMS.2017.459

1. Introduction

Let Ω be the family of functions $\phi(z)$ regular in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy the conditions $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(q)$ the family of functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ which are regular in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \left| p(z) - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

where $q \in (0, 1)$ is a fixed real number. Let A be the family of functions $f(z)$ which are regular in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and satisfying the conditions $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In other words; each f in A has the power series representation $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. Let $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ be an elements of A , if there exists a function $\phi(z) \in \Omega$, such that $f_1(z) = f_2(\phi(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then we say that $f_1(z)$ is subordinate to $f_2(z)$ and we write $f_1(z) \prec f_2(z)$, thus $f_1(z) \prec f_2(z)$ if and

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey

Email : e.ozkan@iku.edu.tr

†Department of Basic Sciences, Altinbas University, İstanbul, Turkey

Email : oya.mert@altinbas.edu.tr

‡Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey

Email : y.polatoglu@iku.edu.tr

only if $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ and $f_1(\mathbb{D}) \subset f_2(\mathbb{D})$ implies $f_1(\mathbb{D}_r) \subset f_2(\mathbb{D}_r)$, where \mathbb{D}_r defined as $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z : |z| < r, 0 < r < 1\}$ (Subordination principle[4]).

In this paragraph we will give the concept of the q - calculus. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed number. A subset of \mathbb{B} of \mathbb{C} is called q - geometric if $qz \in \mathbb{B}$ whenever $z \in \mathbb{B}$, if a subset \mathbb{B} of \mathbb{C} is a q - geometric set, then it contains all geometric sequences $\{q^n z\}_0^\infty$, $zq \in \mathbb{B}$. Let f be a function (real or complex valued) defined on q - geometric set \mathbb{B} , $|q| \neq 1$, the q - difference operator which was introduced by Jackson F.H. and E.Heine or Euler([1],[2],[3],[7]) defined by

$$(1.2) \quad D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1 - q)z}, z \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$$

The q - difference operator (1.2) sometimes called Jackson q -difference operator. If $0 \in \mathbb{B}$, the q - derivative at zero by $|q| < 1$

$$(1.3) \quad D_q f(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(zq^n) - f(0)}{zq^n}, z \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$$

provided the limit exists, and does not depend on z in addition q - derivative at zero defined by $|q| < 1$

$$(1.4) \quad D_q f(0) = D_{q^{-1}} f(0)$$

Under the hypothesis of the definition of q -difference operator, then we have the following rules:

- (1) For a function $f(z) = z^n$ we observe that $D_q f(z) = D_q z^n = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} z^{n-1}$, therefore we have $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 \dots + a_n z^n \dots \Rightarrow$

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} z^{n-1}$$

- (2) Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be defined on a q - geometric set $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that q derivatives of f and g exist for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$, then
 - (i) $D_q (af(z) \pm bg(z)) = aD_q f(z) \pm bD_q g(z)$ where a and b are real or complex constants
 - (ii) $D_q (f(z)g(z)) = g(z)D_q f(z) + f(qz)D_q g(z)$
 - (iii) $D_q \left(\frac{g(z)}{h(z)} \right) = \frac{g(z)D_q h(z) - h(z)D_q g(z)}{h(z)h(qz)} = \frac{g(qz)D_q h(z) - h(qz)D_q g(z)}{h(z)h(qz)}$ where $h(z)h(qz) \neq 0$.
 - (iv) As a right inverse, Jackson([1],[2],[3],[7]) introduced the q - integral

$$\int_0^z f(t) d_q t = z(1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^\infty q^n f(zq^n)$$

provided that the series converges. The following theorem is an analogue of the fundamental theorem of calculus.

1.1. Theorem ([7]). Let f be a q - regular at zero, defined on q - geometric set \mathbb{B} containing zero. Define

$$F(z) = \int_c^z f(\xi) d_q \xi, (z \in \mathbb{B})$$

where c is a fixed point in \mathbb{B} , then F is a regular at zero. Furthermore $D_q F(z)$ exists for every $z \in \mathbb{B}$ and

$$D_q F(z) = f(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$.

Conversely; If a and b are two points in \mathbb{B} , then

$$\int_a^b D_q f(z) d_q z = f(b) - f(a)$$

(3) The q - differential is defined as

$$d_q f(z) = f(z) - f(qz)$$

therefore

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{d_q f(z)}{d_q z} = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} \Rightarrow d_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} d_q z.$$

(4) The partial q - derivative of a multivariable real continuous function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ to a variable x_i defined by

$$D_{q, x_i} F(\vec{x}) = \frac{f(\vec{x}) - \varepsilon_{q, x_i} f(\vec{x})}{(1-q)x_i}, x_i \neq 0, q \in (0, 1)$$

$$[D_{q, x_i} F(\vec{x})]_{x_i=0} = \lim_{x_i \rightarrow 0} D_{q, x_i} f(\vec{x})$$

where $\varepsilon_{q, x_i} f(\vec{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{i-1}, qx_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ and we use $D_{k, x}^k$ instead of operator $\frac{\partial_q^k}{\partial_q x^k}$ for some simplification.

