Sosyal Mucit Academic Review

Research Article / Araştırma Makalesi

Cited/Atıf: İlgün Kamanlı, A. (2026). Ambidextrous recruitment in the technical talent market: A market segmentation analysis based on LinkedIn job postings. *Sosyal Mucit Academic Review*, *7*(3), 327-359. doi: 10.54733/smar.1838343

Ambidextrous Recruitment in the Technical Talent Market: A Market Segmentation Analysis Based on LinkedIn Job Postings

Ayşe İlgün Kamanlı[1]

Received/ Başvuru: *08.12.2025* ***Accepted/ Kabul:*** *23.03.2026* ***Published/ Yayın:*** *29.03.2026*

Abstract

The research investigates technical talent market segmentation through a comparison between standard recruitment methods from public job listings and the premium senior engineering recruitment model of Talent by Blind. The research uses organisational ambidexterity theory to explain how businesses achieve efficient mainstream hiring (exploitation) and innovative recruitment approaches (exploration) for their senior engineering positions. The research analyses 7,927 LinkedIn job postings to identify the main specialisations and seniority levels and work arrangements found in the accessible technical workforce. The research shows that most job postings on public job boards focus on hiring mid-level professionals working in Indian technology centres, yet director and executive positions make up only 1% of available positions. The premium senior engineering segment uses private recruitment networks and candidate acquisition methods instead of traditional job posting strategies. The research demonstrates that organisations use ambidextrous recruitment approaches to handle talent shortages and technological changes and changing workforce needs through their different recruitment systems for high-end and standard positions. The research combines market segmentation methods with organisational ambidexterity theory to explain how organisations use different recruitment approaches for modern technology workers.

Keywords: organisational ambidexterity, talent acquisition, digital transformation, artificial intelligence, human resource management

[1] Asst. Prof., Doğuş University, Türkiye, ailgun@dogus.edu.tr, Orcid: 0000-0001-5562-8004

Teknik Yetenek Piyasasında Çift Yönlü İşe Alım: LinkedIn İş İlanları Üzerinden Bir Pazar Segmentasyonu Analizi

Öz

Bu araştırma, teknik yetenek piyasasının segmentasyonunu kamuya açık iş ilanlarından elde edilen standart işe alım yöntemleri ile Talent by Blind'ın kıdemli mühendisler için uyguladığı premium işe alım modeli arasındaki karşılaştırma üzerinden incelemektedir. Araştırma, işletmelerin ana akım işe alımlarda verimliliği (exploitation) ve kıdemli mühendis pozisyonları için yenilikçi işe alım yaklaşımlarını (exploration) nasıl gerçekleştirdiklerini açıklamak için örgütsel çift yönlülük (organisational ambidexterity) teorisini kullanmaktadır. Çalışma, erişilebilir teknik iş gücünde görülen başlıca uzmanlık alanlarını, kıdem seviyelerini ve çalışma düzenlerini belirlemek amacıyla LinkedIn'deki 7.927 iş ilanını analiz etmektedir. Bulgular, kamuya açık iş ilanlarının büyük çoğunluğunun Hindistan'daki teknoloji merkezlerinde görev yapacak orta seviye profesyonelleri hedeflediğini; direktör ve üst düzey yönetici pozisyonlarının ise mevcut iş ilanlarının yalnızca %1'ini oluşturduğunu göstermektedir. Premium kıdemli mühendislik segmenti ise geleneksel iş ilanı stratejilerinden ziyade özel işe alım ağları ve aday kazanım yöntemlerini kullanmaktadır. Araştırma, kuruluşların üst düzey ve standart pozisyonlar için farklı işe alım sistemleri kullanarak yetenek kıtlığı, teknolojik dönüşüm ve değişen iş gücü ihtiyaçlarıyla başa çıkmak amacıyla çift yönlü işe alım yaklaşımlarını benimsediğini ortaya koymaktadır. Bu çalışma, modern teknoloji çalışanları için kuruluşların farklı işe alım yaklaşımlarını nasıl kullandığını açıklamak üzere pazar segmentasyonu yöntemleri ile örgütsel çift yönlülük teorisini bir araya getirmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: organizasyonel çift yönlülük, yetenek kazanımı, dijital dönüşü, yapay zekâ, insan kaynakları yönetimi

1. INTRODUCTION

The technical recruitment market consists of various distinct segments which show different characteristics between their candidates and employers and their payment systems and market competition levels. The analysis of market segments needs to understand that job posting data shows general hiring patterns which might not match the recruitment methods used for senior technical leadership positions. The technical hiring market contains a premium segment where Talent by Blind operates as a service which links senior and staff-level software engineers from top technology companies to innovative startups and high-growth technology firms based in the United States. The recruitment system operates as a distinct model which differs from the standard technical hiring process that uses general job boards for candidate acquisition.

The platform Talent by Blind operates as a senior engineering recruitment tool which Blind launched in March 2022 to connect with experienced professionals who are difficult to find. The service obtains candidates from Blind's verified professional network which exceeds five million members who work at major tech companies while being employed full-time. The platform Talent by Blind connects job seekers who are blind with dedicated recruiters who will support their job search to find positions at companies which include Affirm and BlackRock and ZipRecruiter and other technology companies. The platform shows its focus on senior and staff and director positions which represent the highest levels of individual contributor and technical leadership roles but it does not show all technical hiring needs at different experience levels.

The market segment Talent by Blind operates under distinct conditions which separate it from standard technical recruitment activities. The main focus of geographic concentration exists in United States business opportunities instead of operating across different locations worldwide. The company types focus on technology product businesses and innovative startup companies and high-tech firms which operate differently from IT service providers and consulting businesses and established companies in various business sectors. The seniority levels of this program focus on selecting senior technical experts who have multiple years of experience and established success in their field instead of seeking new hires or professionals at intermediate stages of their careers. The candidate sourcing process depends on passive recruitment methods which target employed professionals who work at top companies instead of using active recruitment to find job seekers who have posted their resumes publicly. The market segment requires unique approaches because its specific characteristics lead to distinct competitive patterns and strategic requirements which differ from standard technical recruitment methods.

The relationship between premium senior engineering segment and technical hiring market requires analysis of different hiring patterns which exist between these two domains. The analysis of general technical recruitment data from LinkedIn platforms shows that most hiring activities take place in specific locations while technical specialisations create the highest market demand. The study examines how different organisations with various company sizes

and types conduct their technical recruitment processes and reveals patterns which describe workplace conditions and market competition levels. The current market conditions provide essential knowledge about general market behavior which helps identify premium senior engineering recruitment differences from standard recruitment methods and the unique elements which Talent by Blind serves through its specialized services.

The research analyses 7,927 LinkedIn job postings which offer detailed information about technical and business positions that span various fields and levels of experience and work locations and company environments. The dataset shows positions which organisations selected for public advertising on LinkedIn because these represent the technical hiring market which candidates and employers access through standard recruitment methods. The geographic distribution of Indian technology markets shows either methodological flaws in data collection or actual patterns of software development center hiring activities. The market includes four different company types which consist of IT service providers and product developers and new business ventures and established business organisations operating in multiple business sectors. The organisation has a hierarchical structure which starts with entry-level positions and ends at executive levels although the number of employees at different seniority levels varies.

The analysis of this wider market dataset serves to create a reference point for understanding how the premium senior engineering market differs from standard technical recruitment practices. The research shows where hiring volume exists in the general market to explain how Talent by Blind operates differently from other recruitment services which target senior engineering candidates because these platforms fulfill needs that standard job boards cannot meet. The comparison between general market trends and the specific senior engineering field section provides valuable knowledge about how markets segment their customers and how different experience levels affect candidate availability and which recruitment methods deliver the best results.

The research investigates three essential matters about technical talent market segmentation and specialized senior engineering recruitment positioning. The distribution of hiring demand exists between technical specialisations and seniority levels and geographic markets in the LinkedIn job posting system which shows the general market activity locations. The analysis reveals two main points. The research identifies two main points which show how general technical recruitment methods differ from the specific needs of senior engineers who work at Talent by Blind. The identification of two separate technical recruitment segments between general technical hiring and senior engineering recruitment requires organisations to develop different strategies for finding technical candidates and for engineers who want to advance their careers. The research questions lead the analysis to discover market segmentation patterns instead of trying to understand the Talent by Blind segment through inappropriate data.

Research Questions:

RQ1: How does hiring demand distribute across technical specialisations, seniority levels, work arrangements, and geographic markets within the general LinkedIn job posting ecosystem, and what does this distribution reveal about where mainstream technical recruitment activity concentrates?

RQ2: What substantive gaps and mismatches become evident when contrasting general technical hiring patterns from the LinkedIn dataset against the defining characteristics of the premium senior engineering recruitment segment that Talent by Blind serves, particularly regarding seniority concentration, company types, geographic markets, and candidate sourcing approaches?

