



Received: 26.04.2018  
Published: 07.10.2018

Year: 2018, Number: 25, Pages: 16-28  
Original Article

## Generalized Topological Notions by Operators

Ismail Ibedou <iibedou@jazanu.edu.sa>

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha, Egypt*

**Abstract** — In this paper, it is introduced the notion of  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  separation axioms related to a fuzzy operator  $\beta$  on the initial set  $X$  which is a generalization of previous fuzzy separation axioms. An  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connectedness related to a fuzzy operator  $\alpha$  on the set  $X$  is introduced which is a generalization of many types of  $r$ -fuzzy connectedness. An  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -compactness related to a fuzzy operator  $\alpha$  on the set  $X$  is introduced which is a generalization of many types of fuzzy compactness.

**Keywords** — *Fuzzy operators, fuzzy separation axioms, fuzzy compactness, fuzzy connectedness.*

## 1 Introduction

It is a way to use fuzzy operators  $\alpha, \beta$  on the initial set  $X$  and to use fuzzy operators  $\theta, \delta$  on the set  $Y$  giving generalizations of many notions and results in fuzzy topological spaces.  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  separation axioms of the set  $X$  is a new type of fuzzy separation axioms related with a fuzzy operator  $\beta$  on  $X$ . It is proved that the image of  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , and also the preimage of  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connectedness is introduced related with the fuzzy operator  $\alpha$  on  $X$  giving a generalization of many of fuzzy connectedness notions. It is proved that the image of  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -connected, and some particular cases are included.  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -compactness is introduced using the fuzzy operator  $\alpha$  on  $X$  giving a generalization of many of fuzzy compactness notions. It is proved that the image of  $r$ -fuzzy  $r$ -fuzzy compact is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -compact, and many special cases are deduced.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper,  $X$  refers to an initial universe,  $I^X$  is the set of all fuzzy sets on  $X$  (where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda^c(x) = 1 - \lambda(x) \forall x \in X$  and for all  $t \in I$ ,  $\bar{t}(x) = t \forall x \in X$ ).

$(X, \tau)$  is a fuzzy topological space ([14]), if  $\tau : I^X \rightarrow I$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (O1)  $\tau(\bar{0}) = \tau(\bar{1}) = 1$ ,
- (O2)  $\tau(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \geq \tau(\lambda_1) \wedge \tau(\lambda_2)$  for all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$ ,
- (O3)  $\tau(\bigvee_{j \in J} \lambda_j) \geq \bigwedge_{j \in J} \tau(\lambda_j)$  for all  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq I^X$ .

By the concept of a fuzzy operator on a set  $X$  is meant a map  $\gamma : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$ . Assume with respect to a fuzzy topology in Šostak sense defined on  $X$ , we have

$$\text{int}_\tau(\mu, r) \leq \gamma(\mu, r) \leq \text{cl}_\tau(\mu, r) \quad \forall \mu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0,$$

where  $\text{int}_\tau, \text{cl}_\tau : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$  are defined in Šostak sense for any  $\mu \in I^X$  and each grade  $r \in I_0$  as follows:

$$\text{int}_\tau(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \eta \in I^X : \eta \leq \mu, \tau(\eta) \geq r \}$$

and

$$\text{cl}_\tau(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \eta \in I^X : \eta \geq \mu, \tau(\eta^c) \geq r \}$$

Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are fuzzy operators on  $X$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\delta$  are fuzzy operators on  $Y$ , respectively. This type of maps  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is called an expansion on  $X$  or a fuzzy operator on  $(X, \tau_1)$ , and the map  $\theta$  or  $\delta$  is called an expansion on  $Y$  or a fuzzy operator on  $(Y, \tau_2)$  and let us fix that:

- (1)  $\beta$  is a fuzzy operator on  $X$  such that  $\beta(\mu, r) \leq \mu \quad \forall \mu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0$ .
- (2)  $\alpha$  is a fuzzy operator on  $X$  such that  $\alpha(\mu, r) \geq \mu \quad \forall \mu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0$ .

As a special case of fuzzy operators, by the identity fuzzy operator  $id_X$  on a set  $X$  we mean that  $id_X : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$  so that  $id_X(\nu, r) = \nu \quad \forall \nu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0$ .

