Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi *Academic Journal of History and Idea*
ISSN: 2148-2292
12 (5) 2025

Araştırma Makalesi | Research Article
Geliş tarihi |Received:12.01.2025
Kabul tarihi |Accepted:23.04.2025
Yayın tarihi |Published:25.10.2025

Cansu Aksu

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5717-2821
Research Assistant, Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit University, Department of Business Administration, Türkiye, cansuaksu@beun.edu.tr

Atıf Künyesi | Citation Info

Aksu, C. (2025). Logistics Management and Strategic Trade Routes in the Ottoman Empire. *Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi, 12* (5), 441-467.

Logistics Management and Strategic Trade Routes in the Ottoman Empire

Abstract

The Ottoman Empire, spanning six centuries across Europe, Asia, and Africa, developed sophisticated logistics systems to sustain political, economic, and military power. This study examines Ottoman military and commercial logistics systems within a historical context, using narrative literature review to synthesize existing research. Military logistics were supported by range organizations, granaries, roads, bridges, and fortresses, facilitating long-distance campaigns. Commercial logistics supported international trade routes such as the Silk Road and the Spice Route, utilizing caravanserais and port cities. The study identifies Ottoman logistics as an early integrated system that resonates with modern logistics principles such as resource optimization, infrastructure integration, and sustainability. This research contributes to bridging historical logistics practices with contemporary supply chain management theories.

Keywords: *Ottoman Empire, Logistics Management, Military Logistics, Commercial Logistics*

Osmanlı İmparatorluğu'nda Lojistik Yönetimi ve Stratejik Ticaret Yolları

Öz

Osmanlı İmparatorluğu, Avrupa, Asya ve Afrika'yı kapsayan altı yüzyıllık egemenliği süresince, siyasi, ekonomik ve askerî gücünü sürdürebilmek amacıyla karmaşık lojistik sistemler geliştirmiştir. Bu çalışma, Osmanlı'nın askerî ve ticari lojistik sistemlerini tarihsel bir bağlamda incelemekte ve mevcut literatürü anlatı temelli bir derleme yöntemiyle sentezlemektedir. Askerî lojistik, menzil teşkilatları, ambarlar, yollar, köprüler ve kaleler gibi altyapılarla desteklenmiş; bu sayede uzun mesafeli seferler mümkün kılınmıştır. Ticari lojistik ise İpek Yolu ve Baharat Yolu gibi uluslararası ticaret hatlarını desteklemiş, kervansaraylar ve liman şehirlerinden faydalanılmıştır. Çalışma, Osmanlı lojistik sistemini kaynak optimizasyonu, altyapı entegrasyonu ve sürdürülebilirlik gibi modern lojistik ilkeleriyle örtüşen

erken dönem entegre bir sistem olarak tanımlamaktadır. Bu araştırma, tarihsel lojistik uygulamaları ile çağdaş tedarik zinciri yönetimi teorileri arasında bir köprü kurmayı amaçlamaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: *Osmanlı İmparatorluğu, Lojistik Yönetimi, Askeri Lojistik, Ticari Lojistik*

Introduction

The Ottoman Empire, spanning nearly six centuries and encompassing vast territories across Europe, Asia, and Africa, represented one of the most complex and enduring political, economic, and military systems in world history. Governing such a geographically diverse and demographically varied empire required not only exceptional administrative structures but also highly sophisticated logistics systems that could efficiently coordinate both military campaigns and commercial activities across great distances (Ágoston, 2005; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994). Logistics functioned as a strategic backbone that sustained the empire's stability, facilitated territorial expansion, and supported its integration into global trade networks.

The Ottoman administration developed integrated logistics systems combining land and maritime routes, supported by infrastructures such as caravanserais, ports, range organizations, roads, bridges, granaries, and fortresses. These systems enabled the mobilization of armies while simultaneously maintaining vibrant commercial corridors such as the Silk Road and the Spice Route (Faroqhi, 2004; Fleet, 1999; Kasaba, 1988). While existing scholarship has extensively documented specific aspects of Ottoman military or commercial logistics (Aksan, 2014; Masters, 2013; Murphey, 2006; Quataert, 2002, 2005), much of the literature remains fragmented, focusing on isolated regions, time periods, or infrastructures. Few studies have offered a comprehensive, integrated perspective that analyzes how these logistics systems simultaneously served military, economic, and societal objectives within a unified strategic framework. This gap in literature underscores the need for a holistic reassessment of Ottoman logistics management within the broader evolution of logistics theory. Although the Ottoman Empire's logistical systems extended across multiple centuries and continents, this study specifically focuses on the integrated logistics practices that developed between the 15th and 19th centuries in the core imperial regions, including the Balkans, Anatolia, and the Levant. By narrowing the scope geographically and temporally, this research aims to provide a more detailed and analytically rich evaluation of how military and commercial logistics functioned as interconnected systems that supported both expansionist campaigns and trans-regional trade. The purpose of this study is to address this gap by providing a systematic examination of the Ottoman logistics systems through a dual military-commercial lens. Specifically, the study aims to answer the following research questions:

Research Question 1: How were logistics systems organized to simultaneously support Ottoman military campaigns and international trade?

Research Question 2: What logistical innovations contributed to the Ottoman Empire's political and economic sustainability?

Research Question 3: How can Ottoman logistics practices be interpreted through the lens of modern logistics and supply chain management theories?

Using a narrative literature review approach, this research synthesizes primary and secondary sources to evaluate how Ottoman logistics infrastructure not only ensured internal stability but also anticipated many principles found in contemporary logistics scholarship, such as integrated systems design, resource optimization, flexibility, and sustainability. By bridging historical logistics practices with modern theoretical frameworks, this study contributes to both Ottoman studies and the broader discourse on the historical foundations of supply chain management.

Literature Review

Scholarly research on the logistics systems of the Ottoman Empire has generally followed two parallel trajectories: studies focusing on military logistics and those addressing commercial logistics. While these bodies of literature have generated valuable insights into specific aspects of the empire's logistical operations, they often remain fragmented, examining isolated infrastructures, particular regions, or specific time periods. Consequently, an integrative perspective that systematically analyzes how military and commercial logistics intersected to support the empire's political and economic stability remains underdeveloped (Ágoston, 2005; Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006).