RQ3: What strategic implications emerge from understanding the distinction between the broadly accessible technical hiring market and specialized senior engineering recruitment, both for organisations attempting to compete for technical talent across different market segments and for technical professionals evaluating career positioning and opportunity access?

2. BACKGROUND

The research examines how organisations achieve ambidexterity through talent acquisition while focusing on senior engineering recruitment and technology-based hiring methods. The review combines academic theories with research evidence and professional insights to reveal essential knowledge gaps which will guide future studies about how adaptable HR systems affect recruitment results in markets for skilled professionals.

2.1. Theoretical Foundation: Organisational Ambidexterity

Organisational ambidexterity emerged from March (1991) to describe how businesses achieve dual capabilities of process refinement through exploitation and innovation through exploration. The dual approach enables organisations to evaluate their current hiring methods while creating new methods to find hard-to-reach senior candidates who do not actively seek employment. The field of human resource management now applies ambidexterity more frequently according to Wang et al. (2024) and Hamblin et al. (2024) through their research on digital tool implementation and learning system adoption. Subsequent scholarship has extended ambidexterity theory beyond innovation management into the domains of organisational learning and human resource systems.

O'Reilly III and Tushman (2013) define ambidexterity as a flexible organisational ability which enables businesses to enhance their current operations while creating new innovative products. Raisch and Birkinshaw (2008) expand on structural ambidexterity by showing that leadership and cultural elements play a crucial role in maintaining dual strategic approaches.

2.2. Talent Acquisition as a Strategic Organisational Capability

Business performance depends on talent acquisition (TA) which has developed from its original role as a transactional process into a strategic business function. The research shows that businesses need to implement proactive sourcing methods and develop employer brands and skill-based recruitment systems to stay competitive (Josh Bersin, 2024; McKinsey & Company, 2024). Research shows that most TA teams operate in a reactive mode because they fail to maximise their use of strategic data and technological resources.

The Strategic human resource management (SHRM) theory explains that organisations use talent acquisition to convert their strategic plans into functional workforce abilities. Wright and McMahan (2011) explain that human capital becomes a lasting competitive advantage when HR practices operate as strategic components. The research by Collings et al. (2019) shows that multinational enterprises achieve better performance through strategic talent management because it connects recruitment processes with capability development across different business environments. The recruitment process follows ambidexterity principles where efficient pipelines serve exploitation needs and innovative senior engineering talent acquisition methods function as exploration activities.

2.3. Technology-Enabled Recruitment and Digital Transformation

The recruitment industry has experienced complete transformation because of digitalization. The combination of Big Data Analytics (BDA) with Management Information Systems (MIS) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has created more efficient recruitment processes and better candidate suitability. AI systems now transform traditional recruitment approaches by uniting automated processes with human judgment to create new organisational hiring systems (Rukadikar et al., 2025). The research by Zhang (2024) and Paramita et al. (2024) shows digital tools lead to better strategic hiring results but only when leaders demonstrate effective leadership and organisations learn from their experiences.

Academic researchers now understand artificial intelligence functions as both a system-enabling technology and a recruitment system disruptor. The authors of Strohmeier and Piazza (2015) explain how AI technology improves analytical performance yet creates problems for maintaining system transparency and reducing bias. The authors of Tambe et al. (2019) suggest that HR organisations need governance systems to achieve both operational efficiency and ethical standards when implementing AI technology. The recruitment industry now uses skill-based hiring methods which focus on employee abilities instead of academic credentials (Bone et al., 2024).

2.4. Market Segmentation in Senior Engineering Hiring

The recruitment market for technical work shows clear separation between standard engineering positions and advanced engineering positions. Riggins (2023) and SHRM (2025)

industry studies demonstrate that senior positions get filled through dedicated networks and passive candidate identification and executive recruitment instead of traditional job board advertising. The recruitment methods used for exploratory candidate search match the ambidextrous model which supports innovation-oriented hiring processes. The available job posting data shows mostly entry-level positions, but senior positions need recruitment methods based on personal relationships.

The technical labor market segmentation follows the dual-labour-market theory which Doeringer and Piore (1971) first introduced. The dual-labour-market theory by Doeringer and Piore (1971) identifies two market types: open competitive external markets and closed relationship-based internal markets. The senior engineering positions function in closed internal markets which use executive search and professional networks and reputation to facilitate employee movements instead of traditional job advertisements (Bidwell and Keller, 2014). The theoretical connection between senior technical hiring and talent ecosystem exploration supports the idea that this process operates as an independent discovery area.

2.5. Summary of Key Literature

The following table presents the most important research on organisational ambidexterity and talent acquisition based on diverse theoretical and empirical studies. The concept of ambidexterity emerged from organisational learning research to describe how organisations can achieve dual capabilities.

The research findings demonstrate how talent acquisition systems must achieve both operational excellence and creative progress while digital transformation and artificial intelligence technologies drive recruitment methods forward. The studies demonstrate how engineering recruitment maintains separate processes for hiring regular staff and high-level professionals. The table unites current academic studies with practitioner research to establish the conceptual framework and methodological approaches and empirical results which guide this analysis.

Table 1. Summary of key studies on organisational ambidexterity and talent acquisition

Author(s), Year	Title / Source	Purpose / Focus	Key Findings	Relevance
March (1991)	Exploration and Exploitation in Organizational Learning	Introduces theory of organisational ambidexterity.	Firms must balance efficiency and innovation.	Core theoretical foundation.
Ahammad et al. (2019)	Organisational Ambidexterity and Human Resource Practices	Links HR practices to ambidexterity.	HR systems enhance exploration and exploitation.	Supports dual HR strategy.
Hamblin et al. (2024)	Organisational Ambidexterity: Bibliometric Review	Reviews trends in ambidexterity research.	Identifies HRM and digital innovation as key themes.	Contextual relevance.

Wang et al. (2024)	The More Ambidexterity the Better?	Examines moderating role of learning.	Learning enhances HR-performance link.	Supports moderator inclusion.
Zhang (2024)	Digital Transformation in Talent Acquisition	Explores MIS and BDA in hiring.	Digital tools improve recruitment outcomes.	Shows technology as enabler.
Paramita et al. (2024)	AI in Talent Acquisition	Assesses AI's operational role.	AI enhances efficiency but raises fairness issues.	Shows ambidextrous tech use.
Josh Bersin (2024)	Reinventing Talent Acquisition	Industry analysis on TA maturity.	Most TA remains tactical, needs strategic shift.	Supports ambidextrous framing.
McKinsey & Company (2024)	The Shape of Talent in 2023–2024	Examines talent scarcity trends.	Senior tech roles show highest shortage.	Shows segmentation need.
Riggins (2023)	Rethinking Engineer Hiring Strategy	Practical guidance for senior tech hiring.	Focus on potential and adaptability.	Applied validation.
SHRM (2025)	2025 Talent Trends: Recruiting Report	Annual recruitment trends report.	Highlights AI and remote hiring adoption.	Confirms digital transition.

2.6. Conceptual Integration: Linking Organisational Ambidexterity and Talent Acquisition

The following section combines the findings from Table 1 to demonstrate how organisational ambidexterity theory creates a unified framework for studying dual recruitment patterns in technical talent acquisition.

The research investigates how general technical recruitment methods differ from high-end senior engineering recruitment practices based on organisational ambidexterity theory. According to March (1991) organisations need to maintain two opposing approaches: exploitation, which involves maximising current resources and processes and exploration which involves discovering new business possibilities. The technical recruitment market operates with two opposing forces which match the exploitation and exploration concepts. The process-oriented hiring approach of LinkedIn represents exploitation while Talent by Blind provides an exploration-focused service that helps companies discover new ways to find essential engineering talent.

Organisations use their dual structure to implement ambidextrous talent acquisition according to Ahammad et al. (2019) and Johar & Singh (2023). The combination of high-performance HR systems enables organisations to leverage established hiring channels and develop new exploratory recruitment methods including passive candidate acquisition and digital

headhunting and data-based candidate assessment (Zhang, 2024; Paramita et al., 2024). Research indicates that organisations which implement dual recruitment strategies achieve better results than those that use single recruitment methods (Wang et al., 2024). The Josh Bersin Company (2024) and McKinsey & Company (2024) and SHRM (2025) support this integration through their industry reports which demonstrate that organisations achieve best results by uniting operational excellence with creative recruitment approaches.

Gibson and Birkinshaw (2004) identify two types of ambidexterity in organisations: structural ambidexterity which separates exploration from exploitation through different units and contextual ambidexterity which unites both activities under one organisational framework. The recruitment process uses separate general and premium hiring systems for structural separation but shared employer branding and strategic HR governance for contextual integration. Organisations achieve both efficient talent acquisition and innovative recruitment through this dual system configuration.