Recall that a fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$  ([13]) is a map  $\mathcal{I} : I^X \rightarrow I$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\lambda) \geq \mathcal{I}(\mu)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda \vee \mu) \geq \mathcal{I}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{I}(\mu)$ .

Also,  $\mathcal{I}$  is called proper if  $\mathcal{I}(\bar{1}) = 0$  and there exists  $\mu \in I^X$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) > 0$ . Define the fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{I}^\circ$  by

$$\mathcal{I}^\circ(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{at } \mu = \bar{0}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us define the fuzzy difference between two fuzzy sets as follows:

$$(\lambda \bar{\wedge} \mu) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \lambda \leq \mu, \\ \lambda \wedge \mu^c & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1.** [4]

- (1) A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be fuzzy  $(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \delta, \mathcal{I})$ -continuous if for every  $\mu \in I^Y$ , any fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}[\alpha(f^{-1}(\delta(\mu, r)), r) \bar{\wedge} \beta(f^{-1}(\theta(\mu, r)), r)] \geq \tau_2(\mu); r \in I_0.$$

We can see that the above definition generalizes the concept of fuzzy continuity ([14]) when we choose  $\alpha =$  identity operator,  $\beta =$  interior operator,  $\delta =$  identity operator,  $\theta =$  identity operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ .

- (2) A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be fuzzy  $(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \delta, \mathcal{I}^*)$ -open if for every  $\lambda \in I^X$ , any fuzzy ideal  $\mathcal{I}^*$  on  $Y$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}^*[\theta(f(\beta(\lambda, r)), r) \bar{\wedge} \delta(f(\alpha(\lambda, r)), r)] \geq \tau(\lambda); r \in I_0.$$

We can see that the above definition generalizes the concept of fuzzy openness ([14]) when we choose  $\alpha =$  identity operator,  $\beta =$  interior operator,  $\delta =$  interior operator,  $\theta =$  identity operator and  $\mathcal{I}^* = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ .

### 3 $r$ -Fuzzy $\beta$ - $T_i$ Separation Axioms

Here, we introduce and study fuzzy separation axioms related with a fuzzy operator  $\beta$  on the initial set  $X$ .

**Definition 3.1.**

- (1) A set  $X$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_0$  if for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(x); t \in I_0$  such that  $t > \lambda(y)$  or there exists  $\mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$  with  $s \leq \beta(\mu, r)(y); s \in I_0$  such that  $s > \mu(x)$ .
- (2) A set  $X$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$  if for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(x), s \leq \beta(\mu, r)(y); t, s \in I_0$  such that  $t > \lambda(y), s > \mu(x)$ .
- (3) A set  $X$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$  if for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(x), s \leq \beta(\mu, r)(y); t, s \in I_0$  such that  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Every  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$  set  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.*  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2 \Rightarrow r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$ : Suppose that  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$  but it is not  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$ . Then, for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  and for all  $\lambda \in I^X$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(x), r \in I_0$ , suppose that  $\lambda(y) \geq t; t \in I_0$ . Now, for  $\mu \in I^X$  with  $s \leq \beta(\mu, r)(y) \leq \mu(y); s \in I_0$ , we get that

$$\sup(\lambda \wedge \mu) \geq (\lambda \wedge \mu)(y) \geq (t \wedge s),$$

which means a contradiction to  $X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$ . Hence,  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$ .

$r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1 \Rightarrow r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_0$ : Direct.

Recall that: a fuzzy operator  $\theta$  is finer than a fuzzy operator  $\beta$  on a set  $X$ , denoted by  $\beta \sqsubseteq \theta$ , if  $\beta(\nu, r) \leq \theta(\nu, r) \forall \nu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $X$  be an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $\theta$  a fuzzy operator on  $X$  finer than  $\beta$ . Then  $X$  is also  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ - $T_i$  space,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* For all the axioms  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ , the proof comes from that  $\beta(\nu, r) \leq \theta(\nu, r) \forall \nu \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0$ .