Military Logistics in Ottoman Studies

A significant body of work has investigated the Ottoman Empire's military logistics, emphasizing its role in supporting territorial expansion and sustaining long-distance campaigns. Ágoston (2005) analyzed the integration of local resources into logistical support, highlighting cost reduction and operational sustainability. Murphey (2006) examined the critical role of roads, bridges, fortresses, and range organizations in ensuring mobility and provisioning for the Ottoman army. Quataert (1994) emphasized technological modernization, particularly the integration of railway networks such as the Hejaz Railway into Ottoman military logistics. Hess (2017) emphasized the strategic evolution of Ottoman naval operations and maritime logistics in the

Mediterranean during the early modern era. Although these studies offer rich insights into the operational and infrastructural components of military logistics, most of them analyze these elements in isolation without sufficiently linking them to broader economic, societal, or commercial dynamics.

Commercial Logistics in Ottoman Studies

Similarly, commercial logistics have received considerable scholarly attention, focusing on the empire's participation in global trade networks and its development of trade infrastructures. Faroqhi (2004) documented the role of caravanserais along major trade routes such as the Silk Road and Spice Route, which provided security and stability for merchants. Kafadar (1995) and Kasaba (1988) emphasized the socio-economic integration fostered by these commercial routes. Fleet (1999) and Fleet et al. (2006) analyzed the strategic importance of maritime trade hubs such as Istanbul, Izmir, and Thessaloniki, while Greene (2010) focused on the Mediterranean's cultural and economic exchanges. While these works highlight how commercial logistics enhanced the empire's economic power, they often treat military and commercial logistics as distinct systems, limiting their analytical scope.

Identified Gap and Contribution of the Present Study

Despite the substantial literature, few studies have approached Ottoman logistics as an integrated system simultaneously serving both military and commercial purposes. Table 1 summarizes key prior works and their focus areas, illustrating the fragmented nature of existing research.

Table 1. Overview of Key Studies

Key Studies	Focus Area	Identified Limitations
(Ágoston, 2005, 2021)	Military logistics (campaign logistics, siege warfare, artillery transport)	Limited integration with commercial logistics networks
(Faroqhi, 2004, 2014)	Trade routes, caravan trade, commercial institutions	Partial discussion of military infrastructure's dual-use nature
(Murphey, 2006)	Military provisioning and campaign planning	Focused primarily on military aspects, limited treatment of commercial linkages
(Pamuk, 2000)	Fiscal structures and	Discusses logistics only as a

	economic history	component of broader fiscal management
(Fleet, 1999; Fleet et al., 2006)	Maritime trade, port cities	Less emphasis on overland military logistics integration
(Barkey, 1994)	State centralization, administrative structures	Does not systematically analyze logistics as an integrated system

This study addresses this gap by adopting a comprehensive framework that analyzes Ottoman logistics as a unified system supporting both military and commercial objectives. By synthesizing dispersed insights from prior research, this study offers a holistic understanding of how the Ottoman Empire's logistics management contributed to both internal stability and external competitiveness. Furthermore, it situates Ottoman logistics practices within the broader evolution of modern logistics theory, highlighting early applications of integrated systems, resource optimization, and sustainability.

Methodology

This study adopts a narrative literature review methodology to investigate the integrated logistics systems of the Ottoman Empire, focusing on both military and commercial domains. Given the historical depth and interdisciplinary nature of Ottoman logistics, the narrative review approach offers the necessary flexibility to synthesize diverse sources—including primary archival records, historical chronicles, peer-reviewed journal articles, and academic monographs—allowing for a comprehensive understanding of long-term institutional developments (Papaioannou et al., 2016; Vlačić et al., 2021). The literature search was conducted across multiple international academic databases, including Web of Science, JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar, ProQuest Historical Archives and historical documents were reviewed to incorporate original historical evidence.

The selected literature was analyzed using a combined thematic and chronological framework. Thematically, the review distinguishes between military logistics and commercial logistics, while emphasizing the interdependence between these two domains (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2014). This analytical lens enables a comprehensive assessment of how Ottoman logistics

systems developed, adapted, and integrated multiple functional domains—including provisioning systems, trade networks, transportation infrastructures, and administrative controls—over time.

By applying this integrated approach, the study addresses a significant gap in the existing literature, where most research has historically treated military and commercial logistics in isolation (Murphey, 2006; Quataert, 2002). This study contributes to a more holistic understanding of Ottoman logistics management, offering insights into how pre-modern logistics systems reflected early principles of system integration, resilience, and resource optimization—concepts widely discussed in contemporary supply chain management theory (Sheffi, 2020).

Findings

The findings are organized in alignment with the study's three central research questions, enabling a systematic and coherent synthesis of extensive historical and academic literature. This integrated analytical framework allows for a comprehensive examination of the Ottoman logistics system thereby revealing the complex interactions between military and commercial logistics functions across the empire's evolution. The structured approach not only highlights individual components of Ottoman logistics but also illustrates how these components operated as interconnected elements within a unified imperial logistics network.

Organization of Military and Commercial Logistics Systems

Research Question 1: How were logistics systems organized to simultaneously support Ottoman military campaigns and international trade?

The Ottoman Empire developed one of the most sophisticated, integrated, and adaptive logistics systems in pre-modern state history. This dual-purpose logistics systems was designed to secure military dominance across vast territories while simultaneously sustaining vibrant commercial networks that linked imperial provinces to global markets (Ágoston, 2021; Aksan, 2014; Faroqhi, 2014; Murphey, 2006; Uyar & Erickson, 2009). While centralized state authority oversaw strategic coordination, regional governors and local administrators executed daily logistics functions, allowing for efficient resource mobilization at multiple levels. The Ottoman logistics system, therefore, functioned as both a military backbone and a commercial highway, fostering imperial stability, political legitimacy, and sustained economic growth (Darling, 1996; Salzmann, 2004).

Military Logistics Infrastructure

Military logistics formed the operational backbone of Ottoman expansion and territorial control, enabling large-scale, sustained campaigns across Europe, Asia, and Africa for centuries.

This system combined infrastructure development, resource mobilization, and technological innovation under a centralized military administration (Ágoston, 2005; Aksan, 2014; Murphey, 2006).

Roads and Transportation Networks

From the 14th century, the Ottoman state systematically developed an expansive road network to sustain military and commercial flows. Key routes such as the Rumelia Road (for Balkan campaigns), the Anatolia Road (connecting Anatolian provinces), and the Hejaz Road (supporting Arabian campaigns and pilgrimages) allowed rapid force projection and continuous trade (Ágoston, 2005; İnalcik, 2015; Murphey, 2006; Uyar & Erickson, 2009). These dual-use roads were essential in maintaining both troop mobility and the empire's tax-farming economy by ensuring the flow of agricultural surpluses, bullion, and luxury goods between provinces (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000; Uyar & Erickson, 2009). Many of these roads followed and improved earlier Byzantine and Silk Road corridors, reflecting imperial integration into long-distance Eurasian trade (Fleet et al., 2006). Road maintenance obligations were distributed across local communities under the public road maintenance obligations *(bedevî hizmetleri sistemi)*, embedding logistics infrastructure into provincial governance (Barkey, 1994; Darling, 1996). This integration resembles modern decentralized infrastructure maintenance models where local authorities coordinate with central planning to maintain logistics continuity (Stadtler et al., 2015).

