Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi *Academic Journal of History and Idea*

Derleme Makalesi | Review Article
Geliş tarihi |Received:01.09.2025
Kabul tarihi |Accepted:23.10.2025
Yayın tarihi |Published:25.10.2025

Seymur Chingiz Habibov

https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1204-0691
Doctoral Lecturer, Faculty of Finance, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan, seymur.gabibov01@mail.ru

Rahim Rasim Jahangirzade

https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2043-4179
Lecturer, Faculty of Finance, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan, jahangirzaderr@gmail.com

Atıf Künyesi | Citation Info

Habibov, C. S. & Jahangirzade, R. R. (2025). Crisis and Reform: Analysing the U.S. Financial System in the 21st Century. *Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi*, *12* (5), 602-612.

Crisis and Reform: Analysing the U.S. Financial System in the 21st Century

Abstract

This study examines the evolution of the U.S. financial system in the twenty-first century, focusing on the interplay between crisis and reform. It analyses how successive shocks—including the Global Financial Crisis of 2007–2009, the COVID-19 pandemic, and recurrent stresses within banking and non-bank sectors—have exposed structural vulnerabilities while prompting significant regulatory innovation. Key reforms such as the Dodd–Frank Act and the Federal Reserve's unconventional monetary policies enhanced transparency, resilience, and oversight but failed to eliminate systemic risks arising from non-bank intermediaries, fintech growth, and political polarisation. The paper argues that sustainable financial stability requires continuous macroprudential adaptation, international coordination, and strong institutional independence. By integrating lessons from past crises with forward-looking governance, the United States can strengthen resilience and ensure balanced economic growth in an increasingly interconnected global economy.

Keywords: *Financial Crisis, Reform, Regulation, Macroprudential Policy, Financial Stability*

Kriz ve Reform: 21. Yüzyılda Amerika Birleşik Devletleri Finansal Sisteminin Analizi

Öz

Bu çalışma, 21. yüzyılda Amerika Birleşik Devletleri finansal sisteminin evrimini, kriz ve reform arasındaki etkileşim üzerine odaklanarak incelemektedir. Çalışma, 2007–2009 Küresel Finansal Krizi, COVID-19 pandemisi ve banka ile banka dışı sektörlerdeki tekrar eden istikrarsızlıklar gibi ardışık şokların *yapısal kırılganlıkları ortaya çıkarırken aynı zamanda önemli düzenleyici yenilikleri tetiklediğini analiz etmektedir. Dodd–Frank Yasası ve ABD Merkez Bankası'nın (Federal Reserve) geleneksel olmayan para politikaları gibi temel reformlar, şeffaflığı, dayanıklılığı ve denetimi artırmış, ancak banka dışı aracı kuruluşlardan, fintech sektörünün büyümesinden ve siyasi kutuplaşmadan kaynaklanan sistemik riskleri ortadan kaldıramamıştır. Çalışma, sürdürülebilir finansal istikrarın sürekli makro ihtiyati uyum, uluslararası koordinasyon ve güçlü kurumsal bağımsızlık gerektirdiğini savunmaktadır. Geçmiş krizlerden çıkarılan derslerin ileriye dönük yönetişimle bütünleştirilmesiyle, Amerika Birleşik Devletleri dayanıklılığını güçlendirip giderek daha fazla küreselleşen ekonomide dengeli bir büyüme sağlayabilir.*

Anahtar Kelimeler: *Finansal Kriz, Reform, Düzenleme, Makro İhtiyati Politika, Finansal İstikrar*

Introduction

The United States has faced unprecedented financial challenges in the first quarter of the twenty-first century. Rapid globalisation, technological innovation, political shifts, and demographic changes have added to the complexity of financial management and regulation. The early 2000s witnessed a housing boom fuelled by easy credit, which led to high levels of household and institutional leverage. When the housing bubble burst, it precipitated the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2007–2009, resulting in widespread institutional failures, liquidity shortages, and a global economic downturn (Deerwood Realty STL, 2023). The crisis exposed significant weaknesses in the regulatory framework, risk management practices, and financial market structures within the U.S. economy.