**Example 3.4.**

(1) Let  $X = \{x, y\}, r \in I_0$  and

$$\beta(\nu, r) = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{at } \nu = \bar{0}, \bar{1} \\ x_1 & \text{at } x_1 \leq \nu < \bar{1}, \\ \bar{0} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we get  $\lambda = x_1 \in I^X, t = \frac{1}{4} \in I_0$  with  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = x_1(x) = 1 \geq t$  and  $\lambda(y) = x_1(y) = 0 < t$ . Hence, the set  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_0$  set and it is neither  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$  nor  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$ .

(2) Let  $X = \{x, y\}, r \in I_0$  and

$$\beta(\nu, r) = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{at } \nu = \bar{0}, \bar{1} \\ x_1 & \text{at } x_1 \leq \nu < \bar{1}, \\ y_1 & \text{at } y_1 \leq \nu < \bar{1}, \\ \bar{0} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we get  $\lambda = y_1 \in I^X, t = \frac{1}{5} \in I_0$  with  $\beta(\lambda, r)(y) = y_1(y) = 1 \geq t$  and  $\lambda(x) = y_1(x) = 0 < t$ . Similarly, we get  $\mu = x_1 \in I^X, s = \frac{1}{3} \in I_0$  with  $\beta(\mu, r)(x) = x_1(x) = 1 \geq s$  and  $\mu(y) = x_1(y) = 0 < s$ . Hence, the set  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$  set.

For  $\lambda = x_1 \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mu = y_1 \vee x_{\frac{1}{2}} \in I^X, t, s > \frac{1}{2} \in I_0$ , we get that

$$\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = x_1(x) = 1 \geq t \text{ and } \beta(\mu, r)(y) = y_1(y) = 1 \geq s$$

such that

$$(t \wedge s) > \frac{1}{2} = \sup(x_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu).$$

Hence, the set  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$  set.

(3) Let  $X = \{x, y\}, r \in I_0$  and

$$\beta(\nu, r) = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{at } \nu = \bar{0}, \bar{1} \\ \frac{\bar{0}.2}{\bar{0}.2} & \text{at } \bar{0}.2 \leq \nu, \nu < x_1 \vee y_{0.2}, \nu < x_{0.2} \vee y_1, \\ x_1 \vee y_{0.2} & \text{at } x_1 \vee y_{0.2} \leq \nu < \bar{1}, \\ x_{0.2} \vee y_1 & \text{at } x_{0.2} \vee y_1 \leq \nu < \bar{1} \\ \bar{0} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, there exist  $\lambda = x_1 \vee y_{0.3}, \mu = x_{0.3} \vee y_1$  such that  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = 1 \geq t > 0.3 = \lambda(y)$  for  $t \in I_0$  and  $\beta(\mu, r)(y) = 1 \geq s > 0.3 = \mu(x)$  for  $s \in I_0$ , and then  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$  set.

Now, we study all possible fuzzy sets in  $I^X$ :

Then

- (a) For any  $\lambda = x_1 \vee y_p, \mu = x_1 \vee y_q, p, q \geq 0.2$ , we get that:  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = 1 \geq t, \beta(\mu, r)(y) = 0.2 \geq s; t, s \in I_0$  but  $(t \wedge s) \leq 0.2 \leq \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu), p, q \geq 0.2$ .
- (b) For any  $\lambda = x_p \vee y_1$  or  $x_1 \vee y_p, \mu = x_q \vee y_1$  or  $x_1 \vee y_q, p, q < 0.2$ , we get that:  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = \bar{0}(x) = 0 = \bar{0}(y) = \beta(\mu, r)(y)$ .
- (c) For any  $\lambda = x_p, \mu = x_q$  or  $\lambda = y_p, \mu = y_q$  or  $\lambda = x_p, \mu = y_q, p, q \in I$ , we get that:  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) = \bar{0}(x) = 0 = \bar{0}(y) = \beta(\mu, r)(y)$ .