This network of dual-use roads exemplifies early forms of multimodal connectivity and infrastructure redundancy. The Ottoman approach to maintaining these routes through a decentralized service obligation system echoes the principles of collaborative infrastructure governance found in modern logistics frameworks, where local agencies manage maintenance while aligning with national strategic priorities.

Bridges and Fortresses

Geographic barriers were overcome by monumental bridge-building campaigns that supported military and commercial mobility. Structures such as the Drina Bridge (Figure 1), the Büyükçekmece Bridge (Figure 2), and the Mostar Bridge (Figure 3) remain masterpieces of Ottoman hydraulic and structural engineering (Grabar & Goodwin, 1972; Necipoğlu, 2005). These bridges ensured uninterrupted movement of troops and goods while also securing tax flows and imperial oversight (Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006). Ottoman fortresses and bridges functioned not

merely as military assets, but as multipurpose logistics hubs. This duality aligns with today's concept of logistics clusters and regional distribution nodes, where infrastructure supports both defense and commercial functions, promoting spatial efficiency and strategic resilience.

Figure 1: Drina Bridge (UNESCO).

Figure 2: Büyükçekmece Bridge (Büyükçekmece, n.d.)

Figure 3. Mostar Bridge (Janowski, n.d.).

Simultaneously, fortresses like Belgrade, Buda, Erzurum, and Kars functioned as fortified logistical nodes. They housed supply depots, munitions, granaries, and medical facilities critical

for frontier defense and prolonged military campaigns (Ágoston, 2021; Aksan, 2014). The layered integration of fortresses into logistical planning parallels modern concepts of regional logistics hubs designed for operational resilience under crisis conditions (Ketchen Jr & Hult, 2007).

Range Organizations

A highly distinctive and advanced component of Ottoman military logistics was the range organization system *(menzil teşkilatı)*, which established a chain of staging stations at regular intervals—typically one day's march—along key military and commercial routes (Ágoston, 2005; Murphey, 2006; Rexine, 1973). These stations provided comprehensive resupply services including food, water, animal fodder, medical care, and rest facilities for troops, draft animals, and logistical personnel, significantly reducing the strain and unpredictability of long-distance campaigns (Ágoston, 2021; Aksan, 2014).

Beyond serving the military, the range organizations facilitated the continuous flow of commercial caravans and government correspondence, effectively functioning as an early hybrid between military logistics nodes and postal relay systems (Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006). The system's regular spacing allowed for predictable daily travel stages, enabling centralized authorities to synchronize troop deployments, tax collection, supply movements, and administrative coordination across vast distances (Rexine, 1973; Uyar & Erickson, 2009).

Critically, the range organization model also integrated local economic actors into the imperial logistics network. Provincial administrators and village communities were often responsible for provisioning and maintaining range stations, thereby embedding logistical responsibility into the empire's provincial governance structure (Barkey, 1994; Faroqhi, 2004). This participatory structure promoted local economic activity, strengthened loyalty to the central government, and minimized the fiscal burden on the imperial treasury.

The flexible nature of the range system allowed it to rapidly adapt to changing battlefield conditions, shifting campaign routes, and temporary disruptions, providing an early form of adaptive supply chain routing that echoes modern logistics models emphasizing dynamic routing and decentralized flexibility (Christopher, 2016; Sheffi, 2020). The predictability, modularity, and adaptability of the range organization system mirror modern logistics models emphasizing last-mile coordination, dynamic routing, and decentralized restocking points. This early system reveals a sophisticated understanding of mobility management and logistical risk minimization.

Granaries and Provisions Storage

Granaries represented one of the most strategically vital components of the Ottoman military and administrative logistics system. Positioned along key campaign routes, in frontier fortresses, and within provincial centers, these storage facilities secured substantial reserves of grains, barley, legumes, and fodder, ensuring a stable food supply for both military operations and urban populations during times of peace and crisis (Ágoston, 2005; Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006).

The granary system was tightly interwoven with the empire's fiscal and tax collection structures. Much of the grain stored in state-managed granaries was acquired through the tithing system *(öşür)* and various forms of tax-in-kind obligations imposed upon provincial producers (İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). This integration of fiscal extraction with logistical provisioning allowed the state to create a form of "logistical taxation," ensuring the constant replenishment of strategic food reserves while reducing dependency on external markets.

In military contexts, granaries allowed for rapid provisioning of armies during extended campaigns, especially along volatile frontiers where long-distance resupply from Istanbul would have posed significant risks and delays (Ágoston, 2021; Uyar & Erickson, 2009). Their distribution along range organization routes enabled synchronized replenishment cycles that closely resemble modern just-in-time (JIT) and prepositioned inventory models in contemporary supply chain management (Christopher, 2016).

Granaries also functioned as critical buffers during famines, natural disasters, or regional supply chain disruptions. In such instances, the state could quickly mobilize grain reserves to stabilize food prices, sustain urban centers, and mitigate the threat of political unrest (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). This dual function of both military provisioning and domestic food security illustrates the Ottoman system's ability to integrate logistics, fiscal management, and political governance into a single coherent operational framework (Barkey, 1994; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994).

Technological Innovations in Military Logistics

The Ottomans also introduced important technological innovations to optimize logistics efficiency. Early advancements included specialized sled systems for artillery transport during Sultan Mehmed II's conquest of Constantinople (Ágoston, 2005). In the 19th century, the construction of the Hejaz Railway transformed the empire's ability to move troops, supplies, and pilgrims over vast distances with unprecedented speed (Pamuk, 2000; Quataert, 2005). Parallel

development of the telegraph network allowed for near real-time communication, significantly improving command coordination and logistical responsiveness across the empire (Faroqhi, 2004; Goffman, 2002).

Logistical Innovations for Sustainability

Research Question 2: What logistical innovations contributed to the Ottoman Empire's political and economic sustainability?

The long-term survival and territorial expansion of the Ottoman Empire depended not only on the scale of its military and commercial logistics systems but also on its continuous capacity for innovation and adaptation. Through a series of institutional, technological, infrastructural, and administrative innovations, the empire was able to sustain both political authority and economic integration across its vast domains for over six centuries (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006; Pamuk, 2000).

