

## LANGUAGE CHANGES IN THE SPOKEN LANGUAGE OF KAZAKH YOUTH

Zhanar БАЙТЕЛІЙЕВА\*

**Abstract:** Although the number of Kazakh-speaking young people is currently growing, the quality of the Kazakh language they speak is not satisfactory. It has been a point of discussion in the Kazakh press and social networks recently. Therefore, in order to bring this issue into the subject of linguistic research and illustrate it with concrete examples, a study of the oral speech of students of well-known university in Kazakhstan was conducted. The facts regarding positive and negative processes in the oral speech of young people were established and analyzed. In this paper, we identify linguistic and non-linguistic causes of these processes in students' oral utterances. The research data includes a video of university students exchanging opinions on specific topics. The words used inappropriately were transcribed and discussed. The analysis is performed on the general introductory content of the topic and the structure of each student's speech. To achieve the goal, linguistic causes are analyzed at the levels of phonetics, morphology, and syntactic structures, and the factors influencing non-linguistic causes are determined.

**Keywords:** Kazakh language, Oral speech, The Language of Youth, Speaking, Discussion

## ҚАЗАҚ ЖАСТАРЫНЫҢ СӨЙЛЕУ ТІЛІНДЕГІ ТІЛДІК ӨЗГЕРІСТЕР

**Аңдатпа:** Қазіргі уақытта қазақ тілінде сөйлейтін жастардың қатары көбейгенімен олар сөйлеп жүрген қазақ тілінің сапасы көңіл қуантарлық жағдайда емес. Бұл туралы қазақ баспасөзінде, әлеуметтік желілерде соңғы уақытта көп айтылып, жазылып жатыр. Сондықтан осы мәселені лингвистикалық тұрғыдан зерттеу нысанына алып, нақты себептерін көрсету үшін

---

Araştırma Makalesi / Kūnye: Baiteliyeva, Z. "Language Changes in the Spoken Language of Kazakh Youth". *Türkoloji*, 125 (Mart 2026), s. 57-80.

\* Dr., Nazarbayev University, e-mail: zhbaiteliyeva@nu.edu.kz, ORCID: 0000-0002-8906-5876

Қазақстанның танымал университетіндегі студенттердің ауызекі сөйлеу тіліне зерттеу жүргізілді. Жастардың сөйлеу тіліндегі оң үдерістер мен теріс үдерістерге не жататыны анықталды. Содан соң зерттеу нысанына алынған студент-жастардың тілдік қорындағы оң және теріс үдерістердің тілдік және тілдік емес себептерін анықтау жоспарланды. Зерттеу материалына университет студенттерінің белгілі бір тақырыпта пікір алмасқан видеосы пайдаланылады. Бұл материалдағы студенттердің машықсыз сөйленген сөздері алдымен жазылып алынып, сосын оған талдау жүргізілді. Талдау тақырыптың жалпы ашылу мазмұны мен әр сөйлеуші студент сөзінің құрылымын қоса ала отырып жасалады. Нәтижеге қол жеткізу үшін тілдік себептер фонетикалық, морфологиялық, синтаксистік құрылымдар деңгейінде талданады. Сонымен қатар тілдік емес себептерге әсер етуші факторлар анықталады.

**Түйін сөздер:** Қазақ тілі, Ауызекі сөйлеу, Жастар тілі, Сөйлеу, Талқылау

### **Introduction**

In linguistics, studies of language changes and their dynamics have become increasingly common in recent years. In fact, we can say that the causes of language change are among the problems that researchers have long drawn attention to, but the difference lies in how we perceive this phenomenon and how positive and negative changes in its dynamics are evaluated in relation to the impact on language. Such changes can be divided into two broad categories. The first is external sociolinguistic factors, that is, social factors outside the language system. The second is internal psycholinguistic factors, that is, linguistic and psychological factors present in the structure of language and the consciousness of users (Jean Aitchison, 2001). There are also many factors that affect the rate of language change. When the majority of the language community appreciates and perceives the news coming to the country well, changes in their language occur faster. And when a large part of the language community does not want novelty, changes in their society and their language are also slow. The more a particular new word, phrase, or grammatical person is needed by the language community, or the more attention is paid to these linguistic units by users of the language, the faster such concepts are perceived by the language. Ottenheimer (2009) states that “language undergoes some changes only when it is used». This, as something that should be taken into account when it comes to changes in language, is aimed at understanding changes in

the positive and negative processes occurring in the Kazakh language, which has dramatically increased the number of users, identifying linguistic and non-linguistic factors influencing these changes.

The number of people using Kazakh as their native language in Kazakhstan has been growing rapidly in recent years. An increase in the number of Kazakh schools and Kazakh university departments can be cited as evidence. This is also evidenced by the mass media, especially an increase in the number of broadcasters and listeners of the TV and radio space using the oral form of the language, and an increase in the number of Kazakh contention social networks. However, as the quantity grows, the quality of use needs more consideration. The quality of spoken language, phrases, and business words has been reduced among people of both middle and older ages, speaking the Kazakh language.

“The quality of youth’s speech” has been given for the quality of conveying thoughts in the oral speech of young people. In other words, the ability to clearly, accurately, systematically, and artistically convey thoughts is taken as the quality of speech. Positive and negative processes are speech patterns that young people encounter in speech culture. The negative process here is considered as the misuse of spoken language due to translation and written language, that is, a disorder of orthoepy, when young people speak as it is written. Positive processes include such qualities as fluency, brevity, and an accurate command of the Kazakh language.

The language of youth repeats the language of the previous generation. It is reduced and preserved in accordance with the general pattern of language development. When the language goes on about dominant languages, it is influenced by these languages, and it changes. In this regard, we study how Kazakh youth reproduces the language of the previous generation today and how the patterns of language development in general are reduced and saved, as well as what positive and negative processes occur under the influence of the Russian and English languages, and the linguistic and non-linguistic causes of these processes. For this, we selected prestigious university of the country – Nazarbayev University and conducted an analysis of oral statements of students at this university. To identify the linguistic causes of positive and negative processes, students’ words are analysed syntactically, phonetically, morphologically, and non-linguistic causes are analysed for their connection with social, geographical, and demographic factors.

## **Data Collection and Methods**

### **Data**

The data for the current research are a video recording of online discussions organized among students of Nazarbayev University. The discussions were conducted in the Kazakh language, and then transcribed.