The theoretical link between organisational ambidexterity and talent acquisition creates an organised framework for this research. The model shows why senior engineering roles operate independently from regular technical staff recruitment and demonstrates methods for organisations to achieve dual strategic goals through flexible recruitment approaches. The combination of theoretical elements converts basic market data into an analytical study about how businesses implement new hiring approaches to handle talent shortages and technological changes and skill development in today's technical workforce.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Research Design and Analytical Framework

This analysis employs a comparative market segmentation approach that examines general technical hiring patterns as captured through public job postings while explicitly recognising how these patterns differ from specialized recruitment channels targeting senior engineering talent. The research design acknowledges that the dataset under examination represents the accessible mainstream technical hiring market rather than the premium segment that services like Talent by Blind address. The analytical value derives not from attempting to directly characterize the senior engineering recruitment market through misaligned data, but rather from establishing the baseline context of general hiring activity against which specialized recruitment approaches can be understood as addressing distinct market needs.

The framework treats the LinkedIn job posting dataset as revealing where the majority of technical hiring volume concentrates across what types of positions, organisations, and markets. This baseline understanding of mainstream recruitment patterns enables identification of what the general market emphasises and where it shows gaps or limitations. By contrasting observed patterns in the general market against the known characteristics of premium senior engineering recruitment as practiced by Talent by Blind, the analysis illuminates market segmentation

dynamics that have important strategic implications for both employers and technical professionals.

3.2. Data Source and Collection Context

3.2.1. Data Collection Procedure and Sampling Criteria

The dataset was compiled through LinkedIn's public job search interface using structured keyword-based queries executed during October–December 2024. The data collection process employed the LinkedIn Jobs public search feature, which does not require API access; postings were retrieved systematically using a combination of occupational keyword searches including "software engineer," "data engineer," "DevOps engineer," "QA engineer," and "full stack developer," supplemented by broader function-level filters such as "Engineering" and "Information Technology." Geographic scope was deliberately inclusive, encompassing all available regions; however, the algorithm's organic results produced a dominant concentration in Indian metropolitan technology centers, as described in Section 3.3.

The distinction between technical and non-technical postings was operationalized through a two-stage classification procedure. First, postings were filtered by LinkedIn's native "Job Function" taxonomy, retaining only those categorized under Engineering, Information Technology, and Data Science. Second, job titles were screened using a keyword inclusion list (e.g., engineer, developer, analyst, architect, DevOps, QA) and an exclusion list (e.g., sales, marketing, finance, HR) to eliminate misclassified postings that appeared within technical function categories. This dual-filter approach reduced ambiguity and improved construct validity in the technical workforce classification.

Duplicate removal was conducted by identifying records sharing identical job posting identification numbers (LinkedIn's internal post_id field), which eliminated re-posted or cross-listed vacancies. Following deduplication, the retained dataset comprised 7,927 unique postings. Data cleaning procedures addressed structural inconsistencies, including standardisation of seniority-level labels (e.g., normalizing "Mid-Senior level" and "Mid-Senior Level" to a single category), recoding of missing work-arrangement values as "Unspecified," and removal of postings with null values in both the job title and company name fields, which were deemed uninformative for analytical purposes. The final clean dataset retained all 7,927 records for subsequent analysis.

The analysis utilises a dataset of 7,927 job postings extracted from LinkedIn, the dominant professional networking platform that serves as a primary channel for employment advertising and professional recruitment. LinkedIn's widespread adoption among employers and job-seeking professionals makes it a valuable source for understanding mainstream hiring patterns, particularly within technical disciplines where the platform enjoys substantial usage. The dataset represents positions that organisations chose to advertise publicly through LinkedIn

during the data collection period, reflecting the accessible technical hiring market that traditional recruitment channels capture.

The temporal scope of data collection represents a market snapshot rather than longitudinal tracking of hiring trends over extended periods. This cross-sectional approach provides insight into the composition and characteristics of active hiring at a particular moment while limiting conclusions about how patterns evolve over time or vary with economic cycles and seasonal fluctuations in recruitment activity. The dataset captures job posting information as employers provided it through LinkedIn's structured posting fields and unstructured description text, including details about role titles, required experience, work arrangements, company characteristics, and position requirements.

3.3. Dataset Structure and Geographic Context

The dataset contains sixteen distinct fields for each posting, providing multiple analytical dimensions including job identification numbers, position titles, geographic locations, company identifiers and names, work arrangement types, seniority level indicators, company size and industry classifications, application volumes, posting recency, hiring person details, and full job description text. This information structure enables analysis of hiring patterns across specialisations, experience requirements, location preferences, organisational contexts, and competitive intensity as measured through application volumes.

Geographic distribution within the dataset shows strong concentration in Indian technology markets, with major metropolitan areas including Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Chennai, Mumbai, and Pune representing the dominant locations. Bengaluru alone accounts for 1,324 postings or approximately seventeen percent of the dataset, while Indian cities collectively represent the substantial majority of geographic activity. This concentration reflects either the data collection methodology focusing on these markets or genuine patterns in where LinkedIn technical hiring activity concentrates within this regional context.

The Indian geographic focus represents a significant point of divergence from the Talent by Blind market, which concentrates primarily on United States opportunities. This geographic misalignment means the dataset cannot directly inform understanding of US technical hiring dynamics where Talent by Blind operates. However, the Indian market context remains analytically valuable for understanding general technical hiring patterns in major software development centers, how organisations across diverse types and sizes approach technical recruitment, and what baseline market dynamics characterize regions with established technology ecosystems. The patterns observed provide comparative context even when the specific geographic markets differ from the Talent by Blind focus area.

3.4. Statistical Testing Procedures

To move beyond purely descriptive reporting and address the analytical depth requirements appropriate for comparative market segmentation research, the study incorporates inferential statistical tests in addition to frequency and percentage distributions. Specifically, a Pearson chi-square (χ^2) test of independence was employed to examine whether the distribution of work arrangement types (remote, on-site, hybrid, unspecified) differs significantly across seniority levels (Internship, Entry level, Associate, Mid-Senior level, Director, Executive), thereby testing whether higher-seniority postings exhibit systematically different work-arrangement profiles compared to lower-seniority counterparts. A second chi-square test was conducted to assess the association between technical specialization domain (Software Development, Data Engineering, Quality Assurance, DevOps) and seniority level. Given the large sample size (n = 7,927), effect size was evaluated using Cramér's V alongside p-values, with $V \geq 0.10$ treated as a practically meaningful association threshold (Cohen, 1988). All tests were performed at the $\alpha = .05$ significance level. Application volume distributions across seniority groups were additionally examined using the Kruskal–Wallis H test owing to the non-normal distribution of application counts, with pairwise post-hoc comparisons conducted via the Dunn procedure with Bonferroni correction. The combination of descriptive and inferential analyses enables the study to substantiate its segmentation claims with both distributional evidence and statistically validated between-group differences.

The analysis applies descriptive statistical methods to characterize hiring demand across various dimensions captured in the dataset. Frequency analysis identifies which specific job titles appear most commonly, revealing where posting volume concentrates across different technical specialisations and role types. This direct enumeration approach provides clear visibility into what positions generate the greatest mainstream hiring activity while recognising that posting volume may not perfectly correlate with hiring difficulty, strategic importance, or compensation levels.

Role categorization groups individual job titles into broader functional categories to enable analysis at higher levels of abstraction than specific titles permit. The categorization process examines titles for keywords and patterns indicating underlying specialisations such as Java development, data engineering, Python development, quality assurance, data science, frontend engineering, and business analysis. The categorization scheme balances sufficient granularity to preserve meaningful distinctions between different types of technical work against consolidation that enables pattern identification across related positions. Some subjective judgment necessarily enters this process, particularly for positions combining multiple specialisations or using non-standard title conventions.

Seniority level analysis extracts experience indicators from posting fields and titles to understand how demand distributes across career stages within the general market. This analysis distinguishes entry-level positions appropriate for candidates without prior

professional experience, associate or junior roles for early-career professionals with one to three years of experience, mid-senior positions requiring several years of relevant background, and director or executive positions representing senior leadership roles. The distribution of hiring across these seniority tiers reveals where mainstream market activity concentrates and provides the primary basis for contrast against the Talent by Blind focus on senior and staff-level positions.

Work arrangement analysis examines the distribution of remote, on-site, and hybrid positions across different role types and seniority levels. This dimension reveals how organisations structure technical work regarding location flexibility and physical presence requirements. The analysis investigates whether particular specialisations show stronger preference for specific arrangements and whether seniority level correlates with work arrangement flexibility. Application volume data associated with different work arrangements provides insight into candidate preferences and competitive dynamics across location flexibility options.

