



ON GENERALIZED f -HARMONIC MAPS AND LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREM

MUSTAPHA DJAA AND AHMED MOHAMED CHERIF

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that every semi-conformal harmonic map between Riemannian manifolds is a generalized f -harmonic map. We also prove a Liouville type theorem for f -harmonic maps in general sense from IR^m onto a Riemannian manifold N with non-positive sectional curvature, where $f \in C^\infty(IR^m \times N)$ is a smooth positive function which satisfies some suitable conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Liouville type theorems for harmonic maps between complete smooth Riemannian manifolds have been done by many authors. Eells-Sampson [9] proved that any (bounded) harmonic map from a compact Riemannian manifold with positive Ricci curvature into a complete manifold with non-positive curvature is a constant map. Schoen-Yau [15] also proved that any harmonic map with finite energy from a complete smooth Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature into a complete manifold with non-positive curvature is a constant map. Cheng [3] showed that any harmonic map with sublinear growth from a complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature into an Hadamard manifold is a constant map. Liu [8] proved the Liouville-type theorem for p -harmonic maps with free boundary values. Bair-Fardoun-Ouakkas [1] proved the Liouville-type theorem for bi-harmonic maps

The purpose of this paper is to provide a proof of the Liouville type theorem for f -harmonic maps in generalized sense from IR^m onto a Riemannian manifold N with non-positive sectional curvature, where $f \in C^\infty(IR^m \times N)$ is a smooth positive function which satisfies some suitable conditions.

Date: January 1, 2013 and, in revised form, February 2, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A45, 53C20, 58E20.

Key words and phrases. f -harmonic maps; f -conformal maps; Liouville Theorem.

The authors was supported by LGACA and LGMFAMI Laboratories and National Agency Scientific Research.

Consider a smooth map $\varphi : (M^m, g) \longrightarrow (N^n, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds and let $f : M \times N \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth positive function. The map φ is said to be a generalized f -harmonic map, if it is a critical point of the f -energy functional

$$(1.1) \quad E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_K f(x, \varphi(x)) |d\varphi|^2 v_g.$$

on any compact subset $K \subset M$. The Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the f -energy functional is

$$(1.2) \quad \tau_f(\varphi) \equiv f_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = 0,$$

where:

$f_\varphi : M \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is the positive function defined by

$$(1.3) \quad f_\varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in M,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{grad}^M f)_{(x,y)} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad (x,y) \in M \times N, \\ (\text{grad}^N f)_{(x,y)} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n h^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i}(x,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad (x,y) \in M \times N, \end{aligned}$$

$\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace}_g \nabla d\varphi$ is the tension field of φ , and $e(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}|d\varphi|^2$ is the energy density of φ .

$\tau_f(\varphi)$ is called the f -tension field of φ ([4] [11]).

2. SEMI-CONFORMAL MAPS AND f -HARMONICITY

Let $\varphi : (M^m, g) \longrightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds. Let $x \in M$, the tangent space at x splits $T_x M = H_x \oplus V_x$ where $V_x = \text{Ker } d_x \varphi$ and $H_x = V_x^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of the vertical space V_x . The map φ is called semi-conformal if for each $x \in M$ where $d_x \varphi \neq 0$ the restriction $d_x \varphi : H_x \longrightarrow T_{\varphi(x)} N$ is conformal and surjective. On setting $\lambda(x) = 0$ at points x where $d_x \varphi = 0$, we obtain a continuous function $\lambda : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any $X, Y \in H_x$

$$h(d_x \varphi(X), d_x \varphi(Y)) = \lambda^2(x) g(X, Y),$$

the function λ is called the dilation of φ . Note that the generalized conformal maps is discussed in [13].

Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold and N^n be a Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^k . Then, we have

Theorem 2.1. *Any semi-conformal harmonic map $\varphi : M^m \longrightarrow N^n$ is f -harmonic with $f(x, y) = F(2y + (n-2)\varphi(x))$ for all $(x, y) \in M \times N$ where $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a smooth positive function.*

Proof. A semi-conformal harmonic map φ is f -harmonic if and only if

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = 0,$$

where $f_\varphi : M \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a smooth positive function given by

$$f_\varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) = F(n\varphi(x)).$$