Hence, for every  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  with  $\beta(\lambda, r)(x) \geq t$  and  $\beta(\mu, r)(y) \geq s; t, s \in I_0$ , we have  $(t \wedge s) \leq \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ , and thus  $X$  is not an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$  set.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective mapping. Assume that  $\delta$  is a fuzzy operator on  $Y$  such that

$$f^{-1}(\delta(\lambda, r)) \leq \beta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r) \quad \forall \lambda \in I^Y, \forall r \in I_0.$$

Then,  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_i$  implies that  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  implies that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_1$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in I^Y$  with  $t \leq \delta(\lambda, r)(f(x)); t \in I_0$  so that  $t > \lambda(f(y))$ , that is,

$$t \leq [f^{-1}(\delta(\lambda, r))](x) \leq [\beta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r)](x) \quad \text{and} \quad t > (f^{-1}(\lambda))(y),$$

which means that there exists  $\mu = f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^X$  with  $t \leq \beta(\mu, r)(x); t \in I_0$  so that  $t > \mu(y)$ . Hence,  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$ , and consequently  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_0$ .

Now, for  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  implies that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_2$ , then there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in I^Y$  with  $t \leq \delta(\lambda, r)(f(x)), s \leq \delta(\mu, r)(f(y)); s, t \in I_0$  so that  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ .

Since  $\sup(\lambda \wedge \mu) \geq \sup(f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge f^{-1}(\mu))$ , then  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge f^{-1}(\mu))$ . Also,

$$t \leq [f^{-1}(\delta(\lambda, r))](x) \leq [\beta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r)](x)$$

and

$$s \leq [f^{-1}(\delta(\mu, r))](y) \leq [\beta(f^{-1}(\mu), r)](y).$$

Hence, there exist  $\nu = f^{-1}(\lambda), \rho = f^{-1}(\mu) \in I^X$  with  $t \leq \beta(\nu, r)(x), s \leq \beta(\rho, r)(y); s, t \in I_0$  so that  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(\nu \wedge \rho)$ , and thus  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective mapping. Assume that  $\delta$  is a fuzzy operator on  $Y$  such that

$$f(\beta(\lambda, r)) \leq \delta(f(\lambda), r) \quad \forall \lambda \in I^X, \forall r \in I_0.$$

Then,  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i$  implies that  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \neq q$  in  $Y$  implies that  $x \neq y$  where  $x = f^{-1}(p), y = f^{-1}(q)$  in  $X$ , and  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_1$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in I^X$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(f^{-1}(p)); t \in I_0$  so that  $t > \lambda(f^{-1}(q))$ , that is,

$$t \leq [f(\beta(\lambda, r))](p) \leq [\delta(f(\lambda), r)](p) \quad \text{and} \quad t > (f(\lambda))(q),$$

which means that there exists  $\mu = f(\lambda) \in I^Y$  with  $t \leq \delta(\mu, r)(p); t \in I_0$  so that  $t > \mu(q)$ . Hence,  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_1$ , and consequently  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_0$ .

Now, for  $p \neq q$  in  $Y$  implies that  $f^{-1}(p) \neq f^{-1}(q)$  in  $X$  and  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_2$ , then there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  with  $t \leq \beta(\lambda, r)(f^{-1}(p)), s \leq \beta(\mu, r)(f^{-1}(q)); s, t \in I_0$  so that  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ .

Since  $\sup(\lambda \wedge \mu) \geq \sup(f(\lambda) \wedge f(\mu))$ , then  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(f(\lambda) \wedge f(\mu))$ . Also,

$$t \leq [f(\beta(\lambda, r))](p) \leq [\delta(f(\lambda), r)](p) \text{ and } s \leq [f(\beta(\mu, r))](q) \leq [\delta(f(\mu), r)](q).$$

Hence, there exist  $\nu = f(\lambda), \rho = f(\mu) \in I^Y$  with  $t \leq \delta(\nu, r)(p), s \leq \delta(\rho, r)(q); s, t \in I_0$  so that  $(t \wedge s) > \sup(\nu \wedge \rho)$ , and thus  $Y$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ - $T_2$ .