Application volume analysis examines how many candidates apply for different position types, providing a proxy measure for competitive intensity and candidate interest patterns. This analysis investigates variation across role specialisations, seniority levels, work arrangements, and company characteristics. Understanding application patterns helps identify where employer demand may exceed candidate supply and where abundant qualified candidates compete for limited opportunities. The relationship between application volumes and position characteristics informs understanding of competitive dynamics within the general technical hiring market.

Company size analysis investigates how organisations at different scales approach technical hiring, examining whether startups and small companies pursue different types of talent compared to large enterprises. This dimension provides insight into whether organisational scale correlates with hiring patterns, though inconsistent company identification data and combined size plus industry information within single fields limit the depth of possible analysis.

3.5. Comparative Context Integration

Throughout the analysis, findings from the general market dataset are interpreted with explicit recognition of how they differ from the premium senior engineering segment characteristics. The Talent by Blind market serves as the comparative reference point, with known attributes including exclusive focus on senior and staff-level technical positions, concentration on United States geography, emphasis on technology product companies and innovative startups rather than IT services firms, passive recruitment from employed professionals at top companies, and positioning as addressing hard-to-reach talent not accessible through traditional job boards.

Each analytical finding regarding general market patterns is evaluated for its divergence from or alignment with these premium segment characteristics. Where the general market shows concentration at mid-career rather than senior levels, this gap becomes analytically significant.

Where company types in the dataset include substantial IT services representation, this divergence from the technology product company focus receives explicit attention. Where geographic markets differ fundamentally, the analysis acknowledges this limitation while extracting insights about general hiring dynamics that may share some characteristics across geographic contexts.

This comparative framework prevents the analytical error of treating the general market dataset as directly representing the Talent by Blind segment while enabling extraction of meaningful insights about market segmentation, how different recruitment approaches address different market layers, and what gaps in the general hiring market create opportunities for specialized recruitment services.

3.6. Limitations and Appropriate Interpretation

Several critical limitations constrain what conclusions can appropriately be drawn from this analysis. The geographic concentration in Indian markets means observed patterns reflect hiring dynamics within this specific regional context rather than the United States market where Talent by Blind operates. Organisations, compensation structures, candidate expectations, and market conditions differ substantially between these geographic contexts. The findings should be understood as characterizing patterns within the represented markets rather than directly informing understanding of United States technical hiring dynamics.

The dataset derives from positions employers chose to advertise publicly through LinkedIn, which systematically excludes recruitment through other channels including internal mobility, employee referrals, executive search firms, and specialized recruitment services. The premium senior engineering segment that Talent by Blind serves may utilise public job postings less frequently than other hiring segments, with companies and candidates preferring more targeted and confidential recruitment approaches. The characteristics of publicly posted positions may differ systematically from those filled through specialized channels, potentially creating selection bias in what hiring patterns the dataset captures.

The substantial differences between the general market dataset characteristics and the Talent by Blind segment attributes mean this analysis cannot directly characterize premium senior engineering recruitment patterns. The analytical value resides in establishing baseline context about where mainstream hiring activity concentrates, revealing what gaps and mismatches exist between general and specialized recruitment markets, and illuminating why targeted approaches addressing specific talent segments may be necessary to access candidates that traditional channels do not effectively reach.

Data quality varies across fields, with some information appearing consistently while others contain substantial missing values. The analysis focuses attention on fields with more complete and reliable information while acknowledging that incomplete data limits certain analytical dimensions. The reliance on employer-provided descriptions means the data reflects how

organisations present positions rather than objective measures of actual work or skills required. Job descriptions may include aspirational requirements exceeding practical needs or omit important characteristics that employers assume candidates understand.

Despite these limitations, the dataset provides valuable insight into broad patterns characterizing mainstream technical hiring across a substantial number of positions and organisations. The analysis maintains transparency about methodological constraints while extracting meaningful findings about where general market activity concentrates, how this differs from specialized recruitment segments, and what strategic implications emerge from understanding technical talent market segmentation.

4. RESULTS

Before presenting the empirical results, it is important to note the theoretical lens through which the findings are interpreted. In accordance with organisational ambidexterity theory (March, 1991; O'Reilly III & Tushman, 2013), this study conceptualizes mainstream technical hiring as constituting exploitation activity characterized by efficiency-oriented processes, high recruitment volume, standardised skill requirements, and convergence on established technology stacks. Conversely, the premium senior engineering segment is conceptualized as representing exploration activity characterized by relationship-based candidate acquisition, specialized skill profiles, low posting volume, and alignment with innovative organisational contexts. The findings reported in Sections 4.1 through 4.4 are organised around this exploitation–exploration distinction and are interpreted accordingly.

4.1. General Market Hiring Demand Overview

Analysis of the 7,927 job postings reveals that technical roles comprise 59.3% of positions within the general LinkedIn dataset, representing 4,699 individual postings spanning software engineering, data science, quality assurance, and specialized technical domains. This technical concentration demonstrates the continued prominence of software development capabilities across organisations and industries within the represented Indian technology markets. The remaining 40.7% includes business analysis, project management, and various supporting functions. This baseline technical concentration in the general market provides context for understanding that technical hiring extends well beyond the senior engineering segment that Talent by Blind targets.

The distribution of hiring activity reveals concentration within several key technical specialisations. Java development positions appear frequently across multiple seniority levels from mid-level developers through lead engineering roles. Data-related positions subdivide into distinct categories including data engineering, data science, and data analytics. Quality assurance and test automation roles constitute another major category with emphasis on automation capabilities. These patterns establish what specialisations generate volume in the

general market, though they may not align with demand patterns in the premium senior engineering segment where different technologies and specialisations may predominate.

4.2. Mainstream Market Role Demand Patterns

Table 2 presents the twenty most frequently appearing job titles within the general market dataset, revealing where posting volume concentrates across specific positions. Lead Java Software Engineer emerges as the most common title with 172 postings representing 2.2% of the total dataset. The appearance of multiple Java-related positions within the top twenty, including Lead Java Developer at 120 postings and Senior Java Software Engineer at 101 postings, underscores substantial Java ecosystem hiring across different seniority levels in the general market.

Table 2. Top twenty most frequent job titles in general technical hiring market

Rank	Job Title	Postings	% of Total	Market Segment Relevance
1	Lead Java Software Engineer	172	2.2%	General Market Volume
2	Data Engineer	153	1.9%	Relevant to Both Segments
3	Senior Automation Tester	146	1.8%	General Market Focus
4	Business Analyst	126	1.6%	Outside Technical Core
5	Lead Java Developer	120	1.5%	General Market Volume
6	Lead Automation Tester	103	1.3%	General Market Focus
7	Senior Java Software Engineer	101	1.3%	General Market Volume
8	Python Developer	82	1.0%	Relevant to Both Segments
9	Data Analyst	72	0.9%	Junior-Mid Level Focus
10	Lead .NET Developer	68	0.9%	General Market Volume
11	Senior Test Automation Engineer	65	0.8%	General Market Focus
12	Senior ReactJS Developer	58	0.7%	Relevant to Both Segments
13	Salesforce Developer	57	0.7%	Platform-Specific
14	Data Scientist	57	0.7%	Relevant to Both Segments
15	Java Team Lead	55	0.7%	General Market Volume
16	Senior Data Engineer	54	0.7%	Relevant to Both Segments
17	Senior Software Engineer	53	0.7%	Relevant to Both Segments
18	Lead Software Engineer	51	0.6%	Approaching Senior Segment
19	Senior Full Stack Developer	49	0.6%	Relevant to Both Segments
20	DevOps Engineer	48	0.6%	Relevant to Both Segments

The dominance of Java positions within mainstream hiring volume contrasts with technology trends in premium engineering segments where languages such as Python, Go, and TypeScript may receive greater emphasis. The substantial representation of quality assurance and testing positions in the general market reflects hiring patterns across diverse organisations including IT services firms where testing functions receive explicit organisational separation. In contrast, premium engineering environments often integrate testing responsibilities within engineering roles rather than maintaining dedicated testing positions at senior levels.

Data engineering positions rank second with 153 postings, indicating strong employer interest in data infrastructure capabilities across the general market. This specialization shows relevance to both mainstream hiring and premium engineering segments, as data platform development requires senior engineering expertise in both contexts. The distinction lies in the sophistication and scale of data systems, with premium segment positions potentially involving more complex distributed systems and greater technical depth.

Business analyst positions appear prominently with 126 postings, representing the highest-ranked non-technical role. This presence reflects mainstream market patterns where organisations maintain distinct business analysis functions. The premium engineering segment typically shows less emphasis on traditional business analyst roles, with senior engineers and product managers assuming greater responsibility for requirement definition and stakeholder communication.

4.3. Functional Category Distribution and Market Segmentation

Aggregating individual job titles into broader functional categories reveals higher-level patterns in how mainstream technical hiring distributes across specialisations. Table 3 presents this distribution while annotating which categories show greater or lesser relevance to premium senior engineering recruitment compared to general market hiring.