Let us choose $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ an orthonormal frame on a domain of M such that the vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are horizontal and the vectors $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ are vertical, so that $d\varphi(e_i) = \lambda(\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame on a domain of N . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(f_\varphi) d\varphi(e_i) \\
 &= n \sum_{i=1}^n d\varphi(e_i)(F) d\varphi(e_i) \\
 &= n \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(F) (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
 &= n \lambda^2 (\text{grad}^N F) \circ \varphi. \\
 (\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(f) (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^k (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(y^\alpha) \partial_\alpha(F) (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(F) (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
 &= 2 (\text{grad}^N F) \circ \varphi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(\varphi) = \frac{n}{2} \lambda^2$, we get

$$e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = n \lambda^2 (\text{grad}^N F) \circ \varphi.$$

□

If $n = 1$, we arrive at the following corollary

Corollary 2.1. *Let $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth positive function and $f(x, y) = F(2y - \varphi(x))$ for all $(x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ is f -harmonic map if and only if is harmonic.*

Proof. From the formula (1.2) we have

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_f(\varphi) = f_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi,$$

with

$$f_\varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) = F(\varphi(x)),$$

for all $x \in M$. By calculating the terms of equation 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(f_\varphi) d\varphi(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(F \circ \varphi) e_i(\varphi) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(\varphi) (F' \circ \varphi) e_i(\varphi) \\
(2.2) \quad &= (F' \circ \varphi) |\text{grad}^M \varphi|^2, \\
-e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^{IR} f) \circ \varphi &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_i) \rangle \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \circ \varphi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(\varphi)^2 [2(F' \circ \varphi)] \\
(2.3) \quad &= -|\text{grad}^M \varphi|^2 (F' \circ \varphi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame in M , $F' = dF/dt$ and $e_i(\varphi) = d\varphi(e_i)$.

Substituting (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1), we obtain

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = f_\varphi \tau(\varphi).$$

□

Example 2.1. Let $F \in C^\infty(IR)$ be a smooth positive function. The map

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi : IR^2 &\longrightarrow IR \\
(x_1, x_2) &\longrightarrow x_1^2 - x_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

is f -harmonic with $f(x_1, x_2, y) = F(2y - x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ for all $(x_1, x_2, y) \in IR^2 \times IR$.

Note that φ is harmonic, from the formula (1.2), we deduce that φ is f -harmonic if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^2} f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^{IR} f) \circ \varphi = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\varphi(x_1, x_2) &= f(x_1, x_2, \varphi(x_1, x_2)) \\
&= F(2\varphi(x_1, x_2) - x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\
&= F(2x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\
&= F(x_1^2 - x_2^2). \\
\text{grad}^{IR^2} f_\varphi &= \frac{\partial f_\varphi}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_\varphi}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
&= 2x_1 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - 2x_2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}. \\
d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^2} f_\varphi) &= 2x_1 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - 2x_2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \\
(2.5) \quad &= 4x_1^2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) + 4x_2^2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2). \\
e(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \\
&= 2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2. \\
(\text{grad}^{IR} f) \circ \varphi &= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \circ \varphi \\
&= 2F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2). \\
(2.6) \quad e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^{IR} f) \circ \varphi &= 4x_1^2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2) + 4x_2^2 F'(x_1^2 - x_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain (2.4).

Example 2.2. The radial projection $\varphi : x \in IR^{m+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \varphi(x) = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^m$ is f -harmonic, where $F \in C^\infty(IR^{m+1} \setminus \{0\})$ is a smooth positive function and $f(x, y) = F\left(\frac{2y|x| + (m-2)x}{|x|}\right)$ for all $(x, y) \in (IR^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times S^m$.

Indeed; the radial projection φ is a semi-conformal harmonic maps (see [6]), so from Theorem 2.1, we deduce that φ is f -harmonic with

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) &= F\left(2y + (m-2)\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \\
&= F\left(\frac{2y|x| + (m-2)x}{|x|}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. Using Theorem 2.1, we can construct many examples for f -harmonic maps in a generalized sense.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f_1 \in C^\infty(M)$ and $f_2 \in C^\infty(N)$ be two smooth functions and $f = e^{f_1 f_2}$. A semi-conformal map $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow N^2$ from a Riemannian manifold M of dimension m to a Riemannian manifold N of dimension 2, is f -harmonic if and only if

$$\tau(\varphi) + (f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_1) = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$(2.7) \quad \tau_f(\varphi) = f_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi,$$

where $f_\varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) = e^{f_1(x) f_2(\varphi(x))}$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal frame on a domain of M such that the vectors