**Remark 3.7.**

- (1) For a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , by choosing  $\beta =$  fuzzy interior operator, you can deduce the equivalence between the graded fuzzy separation axioms  $(t, s)$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2; t, s \in I_0$  introduced in [5, 6] and the axioms  $r$ -fuzzy  $\beta$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ .
- (2) For two fuzzy topological spaces  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping, by choosing  $\beta =$  fuzzy interior operator, we get that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(t, s)$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2; t, s \in I_0$  whenever  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(t, s)$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2; t, s \in I_0$  and  $f$  is injective fuzzy continuous (when  $\delta =$  fuzzy interior operator in Proposition 3.5) as shown in [5]. This is equivalent to  $f$  is injective and  $\alpha =$  identity operator,  $\beta =$  interior operator,  $\delta =$  interior operator,  $\theta =$  identity operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$  in Definition 2.1 (1).
- (3) For two fuzzy topological spaces  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping, by choosing  $\delta =$  fuzzy interior operator, we get that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(t, s)$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2; t, s \in I_0$  whenever  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(t, s)$ - $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2; t, s \in I_0$  and  $f$  is surjective fuzzy open (when  $\beta =$  fuzzy interior operator in Proposition 3.6) as shown in [5]. This is equivalent to  $f$  is surjective and  $\alpha =$  identity operator,  $\beta =$  interior operator,  $\delta =$  interior operator,  $\theta =$  identity operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$  in Definition 2.1 (2).

## 4 $r$ -Fuzzy $\alpha$ -Connected Spaces

Here, we introduce the  $r$ -fuzzy connectedness of a space  $X$  relative to a fuzzy operator  $\alpha$ . Assume (with respect to any fuzzy topology  $\tau$  defined on  $X$ ) that:

$$\lambda \leq \alpha(\lambda, r) \leq \text{cl}_\tau(\lambda, r) \quad \forall \lambda \in I^X; r \in I_0.$$

Also, assume that  $\alpha$  is a monotone operator, that is,

$$\mu \leq \nu \text{ implies } \alpha(\mu, r) \leq \alpha(\nu, r) \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in I^X; r \in I_0.$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Then,

- (1) the fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  are called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets if

$$\alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \mu = \lambda \wedge \alpha(\mu, r) = \bar{0}; r \in I_0.$$

- (2)  $X$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected space if it could not be found  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ ,  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}, \mu \neq \bar{0}$  such that  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated and  $\lambda \vee \mu = \bar{1}$ . That is, there are no  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  except  $\lambda = \bar{0}$  or  $\mu = \bar{0}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ ,  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}, \mu \neq \bar{0}$  such that:

- (1)  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated and  $\lambda \vee \mu = \bar{1}$ . Then  $X$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -disconnected space.
- (2)  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated and  $\lambda \vee \mu = \nu$ . Then  $\nu$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -disconnected fuzzy set in  $I^X$ .
- (3)  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated and  $\lambda \vee \mu = \chi_A, A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -disconnected crisp set in  $I^X$ .

**Remark 4.3.** For a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$

- (1) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy closure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the  $r$ -fuzzy connectedness as given in [7].
- (2) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy preclosure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the  $r$ -fuzzy preconnectedness as given in [2].
- (3) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy strongly semi-closure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connectedness as given in [10].
- (4) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy semi-closure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the 1-type of  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connectedness as given in [10].
- (5) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy semi-preclosure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the  $r$ -fuzzy semi-preconnectedness as given in [2].
- (6) Taking  $\alpha =$  fuzzy strongly preclosure operator on  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have the  $r$ -fuzzy strongly preconnectedness as given in [2].

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $r \in I_0$ ,

$$\alpha(\nu, r) = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{at } \nu = \bar{0}, \bar{1} \\ x_1 & \text{at } \bar{0} < \nu \leq x_1, \\ y_1 & \text{at } \bar{0} < \nu \leq y_1, \\ \bar{1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Now, at  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}, \lambda \leq x_1, \mu \neq \bar{0}, \mu \leq y_1, r \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , then we have  $\alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \mu = x_1 \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$  and  $\alpha(\mu, r) \wedge \lambda = y_1 \wedge \lambda = \bar{0}$ , and thus  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets for  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}, \lambda \leq x_1, \mu \neq \bar{0}, \mu \leq y_1$ .