1.2. Lemma. ([6] JACK'S LEMMA) Let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} with $\phi(0) = 0$. If the maximum value of the $|\phi(z)|$ on the circle $|z| = r$, ($0 < r < 1$) is attained at $z = z_0$, then we have

$$z_0 \phi'(z_0) = m \phi(z_0), m \geq 1$$

Making use of the q - derivative $D_q f(z)$, we introduce the following classes.

$$S_q^* = \{f(z) \in A \mid z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} = p(z), p(z) \in P(q)\}$$

(The class of q - starlike functions [5].)

$$C_q = \{f(z) \in A \mid \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} = p(z), p(z) \in P(q)\}$$

(The class of q - convex functions)

$$K_q = \{g(z) \in A \mid \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} = p(z), p(z) \in P(q), f(z) \in C_q\}$$

(The class of q - close-to-convex functions.)

In the present paper we will investigate the class of K_q .

2. Main Results

2.1. Theorem ([8]). $p(z) \in P(q)$ if and only if

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz}$$

Proof. Let $p(z)$ be an element of $P(q)$ then we have

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q} \Rightarrow |p(z) - m| < m$$

where

$$\frac{1}{1-q} = m \iff 1-q = \frac{1}{m} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{m} = q.$$

Therefore the function

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{m}p(z) - 1$$

has modulus at most 1 in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and so

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\psi(z) - \psi(0)}{1 - \overline{\psi(0)}\psi(z)} = \frac{(\frac{1}{m}p(z) - 1) - (\frac{1}{m} - 1)}{1 - (\frac{1}{m} - 1)(\frac{1}{m}p(z) - 1)}$$

satisfies the conditions of Schwarz Lemma, this shows that

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \phi(z)}{1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m})\phi(z)} \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz}.$$

Conversely; Suppose that the function $p(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{D} and satisfies the condition $p(0) = 1$ and

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz}$$

then we have

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz} \Rightarrow p(z) = \frac{1 + \phi(z)}{1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m})\phi(z)} \Rightarrow p(z) - m = m \frac{\frac{1-m}{m} + \phi(z)}{1 + \frac{1-m}{m}\phi(z)}.$$

On the other hand the function $\left(\frac{\frac{1-m}{m} + \phi(z)}{1 + \frac{1-m}{m}\phi(z)} \right)$ maps the unit circle onto itself, then we have

$$|p(z) - m| = \left| m \frac{\frac{1-m}{m} + \phi(z)}{1 + \frac{1-m}{m}\phi(z)} \right| < m.$$

This shows that $p(z) \in P(q)$. □

2.2. Lemma ([8]). Let $f(z)$ be a function (real or complex valued) defined on q -geometric set \mathbb{B} with $|q| \neq 1$, then

$$(2.1) \quad D_q(\log f(z)) = \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)}$$

Proof. Using the definition of q - difference operator, then we have

$$D_q(\log f(z)) = \frac{\log f(z) - \log f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = \log \left(1 + h \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{h}}$$

Taking limit for $h \rightarrow 0$ we obtain (2.1) □

2.3. Lemma. (q -Jack's Lemma [8]) Let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} with $\phi(0) = 0$. Then if $|\phi(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, then we have

$$z_0 D_q \phi(z_0) = m \phi(z_0),$$

where $m \geq 1$ is a real number.

Proof. Using the definition of q - difference operator and Jack's Lemma (Lemma 1.2) then we have

$$D_q\phi(z) = \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(qz)}{(1-q)z} = \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(z_0)}{z - z_0}, \quad qz = z_0$$

taking limit for $z \rightarrow z_0$ we get

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} D_q\phi(z) = D_q\phi(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \phi'(z_0)$$

Therefore we have $z_0 D_q\phi(z_0) = z_0 \phi'(z_0) = m\phi(z_0)$ \square

2.4. Theorem ([8]). Let $f(z)$ be an element of C_q , then

$$(2.2) \quad z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1}{1-qz}$$

Proof. We define the function $\phi(z)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{1-q\phi(z)}$$

Since $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$, $z D_q f(z) = z + a_2 \frac{1-q^2}{1-q} z^2 + a_3 \frac{1-q^3}{1-q} z^3 + \dots$, then $\phi(z)$ is well defined and analytic at the same time

$$z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \Big|_{z=0} = 1 = \frac{1}{1-q\phi(z)} \Rightarrow \phi(0) = 0$$