In the aftermath of the GFC, policymakers introduced a series of reforms aimed at enhancing financial stability, restoring public confidence, and mitigating systemic risk. Central among these was the *Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act*, which strengthened oversight of systemically important financial institutions, introduced stress-testing requirements, and established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Lux, Mistry, & Sapozhnikov, 2025). The Federal Reserve also expanded its policy toolkit, employing unconventional monetary measures such as quantitative easing (QE), forward guidance, and emergency liquidity facilities to stabilise markets and support economic recovery (Tenreyro & Wazzi, 2025). Despite these reforms, vulnerabilities have persisted within the U.S. financial system. Non-bank financial intermediaries — including hedge funds, money market funds, and asset managers — remain less regulated and can contribute to systemic risk (Gruenberg, 2023). Furthermore, political polarisation frequently results in inconsistent regulatory enforcement (Agarwal, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 demonstrated that even a heavily regulated system could be strained by sudden, large-scale economic shocks, necessitating substantial fiscal and monetary interventions (Sachs, 2022). Additionally, the United States faces rising public and private debt burdens, creating potential vulnerabilities as interest rates increase. High corporate leverage, consumer debt, and unfunded state and local obligations further exacerbate financial instability (Forbes Advisor, 2025). Taking everything into consideration, the first quarter of the twenty-first century has revealed both the resilience and fragility of the U.S. financial system. While policy reforms such as the *Dodd–Frank Act* and the Federal Reserve's unconventional monetary measures have strengthened regulatory oversight and mitigated systemic risk, significant vulnerabilities remain. Moreover, non-bank financial intermediaries, political polarisation, and sudden economic shocks — together with rising public and private debt — continue to pose challenges to financial stability. Recognising these weaknesses is crucial for assessing the effectiveness of past reforms and for guiding future policy measures aimed at enhancing the robustness of the U.S. financial system in an increasingly complex global environment.

Major Financial Crises in the 21st Century

The early 2000s in the United States were characterised by a rapid expansion of credit, particularly in the housing sector. Loose lending standards, the rapid spread of subprime mortgages, and the use of complex financial instruments such as mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and collateralised debt obligations (CDOs) created systemic vulnerabilities across the financial sector (Investopedia Team, 2023). Financial institutions underestimated risk and relied heavily on credit ratings that misrepresented the true level of exposure to mortgage defaults (Financial Stability Board, n.d.). When the housing bubble burst and prices stopped climbing, widespread mortgage defaults triggered severe losses across banks and investment firms, culminating in the collapse of major institutions such as Lehman Brothers and Bear Stearns (Lioudis, 2024). The resulting credit crunch constrained lending, liquidity evaporated from the markets, and economic output contracted sharply. The unemployment rate rose from 5% in 2007 to over 9.9% by 2009, highlighting the severe socio-economic consequences of the financial crisis (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2015). This was a clear case of weak oversight and excessive leverage creating systemic risk.

Aftershocks and Sovereign/Fiscal Strains

In the aftermath of the GFC, the U.S. economy faced a protracted recovery period. Federal fiscal deficits widened due to stimulus measures and bailout programmes, while state and local governments confronted unfunded pension liabilities and strained public finances (GovFacts, 2025). Weak growth, combined with elevated public and private debt levels, created ongoing economic uncertainty. Monetary policy, led by the Federal Reserve, remained accommodative, maintaining near-zero interest rates and launching unconventional programmes such as quantitative easing to support economic activity (Labonte, 2025). The GFC also exposed deficiencies in regulatory oversight. Systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs) were often inadequately capitalised, insufficiently transparent, and too big to fail without causing broader market disruption (Congressional Research Service, 2024). As a result, the crisis demonstrated the need for macroprudential regulation and early intervention mechanisms to mitigate emerging threats, shaping reforms that would later be embodied in legislation such as the Dodd–Frank Act (U.S. Government Accountability Office [GAO], 2021). The framework illustrates the importance of early action to assess and mitigate the risks of events — such as a major bank failure or a pandemic — that could disrupt the economy (U.S. Government Accountability Office [GAO], 2021).