At  $\lambda = x_1$  and  $\mu = y_1$ , we get  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets with  $\bar{1} = \lambda \vee \mu$ . Hence,  $X$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -disconnected space.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

(2)  $\lambda \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ ,  $\tau(\lambda) \geq r, \tau(\mu) \geq r$ ;  $r \in I_0$ , and  $\bar{1} = \lambda \vee \mu$  imply  $\lambda = \bar{0}$  or  $\mu = \bar{0}$ .

(3)  $\lambda \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ ,  $\tau_c(\lambda) \geq r, \tau_c(\mu) \geq r$ ;  $r \in I_0$ , and  $\bar{1} = \lambda \vee \mu$  imply  $\lambda = \bar{0}$  or  $\mu = \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  with  $\tau(\lambda) \geq r, \tau(\mu) \geq r$ ;  $r \in I_0$  such that  $\lambda \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1} = \lambda \vee \mu$ . Then,  $\lambda = \mu^c$  and  $\mu = \lambda^c$ , and then

$$\bar{0} = \lambda \wedge \mu = \mu^c \wedge \lambda^c = \text{cl}_\tau(\mu^c, r) \wedge \lambda^c \geq \alpha(\mu^c, r) \wedge \lambda^c \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bar{0} = \lambda \wedge \mu = \mu^c \wedge \lambda^c = \mu^c \wedge \text{cl}_\tau(\lambda^c, r) \geq \mu^c \wedge \alpha(\lambda^c, r); \quad r \in I_0,$$

which means that  $\lambda^c, \mu^c$  are fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated so that  $\lambda^c \vee \mu^c = \mu \vee \lambda = \bar{1}$ . But  $(X, \tau)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected implies that  $\lambda^c = \bar{0}$  or  $\mu^c = \bar{0}$ , and thus  $\lambda = \bar{0}$  or  $\mu = \bar{0}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ ,  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}$ ,  $\mu \neq \bar{0}$  such that  $\lambda \vee \mu = \bar{1}$ . Taking  $\nu = \text{cl}_\tau(\lambda, r)$  and  $\rho = \text{cl}_\tau(\mu, r)$ ;  $r \in I_0$ , then  $\nu \vee \rho = \bar{1}$  and  $\tau_c(\nu) \geq r, \tau_c(\rho) \geq r$ ;  $r \in I_0$ .

Now, suppose that (3) is not satisfied. That is,  $\nu \neq \bar{0}$ ,  $\rho \neq \bar{0}$  and  $\nu \wedge \rho = \bar{0}$ . Then,

$$\alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \mu \leq \text{cl}_\tau(\lambda, r) \wedge \text{cl}_\tau(\mu, r) = \nu \wedge \rho = \bar{0} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha(\mu, r) \wedge \lambda \leq \text{cl}_\tau(\lambda, r) \wedge \text{cl}_\tau(\mu, r) = \nu \wedge \rho = \bar{0},$$

which means that  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets,  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}$ ,  $\mu \neq \bar{0}$  with  $\lambda \vee \mu = \bar{1}$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected space.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\lambda \in I^X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(1)  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

(2) If  $\mu, \rho$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets with  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \rho$ , then  $\lambda \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$  or  $\lambda \wedge \rho = \bar{0}$ .

(3) If  $\mu, \rho$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets with  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \rho$ , then  $\lambda \leq \mu$  or  $\lambda \leq \rho$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mu, \rho$  be  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated with  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \rho$ , that is,  $\alpha(\mu, r) \wedge \rho = \alpha(\rho, r) \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ ;  $r \in I_0$  so that  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \rho$ . Then, from that  $\alpha$  is a monotone fuzzy operator, we get that

$$\alpha((\lambda \wedge \mu), r) \wedge (\lambda \wedge \rho) \leq \alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \alpha((\mu, r) \wedge (\lambda \wedge \rho)) = (\alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \lambda) \wedge (\alpha((\mu, r) \wedge \rho)) = \lambda \wedge \bar{0} = \bar{0}$$

and

$$\alpha((\lambda \wedge \rho), r) \wedge (\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq (\alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \lambda) \wedge (\alpha(\rho, r) \wedge \mu) = \lambda \wedge \bar{0} = \bar{0}; \quad r \in I_0.$$