We need to show that $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Assume to the contrary, then there exists a $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\phi(z_0)| = 1$. The definition of the class C_q and subordination principle, then we write

$$(2.4) \quad A(r) = \left\{ f(z) : \left| (1+qz) \frac{D_q(D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - \frac{1+qr^2}{1-q^2 r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1+q)r}{1-q^2 r^2}, f(z) \in C_q \right\}$$

On the other hand, using the definition q - derivative, theorem 2.1 and the relation (2.3) and after the straightforward calculations we get

$$(2.5) \quad (1+qz) \frac{D_q(D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} = q \left(\frac{1}{1-q\phi(z)} \right) + \frac{\log q^{-1}}{1-q} \frac{z D_q \phi(z)}{1-q\phi(z)} + \left(1-q \frac{\log q^{-1}}{1-q} \right)$$

Using q -Jack's Lemma in (2.5)

$$(1+qz_0) \frac{D_q(D_q f(z_0))}{D_q f(z_0)} = q \left(\frac{1}{1-q\phi(z_0)} \right) + \frac{\log q^{-1}}{1-q} \frac{m\phi(z_0)}{1-q\phi(z_0)} + \left(1-q \frac{\log q^{-1}}{1-q} \right) \notin A(r).$$

But this is a contradict with (2.4). Therefore $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. \square

2.5. Theorem ([8]). Let $f(z)$ be an element of C_q , then

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\frac{r}{(1+qr) \frac{1+q}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1-q}{\log q^{-1}}} \leq |f(z)| \leq \left(\frac{r}{(1-qr) \frac{1+q}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1-q}{\log q^{-1}}}$$

These bounds are sharp because extremal function is the solution of the q - differential equation

$$z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{1-qz}$$

Proof. Since the linear transformation $w = \frac{1}{1 - qz}$ maps $|z| = r$ onto the disc with the centre $C(r) = \frac{1}{1 - q^2r^2}$ and the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{qr}{1 - q^2r^2}$. Using theorem 2.1 and subordination principle ,then we can write

$$(2.7) \quad \left| z \frac{D_q f(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{1 - q^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{qr}{1 - q^2r^2}$$

The inequality (2.7) can be written in the following form

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{1 + qr} \leq \operatorname{Re}(re^{i\theta} \frac{D_q f(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - qr}$$

On the other hand we have (using the q - partial rule)

$$(2.9) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(re^{i\theta} \frac{D_q f(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right) = r \frac{\partial_q \log |f(re^{i\theta})|}{\partial_r}$$

Considering (2.8) and (2.9) together we can write

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{r(1 + q)} \leq \frac{\partial_q \log |f(re^{i\theta})|}{\partial_r} \leq \frac{1}{r(1 - qr)}$$

If we take q - integral both sides of (2.10) we get (2.6). □

2.6. Remark. Since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q}{\log q^{-1}} = 1$, then (2.6) reduces to

$$\frac{r}{1 + r} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{r}{1 - r}$$

This is the growth theorem for convex functions [4].

2.7. Theorem. Let $f(z)$ be an element of C_q , then

$$(2.11) \quad (1 + qr)^{-\left(\frac{1 - q^2}{q^2 \log q^{-1}}\right)} \leq |D_q f(z)| \leq (1 - qr)^{-\left(\frac{1 - q^2}{q^2 \log q^{-1}}\right)}$$

These bounds are sharp, because extremal function is the solution of the q - differential equation

$$1 + qz \frac{D_q(D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} = \frac{1 + z}{1 - qz}$$

Proof. Since the linear transformation $\left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - qz} \right)$ maps $|z| = r$ onto the disc with the centre $C(r) = \frac{1 + qr^2}{1 - q^2r^2}$ and the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{(1 + q)r}{1 - q^2r^2}$. Using the definition of C_q and subordination principle ,then we can write

$$\left| 1 + qz \frac{D_q(D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - \frac{1 + qr^2}{1 - q^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + q)r}{1 - q^2r^2}$$

Using the same technique of the proof theorem 2.5, we can obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1 + q}{q} \frac{1}{1 + qr} \leq \frac{\partial_q \log |D_q f(re^{i\theta})|}{\partial_r} \leq \frac{1 + q}{q} \frac{1}{1 - qr}$$

Taking q - integral both sides of (2.12) we get (2.11). □

2.8. Remark. Since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^2}{q^2 \log q^{-1}} = 2$, then (2.11) gives

$$(1 + r)^{-2} \leq |f'(z)| \leq (1 - r)^{-2}$$

This is the distortion theorem of convex functions [5].