The 1th discussion was held online. Nine undergraduate students took part in the discussion organized at Nazarbayev University. Of these, four are males and five are females. Students in this group received their secondary education in non-Kazakh-speaking schools. The total duration of the discussion was one hour and 16 minutes. This was the time when we were able to get a full-fledged answer to the question posed by the discussion.

The next discussion was held online. Of these, three were males, and seven were females. The age range was from 18 to 21 years old. All ten students also graduated from a Kazakh school and were born and raised in a Kazakh environment. The duration of the discussion was one hour, four minutes, and 35 seconds.

The discussions were led by a Kazakh language teacher at university. The discussions consisted of two blocks; instructions to the participants were provided. In the first block, the general theme was posed as a question "Is there a need to develop the culture of the public speaking in the modern Kazakh language?".

In the second block, a 7-minute video recording of the student's most preferred speaker, who was revealed according to the results of a preliminary survey, was submitted for audition. And then, some specific questions, such as "What did you like about the words of this person?", "What did you dislike about the words of this person?", "What did especially attract your attention from the words of this person?" were asked and discussed.

This group was also given the same task as the previous group. In other words, the students had the same discussion topics and tasks.

### **Methods**

The current research obtained the approval of the Ethics Committee of Nazarbayev University before its start. As required by the Ethics Committee, all the members of the research group completed the Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI program).

The purpose of analysing students' oral speech is to determine the syntactic, phonetic, and morphological structure of the square language of multilingual students. For these reasons, the video recordings were first

transcribed by the researchers. That is, they were converted into text, and syntactic, phonetic, and morphological analyses were performed on them. When the video was transcribed, phonetic information, such as hesitations and pauses, was included. This was also done to determine how the language of Kazakh youth today reproduces the language of the previous generation, and what positive and negative changes and processes the Kazakh language is experiencing under the impact of the dominant Russian and English languages, and to identify its linguistic and non-linguistic causes of these changes and processes. Direct observation was the primary method of data collection. The following plan for organizing discussions had been developed in advance:

- The parts of the discussion have been identified; a video has been selected to be given for the discussion for students.
- Considering social characteristics (age, gender, place of birth, education, program, etc.), informants of a similar type were selected.
- Conditions have been created for holding discussions to obtain the necessary information. In particular, all participants were asked to express their thoughts on a topic in Kazakh that they use daily to actively participate in the discussion.

### **Results and Discussion**

The following transcription analyses of the video materials were performed:

First, the students' thoughts were analysed from the point of view of the general text. This was done according to the following criteria:

- Be clear.
- The content of the words.
- The ability to fit in at a given time.
- consistency.

Secondly, attention was paid to the peculiarities of the student-youth language, including positive and negative changes in its language. The changes were characterized by reference to the social status of the speaker.

Thirdly, the linguistic and non-linguistic causes of the identified positive and negative processes were considered.

First, before proceeding to the analysis, it is worth dwelling on the formation and maturation of the oral speech of students.

The interaction of a young person with the external environment begins with his/her "Me". The immediate space around the "Me" of a young student includes family, relatives, friends, and neighbours (even a neighbour in modern urban communications is inferior to classmates, co-workers, colleagues). We called this intimate space a "*familiar environment*." The linguistic features of communication in a familiar environment will be closely related to non-linguistic situations. The subject context, the general, familiar situation in most cases allows for economy, ellipsis of words (interestingly, there is very little linguistic communication between family members living in the same house) (Kuderinova, 2016, p. 203). Therefore, the main features of communication in a familiar environment can be called a small use of linguistic units, a large amount of profanity, and the brevity of communication.

Spoken language is used in a familiar environment, and literary language is used in an unfamiliar one (Kuderinova, 2008, p. 25). Communication on modern urban land is mainly expressed in neutral speech. When we consider that city residents and students spend 6-8 hours a day in an educational institution, and that only 5 hours are spent in the family, we see that the use of literary language in oral communication is especially dependent on students and staff.

We called the distant space that was beginning to recede from his/her "Me" as *familiar-unfamiliar environment* and *an unfamiliar environment*. In the student environment, familiar or unfamiliar groups: classmates are a familiar environment, whereas public transport, cultural events, and public places are unfamiliar environments. A person will need to use spoken language in a familiar environment, and a neutral style of speech and literary language in a familiar-unfamiliar environment, as he begins to distance himself from the familiar environment. Thus, the communicative function of the literary language will be associated with the strengthening of a person's desire to express his "Me" through language in an unfamiliar environment. In an unfamiliar environment, general knowledge and compliance with industry knowledge may be absent or insignificant among communicants. Therefore, the speech, the word in an unfamiliar environment is a) "like in a book", in an oral literary language, b) a pre-prepared one; b) a system extracted from the structure of the written language.

We use *neutral speech* in a familiar-unfamiliar environment. Neutral speech forms the basis of a common language, a literary language. Signs of a neutral speech language: a) the lexical, grammatical units and structure of

the literary language are preserved; b) there are no elements of a local, simple spoken language, or another language; c) the structure of the oral language is clearly expressed, even if it goes beyond the norm of the language; d) it is oriented towards an oral audience; e) a language in which the processes of economy and compactification of speech are reflected (Kuderinova, 2010, p. 344; Syzdyk, 2014, p. 242).

A neutral style of speech is often used in everyday communication between a large team, members of an organization, in the educational process, in meeting processes, in communication between strangers, in business relationships, in telephone communication with a teacher and a student, a student and a student, a doctor and a patient, a bank employee and bank customers, in the service sector.

In addition to this conversational neutral style of speech, spoken language is used in colloquial and simple spoken language. By the style of colloquial speech, we mean the style used in an environment where there are no primitive and rude words, where the use of other linguistic elements – barbarities – have become common to a certain extent, where there is no slang word, a deviation from the norm of the literary language is clearly visible, there is a dialect word that is well known among others which is not considered alien. A simple style of speech, in our opinion, consists of communication of a certain small social environment in which words, expressions, and grammatical identities of another language are used innumerable, are subjected to rude, abusive words. Then the language that we used during the discussion between the students of the two universities that we took about the study is *the neutral style of speech*. Neutral speech was implemented orally. An oral language is a language that is mobile in time and space, unable to reproduce the spoken word as it occurs now. In oral speech, word preservation is especially present.