That is,  $\lambda \wedge \mu$  and  $\lambda \wedge \rho$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets so that  $\lambda = (\lambda \wedge \mu) \vee (\lambda \wedge \rho)$ . But  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected implies that  $(\lambda \wedge \mu) = \bar{0}$  or  $(\lambda \wedge \rho) = \bar{0}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): If  $\lambda \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ , then  $\lambda = \lambda \wedge (\mu \vee \rho) = \lambda \wedge \rho$ , and thus  $\lambda \leq \rho$ . Also, if  $\lambda \wedge \rho = \bar{0}$ , then  $\lambda = \lambda \wedge \mu$ , and then  $\lambda \leq \mu$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\mu, \rho$  be  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets such that  $\lambda = \mu \vee \rho$ . Then, from (3),  $\lambda \leq \mu$  or  $\lambda \leq \rho$ . If  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , then  $\rho = \lambda \wedge \rho \leq \mu \wedge \rho \leq \alpha(\mu, r) \wedge \rho = \bar{0}$ . Also, if  $\lambda \leq \rho$ , then  $\mu = \lambda \wedge \mu \leq \rho \wedge \mu \leq \alpha(\rho, r) \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.



**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\alpha(f^{-1}(\nu), r) \leq f^{-1}(\theta(\nu, r)) \quad \forall \nu \in I^Y, r \in I_0,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a fuzzy operator on  $X$  and  $\theta$  is a fuzzy operator on  $Y$ . Then,  $f(\lambda) \in I^Y$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -connected if  $\lambda \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu, \rho \in I^Y$ ,  $\mu \neq \bar{0}$ ,  $\rho \neq \bar{0}$  be  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -separated sets in  $I^Y$  with  $f(\lambda) = \mu \vee \rho$ . That is,  $\theta(\mu, r) \wedge \rho = \theta(\rho, r) \wedge \mu = \bar{0}$ ;  $r \in I_0$ . Then,  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \vee f^{-1}(\rho)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \wedge f^{-1}(\rho) &\leq f^{-1}(\theta(\mu, r)) \wedge f^{-1}(\rho) \\ &= f^{-1}(\theta(\mu, r) \wedge \rho) \\ &= f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f^{-1}(\rho), r) \wedge f^{-1}(\mu) &\leq f^{-1}(\theta(\rho, r)) \wedge f^{-1}(\mu) \\ &= f^{-1}(\theta(\rho, r) \wedge \mu) \\ &= f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\rho)$  are  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets in  $X$  so that  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \vee f^{-1}(\rho)$ . But  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected means, from (3) in Proposition 4.6, that  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\mu)$  or  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\rho)$ , which means that  $f(\lambda) \leq \mu$  or  $f(\lambda) \leq \rho$ . Thus, again from (3) in Proposition 4.6, we get that  $f(\lambda)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -connected.

**Corollary 4.8.** (Theorem 2.12 in [7]) Let  $(X, \tau_1), (Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a fuzzy continuous mapping and  $\lambda \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy connected in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is an  $r$ -fuzzy connected in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy closure operator and  $\theta =$  fuzzy closure operator. Then, the result follows from Theorem 4.7.

**Corollary 4.9.** (Theorems 2.12, 3.11 in [10]) Let  $(X, \tau_1), (Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be  $S$ -irresolute (resp. irresolute). If  $\lambda \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected (resp. 1-type of  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected) in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected (resp. 1-type of  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected) in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy strongly semi-closure (resp. semi-closure) operator and  $\theta =$  fuzzy strongly semi-closure (resp. semi-closure) operator. Then, the result follows from Theorem 4.7.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $(X, \tau_1), (Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy semi-pre-irresolute. If  $\lambda \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy semi-preconnected in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy semi-preconnected in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy semi-preclosure operator and  $\theta =$  fuzzy semi-preclosure operator. Then, the result follows from Theorem 4.7.

**Corollary 4.11.** (Theorem 5.10 in [2]) Let  $(X, \tau_1), (Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy strongly pre-irresolute (resp. pre-irresolute). If  $\lambda \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy s preconnected (resp. preconnected) in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy s preconnected (preconnected) in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy strongly preclosure (resp. preclosure) operator and  $\theta =$  fuzzy strongly preclosure (resp. preclosure) operator. Then, the result follows from Theorem 4.7.