The Coronavirus Pandemic Shock and Inflationary Surge

The global outbreak of COVID-19 in early 2020 generated an extraordinary economic shock. Lockdowns, supply-chain disruptions, and sudden shifts in consumption led to sharp contractions in GDP and employment. The U.S. unemployment rate rose as high as 14.7% in April 2020, the highest since the Great Depression (Alpert, 2020). To mitigate economic collapse, the U.S. federal government implemented extensive fiscal measures, including 900 billion-dollar stimulus cheques, expanded unemployment benefits, and business relief programmes. The Federal Reserve also engaged in exceptional monetary interventions, including the purchase of Treasury and mortgage-backed securities to increase the money supply and stabilise inflation. The Fed, which had implemented the programme during the Great Recession, reinstated it on 15 March 2020 (Alpert, 2020). While these measures prevented a deeper recession, they contributed to inflationary pressures, with consumer price indices rising sharply.

In the post-pandemic period, financial markets experienced volatility due to rising interest rates, inflationary pressures, and exposure to non-bank financial entities. Regional banks faced liquidity stress, primarily because of duration mismatches and concentrated real estate portfolios. Consequently, the collapse of certain mid-sized banks underscored the importance of robust supervisory frameworks and crisis preparedness. Non-bank financial institutions, which include hedge funds, asset managers, and money market funds, have grown in size and influence but remain less regulated than traditional banks. Their activities can amplify systemic risk through leverage, liquidity mismatches, and interconnections with the broader financial system. These vulnerabilities demonstrate that, while reforms have strengthened oversight in many areas, systemic resilience remains an ongoing concern.

Dodd–Frank Act and Oversight Enhancements

The U.S. response to the Global Financial Crisis included the *Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act* of 2010. This legislation sought to strengthen the oversight of systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs), improve transparency, and reduce systemic risk. Key provisions included mandatory stress testing, higher capital requirements, and the establishment of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) to safeguard consumers from unfair, deceptive, or abusive lending practices (FasterCapital, 2025). From an economic perspective, these reforms enhanced institutional resilience. For example, stress tests revealed vulnerabilities before they escalated into crises, compelling banks to adjust capital ratios and risk models (FasterCapital, 2025). However, implementation has been inconsistent, partly due to political changes. Later administrations removed or relaxed some of the regulatory rules introduced by Dodd–Frank. Specific parts of the law — such as capital requirements, stress-testing mandates, and oversight of large banks — were made less strict. As the rules were loosened, the law could no longer fully protect the financial system as originally intended. Consequently, certain banks and financial sectors became more vulnerable to risk, increasing the possibility of another crisis. From a policy standpoint, Dodd–Frank was necessary but insufficient, as many risks have migrated to less regulated sectors such as shadow banking, fintech, and private credit funds, which continue to operate outside the traditional regulatory perimeter.

Monetary Policy Innovations

As previously discussed, following the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), the Federal Reserve adopted unconventional monetary tools. Quantitative easing (QE) involved purchasing long-term Treasury and mortgage-backed securities to lower interest rates and stabilise financial markets (Labonte, 2025). Forward guidance communicated future policy intentions to influence market expectations, while emergency lending facilities provided liquidity to prevent immediate financial distress. These tools were effective in preventing a prolonged recession; conversely, they also produced unintended side effects. Low yields encouraged higher risk-taking, inflated asset prices, and increased corporate leverage (Office of Financial Research [OFR], 2015). In the short term, QE eased liquidity stresses; yet, it fostered long-term imbalances capable of intensifying future economic shocks.