Administrative Centralization and Logistical Governance

One of the defining logistical innovations that ensured the Ottoman Empire's long-term political and economic sustainability was its ability to maintain highly centralized administrative control while preserving operational flexibility at regional and local levels. The Imperial Council (*Divan-ı Hümayun*), the Grand Vizier (*Sadrazam*), and specialized fiscal and military bureaus in Istanbul exercised direct oversight over provisioning, infrastructure investment, customs regulation, and military mobilization (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2004; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994). The central administration directly controlled key logistical institutions such as the range organizations granaries, fortresses, and customs posts. Range organizations acted as critical supply hubs along military and trade routes, ensuring uninterrupted movement of troops, goods, and tax revenues (Ágoston, 2005; Murphey, 2006; Rexine, 1973). State-managed granaries provided essential food security for both armies and urban populations, while fortresses functioned as regional logistics nodes that combined defensive, administrative, and supply functions (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). While strategic coordination remained centralized, the Ottomans also delegated many daily logistical functions to provincial governors (*beylerbeyi*; later *vali*), local notables, and merchant guilds. These actors organized local provisioning, maintained regional markets, supervised infrastructure maintenance, and implemented imperial fiscal policies at the provincial level (Barkey, 1994; Faroqhi, 2004). The empire's fiscal system further supported this governance model through the tax farming *(iltizam)* system. By auctioning tax collection rights to private contractors who paid advances to the treasury, the state secured stable fiscal resources to

finance military campaigns, sustain transport infrastructure, and fund logistics operations while reducing bureaucratic strain (İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). This sophisticated combination of centralized policy and decentralized execution allowed the Ottoman logistics system to adapt flexibly to military crises, trade fluctuations, and regional diversity while maintaining overall administrative coherence. The imperial ability to coordinate resources across vast territories was instrumental in sustaining the empire's political stability, military capacity, and economic integration for over six centuries (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2014; Murphey, 2006). Such hierarchical yet adaptive governance of logistics operations reflects the contemporary supply chain principle of central strategic control with regional operational autonomy—a model employed by multinational corporations managing complex global logistics networks.

Range Organizations and Resource Optimization

One of the most innovative logistical institutions of the Ottoman Empire was the range organization system which enabled sustained military campaigns and facilitated commercial trade over vast and geographically diverse territories (Ágoston, 2005; Rexine, 1973). These range stations, spaced approximately one day's travel apart along primary military and trade routes, functioned as rest points where soldiers, merchants, animals, and caravans could access food, fodder, water, and shelter, thus minimizing the physical strain and logistical risk of long-distance journeys (Faroqhi, 2004, 2014; Murphey, 2006). Unlike purely centralized provisioning models, the Ottoman range organization effectively integrated local resource mobilization into its operations. Provincial authorities and nearby rural communities were often required to supply provisions to these stations, which reduced transportation costs, shortened supply lines, and made the system highly adaptive to regional capacities (Ágoston, 2021; Barkey, 1994). This decentralized resource procurement not only enhanced the efficiency of Ottoman military operations but also stimulated local economies, as farmers, artisans, and merchants gained steady income streams by supplying provisions to range stations (Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006). During major military campaigns in regions such as the Balkans, Hungary, or Eastern Anatolia, these range stations were critical in supporting extended troop movements over thousands of kilometers. Their predictable spacing allowed Ottoman military planners to synchronize troop mobilization, coordinate logistics convoys, and minimize disruptions due to terrain or weather (Rexine, 1973;

Uyar & Erickson, 2009). In times of peace, the same infrastructure supported vibrant commercial activity, serving as safe resting points for domestic and transcontinental trade caravans.

Importantly, the range organization functioned not only as a logistical network but also as a political instrument of imperial integration. By embedding provincial populations into the logistical and administrative machinery of the state, the Ottoman Empire fostered local allegiance while extending the reach of central authority into distant frontier regions (Barkey, 1994; Faroqhi, 2004). The adaptive, resource-efficient design of the range organization system reflects principles remarkably similar to contemporary decentralized supply chain models, which prioritize regional sourcing, local capacity utilization, and flexible routing to improve resilience and cost efficiency (Christopher, 2016; Sheffi, 2020).

Granaries and Food Security Management

The Ottoman Empire's granary system represented one of its most strategically significant innovations, integrating food security with both military logistics and political governance. Given the empire's vast size and its dependence on agricultural production, the ability to stabilize food supplies across seasons and regions was essential to maintaining political authority, military readiness, and economic continuity (Ágoston, 2005; Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). Granaries, strategically located along major military routes, fortresses, and urban centers, functioned as pre-positioned supply depots. These facilities stored grain, barley, legumes, and fodder, creating critical reserves that could be mobilized rapidly during military campaigns or periods of food scarcity (Ágoston, 2021; Murphey, 2006). During wartime, this storage infrastructure allowed armies to sustain extended operations far from the imperial center without becoming fully dependent on long and vulnerable supply convoys (Murphey, 2006; Uyar & Erickson, 2009). The granaries also ensured that armies engaged in frontier campaigns could access reliable provisions even under siege conditions or in regions with underdeveloped agricultural production (Ágoston, 2005). For the civilian population, granaries functioned as a safety mechanism that protected urban centers and rural communities from the destabilizing effects of harvest failures, regional famines, and trade disruptions. In periods of poor harvest or supply crisis, provincial officials, under the supervision of central authorities, would release grain reserves into the marketplace to stabilize prices and prevent social unrest (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). This interventionist role not only protected food security but also reinforced imperial legitimacy, as the state demonstrated its ability to manage crises and safeguard the well-being of its subjects (Barkey, 1994). The operation of the granary system was deeply intertwined with the Ottoman fiscal apparatus. Much of the grain stockpiled in

state granaries was collected through in-kind taxation and local levies on agricultural producers (İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). This fiscal-logistical integration allowed the empire to maintain its reserves without excessive financial burden on the central treasury, while also ensuring that local surplus production was systematically redirected into imperial storage systems (Faroqhi, 2004) In modern logistics terminology, the Ottoman granary system reflected early principles of inventory buffering, strategic stockpiling, and decentralized storage distribution—concepts that remain central to contemporary supply chain risk mitigation and inventory optimization models (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Sheffi, 2020). By successfully integrating food security, military provisioning, fiscal extraction, and political legitimacy into a single logistical framework, the Ottoman granary system made critical contributions to the long-term durability of the empire's military, economic, and administrative institutions (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2014; Murphey, 2006).