$\{e_1, e_2\}$ are horizontal and the vectors $\{e_3, \dots, e_m\}$ are vertical, so that $d\varphi(e_i) = \lambda(\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)$ for $i = 1, 2$ where $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$ is an orthonormal frame on a domain of N . By calculating the terms of equation 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(f_\varphi) d\varphi(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} e_i(f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)) d\varphi(e_i) \\
&= e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} \{(f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_1) + f_1 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_2 \circ \varphi))\}, \\
d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_2 \circ \varphi)) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i(f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 d\varphi(e_i)(f_2) d\varphi(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda^2(\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(f_2)(\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
&= \lambda^2(\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi, \\
d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) &= \\
(2.8) \quad e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} &\{(f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_1) + f_1 \lambda^2(\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi &= \sum_{i=1}^2 (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(f)(\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi)(f_1 f_2) e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} (\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi) \\
&= f_1 e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} (\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

As $e(\varphi) = \lambda^2$, then

$$(2.9) \quad e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = \lambda^2 f_1 e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} (\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi.$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) in (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_f(\varphi) &= e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} [\tau(\varphi) + (f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_1) + f_1 \lambda^2 (\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi \\
&\quad - f_1 \lambda^2 (\text{grad}^N f_2) \circ \varphi] \\
(2.10) \quad &= e^{f_1(f_2 \circ \varphi)} [\tau(\varphi) + (f_2 \circ \varphi) d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

From the formula (2.10), the Theorem 6 follows. \square

Example 2.3. Let $M = (IR^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times IR$ and let $\varphi : M \rightarrow IR^2$ defined by

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, x_3).$$

The map φ is semi-conformal with dilation $\lambda = 1$. The tension field of φ is

$$\tau(\varphi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}, 0 \right).$$

According to Theorem 2.2 the map φ is f -harmonic with $f = e^{f_1 f_2}$ where

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(y_1, y_2) = y_1.$$

3. A LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREM FOR f -HARMONIC MAPS

Theorem 3.1. *Let (N, h) be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature $\text{Sect}^N \leq 0$. Consider an f -harmonic map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow N$ with finite f -energy $E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi |d\varphi|^2 dx < \infty$, where $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times N)$ is a smooth positive function such that $\text{Hess}(f_\varphi) \leq 0$. If $\nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \geq 0$ and $\text{Vol}_f(\mathbb{R}^m) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi dx = \infty$, then φ is constant.*

We need the following lemmas to prove Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.1 ([14]). *Let $\varphi : (M^m, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ a smooth mapping between Riemannian manifolds and let $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then*

$$\langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\text{grad}^M f)(|d\varphi|^2) + \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^M \text{grad}^M f) \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal frame such that $\nabla_{e_i}^M e_j = 0$ at $x \in M$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. Then calculating at x

$$\langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m h(d\varphi(e_i), \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f)).$$

For all $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi (e_j(f) d\varphi(e_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m e_j(f) \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi d\varphi(e_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m e_i(e_j(f)) d\varphi(e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m e_j(f) \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi d\varphi(e_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m e_i(e_j(f)) d\varphi(e_j), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f) \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_j(f) h(d\varphi(e_i), \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi d\varphi(e_i)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_i(e_j(f)) h(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By noticing that

$$\frac{1}{2} (\text{grad}^M f)(|d\varphi|^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_j(f) h(d\varphi(e_i), \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi d\varphi(e_i)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^M \text{grad}^M f) \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(\nabla_{e_i}^M e_j(f)e_j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_i(e_j(f)) h(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j)), \end{aligned}$$

the Lemma 3.1 follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (N, h) be a Riemannian manifold and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times N)$ be a smooth positive function. Consider an f -harmonic map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow N$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mathbb{R}^m} |d\varphi|^2 &= |\nabla d\varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{f_\varphi^2} |d\varphi(\text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi)|^2 + \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2f_\varphi} (\text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi)(|d\varphi|^2) - \frac{1}{f_\varphi} \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^{\mathbb{R}^m} \text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(R^N(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j))d\varphi(e_j), d\varphi(e_i)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{R}^m .

Proof. We start recalling the standard Bochner formula for the smooth map φ . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{R}^m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mathbb{R}^m} |d\varphi|^2 &= |\nabla d\varphi|^2 + \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi \tau(\varphi) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^m h(d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^{\mathbb{R}^m} e_i), d\varphi(e_i)) \\ (3.1) \quad &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(R^N(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j))d\varphi(e_j), d\varphi(e_i)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\nabla d\varphi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\nabla d\varphi(e_i, e_j), \nabla d\varphi(e_i, e_j)),$$

and

$$\langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi \tau(\varphi) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m h(d\varphi(e_i), \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \tau(\varphi)).$$