Taking everything into consideration, the principal lesson is that regulation must evolve continuously. Policymakers cannot assume that past reforms will be sufficient for future crises. If regulation fails to keep pace with the evolution of the financial sector, it can create new risks or hinder sustainable growth (Barr, 2025). Recent failures among regional banks and non-bank institutions demonstrate that oversight still lags behind innovation, and regulators must monitor both traditional and emerging financial entities. In summary, U.S. reforms implemented after 2008 improved resilience but remained incomplete. Thus, the system continues to be exposed to political interference, evolving market structures, and new financial technologies. Effective reform requires a combination of strong, enforceable rules, independent regulators, and ongoing adaptation to market innovation.

Macroprudential Oversight, Emerging Financial Risks, and Global Interconnectedness

One of the key lessons from the Global Financial Crisis is that micro-level regulation focusing solely on individual institutions is insufficient to safeguard the financial system. Effective oversight requires a macroprudential approach that monitors systemic risk across sectors, identifies vulnerabilities, and addresses threats that could compromise stability, both domestically and in line with international risk-management guidelines (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2021). As previously noted, macroprudential tools — including counter-cyclical capital buffers, leverage ratios, liquidity-coverage requirements, and comprehensive stress tests — are designed to detect vulnerabilities before they escalate into systemic crises. These instruments not only constrain excessive risk-taking but also provide early warning signals, enabling policymakers to implement corrective measures before a localised issue spreads across the financial network.

Despite progress in regulatory frameworks since 2008, substantial gaps remain. Non-bank financial intermediaries — such as hedge funds, private credit funds, asset managers, and money market funds — now account for a growing share of credit provision and investment activity in the U.S. financial system, yet they operate largely outside the macroprudential perimeter. These entities engage in high leverage, liquidity transformation, and interconnected investment strategies, which increase their vulnerability to market shocks (Financial Stability Board, 2025). For example, a hedge fund that borrows heavily to invest in mortgage-backed securities may experience losses during a sudden drop in housing prices, forcing rapid asset sales that depress market prices further and transmit losses to other institutions. Similarly, private credit funds often lend to mid-sized businesses or corporate borrowers without the liquidity safeguards present in traditional banks, thereby amplifying shocks during economic downturns.

The rise of fintech platforms — including online lenders, digital payment services, and investment applications — has further complicated the regulatory landscape. While these platforms enhance efficiency, financial inclusion, and customer convenience, they also introduce operational, technological, and scaling risks that can propagate swiftly through the financial system (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). A cybersecurity breach or sudden platform failure could disrupt access to credit or payment services, triggering broader market stress. Additionally, many fintech firms rely on bank funding (Banked, 2023) or partnerships with financial institutions (Deloitte, 2023); thus, shocks within these platforms can reverberate across the wider banking and capital markets. Alongside shadow banking, fintech contributes to a regulatory blind spot, exposing operational, reputational, and systemic vulnerabilities that threaten the safety and soundness of both banks and non-bank entities (Deloitte, 2023). Global interconnectedness further magnifies these vulnerabilities. The U.S. financial system is deeply integrated with international capital markets, multinational banks, foreign investors, and cross-border trade flows. Shocks originating abroad — such as European banking-sector stress, Asian property-market collapses, or sudden shifts in global commodity prices — can transmit rapidly to U.S. institutions, demonstrating that financial contagion is no longer constrained by national borders (Harvard Business School, n.d.). For instance, during the 2008 GFC, the collapse of Lehman Brothers in the U.S. immediately affected European banks with substantial exposure to U.S. mortgage-backed securities, illustrating how interconnected balance sheets can propagate financial stress internationally (Harvard Business School, n.d.). Conversely, U.S.-originated disruptions — such as liquidity shortages or fintech failures — can affect global credit markets, investment flows, and currency stability. Economists argue that such cross-border linkages increase both the magnitude and speed of systemic risk, requiring U.S. regulators to coordinate with international counterparts and monitor not only domestic institutions but also global financial networks to preserve overall stability (Bank for International Settlements, 2015).