The fact is that oral speech is often two-sided; in other words, communicants see each other, and the ability to react affects its structure. Therefore, there is no monotonous narration, the phrases are simple (Amirov, 1972, p. 35), the function influencing the communicant is dynamically open, autometer, repetition of words, and hesitation are often used (Bubnova G. I. & Garbovsky N. K. 1991, p. 272). Since the same word, which is repeated many times in the same sentence, has a different intonation, and timbre, it is perceived by the listener as a different semantic unit.

Although spoken language is slower than thinking, it is faster than written language. Therefore, the thought that came to the internal speech code comes out as it is. Since an external language cannot encode a thought,

an oral language obeys a logical structure more than a syntactic one, because in an oral language the communicant has his freedom, his own style of speech, and an unprepared entry into a communicative act. There are many new words in oral speech, new words of grammatical forms (Zemskaya et al., 1981, p. 276).

In most cases, the field of literary language is taken and studied as the object of linguistic research. It is true that the presence and stability of a literary language are the main indicators of its viability. However, not much attention is paid to the fact that literary language is formed through spoken language and that active processes and changes in its current character occur through spoken language. In fact, as academician L. V. Shcherba said: “In order to understand any text, you first need to translate it into a spoken language” (Shcherba L. V., 1957, p. 189), speech, that is, the oral language, should be brought to the subject of study first and foremost. Therefore, we took students’ neutral speech to analyse the positive and negative changes in the language of young students. According to the above analysis criteria, we can say that at first, the speech of the university students was generally understandable. The females’ words were especially clear. There was less clarity in the males’ speech, for example:

“Erm...just now...Just now, it was told by someone, these...coaches, motivators, spokesmen... spokesmen, they...erm...support people...(laughed) he said an argument. That’s why...” («Э... Жаңа... Жаңа біреудің ойы болған, осы... бар ғой коуч, мотиватор, ораторларға... ораторлар, олар осы... э...халықты... қолдайды деп... (күлді) ол сондай бір аргумент десе болған. Сол үшін...») от

“Actually, teacher, this is third time I’m watching the video. When I watched it for the first time... I think I could understand only 10 percent... Erm...yes...but I liked it... The thing I liked most is that he knows literary and public speech... Erm...And he wanted to explain... explain the words told by Abay in his own way. I think like this. Literacy and public speaking skills. Yes” («Негізі, апай, осы видеоны мен үшінші рет көріп жатырмын. Ең бірінші рет көрген кезде... мен видеоның тек 10 процентін түсіндім деп ойлаймын. Э...иә... ұнаған бірақ... Ең ұнаған нәрсесі: әдеби және шешен сөзді білед(і) (е)кен... Э... Және де, жаңағы, Абайдың айтқан сөздеріне... өзінше бір... өзінше бір түсіндіргісі келгендей болды. Менің ойымша, осылай. Әдеби және шешендік сөйлей білуі. Иә»).

There were speeches constructed without considering the audience.

There were speeches constructed without considering the audience.

The listener's achievement of any word depends on the relevance of the topic being raised and the corresponding content. The student, who spoke for the first time at the 1<sup>st</sup> discussion at Nazarbayev University tried to answer the question: "Is there a need to increase the culture of the public speech in the Kazakh language?" and could not find an argument in favour of the answer "yes, there is a need?" We assume that the reason is that the topic was caught off guard, and they did not expect that such a question could be asked. After all, attention translated into Kazakh and asking this question to a student at a university where education is conducted in English immediately lead to obstacles in the answer. Therefore, in the speeches of the supporters of the opposition, "there is a need" for a discussion, distrust and indifference were manifested. For this reason, there were no "hook" words in their speech, there was no "flow" that could move from one sentence to another. Nevertheless, we estimated that the words of young students, unlike the speech of parents and the older generation, can fit and complete the game at a given time. All participants finished their speech in 2 minutes or less. This means that young students fill up their time or finish it ahead of time, because it is not impressive even for themselves. Nevertheless, this is an advantage that serves as an example for the previous generation in Kazakh society, for native speakers of the Kazakh language, since today, in any environment, speakers tend to underestimate the time of listeners and spend their time both on others and on themselves. The only correct word is the one you pronounce after thinking about the listener. And if we analyse the speech of the students who took part in the 2<sup>nd</sup> discussions, in terms of the relevance of the topic and its corresponding content, we noticed some differences from the speech of the students. In particular, expressing his attitude to the relevance of the topic, he expressed the idea very confidently that "there is a need." And when quoting arguments, the connection with the historical context prevailed. In other words, the students from the 1<sup>st</sup> discussion considered it only from the point of view of practical necessity in modern society whereas the students 2<sup>nd</sup> discussion added historical factors and historical continuity as an argument. Another feature is that some students expressed their thoughts in more than 5 minutes, which relatively took twice as long as the students from the 1<sup>st</sup> discussion.

The sequence of words is evaluated in terms of the intertwining of the beginning and ending of the word and its development. For example, the first student who spoke at 1<sup>st</sup> discussion said:

"Okay, let me get started. Uh, we support the answer "yes". That is, now it is necessary to develop the culture of the public speaking in Kazakh society. Why? Because, first, it will contribute to...increase the credibility of