Technological Innovations in Transportation

The Ottoman Empire's long-term logistical sustainability was deeply influenced by its capacity to adopt and integrate technological innovations into both military and commercial logistics systems. These innovations evolved across distinct historical phases — from early artillery transportation solutions in the 15th century to major rail and telegraph infrastructure developments in the 19th century (Ágoston, 2005; Quataert, 2005).

Artillery Transportation Systems

One of the earliest and most iconic examples of Ottoman logistical innovation emerged during the 1453 siege of Constantinople under Sultan Mehmed II. The successful deployment of massive siege cannons—some weighing several tons—required unprecedented logistical creativity. The Ottomans employed specialized sled systems and greased wooden rollers to transport these heavy artillery pieces across rough terrain, muddy plains, and urban fortifications (Ágoston, 2005). These mobility solutions allowed for the precise repositioning of bombard cannons, including the massive "Basilica" designed by Hungarian engineer Urban, whose sheer size would have rendered conventional transportation methods impossible (Ágoston, 2021; Imber, 2009). This integration of engineering and logistics marked a significant advancement in Ottoman siege warfare and demonstrated the empire's growing expertise in merging operational planning with technological adaptation.

Railway Development

The most transformative phase of Ottoman transportation modernization occurred in the 19th century, particularly with the rise of railway infrastructure during the Tanzimat reform era. The Hejaz Railway, completed in the early 20th century, stretched from Damascus to Medina, dramatically reducing travel time across the Arabian Peninsula and transforming imperial logistics (Quataert, 2005). The railway not only facilitated rapid military deployment to strategic frontier regions but also supported the annual Hajj pilgrimage, thus reinforcing both the political and religious legitimacy of the Ottoman state (Pamuk, 2000; Schilcher, 1992). Beyond its religious and military utility, the railway network fostered new commercial opportunities by linking agricultural provinces, interior markets, and port cities to global trade routes. This integration lowered transportation costs, expanded market accessibility for regional producers, and contributed to provincial economic development (Pamuk, 2000; Quataert, 2005). Ottoman railway planning reflected early elements of what modern supply chain theory now terms intermodal transportation—where rail, maritime, and overland systems operate within a unified logistical framework (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Christopher, 2016). Nevertheless, the rapid expansion of Ottoman railways was heavily financed through European capital markets, which introduced new layers of financial dependency on external creditors and revealed growing vulnerabilities in the empire's fiscal position during its late phase (Pamuk, 2000; Quataert, 2005).

Telegraph Communications

In parallel with its rail expansion, the empire embraced telegraph technology as a means of enhancing administrative and logistical control. The installation of extensive telegraph lines—beginning in the 1850s—allowed for near real-time communication between Istanbul and distant provinces, fundamentally altering the speed at which the imperial center could exercise control over military and civil affairs (Faroqhi, 2004; Headrick, 1991). The integration of telegraphy into military logistics allowed for dynamic adjustments in supply allocation, real-time updates on troop movements, and more efficient crisis response across multiple campaign fronts (Quataert, 2002, 2005). This technological leap forward represents an early form of what is now recognized in global supply chain management as logistics visibility — the capacity to monitor, adjust, and optimize complex supply chains through centralized information systems (Christopher, 2016; Sheffi, 2020).

The combined development of railways and telegraphy effectively synchronized Ottoman logistics across vast distances, greatly increasing the empire's responsiveness to both military challenges and economic disruptions (Goffman, 2002; Pamuk, 2000).

Crisis Management and Logistical Resilience

A defining strength of the Ottoman logistics system was its built-in flexibility to respond to diverse crises, including famines, wars, financial shocks, and environmental disasters. The empire developed multi-layered institutional mechanisms to stabilize both military and civilian supply chains, allowing it to maintain political authority and economic continuity across its vast and volatile domains (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). Unlike many pre-modern states that frequently collapsed under the weight of systemic disruptions, the Ottomans employed a distributed resilience model—balancing central intervention with provincial resource mobilization. During periods of famine or poor harvests, granaries located along key transportation corridors and within fortresses acted as critical buffers, enabling the imperial government to stabilize grain prices, secure urban food supplies, and prevent social unrest (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). These granaries functioned as early versions of strategic reserves used in contemporary food security systems.

In parallel, the Ottoman treasury *(hazine)* maintained sufficient liquidity to fund emergency procurement, military reinforcements, or infrastructure repairs when local resources became insufficient. This fiscal capacity was supported by the empire's highly diversified tax base, which blended direct taxation, tax farming, and in-kind contributions from agricultural production (İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). The treasury's ability to redirect funds during crises provided essential financial agility that parallels modern contingency budgeting in government and corporate supply chain resilience planning (Sheffi, 2020). Provincial governors played a vital operational role in localized crisis response. They were empowered to reorganize provisioning networks, redirect surplus production from unaffected provinces, and collaborate with merchant guilds to ensure market continuity (Barkey, 1994; Faroqhi, 2004). These decentralized emergency responses enabled rapid redistribution of scarce resources while minimizing bureaucratic delays.

Additionally, the range organization system added logistical flexibility by allowing authorities to dynamically reroute supply lines and adjust transportation hubs in response to military setbacks, shifting battlefronts, or trade disruptions (Ágoston, 2005; Murphey, 2006). The system's modular structure permitted temporary closures, bypasses, or intensified provisioning at specific stations depending on operational priorities—anticipating modern adaptive routing models in supply chain management (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Sheffi, 2020).

The empire's multi-tiered crisis management approach also addressed regional economic vulnerabilities. In response to regional droughts or epidemics, the state often postponed tax collection, subsidized transportation costs, or issued short-term loans to stabilize provincial economies and preserve long-term fiscal sustainability (Faroqhi, 2014; Pamuk, 2000). This capacity for economic shock absorption helped maintain both political stability and provincial loyalty during periods of heightened social stress.

In military crises, the Ottoman system excelled in rapidly assembling multi-front logistics operations that allowed for simultaneous campaigns across distant battlefields, from Hungary to Yemen. Its resilience was particularly evident during extended sieges such as Vienna (1529, 1683) or prolonged Balkan campaigns, where the empire successfully sustained large armies across hostile or poorly resourced territories for months or even years (Ágoston, 2021; Murphey, 2006). Ultimately, the Ottoman crisis management model reflects an advanced form of pre-modern supply chain resilience—integrating redundancy, geographical diversification, decentralized authority, and financial flexibility into an adaptive logistics architecture that sustained the empire's political, economic, and military viability for nearly six centuries (Christopher, 2016; Pamuk, 2000; Sheffi, 2020).