Conclusion

To sum up, the financial trajectory of the United States in the first quarter of the twenty-first century illustrates a dual narrative of resilience and fragility. As discussed previously, multiple crises — the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2007–2009, the COVID-19 economic shock of 2020, and recurring stresses in the banking and non-bank financial sectors — have revealed both the strengths and weaknesses of the U.S. financial system. These events highlight the importance of robust regulatory frameworks, effective monetary interventions, and the necessity of continuously evolving oversight to address the dynamic complexities of modern financial markets. The Global Financial Crisis revealed profound systemic vulnerabilities arising from excessive leverage, opaque financial instruments, and inadequate risk-assessment mechanisms. Lax lending standards and related practices exacerbated these risks, ultimately precipitating the collapse of major financial institutions and triggering a global economic downturn. The crisis underscored that conventional micro-level supervision focused solely on individual institutions is wholly insufficient in an environment where financial risks are deeply interconnected across sectors and national borders. Furthermore, deficiencies in consumer protection, corporate governance, and transparency can rapidly magnify localised problems into full-blown systemic crises. Consequently, the GFC emphasised the need for robust macroprudential oversight, enhanced regulatory coordination, and proactive risk-management strategies across the global financial system. Policy responses to the GFC — including the *Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act* and the Federal Reserve's unconventional monetary policies — considerably bolstered institutional resilience and mitigated systemic risk. The Dodd–Frank Act enhanced transparency, mandated stress testing, established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), and increased capital requirements for systemically important financial institutions. Unconventional monetary measures, such as quantitative easing, provided essential liquidity support and stabilised financial markets. Nevertheless, subsequent rollbacks of certain Dodd–Frank provisions, coupled with the migration of risk to lightly regulated sectors — including shadow banking, fintech, and private credit funds — demonstrate that regulatory progress remains fragile and requires continuous vigilance. The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed the system's susceptibility to sudden, large-scale economic shocks. Despite strong regulatory frameworks, the U.S. economy required extensive fiscal and monetary interventions to avert a deeper recession. Rapid unemployment spikes, supply-chain disruptions, and contractions in economic activity showed that even a heavily regulated financial system could be strained by extraordinary events. The pandemic also illustrated the interdependence of financial, economic, and social systems, underlining the importance of coordinated fiscal, monetary, and regulatory responses to maintain stability during crises. Macroprudential oversight and scrutiny of non-bank financial intermediaries remain of paramount importance. Hedge funds, private credit funds, asset managers, and money market funds now account for an increasing share of credit provision and investment activity, yet they operate largely outside conventional regulatory frameworks — heightening systemic vulnerability. Likewise, fintech platforms enhance efficiency and financial inclusion but introduce operational, technological, and scaling risks that can propagate swiftly across the financial system. Cybersecurity breaches, sudden platform failures, or concentrated investment exposures have the potential to transmit shocks to both traditional banking institutions and global markets. Accordingly, risk monitoring cannot be confined to banks alone; regulators must adopt a comprehensive approach encompassing all systemically significant financial actors. Ultimately, the resilience of the U.S. financial system depends on a comprehensive, forward-looking strategy that combines strong regulation, proactive macroprudential monitoring, independent enforcement, and international coordination. While reforms implemented after the GFC and the COVID-19 pandemic have enhanced systemic stability, ongoing vigilance is required to address emerging vulnerabilities and evolving market dynamics. Policymakers must recognise that financial stability is not a fixed achievement but a continuous process requiring adaptive, evidence-based interventions. By integrating lessons from past crises with a proactive approach to future risks, the United States can strengthen its financial system, mitigate the likelihood of future crises, and ensure sustainable economic growth in an increasingly complex global environment.