2.9. Theorem. Let $g(z)$ be an element of K_q , then

$$(2.13) \quad (1-r)(1+qr)^{-\left(\frac{1-q^2}{q^2 \log q^{-1}}+1\right)} \leq |D_q g(z)| \leq (1+r)(1-qr)^{-\left(\frac{1-q^2}{q^2 \log q^{-1}}+1\right)}$$

These bounds are sharp because the extremal function is the solution of the q -differential equation

$$\frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} = \frac{1+z}{1-qz}$$

under the provided that $f(z)$ is q -convex function.

Proof. Using the definition of the class K_q and theorem 2.1, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} = p(z) &\Leftrightarrow \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} - \frac{1+qr^2}{1-q^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1+q)r}{1-q^2r^2} \Rightarrow \\ &\frac{1-r}{1+qr} |D_q f(z)| \leq |D_q g(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-qr} |D_q f(z)| \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.13). □

2.10. Theorem. Let $g(z)$ be an element of K_q , then

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-qz}$$

Proof. Since $g(z) \in K_q$, then we have

$$(2.15) \quad A(r) = \left\{ \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} : \left| \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} - \frac{1+qr^2}{1-q^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1+q)r}{1-q^2r^2}, f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_q, q \in (0, 1) \right\}$$

□

Now we define the function $\phi(z)$ by

$$\frac{g(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-q\phi(z)},$$

thus $\phi(z)$ is analytic and $\phi(0) = 0$. Now we want to show that $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Assume to the contrary, then there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\phi(z_0)| = 1$. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_q \left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \right) &= D_q \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-q\phi(z)} \right) \Rightarrow \\ \frac{D_q g(z)}{D_q f(z)} &= \frac{g(qz)}{f(qz)} + \frac{(1+q)D_q \phi(z)}{(1-q\phi(z))(1-q\phi(qz))} \frac{f(z)}{D_q f(z)} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{D_q g(z_0)}{D_q f(z_0)} = \frac{1+\phi(qz_0)}{1-q\phi(qz_0)} + \frac{(1+q)z_0 D_q \phi(z_0)}{(1-q\phi(z_0))(1-q\phi(qz_0))} \frac{f(z_0)}{z_0 D_q f(z_0)}$$

In this step, if we use lemma 2.3 (q -Jack's Lemma) and theorem 2.4, then we have

$$\frac{D_q g(z_0)}{D_q f(z_0)} = \left(\frac{1+\phi(qz_0)}{1-q\phi(qz_0)} + \frac{(1+q)m\phi(z_0)}{(1-q\phi(z_0))(1-q\phi(qz_0))} \frac{(1-q^2r^2)}{1+qre^{i\theta}} \right) \notin A(r).$$

But this is a contradiction with (2.15). Therefore $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We note that the factor $\left(\frac{1-q^2r^2}{1+qre^{i\theta}} \right)$ is the reciprocal value of the boundary value of $\left(\frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right)$.

2.11. Corollary. If $g(z) \in K_q$, then

$$(2.17) \quad \left(\frac{r}{(1+qr)^{\frac{1+q}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{1-q}{\log q^{-1}}} \frac{1-r}{1+qr} \leq |g(z)| \leq \left(\frac{r}{(1-qr)^{\frac{1+q}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{1-q}{\log q^{-1}}} \frac{1+r}{1-qr}$$

Proof. Using the theorem 2.10, then we can write

$$\frac{1-r}{1+qr} \leq \left| \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-qr} \Rightarrow$$

$$|f(z)| \frac{1-r}{1+qr} \leq |g(z)| \leq |f(z)| \frac{1+r}{1-qr}$$

In this step, if we use theorem 2.5 we get (2.17) □

References

- [1] G.E.Andrews, *Application of basic Hypergeometric Functions*, SIAM Rew,(16) 1974, 441-484.
- [2] N.J.Fine, *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications*, Mathematical Surveys No 27 American Math.Soc.Providence, 1988.
- [3] G. Gasper and M.Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [4] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions Volume I and Volume II*, Mariner Pub. Comp. Inc., Tampa,Florida, 1984.
- [5] M.E.H. Ismail,E.Merkes and D.Steyr, A generalization of Starlike functions, *Complex Variables* (14)(1990), 77–84.
- [6] I.S.Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order alpha, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 2(3) 1971, 469-474.
- [7] V. Koc and P.Cheung, *Quantum Calculus* ,Springer,2001.
- [8] A. Yemişçi Arzu and Y. Polatoğlu, Growth and Distortion theorems for q-Convex Functions, *Mathematica Slovaca*.(2016 Submitted)