the Kazakh language, especially among young students. Erm, nowadays, there are lots of people who...erm...can't speak...erm...even more, who don't want to speak, in our society. Erm... Kazakhs themselves do not appreciate their language, they say that it is a language ... uh... there are those who do not respect and even feel ashamed. Uh... Therefore, if we are talking about the Kazakh language... uh... a kindred spirit... We are developing... developing a public speaking in the Kazakh language... uh... explore it, perhaps, deeper ... uh... further... uh... we believe that if we make this topic relevant among young people, it will increase the status of the Kazakh language. And... uh... it... uh... helps to preserve the purity of the language. Why? Because... erm... in Kazakh, as always, there are a few people who speaks cleanly, clearly expresses thoughts ... uh... very few people can speak freely without straining. Although they speak, of course, they mix it up in Russian... Uh, 70 years of... Uh... the ideology under Soviet rule, that... uh... probably influenced it. Therefore, people mix with the Russian... So, it will help to preserve the language, to keep the language pure. The next one is... uh... this... This, in turn, is from patriotism to the development of...uh... it leads to the development of patriotism. Because only Kazakhs who know and speak their own language speak and love their Kazakh language... Uh, he will sympathize with the nation, his... uh... - I do not know, - he said. Sontan is here... uh... we think that along with this lies a national ideology. Who is for it? (LAUGHS) Yes? Aaa. It seems many". («Жарайды, мен бастай берейін. Э-э, біз «ИӘ» деген жауапты қолдаймыз. Яғни, қазір қазақ қоғамында шаршысөз мәдениетін дамыту керек. Неге? Себебі, ең бірінші – ол, қазақ тілінің мәртебесін көтеруге... э-э...өз үлесін қосады, әсіресе жас студенттердің арасында. Э-э, қазір қазақ тілін... э, тіпті, э, сөйлей а-... қазақ тілінде сөйлей алмайтын және сөйлегісі келмейтін адамдар қоғамда өте көп. Э... Қазақтың өзі өзінің тілін бағаламайды, тілім деп... э...құрметтемейді, тіпті ұялатындар да бар. Э... Сондықтан егер біз қазақ тілінің... э...жаңағы... шаршысөзді... дамытсақ, қазақ тіліндегі шаршысөзді дамытсақ... э-э...оны мүмкін тереңірек зерттеп... э...әрі қарай... э...жастар арасында өзекті ете түссек бұл тақырыпты, ол – бірінші, қазақ тілінің мәртебесін арттырады деп ойлаймыз. Және... э...бұл... э...тіл тазалығын сақтап қалуға көмектесед. Неге? Себебі, ... э...қазақша, қазір, таза сөйлеп, таза өз ойын анық жеткізетін, ... э...қысылмай, еркін түрде сөйлей алатын адамдар өте аз. Сөйлесе де, әрине, орысша араластырады. Оған... э, 70 жыл бойы... э-э, Кеңес Үкіметінің кезіндегі идеология, сол... э...әсер еткен болар. Сондықтан орысшаны көп араластырып сөйлейді... адамдар. Сондықтан бұл әрі тілдің сақталуына, тілдің тазалығын сақтауына көмектеседі. Келесісін... э...ол... бұл өз кезегінде патриотизмнан

дамытуына-... патриотизмнің дамуына алып келеді. Себебі өз тілін біліп, меңгерген, сөйлеген, қазақ тілін жақсы көрген қазақ қана өз... э, ұлтжанашыры болады, өзінің... э...елін дамытуға атсалысаалад. Сонтан бұл жерде... э...сомен қатар, ұлттық идеология жатыр деп ойлаймыз. Кім қосад? (Күлді) Иә? Ааа. Көп екен»).

There is a structure consisting of 227 units; we can say that this structure has been preserved.

There is an example from the words from the 2th discussion students: "Okay. Erm... Here I want to express this thought. First, let's not deviate from the question posed, from the general topic. Because what should we consider? M... First, Mr. "M" should take into account the following: speaking in public, that is, being able to artistically convey a thought, is this an art form, isn't it? We all admit it. Now, to focus on this art, it's a child to master the art... there, first, is perfection... must be fluent in the language. That is, from here comes the modern question of the Kazakh language. And... m... we are now ... uh... let's move on to the first question, for example: "Is there currently a need to develop the culture of the public speech in the Kazakh language?" he says. Let's put the emphasis on something like "currently" Present time. At the present time... uh... at what level is our language, at what level? Why are we raising the issue of "Kazakh language"? Because the language... m... at a time when you speak in simple language... uh... is not yet fashionable among our youth, we all must admit it. "We have mastered the language"... e... We are not at the level to say it. "We are talking very cool." No. We are still in the process of language development, that is, without passing the first stage, we cannot pronounce the tenth stage in the desert. Because speech is public... i.e., where are you speaking? You must speak in public, you must speak in the middle, you have to speak to certain people. That is, there must be people who listen to you. The speech is public. Let's give meaning to the word. That is, if there are no people listening to you, it's not art. To do this, without going through the first stage, we still do not have to run to something upcoming. First, we have something that we must assimilate, form perfectly. Now the first thing we need to do is to solve our problem. I am... that is... e... This is something that we must take into account. In general, I would say these two things: 1) let's not forget that public speech is an art; and so, 2) from the first stage of ourselves - ... without passing by, we should not touch on the issue of the next stage. Also ... we cannot have this conversation with young people without fully knowing the language. Because it's an art. Okay, teacher..." «Жақсы. М... Мына жерде мен мына ойды айтқым келед(і). Біріншіден, біз қойылған сұрақтан, жалпы тақырыптан ауытқымайық.

Өйткені біз нені ескеруіміз керек? М... Біріншіден, «М» мырза мына нәрсені ескерсін: шаршы топта сөйлеу деген, яғни ойды көркем жеткізе алу, бұл – өнердің түрі, иә? Оны барлығымыз мойындаймыз. Енді бұл өнерге ден қою үшін, бұл өнерді меңгеру үшін бала... ол жерде, бірінші, бұл жетік... тілді жетік меңгеріп алуы керек. Яғни, осы жерден қазақ тілінің қазіргі мәселесі келіп шығады. Және... м...біз қазір... э...бірінші сұраққа кетейікші, мысалға, былай деп тұр: «Қазіргі уақытта қазақ тілінде шаршысөз мәдениетін дамытудың қажеттілігі бар ма?» – дейді. Акцентті «қазіргі уақытта» деген нәрсеге қояйық. Қазіргі уақыт. Қазіргі уақытта... э...біздің тіліміз қаншалықты деңгейде, қандай деңгейде? Біз не үшін «қазақ тілі» деген мәселені көтеріп жатырмыз? Өйткені тіл... м...қарапайым тілмен айтқан уақытта... э...әлі жастарымыздың арасында сәнді емес, оны барлығымыз мойындауымыз керек. «Толық... тілді жетік меңгеріп алдық», ... э... «Өте керемет сөйлейміз» дейтін деңгейде емеспіз. Біз әлі тілді дамыту үстіндеміз, яғни біз бірінші этаптан өтпей жатып, біз айдаладағы оныншы этапты айта алмаймыз. Өйткені шаршытопта сөйлеу... Яғни сіз қайда сөйлейсіз? Сіз публикаға сөйлеуіңіз керек қой, ортаға сөйлеуіңіз керек, сіз белгілі бір адамдарға сөйлеуіңіз керек. Яғни сізді тыңдайтын адамдар болуы керек. Шаршытопта сөйлеу. Сөзге мән берейікші. Яғни сізді тыңдайтын адамдар болмаса, бұл өнерде болмайды. Сол үшін бірінші этаптан өтпей жатып, әлі алдағы нәрсеге жүгірмеуіміз керек. Бірінші біздің өзіміздің меңгеруіміз керек, жетік түрде қалыптастыруымыз керек нәрсеміз бар. Қазіргі бірінші өзіміздің проблемамызды шешіп алуымыз керек. Я... яғни... э... Осы нәрсені ескеруіміз керек. Жалпы осы екі нәрсені айтам (ын) мен: 1) Шаршытопта сөйлеудің өнер екенін ұмытпайық; және де, 2) Бірінші этаптан өз-... өтпей жатып, келесі этаптың мәселесін қозғамауымыз керек. Әлі... ортамызға сәнді қылмай жатып, тілімізді толық меңгертпей жатып жастарға, біз әзір бұл әңгімені қозғай алмаймыз. Өйткені ол – өнер. Жақсы, апай...».