References

Agarwal, K. (2024, October). *How does political polarization impact legislative gridlock and policy-making processes. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science.* https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/Vol.29-Issue10/Ser-6/H2910065364.pdf

Alpert, G. (2020, March 27). *Government stimulus efforts to fight the COVID-19 crisis. Investopedia.* https://www.investopedia.com/government-stimulus-efforts-to-fight-the-covid-19-

Bank for International Settlements. (2015). *Cross-border financial linkages: Challenges for monetary policy and financial stability* (BIS Papers No. 82). https://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap82.pdf

Banked. (2023, July 10). *How fintechs can partner with banks – challenges and opportunities.* https://banked.com/articles/how-fintechs-can-partner-with-banks

Barr, M. S. (2025, July 16). *Booms and busts and the regulatory cycle. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.* https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/barr20250716a.htm

Bhattacharjee, I., Srivastava, N., Mishra, A., Adhav, S., & Singh, N. (2024). The rise of fintech: Disrupting traditional financial services. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30*(4), 89–97. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1408

Congressional Research Service. (2024, September 5). *"Too big to fail" financial institutions: Policy issues* (CRS Report No. IF12755). https://www.congress.gov/crs_ex

Deloitte. (2023, July 10). *The future of fintechs: Risk and regulatory compliance.* https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/services/consulting/articles/future-of-fintechs-risk-and-
FasterCapital. (2025, April 2). *Stress testing: Stress testing banks: Dodd-Frank's strategy for financial health.* https://fastercapital.com/content/Stress-Testing--Stress-Testing-Banks--Dodd-

Financial Stability Board. (n.d.). *Reducing reliance on credit ratings.* https://www.fsb.org/work-of-the-fsb/market-and-institutional-resilience/post-2008-financial-crisis-reforms/reducing-

Financial Stability Board. (2025, July 9). *Leverage in nonbank financial intermediation: Final report* (FSB-P090725). https://www.fsb.org/uploads/P090725-1.pdf

Forbes Advisor. (2025, September 21). *U.S. debt by state and worldwide.* https://www.forbes.com/advisor/banking/us-debt-by-state-and-worldwide/

GovFacts. (2025, September 7). *The national debt crisis and potential solutions.* https://govfacts.org/analysis/the-national-debt-crisis-and-potential-solutions/

Gruenberg, M. J. (2023, September 7). *Financial stability risks of nonbank financial institutions. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.* https://www.fdic.gov/news/sp

Harvard Business School. (n.d.). *Global impact of the collapse.* Baker Library. https://www.library.hbs.edu/special-collections-and-archives/exhibits/lehman/global-impact-of-

Investopedia Team. (2023, October 11). *What role did securitization play in the global financial crisis? Investopedia.* https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/041515/what-role-did-

Labonte, M. (2025, April 1). *Introduction to U.S. economy: Monetary policy* (CRS Report No. IF11751). *Congressional Research Service.* https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IF11751

Lioudis, N. (2024, December 9). *The collapse of Lehman Brothers: A case study. Investopedia.* https://www.investopedia.com/articles/economics/09/lehman-brothers-collapse.asp

Lux, M., Mistry, R., & Sapozhnikov, M. (2025, July). *An overview of the Dodd-Frank Act and its evolution. Georgetown University's Psaros Center for Financial Markets and Policy, McDonough School of Business.* https://finpolicy.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Dodd-

Office of Financial Research. (2015, April 27). *The puzzle of low U.S. Treasury yields. U.S. Department of the Treasury.* https://www.financialresearch.gov/financial-markets-

Sachs, J. D. (2022). *The Lancet Commission on lessons for the future from the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet.* https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-

Tenreyro, S., & Wazzi, M. (2025, May 15). *Unconventional policy tools at the Fed: Lessons from theory and practice* (2nd Thomas Laubach Research Conference, Federal Reserve 2025 Monetary Policy Framework Review). *London School of Economics; University of Oxford.* https://www.federalreserve.gov/conferences/files/tenreyro-session-4-presentation-laubach-

The Rise and Fall: A Closer Look at the 2000s Housing Bubble in the US. (2023). *Deerwood Realty STL.* https://www.deerwoodrealtystl.com/the-rise-and-fall-a-closer-look-at-the-2000s-housing-

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2015, October 8). *How the government measures unemployment.* https://www.bls.gov/cps/cps_htgm.htm

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2021, January 28). *Macroprudential oversight: Principles for evaluating policies to assess and mitigate risks to financial system stability* (GAO Report No. GAO-21-230SP). https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-21-230sp