Since

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = f_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\varphi) &= -\frac{1}{f_\varphi} d\varphi(\text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi) + \frac{1}{f_\varphi} e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{f_\varphi} d\varphi(\text{grad}^{\mathbb{R}^m} f_\varphi) + e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi \tau(\varphi) \rangle &= \frac{1}{f_\varphi^2} |d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)|^2 - \frac{1}{f_\varphi} \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma 3.1, the second term on the right-hand side of (3.2) is

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{f_\varphi} \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2f_\varphi} (\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)(|d\varphi|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{f_\varphi} \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^{IR^m} \text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ricci}^{IR^m} = 0$, by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 &= |\nabla d\varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{f_\varphi^2} |d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)|^2 + \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2f_\varphi} (\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)(|d\varphi|^2) - \frac{1}{f_\varphi} \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^{IR^m} \text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(R^N(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j))d\varphi(e_j), d\varphi(e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. of Theorem 3.1. By the Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} f_\varphi \Delta^{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 &= f_\varphi |\nabla d\varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{f_\varphi} |d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)(|d\varphi|^2) \\ &\quad + f_\varphi \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \rangle - \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^{IR^m} \text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad - f_\varphi \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(R^N(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j))d\varphi(e_j), d\varphi(e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $\Delta_f^{IR^m} \rho \equiv f_\varphi \Delta^{IR^m} \rho + (\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in C^\infty(IR^m)$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f^{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 &= f_\varphi |\nabla d\varphi|^2 + f_\varphi \langle d\varphi, \nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{f_\varphi} |d\varphi(\text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi)|^2 - \langle d\varphi, d\varphi(\nabla^{IR^m} \text{grad}^{IR^m} f_\varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad - f_\varphi \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(R^N(d\varphi(e_i), d\varphi(e_j))d\varphi(e_j), d\varphi(e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Sect}^N \leq 0$, $\text{Hess}^{IR^m} f_\varphi \leq 0$ and $\nabla^\varphi e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N \ln f) \circ \varphi \geq 0$, by (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f^{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 \geq f_\varphi |\nabla d\varphi|^2.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f^{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 = |d\varphi| \Delta_f^{IR^m} |d\varphi| + f_\varphi |\text{grad}^{IR^m} |d\varphi||^2$$

by (3.5) and the Kato's inequality (see [2] [7]) we get

$$(3.6) \quad |d\varphi|\Delta_f^{IR^m}|d\varphi| \geq f_\varphi(|\nabla d\varphi|^2 - |\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2) \geq 0.$$

Let $\rho : IR^m \rightarrow IR$ be a smooth function with compact support, then

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^2|d\varphi|\Delta_f^{IR^m}|d\varphi| &= \rho^2|d\varphi|\text{div}^{IR^m}(f_\varphi \text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi|) \\ &= \text{div}^{IR^m}(\rho^2|d\varphi|f_\varphi \text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi|) - f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2 \\ &\quad - 2f_\varphi\rho|d\varphi| \langle \text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho, \text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi| \rangle_{IR^m}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and the Stokes theorem, we deduce

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{IR^m} \rho^2|d\varphi|\Delta_f^{IR^m}|d\varphi|dx \\ &\leq - \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho|d\varphi| \langle \text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho, \text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi| \rangle_{IR^m} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Young inequality (see [17]) we have

$$(3.9) \quad -2 \langle |d\varphi|\text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho, \rho \text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi| \rangle_{IR^m} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}|d\varphi|^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho|^2 + \epsilon\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2.$$

Substituting (3.9) in (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq - \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi|d\varphi|^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho|^2dx \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2dx, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(3.10) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2dx \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi|d\varphi|^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho|^2dx,$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Choose the smooth cut-off $\rho = \rho_R$, i.e $\rho \leq 1$ on M , $\rho = 1$ on the ball $B(0, R)$, $\rho = 0$ on $IR^m \setminus B(0, 2R)$ and $|\text{grad}^{IR^m}\rho| \leq \frac{2}{R}$. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$, replacing $\rho = \rho_R$ in (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi\rho^2|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi||^2dx \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon R^2} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi|d\varphi|^2dx.$$

Since $E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi|d\varphi|^2dx < \infty$, when $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\frac{4}{\epsilon R^2} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi|d\varphi|^2dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, by (3.11), we have $|\text{grad}^{IR^m}|d\varphi|| = 0$, i.e $|d\varphi| = c$ constant. If $c > 0$,

$$E_f(\varphi) = \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{IR^m} f_\varphi dx = \frac{c^2}{2} \text{Vol}_f(IR^m) < \infty.$$