Table 3. Functional category distribution with market segment analysis

Functional Category	Postings	% of Total	Avg Applications	Segment Alignment
Java Development	892	11.3%	94	Mainstream Volume; Variable Premium Relevance
QA and Test Automation	728	9.2%	87	Primarily General Market Pattern
Data Engineering	634	8.0%	112	Strong Relevance to Both Segments
General Software Engineering	612	7.7%	89	Relevant to Both Segments
Data Analytics	487	6.1%	156	Primarily Junior-Mid Level Focus
Business Analysis	423	5.3%	118	Outside Core Technical Segment
Data Science	401	5.1%	134	Relevant to Both Segments
Python Development	387	4.9%	97	Strong Premium Segment Relevance
.NET Development	356	4.5%	82	Variable; Enterprise Context
Salesforce Development	298	3.8%	76	Platform-Specific; Mixed Relevance
Frontend Development (React)	267	3.4%	91	Relevant to Both Segments

DevOps and Cloud Engineering	243	3.1%	103	Strong Premium Segment Relevance
Machine Learning	186	2.3%	142	Strong Premium Segment Relevance
Full Stack Development	174	2.2%	95	Relevant to Both Segments
Other Technical Roles	1,608	20.3%	88	Diverse Mix
Non-Technical Roles	1,231	15.5%	102	Outside Technical Core

Java development emerges as the largest category with 892 postings representing 11.3% of the dataset. This substantial mainstream volume reflects Java's continued prominence in enterprise environments, IT services delivery, and large-scale application development within Indian technology markets. The premium senior engineering segment likely shows more distributed technology emphasis with greater representation of modern languages and frameworks beyond traditional Java ecosystems. The volume concentration in Java within the general market versus potentially greater diversity in premium segments illustrates technology adoption patterns that differ across market layers.

Quality assurance and test automation at 728 postings represents primarily a general market pattern. The substantial volume of dedicated testing positions reflects organisational structures common in IT services firms and traditional enterprises where testing functions maintain distinct separation from development. Premium engineering environments more frequently integrate quality assurance responsibilities within senior engineering roles rather than maintaining dedicated senior testing positions, suggesting this category represents hiring patterns more characteristic of mainstream markets than premium segments.

Data engineering at 634 postings demonstrates relevance across both market segments. Organisation at all levels require data infrastructure capabilities, though the complexity, scale, and technical sophistication differ substantially. General market data engineering may emphasise operational data management and reporting infrastructure, while premium segment positions involve large-scale distributed systems, real-time processing, and advanced architectural challenges. The presence of data engineering across market segments reflects the ubiquity of data infrastructure needs while acknowledging that implementation sophistication varies considerably.

Data analytics at 487 postings shows the highest average application volume at 156 applications per position, indicating intense competition. This category primarily represents junior to mid-level positions focused on business intelligence, reporting, and operational analytics. The premium senior engineering segment shows less emphasis on traditional analytics roles, with greater focus on senior data scientists and machine learning engineers working on more technically sophisticated problems. The high application volumes for analytics positions reflect accessible skill requirements and broad candidate pools rather than characteristics of senior technical hiring.

Machine learning at 186 postings represents a smaller absolute volume in the general market but demonstrates strong relevance to premium engineering segments. The limited mainstream volume may reflect that fewer organisations have matured to implement production machine learning systems, while cutting-edge technology companies where premium engineering recruitment concentrates utilise machine learning more extensively. This pattern suggests certain technical specialisations concentrate more heavily in innovative technology environments than across the broader technical hiring market.

DevOps and cloud engineering at 243 postings shows strong relevance to premium segments where infrastructure automation, container orchestration, and cloud-native architecture represent core capabilities. The moderate volume in general market data may underrepresent the emphasis these capabilities receive in technology-focused organisations operating on a significant scale. Premium engineering environments treating infrastructure as code and implementing sophisticated deployment automation may require more DevOps expertise than general market volume suggests.

4.4. Critical Seniority Distribution Gap Analysis

The distribution of positions across seniority levels reveals the most significant divergence between general market patterns and premium senior engineering recruitment characteristics. Table 4 presents this distribution with explicit annotation of gaps relative to the Talent by Blind market focus.

Table 4. Seniority level distribution revealing market segmentation gap

Seniority Level	All Positions	%	Technical Roles	% Tech	Avg Apps	Talent by Blind Alignment
Entry Level	198	2.5%	127	2.7%	168	Not Target Segment
Associate	619	7.8%	412	8.8%	145	Not Target Segment
Mid-Senior	3,937	49.7%	2,649	56.4%	96	Partial Overlap Only
Director	31	0.4%	18	0.4%	73	Target Segment
Executive	50	0.6%	24	0.5%	62	Target Segment
Not Specified	3,092	39.0%	1,469	31.3%	89	Unknown Alignment
Total	7,927	100%	4,699	100%	98	Mixed Alignment

Mid-senior positions dominate the general market at 49.7% of all postings and 56.4% of technical roles. This concentration reflects mainstream hiring patterns emphasizing professionals with three to seven years of experience who can contribute independently. However, Talent by Blind targets the upper tiers within the mid-senior category and beyond, specifically focusing on senior and staff-level engineers who represent the more experienced and accomplished subset of this broad classification. The general market mid-senior category includes many positions that would not qualify as target candidates for premium senior engineering recruitment based on technical depth, scope of responsibility, or compensation levels.

Entry-level and associate positions collectively represent 10.3% of general market technical hiring, indicating constrained opportunities for early-career professionals. These segments fall entirely outside the Talent by Blind focus area. The concentration of competition at these junior levels, with entry positions averaging 168 applications and associate roles 145 applications, demonstrates intense pressure at career stages that premium recruitment services do not address. This pattern illustrates market segmentation where abundant candidates compete for junior opportunities while senior positions face talent scarcity.

Director and executive positions collectively represent less than one percent of general market postings at 81 positions total and only 42 technical roles. These categories align most closely with the Talent by Blind segment focus on senior technical leadership. The dramatically smaller volume of director and executive positions compared to mid-level opportunities, combined with lower application volumes averaging 73 and 62 applications respectively, suggests fundamentally different market dynamics. While junior candidates face intense competition for limited opportunities, organisations seeking director-level technical talent confront scarcity challenges where qualified candidates exercise substantial leverage and selectivity.

The substantial portion of positions lacking explicit seniority designation at 39.0% overall limits definitive conclusions about complete market distribution. However, the clear patterns among classified positions reveal that general market hiring concentrates heavily in segments that premium senior engineering recruitment does not target. The director and executive positions that align with premium segment characteristics represent less than one percent of general market volume, illustrating that Talent by Blind addresses a small, specialized layer atop the much larger mainstream technical hiring market.

This seniority distribution gap represents the most fundamental distinction between general market patterns and premium senior engineering recruitment. While the broader market processes thousands of positions for entry through mid-career professionals, specialized services targeting senior engineering leadership address a dramatically smaller but strategically critical talent segment. The divergent competitive dynamics, with junior positions facing intense application volumes and senior positions confronting candidate scarcity, demonstrate why different recruitment approaches suit different market layers.

4.5. Work Arrangement and Geographic Context Analysis

The distribution of work arrangements provides additional context about general market patterns while highlighting another dimension where the dataset diverges from premium segment characteristics. Table 5 presents work arrangement distribution with consideration for how patterns may differ between the represented Indian markets and the United States geography where Talent by Blind operates.

Table 5. Work arrangement distribution in Indian market context

Work Arrangement	All Roles	%	Technical Roles	% Tech	Avg Apps (All)	Avg Apps (Tech)	US Premium Segment Comparison
Remote	2,999	37.8%	1,923	40.9%	108	102	Likely Higher in US Premium Segment
On-Site	3,258	41.1%	1,847	39.3%	91	87	Likely Lower in US Premium Segment
Hybrid	1,479	18.7%	834	17.7%	95	92	Likely Similar
Not Specified	191	2.4%	95	2.0%	82	79	N/A
Total	**7,927**	**100%**	**4,699**	**100%**	**98**	**93**	**Different Market Context**

On-site positions comprise 41.1% of general market postings, representing the single largest work arrangement category. This prevalence of traditional office-based work reflects patterns within Indian technology markets where established metropolitan areas with high concentrations of technology companies and professionals support on-site arrangements. The premium senior engineering segment in United States markets likely shows different work arrangement distribution, potentially with greater remote flexibility given the geographic dispersion of top technical talent and competitive pressures to offer location flexibility to senior candidates.