Contributions to Modern Supply Chain Theory

Research Question 3: How can Ottoman logistics practices be interpreted through the lens of modern logistics and supply chain management theories? Although developed within a pre-industrial socio-political context, Ottoman logistics exhibited many features that reflect advanced concepts within contemporary supply chain management theory. Its highly integrated infrastructure, decentralized resource mobilization, crisis adaptability, and emerging information systems illustrate an early understanding of many logistical challenges that modern organizations continue to face today. While the Ottoman Empire predates industrialization and digitized logistics systems, its approach to mobility, provisioning, and resilience aligns with many contemporary logistics theories. These include the principles of agile supply chains, dual-use infrastructure design, decentralized procurement, and inventory buffering—elements now central to global supply chain strategy. The following subsections analyze how key elements of Ottoman logistics anticipate modern concepts.

Integrated Infrastructure and Network Design

The Ottoman Empire's logistical architecture offers an early prototype of modern multimodal and hub-and-spoke supply chain networks (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Christopher, 2016). Strategic

corridors such as the Rumelia Road, Anatolia Road, and Hejaz Road not only supported rapid troop deployments but also enabled commercial connectivity across Europe, Asia, and Africa (Ágoston, 2005; Murphey, 2006). The presence of caravanserais, regularly spaced along these corridors, provided secure rest points, functioning similarly to today's regional distribution centers by ensuring predictable inventory replenishment and safety along the supply chain (Kasaba, 1988; Raymond, 1980). At river crossings, monumental bridges such as Drina and Mostar eliminated major physical barriers, promoting uninterrupted supply flows (Grabar & Goodwin, 1972; Necipoğlu, 2005). In parallel, port cities like Istanbul, Izmir, Thessaloniki, and Basra acted as transshipment hubs, integrating maritime and inland routes into one system of synchronized material movement (Fleet, 1999; Goffman, 2002; Greene, 2010). The empire's connectivity model foreshadowed the global intermodal transportation networks of the 20th and 21st centuries.

Additionally, this network design enabled the state to maximize asset utilization by combining military and commercial functions within shared physical infrastructure—a strategy today described as dual-use logistics optimization (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Sheffi, 2020).

Decentralized Resource Allocation and Procurement

The Ottoman logistics model employed an advanced hybrid procurement structure that blended centralized coordination with decentralized execution—mirroring modern multi-tier sourcing and vendor-managed inventory systems (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Simchi-Levi & Simchi-Levi, n.d.). While provisioning policies were set in Istanbul, much of the operational procurement—particularly food, fodder, animals, and local supplies—was coordinated at the provincial level through range organizations and local administrators (Ágoston, 2005; Barkey, 1994; Murphey, 2006). This decentralized sourcing strategy limited transportation burdens, reduced costs, and increased flexibility when addressing local disruptions—core principles of agile supply chain management (Christopher, 2016). Granaries strategically positioned across provinces functioned as prepositioned inventories, allowing for rapid resupply during both military campaigns and famines (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). This resembles modern regional warehouses designed to buffer uncertainty and ensure service continuity (Chopra & Meindl, 2007). Furthermore, by actively incorporating local producers into provisioning, the system created state–society economic partnerships that promoted rural development, loyalty, and political stability—a

feature consistent with public goods provisioning models in early modern empires (İnalcik, 2015; Pamuk, 2005; Ünsar, 2012).

Resilience and Risk Management

Perhaps one of the most striking parallels between Ottoman logistics and modern supply chain theory lies in its resilience mechanisms. Modern supply chain management emphasizes redundancy, flexibility, and contingency planning to navigate disruptions caused by environmental, political, or market shocks (Ponomarov & Holcomb, 2009; Sheffi, 2020). The Ottomans similarly diversified their supply base across regions, maintained surplus capacity in granaries, and empowered local governors to mobilize surplus resources from unaffected areas during crises (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). The range organization's dynamic routing capabilities closely reflect today's adaptive logistics routing algorithms that allow for flexible reallocation of distribution channels in response to evolving operational environments (Christopher, 2016; Sheffi, 2020). The empire's fiscal liquidity, maintained through its tax farming system, provided additional financial resilience that allowed it to absorb external shocks and sustain ongoing logistical operations (İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). This comprehensive resilience architecture allowed the Ottoman Empire to maintain logistical continuity even during systemic crises, positioning it as one of the most stable early modern imperial economies.

Information Systems and Real-Time Coordination

The late Ottoman period's adoption of telegraph networks represents a remarkably early form of supply chain visibility—allowing for near real-time coordination between Istanbul and distant provincial nodes (Faroqhi, 2004; Quataert, 2002, 2005). This parallels today's digital control towers that utilize integrated data flows to monitor inventory levels, transportation status, and risk profiles across global operations (Christopher, 2016). When integrated with the expanding railway system, these information technologies enabled synchronized troop deployments, dynamic resource allocation, and rapid response to battlefield or market disruptions—offering a pre-industrial model of end-to-end supply chain integration (Pamuk, 2000; Quataert, 2002).

Global Connectivity and Legacy Implications

The Ottoman Empire's unique geopolitical position allowed it to function as an early global trade hub, sitting at the crossroads of Europe, Asia, and Africa (Fleet, 1999; Goffman, 2002; Greene, 2010, 2015). Through control of major maritime and inland trade routes, the empire effectively coordinated long-distance supply flows long before the institutionalization of globalized production networks in the late 20th century.

Its combined use of centralized governance, integrated infrastructure, and fiscal coordination created a logistics system that offers important lessons for modern global supply chain governance—where multi-jurisdictional coordination, regulatory harmonization, and infrastructure investment remain major concerns for multinational corporations and governments alike (Gereffi, 2017; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Sheffi, 2020).

Discussion

The logistics system of the Ottoman Empire reveals a remarkably integrated, adaptive, and sophisticated approach that sustained the empire's political authority, military effectiveness, and economic viability over six centuries. Through the synthesis of extensive historical evidence presented in this study, several key themes emerge that illuminate the unique nature of Ottoman logistics, its systemic resilience, and its relevance to modern logistics and supply chain management.