The student expressed his/her thought in 292 words. The sequence of words is also preserved here. That is, from what he wanted to say at the beginning of his speech, he continued to develop it. Finally summed up the speech.

Thus, as a result of this analysis, it was found that the following positive processes are observed in the language of Kazakh students:

- Relevance of the topic and the ability to systematically compile relevant content.
- The ability of students (especially students from the 1th discussion) to keep track of time correctly, finish a speech in the allotted time.

- Speaking in accordance with the logical sequence of speech.
- Do not use words that give local features.
- The ability to compose sentences correctly as a syntactic feature.

And those that were observed as negative processes are as follows:

- According to the phonetic specifics of the orthoepy of the word, non-compliance with the harmony of vowels and consonants.
  - Non-compliance with lip harmony.
  - Pronounce the phoneme <ñ> as the sound [n].
  - Multiple use of redundant structures as a sentence member, even if the syntactic structure of the sentence is correct.
  - Frequent use of parasite words.
  - Mixing words of another language.
  - People think in Russian and speak Kazakh with a translation into tracing paper.

The results of the study show that, despite the increase in the number of native Kazakh speakers, the quality of the Kazakh language they speak is not encouraging. Currently, more negative processes than positive ones have been revealed in the oral speech of students, who participated in the research.

Another thing is that there were many paralinguistic features in the students' speech. Kuderinova (2016), who studied the traditional Kazakh phrase, noted: "The Kazakh traditional phrase does not use gestures often, without symbolic overtones. Frequent use of non-linguistic means reduces the quality of the word. One may notice that you have many thoughts that you cannot convey. So, this also needs to be taken into account. «Now the linguistic and non-linguistic reasons that influenced the emergence of these processes are discussed. The phonetic feature of the word is first taken into account for this purpose.

According to the phonetic specifics, it is clear that the orthoepy of the word, the consonance of vowels and the harmony of consonants have not been preserved. One of the main patterns inherent in the Kazakh language is synharmonism. According to this pattern, the sounds in the word and between words in pronunciation become harmonious. In addition to the orthoepic norm of the Kazakh literary language, it is customary to shorten open vowels in the modern Kazakh youth language. For example, in these

discussions, the compressed pronunciation of the open syllable, and the too-compressed pronunciation of the compressed syllable, especially in the language of females, were noticed in the words *уткендей* (*өткендей*), *узекті* (*өззекті*), *уте* (*өте*), *урушиа* (*орысиа*). The Russian language probably did not impact the language of young people who grew up in a unified Kazakh environment, who showed that everyday speech is the Kazakh language, and graduated from a Kazakh school. However, the Russian language can have an echo effect because they often hear, listen, or read the Russian language, although they do not use it.

The incorrect use of the sound "н" in the Kazakh language was discussed by scientists N. Uali and B. Khasenov in their works. N. Uali (2020) considered the incorrect use of this sound from the perspective of linguistic norms and deviations, while B. Khasenov (2021) studied it from the perspective of the weakening of the archetype of sounds. R. Syzdyk (1995) analyses the weakening of lip harmony from the perspective of the evolution of the literary language.

Lip harmony was not heard in the speech of students 1th discussion, but it was noticed in the speech of some students of 2th discussion, not all. It shows that lip harmony is about to become extinct from the Kazakh language. It can be hypothesized that it will disappear in the future.

The quality of phonetic features was also noted: "pronouncing ñ as n; *жанбыр* (*жаңбыр*); violation of syllables harmony: *апельсиннан* (*апельсиннен*), *тікелей эфирға* (*эфирге*); syllable engulfing: *мынандай – нандай, болып жатыр – боп жатыр*». Even more than harmony of consonants, reduction of sounds and syllables were noticed: *келед(і), тырысам(ын), ед(і), көтеред(і), қайт(ада)тан, ойлайм(ын), қа(л)ған, ке(л)ген, аша(ал)май, берем(ін)*.

The morphological feature includes the appropriate and inappropriate use of interjections. For example, *білемізда, сөйлейсізба, кетемда* etc. The use of the words "yes" is redundant here. It was used instead of words *әой, емеспе, еді*.

The next step in analysing the spoken word was to obtain a syntactic analysis. This is due to the fact that in order for a word to become a full-fledged, meaningful thought for the listener, prosodic and phonetic sounding and fragmentation of thought through sentences are carried out through mutual influence.

In general linguistics, changes in languages, including changes at the syntactic level, which we are going to consider below, can occur internally or under the influence of another language during the historical development

of a language (Kroch, 2001, p. 699). The approach of linguists to such changes varies, and this is a matter of comprehensive consideration. If the syntactic level of English is undergoing changes, in contrast, the syntactic structure of Japanese has remained stable for many years. The influence of other external factors is greater than the changes in the historical development of a single language within it.

According to the literature (Lvov, 2002, p. 248), there are up to 21-55 linguistic units in oral speech. In the discussions taken on the subject of the study, the students' words consisted of 24-30 sentences.

As a syntactic feature, many sentences in written language are correctly formed, meaning the members of the sentence are complete and standing still. This shows that students use written language to speak. For example, the student from the 1th discussion said:

Er... What struck me was that... uh... the speaker's self-confidence. Uh... and then the topic...he has thoroughly studied the topic... he is confident in every word... I felt it. Therefore... in my opinion, generally... public speaker, a person who speaks in a public, should be like that. Himself... By believing in himself, he can also convince the listeners. And as it was said... uh... opinion... he says what he thinks, and what he experienced. Uh... And the listener decides for oneself whether to take it or not. Everyone, in my opinion, has their own head on shoulders, it is like... it is a filter, should have one's own filter. Uh... if he says so, then this is his own opinion. And as it is said from one extreme to another extreme... just giving it to a psychologist... there is only one thing.