Remote positions at 37.8% represent substantial but not majority adoption in the represented general market. These positions attract the highest average application volumes at 108 applications overall and 102 for technical roles, indicating strong candidate preference for location flexibility. The premium senior engineering segment likely demonstrates even stronger remote work emphasis, as senior technical professionals possess greater leverage to demand location flexibility and innovative technology companies more readily accommodate remote arrangements to access geographically dispersed senior talent.

The Indian market geographic concentration within the dataset differs fundamentally from the United States focus of Talent by Blind. Work arrangement patterns, commuting expectations, real estate costs, and labor market norms vary substantially between these geographic contexts. The on-site prevalence observed in Indian metropolitan areas with concentrated technology ecosystems may not characterize United States markets where technical talent distributes more broadly across cities and regions. Premium senior engineering recruitment in United States geography likely navigates different work arrangement dynamics than those captured in this dataset.

Application volume patterns showing higher competition for remote positions hold analytical value as potential indicators of candidate preferences that may generalize across geographic markets. Senior technical professionals likely demonstrate similar preferences for location flexibility regardless of specific geography, though the premium segment's greater candidate

leverage may translate these preferences into different actual work arrangement distributions compared to general market patterns.

4.6. Strategic Implications of Market Segmentation

The contrast between general market patterns and premium senior engineering characteristics reveals several critical insights about technical talent market segmentation. The general LinkedIn dataset demonstrates where mainstream hiring volume concentrates across positions, seniority levels, specialisations, and organisational contexts. This baseline establishes that the vast majority of technical hiring activity addresses junior through mid-career positions across diverse company types and industries. The premium senior engineering segment that Talent by Blind serves represents a small, specialized layer requiring fundamentally different recruitment approaches.

The dramatic seniority distribution gap, with general market emphasis on mid-career positions versus premium segment focus on senior and staff-level engineers, illustrates distinct competitive dynamics across market layers. Organisations competing in the general market navigate candidate abundance at junior levels and intense competition for the large volume of mid-career positions. Organisations targeting senior engineering leadership confront candidate scarcity and leverage dynamics where qualified professionals exercise selectivity about opportunities. These divergent dynamics necessitate different recruitment strategies, value propositions, and competitive positioning.

The technology and specialization patterns showing Java and testing automation dominating general market volume versus stronger emphasis on modern languages, machine learning, and cloud-native architectures in premium segments suggest that leading-edge technology adoption concentrates in innovative companies where senior engineering recruitment focuses. General market hiring patterns may lag technology trends compared to cutting-edge environments, creating distinctions in what technical expertise different market segments prioritize.

The geographic divergence between dataset concentration in Indian markets and premium segment focus on United States opportunities prevents direct comparison of specific patterns. However, the analytical framework of examining general market context to understand specialized segment positioning applies across geographic contexts. Premium senior engineering recruitment operates as a specialized segment within any geographic market where it exists, addressing talent scarcity at senior levels through targeted approaches that differ from mainstream hiring channels.

The limited representation of director and executive positions in general market data at less than one percent of volume underscores why specialized recruitment services focusing on senior technical leadership address market needs that traditional job boards inadequately serve. Public job postings capture mainstream hiring activity but miss the specialized channels through which senior technical talent typically moves between opportunities. This gap

highlights that different segments of the technical labor market operate through fundamentally different recruitment mechanisms.

5. DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

5.1. Discussion

The analysis of 7,927 LinkedIn job postings reveals fundamental patterns in technical talent market segmentation that illuminate how organisations navigate the dual challenges of filling mainstream technical positions while simultaneously competing for scarce senior engineering leadership. These findings provide empirical support for applying organisational ambidexterity theory to understand contemporary talent acquisition strategies, demonstrating that organisations must maintain distinct recruitment approaches for different market segments to achieve both operational efficiency and strategic innovation capabilities.

5.1.1. Addressing Research Question One: Distribution of Hiring Demand in the General Market

The first research question examined how hiring demand distributes across technical specialisations, seniority levels, work arrangements, and geographic markets within the general LinkedIn ecosystem. The findings establish that mainstream technical recruitment concentrates heavily in mid-career positions requiring three to seven years of experience, with mid-senior roles representing 49.7% of all postings and 56.4% of technical positions specifically. This concentration reflects what March (1991) conceptualized as exploitation activities, where organisations refine existing processes and maximise efficiency through established recruitment channels targeting readily accessible talent pools.

The dominance of Java development at 11.3% of total postings, along with substantial representation of quality assurance and testing automation roles at 9.2%, indicates that general market hiring emphasises established technology stacks and traditional organisational structures separating development from testing functions. These patterns align with Wright and McMahan's (2011) observation that many organisations approach talent acquisition as an operational necessity rather than a strategic capability, focusing recruitment efforts on filling immediate needs through conventional channels rather than pursuing transformational talent that might drive innovation.

The geographic concentration within Indian technology markets, particularly the prominence of Bengaluru with 17% of postings, demonstrates how technical hiring clusters within established software development centers that offer dense talent pools and supporting ecosystems. This concentration enables the exploitation-oriented recruitment activities that dominate general market patterns, as organisations can efficiently source candidates through traditional means when operating within markets characterized by abundant technical professionals at mid-career levels.

Work arrangement distribution showing 41.1% on-site positions alongside 37.8% remote opportunities reflects an ongoing transition in how technical work is structured geographically. The higher average application volumes for remote positions at 108 applications compared to 91 for on-site roles suggests candidate preferences increasingly favor location flexibility, creating competitive pressure for organisations to accommodate distributed work arrangements even within markets traditionally characterized by concentrated on-site employment.

5.1.2. Addressing Research Question Two: Gaps Between General and Premium Segments

The second research question investigated substantive gaps between general hiring patterns and the premium senior engineering segment that Talent by Blind serves. The findings reveal that the most critical divergence manifests in seniority distribution, where director and executive technical positions collectively represent less than one percent of general market postings despite constituting the primary focus of premium segment recruitment. This dramatic gap illustrates what Doeringer and Piore (1971) identified as dual labor market segmentation, where senior technical leadership positions function within closed internal markets characterized by relationship-based recruitment rather than open competitive hiring through public job postings.

The scarcity of senior positions in general market data combined with substantially lower application volumes for director roles at 73 applications and executive positions at 62 applications compared to 145 applications for associate roles demonstrates fundamentally different competitive dynamics across market segments. While junior candidates face intense competition for limited entry points into technical careers, organisations seeking senior engineering leadership confront candidate scarcity and leverage dynamics where qualified professionals exercise substantial selectivity. This pattern validates the specialized recruitment approaches that services like Talent by Blind employ, as traditional job posting channels demonstrably fail to capture the market segment where senior technical talent evaluates opportunities.

The technology and specialization patterns showing Java ecosystem dominance in general market hiring versus the emphasis on modern languages, cloud-native architectures, and machine learning capabilities within premium segments reflects what O'Reilly III and Tushman (2013) describe as the distinction between exploiting established capabilities and exploring emerging technologies. Organisations competing at the leading edge of technical innovation require senior engineering talent with expertise in technologies that may not yet generate substantial mainstream hiring volume, creating misalignment between what general market data reveals and what premium segment recruitment actually demands.

The geographic divergence between the dataset's Indian market concentration and Talent by Blind's United States focus prevents direct comparison of specific market dynamics. However, this geographic gap itself illustrates market segmentation principles, as premium senior engineering recruitment operates within technology innovation centers where cutting-edge companies cluster, often differing geographically from locations where mainstream technical

services delivery concentrates. The analytical framework of contrasting general market patterns against specialized segment characteristics applies across geographic contexts, though the specific manifestations of segmentation may vary.

Company type patterns showing substantial representation of IT services firms and traditional enterprises in general market hiring contrast with the premium segment emphasis on technology product companies and innovative startups. This divergence reflects Collings et al.'s (2019) finding that organisations pursuing different strategic objectives require fundamentally different talent profiles, with implications for both the types of candidates they seek and the recruitment channels through which they compete. The specialized recruitment model that Talent by Blind employs specifically targets organisations building innovative technology products rather than delivering technology services, creating alignment between recruitment approach and organisational strategic context.

5.1.3. Addressing Research Question Three: Strategic Implications

The third research question examined strategic implications arising from technical talent market segmentation for both organisations and professionals. The findings demonstrate that organisations must develop ambidextrous talent acquisition capabilities to compete effectively across different market segments, implementing efficient mainstream recruitment processes while simultaneously maintaining specialized approaches for accessing senior engineering leadership. This dual capability aligns with Ahammad et al.'s (2019) framework showing that high-performance human resource systems enable organisations to excel at both exploitation and exploration activities.

For organisations competing primarily in mainstream technical hiring segments, the concentration of mid-career opportunities and intense competition at junior levels necessitates strong employer branding, efficient candidate processing, and compelling development opportunities to differentiate among numerous similar positions. The dominance of established technology stacks in general market hiring suggests that organisations following technology trends rather than leading them can successfully compete through traditional recruitment channels, focusing on operational excellence in candidate sourcing, evaluation, and conversion.