Integrated Military-Commercial Logistics as a Foundation of Ottoman Stability

A key feature that distinguished Ottoman logistics was the seamless integration of military and commercial systems into a unified infrastructure network. Roads, bridges, fortresses, range stations, granaries, and port cities served overlapping functions, enabling both the projection of military power and the expansion of regional and global trade (Ágoston, 2021; Fleet et al., 2006; Murphey, 2006). Military logistics, supported by range organizations, granaries, and road networks, enabled sustained campaigns across the Balkans, Caucasus, and Middle East, while commercial logistics leveraged the same corridors to facilitate trade between Europe, Asia, and Africa (Faroqhi, 2004; Pamuk, 2000). This dual-purpose logistics infrastructure reduced redundancy, maximized resource utilization, and allowed for rapid conversion of commercial corridors into military supply lines when necessary. Modern supply chain management similarly emphasizes infrastructure flexibility and multi-functionality in designing robust and efficient logistics networks (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Christopher, 2016).

Institutional Innovation and Governance of Logistics Systems

The Ottoman Empire's administrative architecture played a crucial role in maintaining logistical efficiency across vast and diverse territories. Centralized planning, coordinated through the Imperial Council, Grand Vizier, and specialized fiscal-military offices, ensured uniform policy implementation, while local administrators, provincial governors, and guilds provided operational

flexibility at regional levels (Barkey, 1994; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Rexine, 1973). The integration of logistics governance with fiscal mechanisms, particularly the tax farming system, allowed for predictable financing of both military and commercial logistics (Pamuk, 2000). This coordination between administrative and fiscal systems resembles modern integrated supply chain governance models that align procurement, logistics, and financial performance under unified management frameworks (Ponomarov & Holcomb, 2009).

Crisis Management and Early Supply Chain Resilience

Ottoman logistics demonstrated early forms of supply chain resilience through its capacity to adapt to crises, including famine, war, and environmental disruption. The strategic placement of granaries, the decentralized range organization system, and the ability of provincial governors to mobilize surplus resources provided the state with flexible mechanisms for responding to sudden disruptions (Faroqhi, 2004; Murphey, 2006; Pamuk, 2000). In contemporary terms, these Ottoman practices reflect the principles of risk mitigation, redundancy, and adaptive logistics that are central to modern supply chain resilience models (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Sheffi, 2020). The empire's capacity to balance central oversight with local responsiveness contributed directly to its political longevity.

Technological Innovations and Early Supply Chain Visibility

The introduction of railways and telegraph systems during the 19th century marked a significant transformation in Ottoman logistics, enabling synchronized troop movements, accelerated trade, and real-time coordination across distant provinces (Faroqhi, 2004; Goffman, 2002; Quataert, 2002). These developments served as early analogues to modern supply chain visibility systems that depend on integrated information networks for dynamic resource allocation and operational monitoring (Christopher, 2016). The Hejaz Railway illustrates how infrastructure projects simultaneously served military, economic, and religious functions while extending imperial authority over remote regions (Pamuk, 2000; Quataert, 2002). The telegraph network allowed the central government to actively monitor regional supply chains, adapt to disruptions, and coordinate multi-front operations—a precursor to contemporary control tower models used in global logistics (Chopra & Meindl, 2007).

Legacy Contributions to Global Supply Chain Thought

The Ottoman logistics experience provides valuable insights into the evolution of global supply chains. The empire's ability to link Eurasian land routes with maritime networks through its strategic port cities and trade hubs foreshadowed today’s multimodal global logistics networks

(Fleet et al., 2006; Goffman, 2002; Greene, 2010). Furthermore, the alignment of logistics infrastructure with imperial governance reflects enduring lessons about the integration of political authority and economic networks. Ottoman practices in resource decentralization, administrative centralization, fiscal integration, and technological adoption offer historical precedents for many contemporary supply chain management concepts (Ágoston, 2021; Sheffi, 2020). The empire's experience demonstrates how effective logistics governance can serve not only military and commercial needs but also broader goals of political cohesion and regional stability.

Conclusion

The Ottoman Empire's logistical system, developed over six centuries, represents one of the most advanced and adaptive logistics architectures of any pre-modern state. This study examined the organization, innovation, and long-term sustainability of Ottoman logistics across three central research questions, providing both historical insight and contemporary relevance to modern supply chain theory. First, the findings demonstrate that Ottoman logistics were not divided into separate military and commercial systems but were instead deeply integrated through shared physical infrastructure and administrative institutions. Roads such as the Rumelia, Anatolia, and Hejaz routes, supported by range organizations, caravanserais, bridges, fortresses, granaries, and port cities, allowed the empire to sustain military campaigns while simultaneously facilitating commercial trade flows (Ágoston, 2021; Faroqhi, 2004; Fleet et al., 2006; Murphey, 2006). The multifunctional nature of these logistics' networks maximized resource utilization, reduced redundancies, and strengthened both imperial control and regional economic development.

Second, the empire's long-term sustainability was underpinned by continuous innovation in administrative governance, technological adaptation, and resource optimization. The combination of centralized oversight from Istanbul and flexible local provisioning allowed the empire to efficiently mobilize supplies across its diverse territories (Barkey, 1994; İnalcık & Quataert, 1994; Pamuk, 2000). Innovations such as the range organization system, granary networks, artillery transportation solutions, railway construction, and telegraph communications represent sophisticated logistical responses to the challenges of governing vast and geographically diverse regions (Ágoston, 2005; Faroqhi, 2004; Quataert, 2002). Third, when analyzed through the lens of modern supply chain management, Ottoman logistics exhibit many characteristics associated with contemporary best practices. These include infrastructure integration, decentralized sourcing,

resilience and risk management, supply chain visibility, and multimodal global connectivity (Chopra & Meindl, 2007; Christopher, 2016; Sheffi, 2020). Although operating within the constraints of pre-industrial technology, the empire's logistics systems offer early examples of concepts such as just-in-time provisioning, adaptive routing, decentralized procurement, and integrated control towers that are now central to global supply chain operations. Ultimately, the Ottoman experience highlights the enduring importance of logistics as a core instrument of state power, economic development, and political stability. Its historical innovations continue to provide valuable insights into the complex interplay between governance, infrastructure, commerce, and military readiness—issues that remain equally relevant for contemporary logistics management in an increasingly interconnected global economy. By situating Ottoman logistics within a comparative historical-theoretical framework, this study not only provides a deeper understanding of the empire's operational architecture but also contributes to contemporary logistics scholarship by uncovering early practices of system integration, resilience, and adaptive resource management. These findings offer a historical foundation for rethinking modern supply chain models in terms of their roots in pre-industrial governance and infrastructure planning. Thus, this research bridges the gap between past practices and future supply chain innovations.

References

Ágoston, G. (2005). *Guns for the Sultan: military power and the weapons industry in the Ottoman Empire*. Cambridge University Press.