(«Э... Маған әсер еткен нәрсе, ол... э... сөйлеушінің сенімділігі болды өзіне. Э... сосын тақырыпты... тақырып дегенде, айтып отқан нәрсесін жете меңгерген, сенімді әр сөзіне... нық сенімді, сол сезілді. Сон(дық)тан да... мен(ің)ше, жалпы сол... шаршысөзбен айналысатын адам, сөйлейтін адам сондай болуы керек. Өзі... өзіне сенген соң, ол тыңдаушыны да сендіре алад(ы). Және жаңа айтылып кеткен... э... пікір... өзінің ойындағысын айтад(ы), және өмірден түйгенін айтад(ы). Э... Ал оны тыңдаушы оны ала(ды) ма, алмай(ды) ма, өзі білед(і). Өркімнің, мен(ің)ше, өзінің басы бар, жа(на)ғы, фильтр дейді(і), сүзгісі болуы керек. Э... Ол егер солай айтса, ол өзінің түйгені. Және из крайности в крайность дейді ғой, бір нәрсені тек... тек қана психологқа беру...»).

Now let's take the words of one student from 2th discussion:

Hello, teacher! How is it... (0:20:10) Does my voice sound good? Okay. M... here I want to express this thought. First, let's not deviate from

the question posed, from the general topic. Because what should we consider? M... First, let Mr. Mukhamedali take into account the following: to speak in a public, that is, to be able to artistically convey the thought, this is an art form, right? We all admit it. Now, to focus on this art, it's a child to master the art... there, first of all, is perfection... one must be fluent in the language. That is, from here comes the modern problem of the Kazakh language. And... m ... we are now ... uh ... let's move on to the first question, for example: "Is there currently a need to develop the culture of the square word in the Kazakh language?" he says. Let's put the emphasis on something like "currently." Present tense. Currently... uh... at what level is our language, at what level? .... («Саламатсыз ба, апай! Қалай... (0:20:10) Менің дауысым жақсы естіліп тұр ма? Жақсы. М... Мына жерде мен мына ойды айтқым келеді. Біріншіден, біз қойылған сұрақтан, жалпы тақырыптан ауытқымайық. Өйткені біз нені ескеруіміз керек? М... Біріншіден, Мұхамедали мырза мына нәрсені ескерсін: шаршытопта сөйлеу деген, яғни ойды көркем жеткізе алу, бұл – өнердің түрі, иә? Оны барлығымыз мойындаймыз. Енді бұл өнерге ден қою үшін, бұл өнерді меңгеру үшін бала... ол жерде, бірінші, бұл жетік... тілді жетік меңгеріп алуы керек. Яғни осы жерден қазақ тілінің қазіргі мәселесі келіп шығады. Және... м... біз қазір... э... бірінші сұраққа кетейікші, мысалға, былай деп тұр: «Қазіргі уақытта қазақ тілінде шаршысөз мәдениетін дамытудың қажеттілігі бар ма?» – дейді. Акцентті «қазіргі уақытта» деген нәрсеге қояйық. Қазіргі уақыт. Қазіргі уақытта... э... біздің тіліміз қаншалықты деңгейде, қандай деңгейде?...»).

A student from 1th discussion expressed his thoughts in 490 language units (words), a student from 2th discussion - in 575 words. Students from both universities pronounced their words in sentences that corresponded to the norms of written speech. But one thing to note is that even if the sentence is correctly formed, redundant structures as a member of the sentence are numerous in modern youth language. For instance, "That is... whatever language you choose, whether it's Russian or English, [for example, we use a lot now], it has one special methodology. Soum... Most of the population [here in front of them] is necessarily the other [two]... Russian, [Kazakh]... He chooses English, uh... not Kazakh". («Яғни... қай тілді алып қарасаңыз да, орысша болсын, ағылшын тілін алсаңыз, [мысалы, бізде қазір көп қолданыстағы] онда бір арнайы методика бар. Сондықтан... эм... халықтың көбісі [осы жерде өзінің алдына берсе] міндетті түрде басқа [екі]... орыс тілін, [қазақ]... ағылшын тілін таңдайды, ... э... қазақ тілін емес). Here, words enclosed in parentheses are redundant usage in the sentence.

Leave alone the speech of youth, the appearance of redundant usage of words in the language of the older generation, the middle generation is correlated with the process of turning a thought into a word. Vygotsky (year) says that "Thought is not embodied in a word, but is accomplished in a word," that is, the researcher recognizes the thought as a compressed, closed action. The conclusion of thought through language showed that "the motive of thought is internal speech, the transformation of the content coming from within into an external, clearly expressed system of meanings is carried out through the stages of external speech". The understanding of the heard word, the analysis of the syntactic structure and lexical meaning occur through the stages of understanding the motive and essence, which collect and package it into inner speech (Luria, 2002, p. 342). Developing this theory in the neuro-linguistic direction, Luria sets in his mind the theme of what a person should say, the predicate content of what to say, and how to talk about this topic is realized in inner speech, which is short and fragmentary. In this context, it is said that inner speech is a mechanism that transforms the inner subjective essence into a system of external purified meanings (Luria, 2002, p. 343). The author points out that the same thought can be expressed in different ways, that is, the difference between external speech and internal, so the internal sentence is an invariant, and external speech is a variant. The lower grammatical structure and inner speech coincide, the upper grammatical structure and outer speech coincide, and the lower grammatical structure is so close to human consciousness that, as they say, a small child quickly master's it. In the understanding of an audible word, the first is the deprivation of the meaning of the word, i.e., the deprivation of real meaning from ambiguity; the second, knowledge of the sentence system (if the word has an approximate meaning and does not show the structure of the sentence, it remains in the form of an unclear understanding); the third, says that it is necessary to understand the entire message. Where the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stages were within the framework of language rules, and the last one was in the psychology of language thinking. In contrast, it became clear that modern youth cannot control the processes in their inner speech and plan the entire stage of thinking into the light. It was found that even if the words enclosed in parentheses are excluded, it will not harm the relationship of the sentence members.

At the same time, one feature inherent in the language of modern youth also shown here, i.e. they connected unrelated sentences through interjections like *сондықтан, сонымен қатар, өйткені* (*therefore, also, because*). One summed up his sentence by saying: "So... uh... we think he's wrong too."

The students' speech included words from the Russian language like *сообщениелерге, да, голосовой аудиозаписьпен*. Translation into Kazakh from Russian thought was seen here: *адамдардан*, instead of *адамдардың*. We came to the conclusion that the influence of an English-speaking educational institution lies in the fact that hesitations have the form of *эээ, иии*, instead of *ытыты, иіі* which are characteristic of the Kazakh language.