Organisations seeking to compete for senior engineering talent face fundamentally different strategic challenges that traditional recruitment approaches inadequately address. The scarcity of senior candidates in public job posting channels, combined with the closed network dynamics characterizing senior technical hiring, requires proactive relationship development, reputation management within technical communities, and value propositions emphasizing technical challenges, organisational impact, and career growth opportunities beyond compensation alone. These specialized recruitment requirements justify the dedicated resources and differentiated approaches that premium segment services provide, as the strategic value of senior technical leadership substantially exceeds the investment required to access this talent through specialized channels.

The technology specialization gaps between general market patterns and premium segment characteristics create strategic positioning choices for technical professionals navigating career development. Professionals developing expertise in established technologies that generate substantial mainstream hiring volume may find abundant opportunities but face intense competition and limited differentiation potential. Professionals investing in emerging technologies, cloud-native architectures, machine learning capabilities, and modern engineering practices position themselves for the premium segment where candidate scarcity creates leverage and compensation premiums despite lower absolute opportunity volumes.

The work arrangement patterns showing candidate preference for remote flexibility, evidenced by higher application volumes, suggest that organisations competing for technical talent increasingly face pressure to accommodate distributed work regardless of traditional geographic concentration patterns. This evolution reflects broader digital transformation trends that Paramita et al. (2024) and Zhang (2024) identify as reshaping talent acquisition, where technology enables geographic dispersion while simultaneously increasing competition as organisations can now recruit beyond traditional local markets.

5.1.4. Theoretical Contributions and Extensions

This research extends organisational ambidexterity theory into the specific domain of technical talent acquisition, demonstrating how the exploitation-exploration framework explains recruitment market segmentation. The findings validate March's (1991) fundamental insight that organisations must balance efficiency in established activities with innovation in emerging domains, showing that this principle applies to talent acquisition as distinctly as to product development or business model innovation.

The research contributes to talent acquisition literature by empirically documenting market segmentation patterns that practitioners recognise but academic research has inadequately captured. The dramatic seniority distribution gap, technology specialization differences, and geographic concentration patterns establish that technical hiring operates across multiple distinct segments with different competitive dynamics, candidate characteristics, and appropriate recruitment approaches. This segmentation perspective challenges simplistic treatments of technical hiring as a homogeneous market, highlighting the importance of context-specific recruitment strategies.

The integration of organisational ambidexterity theory with labor market segmentation frameworks creates a unified analytical lens for understanding how organisations develop differentiated recruitment capabilities. While dual labor market theory established that internal and external markets function differently, this research shows how organisations deliberately construct ambidextrous recruitment systems that exploit traditional channels for mainstream hiring while exploring specialized approaches for accessing premium talent segments. This theoretical integration provides conceptual clarity for understanding recruitment strategy as requiring both operational excellence and innovative capability development.

The findings contribute to digital transformation literature by demonstrating how technology platforms like LinkedIn capture certain market segments while systematically missing others. The concentration of general market hiring activity on public job posting platforms contrasts sharply with the private network dynamics characterizing senior technical recruitment, illustrating that digital transformation produces heterogeneous effects across different talent markets. This nuanced understanding challenges assumptions that technology platforms comprehensively capture market activity, highlighting persistent limitations even in extensively digitized domains.

5.1.5. Summary of Theoretical Contributions

The present study advances the extant literature through the following theoretical contributions, which are enumerated below for clarity:

- Extension of organisational ambidexterity theory to the domain of strategic talent acquisition: The study demonstrates, through empirical analysis of large-scale job posting data, that the exploitation–exploration dichotomy—previously applied primarily to product development, business model innovation, and organisational learning (March, 1991; O'Reilly III and Tushman, 2013)—provides a theoretically coherent and empirically productive framework for understanding differentiated recruitment strategy across market segments.
- Integration of dual labor market theory with ambidexterity: Whereas Doeringer and Piore (1971) established that internal and external labor markets operate under distinct rules, the present research extends this segmentation logic by showing that organisations deliberately construct ambidextrous recruitment architectures that simultaneously exploit high-volume public channels for mainstream positions and explore private network-based channels for senior talent, thereby bridging the labor economics and strategic management literatures.
- Empirical documentation of technical talent market segmentation: The study provides the first large-scale descriptive and inferential analysis of seniority-level, specialization-domain, and work-arrangement distributions within a major emerging-market LinkedIn dataset, generating quantitative evidence for market stratification patterns that prior research has largely described in qualitative or practitioner-oriented terms.

5.1.6. Limitations and Boundary Conditions

Several important limitations constrain the generalizability and interpretation of these findings. The geographic concentration within Indian technology markets means observed patterns reflect hiring dynamics within this specific regional context rather than globally representative technical recruitment patterns. Organisations, compensation structures, candidate expectations, and market conditions differ substantially across geographic regions, limiting direct transferability of specific findings to other contexts. The analytical framework of examining general market patterns to understand specialized segment positioning applies across geographies, but the particular manifestations of market segmentation likely vary considerably.

It is important to note, with respect to geographic representativeness, that the dataset is overwhelmingly concentrated in Indian metropolitan technology centers specifically Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Chennai, Mumbai, and Pune and therefore does not represent global technical hiring dynamics. Compensation structures, seniority norms, skill demand profiles, and talent supply conditions in the Indian labor market differ substantially from those in the United States, the European Union, or East Asian technology ecosystems. Accordingly, the quantitative distributional findings should not be generalized beyond the Indian LinkedIn recruitment context without replication in other geographic settings. This limitation is particularly salient with respect to the premium senior engineering segment, which operates predominantly in the U.S. technology market and therefore lies largely outside the empirical scope of the present dataset.

Notwithstanding the value of the cross-sectional design for establishing a market baseline, it is recognised that cross-sectional data preclude causal inference and cannot capture how seniority distributions, specialization demands, or work-arrangement preferences evolve over time. Economic cycles, technology adoption waves, and post-pandemic workforce restructuring may have materially altered the patterns observed during the October–December 2024 data collection window. Longitudinal replication would be necessary to assess the temporal stability of the segmentation patterns reported herein.

Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge the inherent reliability limitations of LinkedIn job posting data as a research instrument. LinkedIn's seniority classification system is self-reported by employers and is neither standardised nor externally validated; consequently, misclassification of seniority levels by posting organisations introduces measurement error that may attenuate the observed associations between seniority and other variables. Additionally, the platform's algorithmic ranking and visibility mechanisms mean that the postings accessible through keyword searches are not a random sample of all active positions; systematic visibility biases may favor larger organisations or postings with premium sponsorship. Researchers employing LinkedIn data are advised to treat observed distributions as reflecting "advertised" patterns rather than comprehensive market patterns, given the systematic exclusion of positions filled through internal mobility, executive search, referral networks, and specialized recruitment services such as Talent by Blind.

The dataset derives exclusively from positions organisations chose to advertise publicly through LinkedIn, systematically excluding recruitment through internal mobility, employee referrals, executive search firms, and specialized services. This selection effect means the data captures certain recruitment channels while missing others that may be particularly important for senior positions. The premium senior engineering segment that forms the comparative reference point for this analysis likely utilises public job postings less frequently than other segments, creating systematic divergence between what the data reveals and how senior recruitment actually functions.

The cross-sectional nature of the data provides a snapshot of hiring patterns at a particular moment rather than longitudinal insight into how markets evolve over time. Technical specialization demands, work arrangement preferences, and seniority distribution patterns may shift with economic cycles, technology trends, and competitive dynamics. The findings should be understood as characterizing patterns during the data collection period rather than permanent market structures, though the fundamental market segmentation principles likely persist even as specific manifestations change.

The substantial portion of positions lacking explicit seniority classification at 39% of the dataset limits definitive conclusions about complete market distribution across experience levels. The patterns among classified positions clearly demonstrate mid-career concentration and senior position scarcity, but the unclassified positions introduce uncertainty about whether observed patterns fully represent the complete market. The analysis focuses on positions with clear seniority indicators while acknowledging this limitation.

5.1.7. Future Research Directions

The findings suggest several valuable directions for extending understanding of technical talent market segmentation and ambidextrous recruitment strategies. Longitudinal research tracking how market segmentation patterns evolve over time would illuminate whether the observed concentration in mid-career positions and scarcity of senior opportunities represents stable market structure or temporal patterns responsive to economic cycles and technology trends. Understanding market dynamics rather than static distributions would inform strategic workforce planning and career development recommendations.

Comparative research examining technical talent markets across different geographic contexts would establish whether the market segmentation principles identified here generalize across regions or manifest differently depending on local market characteristics, regulatory environments, and technology ecosystem maturity. Understanding geographic variation in how premium and mainstream segments differ would refine theoretical frameworks while providing practical guidance for organisations operating across multiple markets.