Ágoston, G. (2021). *The last Muslim conquest: the Ottoman Empire and its wars in Europe*. Princeton University Press.

Aksan, V. (2014). *Ottoman Wars, 1700-1870: An Empire Besieged*. Routledge.

Barkey, K. (1994). *Bandits and bureaucrats: The Ottoman route to state centralization*. Cornell University Press.

Büyükçekmece, B. (n.d.). *Mimarbaşı'nın Şaheseri: Büyükçekmece Köprüsü (Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Köprüsü)*. Şantiye İnşaat Yapı ve Mimarlık Dergisi. https://www.santiye.com.t

Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2007). *Supply chain management. Strategy, planning & operation*. Springer.

Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics and Supply Chain Management: Logistics & Supply Chain Management*. Pearson UK.

Darling, L. T. (1996). *Revenue-raising and legitimacy: tax collection and finance administration in the Ottoman Empire, 1560-1660* (Vol. 6). Brill.

Faroqhi, S. (2004). *The Ottoman Empire and the world around it*.

Faroqhi, S. (2014). *Travel and Artisans in the Ottoman Empire*.

Fleet, K. (1999). *European and Islamic trade in the early Ottoman state: the merchants of Genoa and Turkey*. Cambridge University Press.

Fleet, K., Faroqhi, S. N., & Kasaba, R. (2006). *The Cambridge History of Turkey* (Vol. 3). Cambridge University Press.

Gereffi, G. (2017). Global value chains in a post-Washington Consensus world. In *Global Value Chains and Global Production Networks* (pp. 9–37). Routledge.

Goffman, D. (2002). *The Ottoman Empire and Early Modern Europe*. Cambridge University Press.

Grabar, O., & Goodwin, G. (1972). A History of Ottoman Architecture. *Technology and Culture, 13*(1), 67. https://doi.org/10.2307/3102660

Greene, M. (2010). *Catholic Pirates and Greek Merchants: A Maritime History of the Early Modern Mediterranean* (Vol. 24). Princeton University Press.

Greene, M. (2015). *Edinburgh History of the Greeks, 1453 to 1768: The Ottoman Empire*. Edinburgh University Press.

Headrick, D. R. (1991). *The invisible weapon: Telecommunications and international politics, 1851-1945*. Oxford University Press.

Hess, A. C. (2017). The evolution of the Ottoman seaborne empire in the age of the oceanic discoveries, 1453–1525. In *Naval History 1500–1680* (pp. 101–128). Routledge.

Imber, C. (2009). *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650: the structure of power*. Springer.

İnalcik, H. (2015). The Ottoman Economic Mind and Aspects of the Ottoman Economy. In *Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East* (pp. 207–218). Routledge.

İnalcık, H., & Quataert, D. (1994). *An economic and social history of the Ottoman Empire, 1300-1914*. Cambridge University Press.

Janowski, N. (n.d.). *Old Bridge Area of the Old City of Mostar*. https://worldheritagesites.net/old-

Kafadar, C. (1995). *Between two worlds: The construction of the Ottoman state*. Univ of California Press.

Kasaba, R. (1988). *The Ottoman Empire and the world economy: The nineteenth century*. Suny Press.

Ketchen Jr, D. J., & Hult, G. T. M. (2007). Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: The case of best value supply chains. *Journal of Operations Management*, *25*(2), 573–580.

Masters, B. (2013). *The Arabs of the Ottoman Empire, 1516-1918: A Social and Cultural History*. Cambridge University Press.

Murphey, R. (2006). *Ottoman Warfare, 1500-1700*. Routledge.

Necipoğlu, G. (2005). *The Age of Sinan: Architectural Culture in the Ottoman Empire. Reaktion*.

Pamuk, Ş. (2000). *A monetary history of the Ottoman Empire*. Cambridge University Press.

Pamuk, Ş. (2005). The evolution of factor markets in the Ottoman Empire, 1500-1800. *A Conference on "The Rise, Organization and Institutional Framework of Factor Markets", Utrecht*.

Papaioannou, D., Sutton, A., & Booth, A. (2016). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*, 1–336.

Ponomarov, S. Y., & Holcomb, M. C. (2009). Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, *20*(1), 124–143.

Quataert, D. (1994). *Manufacturing in the Ottoman Empire and Turkey, 1500-1950 (SUNY Series in the Social and Economic History of the Middle East)*. State University of New York Press.

Quataert, D. (2002). *Ottoman manufacturing in the age of the industrial revolution* (Vol. 30). Cambridge University Press.

Quataert, D. (2005). *The Ottoman Empire, 1700-1922* (Vol. 34). Cambridge University Press.

Raymond, A. (1980). The Rab': A Type of Collective Housing in Cairo During the Ottoman Period. *Architecture as Symbol and Self-Identity. Proceedings of the Seminar Held in Fez, October 9-12, 1979*, 55–61.

Rexine, J. E. (1973). The Ottoman Empire: The Classical Age, 1300–1600: Inalcik, Halil (Translated by Norman Itzkowitz and Colin Imber):(Praeger History of Civilization Series) Praeger Publishers, 258 pp., Publication Date: April 30, 1973. *History: Reviews of New Books*, *1*(7), 152–153.

Salzmann, A. (2004). *Tocqueville in the Ottoman Empire: rival paths to the modern state* (Vol. 28). Brill.

Schilcher, L. S. (1992). The famine of 1915–1918 in Greater Syria. *Problems of the Modern Middle East in Historical Perspective: Essays in Honour of Albert Hourani*, 229–258.

Sheffi, Y. (2020). *The new (ab) normal: Reshaping business and supply chain strategy beyond Covid-19*. MIT CTL Media.

Simchi-Levi, D., & Simchi-Levi, E. (n.d.). *Designing and managing the supply chain: Concepts, strategies, and cases*.

Stadtler, H., Stadtler, H., Kilger, C., Kilger, C., Meyr, H., & Meyr, H. (2015). *Supply chain management and advanced planning: concepts, models, software, and case studies*. Springer.

UNESCO. (n.d.). *Mehmed Paša Sokolović Bridge in Višegrad*. World Heritage Convention. https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1260/

Ünsar, S. (2012). A study on institutional change: Ottoman social structure and the provision of public goods. *Gazi Akademik Bakış*, *11*, 177–200.

Uyar, M., & Erickson, E. J. (2009). *A military history of the Ottomans: From Osman to Atatürk*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.

Vlačić, B., Corbo, L., e Silva, S. C., & Dabić, M. (2021). The evolving role of artificial intelligence in marketing: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *128*, 187–203.