Similarly, if we pay attention to the words of another female:

Well, I want to say something. I also have, in principle, for every item... I have my own thoughts. But in short, then ... f-for example, I want to focus on the last point, as "G" said. That is, according to him... uh... "We should not start learning the language from a public culture," he said. But as far as we can say, we're not going to start with that part. That is, we can continue to learn the language as such, that is, with the help of grammar or attending various courses, of course... for those who do not know the Kazakh language. However, uh... he said in parallel... at the same time, develop your culture... we continue to develop-this is very important... we wanted to say. That is, why not... uh... develop the public speaking further? Because now... uh ... there are people who are fluent in the Kazakh language, but ... uh ... especially ... i.e. I added a Russian word... the word is Russian, I apologize. But nevertheless, especially... uh...there plenty of people who do not know what public speaking culture is... uh... there are many people who do not know well. So, I think that it is also very important to develop it. And I want to say the second point. Uh-uh... That is... uh... who would say... Ah, "G" talked about... uh... He said: "Now... uh... developing the Kazakh language... uh... there are no organizations that would develop such a culture," he said. In other words, why not open such organizations? And even if it takes a lot of money, why not to develop it for free through these media or through Instagram, through various social networks? I think... uh... there are a lot of people who would take it up, and it can be implemented further. (Break). In short, these are my two most important ideas.

One of the remarks here is that in the student's first word, writing makes up a sentence with the norms of the language and makes up all the members of the sentence. Starting from the 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence, the phrases of the oral language begin to mix, and the inner speech comes to light. Thus, ambiguity and misuse of the word increase. All these analyses point to the linguistic causes of positive and negative processes in the oral speech of modern students.

Using the following analysis, the non-linguistic causes of positive and negative processes in students' oral speech are discussed. Of course, this is

influenced by many factors, including social, geographical, and demographic ones.

Currently, even the lyrics of songs, films, and television series shot in the Kazakh language are popular with viewers despite their erroneous use. In addition, many podcasts on social networks that young people are intrigued by include elements of a foreign language, such as Kazakh, or are translated into Kazakh. Earlier elements of the Russian language were mixed, elements of the English language are being added. In the language of the young people who hear and see it, it has its effect. The main reason for this is the mixed use of several languages in society. While it is becoming a normal phenomenon for those who live in this society, it has a negative impact on ethnic Kazakhs from abroad, especially from China, Mongolia, and others, as Russian is not widely used in these countries.

English and written language can be accepted as factors influencing the positive changes observed in the oral speech of students. We think that speech in time, the logically correct transmission of thought, is influenced by the English language. It was obvious in the spoken language of the students of Nazarbayev University, whose language of instruction is English. At the same time, under the influence of written language, we can consider the tendency to correctly compose sentences as a clear positive change. Moreover, despite the fact that secondary education is studied in the Kazakh language, we believe that the positive changes observed in the Kazakh speech of young students with higher education in English can be presented to a Kazakh-speaking audience. An example is the ability of students who speak English to keep track of time correctly, finish speaking in the allotted time, be able to speak, maintaining the logical sequence of words, and pronounce it in a specific structure (beginning, middle, end).

Due to Kazakhstan's vast territory, there are several linguistic uses that show little local specificity. If we compare the geographical specifics in the west of Kazakhstan with those in the south, the differences are obvious. These differences, of course, are reflected in the language. But not to the point where they don't understand each other. Kazakhs at one end of Kazakhstan and those at the other can easily understand each other. However, there was no such dialectical use of the language of students who were taken as the object of this research. Influencing this may be sources that have recently occupied an important place in students' lives, such as Internet communication and writing. This is a question for a separate research project.

In addition, many Kazakhs are concentrated in some regions of Kazakhstan. This includes the western and southern regions. While oral

Kazakh is widely used in many areas in these regions, this is not the case in other regions of Kazakhstan, such as northern and central Kazakhstan. Thus, we can also consider this as one of the factors influencing the quality of the Kazakh oral language use.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, corresponding experts note that the Kazakh language is an artificial Kazakh language, the translational Kazakh language, devoid of traditional grammatical, stylistic, and ethical norms. Research, mass media texts in the Kazakh language, which combine the grammatical system and the style of speech of the Russian language, are written in a translated language that cannot be perceived properly. The lexical units of the Kazakh language are based on sentences formed by Russian or English thinking, so the Kazakh language is becoming one of the "difficult languages". As a result of this, studies have indicated that the Kazakh language has a different structure and style compared to its national, traditional one (Kuderinova, 2021, p. 56).

Kazakh is rarely heard in official settings where important issues are discussed. The working language of events and services of national importance is Russian. Graduates of the Kazakh school and graduates of the Kazakh department of higher educational institutions do not pay attention to either the oral or the written form of the language, as they see that the language of their future activities will not be Kazakh. In the absence of people who demonstrate a sample of the correct Kazakh oral language to the younger generation, the younger generation cannot recognize the true nature of Kazakh public speaking. One does not want to hear, speak, or cannot speak the language. Thus, there is a generation that does not want to speak, does not like to speak the mother tongue, leave alone eloquently expressing the thoughts.

Kazakh children a) do not know the orthoepic norms of their language, b) think it is better to read written language without changes as it is written b) do not know the traditional Kazakh style of speech, c) think in another language (Russian, English), speak an artificial language, translate into Kazakh, d) students of universities like Nazarbayev University where there is an environment with a large number of foreign language contacts, cannot deliver their thoughts properly, they can't speak accurately, tend to mix the grammatical system and the style of speech of the Kazakh language. The conclusions of this study, which aimed to study public speech of youth

by recording the speech of specific students and comparing it with the same material, were as follows:

Firstly, the comprehensibility of what was presented by young students from the point of view of the general text was analysed; the content of speech, the ability to fit into a given time, and systematicity. While the timeliness and consistency of the results indicated good changes in English language learners, there were shortcomings in the intelligibility and content of words. We conclude that this may change in students' oral speech depending on the topic: if the topic is interesting, they may produce more verbal content, but this makes it less understandable.

Secondly, the analysis of the features of the student-youth language was carried out, including positive and negative changes in its language. The changes were characterized by reference to the speaker's social status. We believe that the study of changes in youth language and their causes should continue.