Research directly examining recruitment processes and outcomes within the premium senior engineering segment would address limitations inherent in analyzing this specialized market through general market data. Investigating how senior technical professionals evaluate opportunities, what factors drive their decisions to engage with recruiters, and how organisations successfully compete for this talent would generate insights that the current analysis can only indirectly infer from observed gaps between market segments.

Investigation of how organisational characteristics, strategic objectives, and competitive positioning influence whether companies compete primarily in mainstream or premium technical talent segments would extend understanding of the antecedents of ambidextrous recruitment approaches. Understanding what factors determine whether oorganisations invest

in specialized recruitment capabilities versus focusing on mainstream channels would inform strategic human resource management theory and practice.

Research examining the effectiveness of different recruitment approaches across market segments, including comparative analysis of traditional job postings, employee referrals, executive search, and specialized services like Talent by Blind, would establish which methods generate superior outcomes for different organisational contexts and position types. Understanding recruitment channel effectiveness would guide resource allocation decisions and recruitment strategy development.

5.2. Conclusion

This research establishes that technical talent markets operate across fundamentally distinct segments characterized by different candidate profiles, competitive dynamics, and recruitment approaches. The analysis of 7,927 LinkedIn job postings demonstrates that mainstream technical hiring concentrates heavily in mid-career positions within established technology specialisations, representing what organisational ambidexterity theory conceptualizes as exploitation activities where organisations refine efficient processes for accessing readily available talent. In sharp contrast, the premium senior engineering segment that specialized recruitment services address involves exploration activities targeting scarce technical leadership through relationship-based private networks rather than public job postings.

The dramatic seniority distribution gap represents the most critical finding, with mid-senior positions dominating general market activity at nearly 50% of postings while director and executive technical roles collectively account for less than one percent of public job board volume. This divergence demonstrates that organisations seeking senior engineering leadership must develop specialized recruitment capabilities fundamentally different from mainstream hiring approaches, as traditional channels systematically fail to capture the market segment where senior technical talent evaluates opportunities. The substantially lower application volumes for senior positions compared to intense competition at junior and mid-career levels further illustrate divergent competitive dynamics requiring different strategic responses.

Technology specialization patterns showing Java development and quality assurance testing dominating general market volume while premium segments emphasise modern languages, cloud architectures, and machine learning capabilities reflect how leading-edge technology adoption concentrates in innovative organisations competing for senior engineering talent. This technology gap reinforces market segmentation dynamics, as organisations at different stages of technical maturity compete in different talent segments with different candidate requirements and recruitment approaches.

The theoretical contribution of this research lies in demonstrating how organisational ambidexterity theory effectively explains technical talent market segmentation and recruitment strategy differentiation. Organisations must maintain dual capabilities that exploit efficient

mainstream hiring processes while exploring specialized approaches for accessing premium talent segments. This ambidextrous recruitment model enables organisations to fill operational technical needs efficiently through traditional channels while simultaneously competing for strategic senior engineering leadership that drives innovation and competitive advantage.

For practitioners, these findings validate the strategic importance of developing differentiated recruitment approaches tailored to specific market segments rather than applying uniform strategies across all technical hiring. Organisations competing primarily in mainstream segments should focus on operational excellence, strong employer branding, and compelling development opportunities to differentiate within competitive markets for mid-career talent. Organisations seeking senior engineering leadership must invest in specialized capabilities including relationship development within technical communities, targeted networking, reputation management among senior professionals, and compelling value propositions that extend beyond compensation to emphasise technical challenges, organisational impact, and career growth opportunities.

For technical professionals, the findings illuminate how career positioning choices influence opportunity access and competitive dynamics. Professionals developing expertise in emerging technologies, modern architectures, and specialized capabilities position themselves within premium segments characterized by candidate scarcity and substantial leverage despite lower absolute opportunity volumes. Professionals focusing on established technologies and traditional skill sets face abundant opportunities but intense competition requiring differentiation through experience, domain expertise, or specialized knowledge that distinguishes them within crowded candidate pools.

The research demonstrates that effective talent acquisition in contemporary technical markets requires sophisticated understanding of market segmentation, ambidextrous organisational capabilities, and strategic alignment between recruitment approaches and organisational objectives. Organisations that recognise these dynamics and develop appropriate differentiated capabilities will compete more effectively for technical talent across multiple market segments, while those applying uniform approaches will likely underperform in either mainstream hiring efficiency or premium segment access. As technology continues transforming industries and senior engineering leadership becomes increasingly critical to competitive advantage, the ability to navigate technical talent market segmentation through ambidextrous recruitment strategies represents a fundamental organisational capability with substantial strategic implications.

References

Ahammad, M. F., Glaister, K. W., & Junni, P. (2019). Organizational ambidexterity and human resource practices. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 30*(4), 503-507. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1538651

Bidwell, M., & Keller, J. R. (2014). Within or without? How firms combine internal and external labor markets to fill jobs. *Academy of Management Journal, 57*(4), 1035-1055. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2012.0119

Bone, M., Ehlinger, E., & Stephany, F. (2024). *Skills or degree? The rise of skill-based hiring for AI and green jobs*. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.11942

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (Second edition). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Collings, D. G., Mellahi, K., & Cascio, W. F. (2019). Global talent management and performance in multinational enterprises: A multilevel perspective. *Journal of Management, 45*(2), 540-566. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206318757018

Doeringer, P. B., & Piore, M. J. (1971). *Internal labor markets and manpower analysis*. D.C. Heath and Company.

Gibson, C. B., & Birkinshaw, J. (2004). The antecedents, consequences, and mediating role of organizational ambidexterity. *Academy of Management Journal, 47*(2), 209-226. https://doi.org/10.5465/20159573

Hamblin, R., Plimmer, G., Badar, K., & Lasthuizen, K. (2024). Organizational ambidexterity: a bibliometric review and framework for future public administration research. *Public Performance & Management Review, 47*(5), 1073-1109. https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2024.2373178

Johar, E., & Singh, M. (2023). Evolving an integrated framework for ambidextrous HRM: A special case of platform-based gig work. *IIMB Management Review, 35*(4), 393-404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2023.10.004

Josh Bersin. (2024). *Josh Bersin's predictions for 2024*. https://joshbersin.com/josh-bersins-predictions-for-2024/ [Accessed Date: 02 December 2025].

March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science, 2*(1), 71-87. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71

McKinsey & Company. (2024). *The shape of talent in 2023 and 2024*. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-shape-of-talent-in-2023-and-2024 [Accessed Date: 02 December 2025].

O'Reilly III, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. *Academy of Management Perspectives, 27*(4), 324-338. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0025

Paramita, D., Okwir, S., & Nuur, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence in talent acquisition: exploring organisational and operational dimensions. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 32*(11), 108-131. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-09-2023-3992

Raisch, S., & Birkinshaw, J. (2008). Organizational ambidexterity: Antecedents, outcomes, and moderators. *Journal of Management, 34*(3), 375-409. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308316058

Riggins, J. (2023). *Rethinking your engineer hiring strategy in 2024*. LeadDev. https://leaddev.com/management/rethinking-your-engineer-hiring-strategy-2024 [Accessed Date: 02 December 2025].

Rukadikar, A., Khandelwal, K., & Warrier, U. (2025). Reimagining recruitment: Traditional methods meet AI interventions-A 20-year assessment (2003-2023). *Cogent Business & Management, 12*(1), 2454319. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2454319

Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). (2025). *2025 talent trends: Recruiting*. https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/research/2025-talent-trends/recruiting [Accessed Date: 02 December 2025].

Strohmeier, S., & Piazza, F. (2015). Artificial intelligence techniques in human resource management-A conceptual exploration. In C. Kahraman, & S. Ç. Onar (Eds.), *Intelligent techniques in engineering management: Theory and applications* (pp. 149-172). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17906-3_7

Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 15-42. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619867910

Wang, C., Zhang, M., & Ma, H. (2024). The more ambidexterity the better? The moderating effect of organizational learning between high-performance HR practices and organizational performance. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1283637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1283637

Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (2011). Exploring human capital: Putting 'human'back into strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Journal, 21*(2), 93-104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2010.00165.x

Zhang, Y. (2024). Digital transformation in talent acquisition: An investigation into the integration of big data analytics and management information systems for optimal hiring strategies. *Journal of Internet Services and Information Security (JISIS), 14*(4), 104-120. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2024.I4.006

Declaration of Contribution Rate: The entire study has been prepared only by the responsible author.
Declaration of Support and Appreciation: The research did not receive any support from any institution or organisation.
Declaration of Conflict: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

In this study, the rules stated in the **"Higher Education Institutions Scientific Research and Publication Ethics Directive"** were followed.

This article has been screened with **similarity** detection software.