But $\text{Vol}_f(IR^m) = \infty$ then $c = 0$, i.e φ is constant. \square

If $f(x, y) = 1$ for all $(x, y) \in IR^m \times N$, we recover the following classical result:

Corollary 3.1. *Let (N, h) be Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature $\text{Sect}^N \leq 0$. Consider an harmonic map $\varphi : IR^m \rightarrow N$ with finite energy $E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{IR^m} |d\varphi|^2 dx < \infty$, then φ is constant.*

Let $f_1 : IR^m \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. If $f(x, y) = f_1(x)$ for all $(x, y) \in IR^m \times N$. We recover the following result obtained in Theorem 3.3 of [14] and Theorem 1.2 of [16]:

Corollary 3.2. *Let (N, h) be Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature $\text{Sect}^N \leq 0$ and let $f_1 : IR^m \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth positive function with non-positive hessian $\text{Hess}^{IR^m} f_1 \leq 0$. Consider an f_1 -harmonic map $\varphi : IR^m \rightarrow N$ with finite f_1 -energy $E_{f_1}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{IR^m} f_1 |d\varphi|^2 dx < \infty$. If*

$$\text{Vol}_{f_1}(IR^m) = \int_{IR^m} f_1 dx = \infty,$$

then φ is constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baird P., Fardoun A. and Ouakkas S., *Liouville-type Theorems for Biharmonic Maps between Riemannian Manifolds*, Advances in Calculus of Variations. **3**, Issue 1 (2009), 4968.
- [2] Calderbank D. M. J., Gauduchon P. and Herzlich M., On the Kato inequality in Riemannian geometry, *Sminaires et Congrès* 4, SMF 2000, p. 95-113.
- [3] Cheng, S. Y., *Liouville Theorem for Harmonic Maps*, Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, (1979), 147-151, Proc.Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [4] Djaa M., Mohamed Cherif A., Zegga K. And Ouakkas S., *On the Generalized of Harmonic and Bi-harmonic Maps*, international electronic journal of geometry, **5** no. 1(2012), 90-100.
- [5] Djaa M. and Mohamed Cherif A., *On the Generalized f -Biharmonic Maps and Stress f -Bienergy Tensor*, Journal of Geometry and Symmetry in Physics, JGSP **29**(2013), 65-81.
- [6] Baird P., Wood J.C., *Harmonic Morphisms between Riemannain Manifolds*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [7] P. Brard, A note on Bochner type theorems for complete manifolds, *Manuscripta Math.* 69 (1990) 261266.
- [8] Liu J., *Liouville-type Theorems of p -harmonic Maps with free Boundary Values*, Hiroshima Math.**40** (2010), 333-342
- [9] Eells, J. Jr. and Sampson, J. H., *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer.J. Math. 86 1964 109-160.
- [10] Ouakkas S., Nasri R. and Djaa M., *On the f -harmonic and f -biharmonic Maps*, J. P. Journal of Geometry. and Topology. **10** 1 (2010), 11-27.
- [11] A.M. Cherif and M. Djaa, *Geometry of energy and bienergy variations between Riemannian manifolds*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 55(2015), pp 715-730.
- [12] Mohammed Cherif A. and Djaa M., *On Generalized f -harmonic Morphisms*, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. **55**,1 (2014) 17-77.
- [13] Mohamed Cherif A., Elhendi H. and Terbeche M., *On Generalized Conformal Maps*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **4** Issue 4 (2012), 99-108.
- [14] Rimoldi M. and Veronelli G., *f -Harmonic Maps and Applications to Gradient Ricci Solitons*, arXiv:1112.3637, (2011).
- [15] Schoen R. M. and Yau, S.-T., *Harmonic Maps and the Topology of Stable Hypersurfaces and Manifolds with Non-negative Ricci Curvature*, Comment. Math. Helv. **51** (1976), no.3, 333-341.
- [16] Xu Wang D., *Harmonic Maps from Sooth Metric Measure Spaces*, Internat. J. Math. **23** (2012), no. 9, 1250095, 21.
- [17] Young W.C. , *On the multiplication of successions of Fourier constants*, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. 87 (1912), 331-339.
- [18] Zegga K., Djaa M. and A.M. Cherif, *On the f -biharmonic maps and submanifolds*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 55 (2015), pp 157-168.

- RELIZANE UNIVERSITY, SCIENCES FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RELIZANE-ALGERIA
 - MASCARA UNIVERSITY, SCIENCES FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASCARA-ALGERIA
- E-mail address:* Djaamustapha@Live.com - Ahmed29cherif@gmail.com