Thirdly, the linguistic and non-linguistic factors that led to positive and negative changes in the oral speech of young students were analysed. As a result, the linguistic causes were identified through analysis of phonetic, morphological, and syntactic structures. Non-linguistic reasons were determined by social, geographical, and demographic factors. The main one is the fluency of young people in several languages. It can also be attributed to the incorrect use of the Kazakh language they hear in society.

## **References**

- Anthony, K. (2001). *Syntactic change*. University of Pennsylvania. 699-729. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470756416.ch22>
- Amirov, R. (1972). *Peculiarities of the syntax of Kazakh colloquial speech*. Alma-Ata.
- Bubnova, G.I., & Garbovsky, N.K. (1991). *Written and oral communication. Syntax and prosody*. Moscow: Moscow State University.
- Belikov, V.I. & Krysin, L.P. (2001). *Sociolinguistics*. Moscow: Russian State Humanitarian University.
- David, C. (2000). *Language death*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jean, A. (2001). *Language Change: Progress or Decay?* 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press
- Harriet, J. O. (2009). *The Anthropology of Language: An Introduction to*

*Linguistic Anthropology*. 2nd ed. Wadsworth.

- Khassenov B. (2021). Sound Symbolism in the Proto-Turkic Language. 3L: *The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies* – Vol 27(1): 102 – 114 <http://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2021-2701-08> 102
- Kuderinova, K.B. (2016). Importance of studying spoken language. *Bulletin of BSMU scientific journal*, №4, pp. 202-211.
- Kuderinova, K.B. (2008). Characteristics of modern Kazakh literary language. “*Tiltanym*” journal. №2.
- Kuderinova, K. (2010). Peculiarities of modern oral literary language. Proceeding of the conference *International scientific-theoretical conference "Language, literature and translation problems at the junction of cultures"*. Almaty, pp. 342-344.
- Kroch A. (2001). *Syntactic change*. The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory. Blachwell. Pp. 699-729.
- Luria, A.R. (2002). *Letter and speech*. Neurolinguistic research. Moscow.
- Lvov, M.R. (2002). *Basic theory speech*. Moscow, 248 p.
- Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Ministry of Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan, viewed 5 December 2023.  
<https://www.gov.kz/memleket/entities/edu/activities/273?lang=en>
- Syzdyk, R. (2014). *Oral developed literary language*. Almaty: Dyke-Press.
- Syzdyk, R. (2014). *Soz sazy*. Akmaty.
- Shcherba, L.V. (1957). *Selected works on the Russian language*. Moscow.
- Russian colloquial speech. Phonetics. Morphology. Vocabulary. Gesture*, (1983). Moscow: Nauka.
- Uali, N. (2020). *Qazaqtyng dasturlisozsaptamy*. Almaty.
- Zemskaya, E.A. Kitaigorodskaya, M.V. & Shiryaev, E.N. (1981). *Russian colloquial speech. General questions. Word formation, syntax*. Moscow: Nauka.

## **KAZAK GENÇLERİNİN KONUŞMA DİLİNDEKİ DİL DEĞİŞİKLİKLERİ**

**Öz:** Kazakça konuşan gençlerin sayısı giderek artsa da, konuştukları Kazakçanın kalitesi tatmin edici düzeyde değil. Bu durum son zamanlarda Kazak basınında ve sosyal medyada tartışma konusu oldu. Bu nedenle, konuyu dilbilimsel araştırmaların konusu haline getirmek ve gerçek örneklerle göstermek amacıyla, Kazakistan'daki iki tanınmış üniversitenin öğrencilerinin sözlü konuşmaları üzerinde bir çalışma yürütüldü. Gençlerin sözlü konuşmalarındaki olumlu ve olumsuz süreçlere işaret eden olgular tespit edilip analiz edilmiştir. Bu çalışmada, öğrencilerin sözlü ifadelerindeki bu süreçlerin dilsel ve dildışı nedenlerini tespit ediyoruz. Araştırma verileri, üniversite öğrencilerinin belirli konularda fikir alışverişinde buldukları bir videoyu içermektedir. Uygunsuz kullanılan kelimeler yazıya dökülmüş ve tartışılmıştır. Analiz, konunun genel girişi çerçevesi ve her öğrencinin konuşma yapısı üzerinden gerçekleştirilir. Bu amaca ulaşmak için dilsel nedenler fonetik, morfoloji ve sözdizimsel yapılar düzeyinde analiz edilir ve dildışı nedenleri etkileyen faktörler belirlenir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Kazakça, Sözlü konuşma, Gençlik dili, Konuşma, Tartışma

## **ЯЗЫКОВЫЕ ИЗМЕНЕНИЯ В РАЗГОВОРНОЙ РЕЧИ КАЗАХСКОЙ МОЛОДЕЖИ**

**Аннотация:** несмотря на рост числа казахскоязычной молодёжи, качество казахского языка, которым они владеют, в последнее время оставляет желать лучшего. В последнее время эта тема активно обсуждается в казахской прессе и социальных сетях. Поэтому, с целью включения этого вопроса в сферу лингвистических исследований и иллюстрации его конкретными примерами, было проведено исследование устной речи студентов известного университета Казахстана. Установлены и проанализированы факты, свидетельствующие о позитивных и негативных процессах в устной речи молодёжи. В данной работе мы выявляем лингвистические и нелингвистические причины этих процессов в устной

речи студентов. Материалом исследования служит видео, где студенты университета обмениваются мнениями по определённым темам. Были расшифрованы и обсуждены некорректно используемые слова. Анализу подвергается общее вводное содержание темы и структура речи каждого студента. Для достижения поставленной цели лингвистические причины анализируются на уровне фонетики, морфологии и синтаксических структур, а также определяются факторы, влияющие на нелингвистические причины.

**Ключевые слова:** Казахский язык, Устная речь, Язык молодежи, Выступление, Дискуссия

---

**Yazar Katkı Oranı (Author Contributions):** Zhanar BAİTELİYEVA (%100)

**Yazarların Etik Sorumlulukları (Ethical Responsibilities of Authors):** Bu çalışma bilimsel araştırma ve yayın etiği kurallarına uygun olarak hazırlanmıştır.

**Çıkar Çatışması (Conflicts of Interest):** Çalışmadan kaynaklı çıkar çatışması bulunmamaktadır.

**İntihal Denetimi (Plagiarism Checking):** Bu çalışma intihal tarama programı kullanılarak intihal taramasından geçirilmiştir.

УДК 811:512. МҒТАР 122'27