**Corollary 4.12.** Let  $(X, \tau_1), (Y, \tau_2)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy semi-continuous (resp. precontinuous, strongly semi-continuous, strongly precontinuous and semi-precontinuous) mapping. If  $\lambda \in I^X$  is 1-type of  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected (resp.  $r$ -fuzzy preconnected,  $r$ -fuzzy strongly connected,  $r$ -fuzzy strongly preconnected and  $r$ -fuzzy semi-preconnected) in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy connected in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy semi-closure (resp. preclosure, strongly semi-closure, strongly preclosure and semi-preclosure) operator and  $\theta =$  fuzzy closure operator. Then, the result follows from Theorem 4.7.

**Proposition 4.13.** Any fuzzy point  $x_t, t \in I_0$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected, and consequently  $x_1 \forall x \in X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

*Proof.* Clear.

**Definition 4.14.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\lambda \in I^X$ . Then,  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -component if  $\lambda$  is maximal  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected set in  $X$ , that is, if  $\mu \geq \lambda$  and  $\mu$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected set, then  $\lambda = \mu$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** Let  $\lambda \neq \bar{0}$  be  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected in  $X$  and  $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \alpha(\lambda, r)$ ;  $r \in I_0$ . Then,  $\mu$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $\nu, \rho$  be  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -separated sets such that  $\mu = \nu \vee \rho$ . That is,  $\alpha(\nu, r) \wedge \rho = \alpha(\rho, r) \wedge \nu = \bar{0}$ ;  $r \in I_0$ . Since  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , then  $\lambda \leq (\nu \vee \rho)$ . From  $\lambda$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected, and from (3) in Proposition 4.6, we have  $\lambda \leq \nu$  or  $\lambda \leq \rho$ . If  $\lambda \leq \nu$ , then

$$\rho = \mu \wedge \rho \leq \alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \rho \leq \alpha(\nu, r) \wedge \rho = \bar{0}.$$

If  $\lambda \leq \rho$ , then

$$\nu = \mu \wedge \nu \leq \alpha(\lambda, r) \wedge \nu \leq \alpha(\rho, r) \wedge \nu = \bar{0}.$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -connected.

## 5 Fuzzy $\alpha$ -Compact Spaces

This section is devoted to introduce the notion of  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -compact spaces.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space,  $\alpha$  a fuzzy operator on  $X$ , and  $\mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$ . Then,  $\mu$  is called  $r$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -compact if for each family  $\{\lambda_j \in I^X : \tau(\lambda_j) \geq r, j \in J\}$  with  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{j \in J} \lambda_j$ , there exists a finite subset  $J_0 \subseteq J$  such that  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{j \in J_0} \alpha(\lambda_j, r)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** For a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :

- (1) if  $\alpha =$  fuzzy identity operator, we get the  $r$ -fuzzy compactness as given in [1].

- (2) if  $\alpha =$  fuzzy closure operator, we get the  $r$ -fuzzy almost compactness as given in [1].
- (3) if  $\alpha =$  fuzzy interior closure operator, we get the  $r$ -fuzzy near compactness as given in [1].
- (4) if  $\alpha =$  fuzzy semi-closure (resp. preclosure, strongly semi-closure, strongly preclosure and semi-preclosure) operator, we get the  $r$ -fuzzy semi-compactness (resp. precompactness, strongly semi-compactness, strongly precompactness and semi-precompactness [11]).

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces,  $\alpha$  a fuzzy operator on  $X$ ,  $\theta$  is a fuzzy operators on  $Y$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is fuzzy  $(\alpha, \text{int}_\tau, \theta, \text{id}_Y, \mathcal{I}^\circ)$ -continuous and  $\mu \in I^X$  is  $r$ -fuzzy compact in  $X$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -compact in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_j \in I^Y : \sigma(\lambda_j) \geq r, j \in J\}$  be a family with  $f(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{j \in J} \lambda_j$ . Since  $f$  is fuzzy  $(\alpha, \text{int}_\tau, \theta, \text{id}_Y, \mathcal{I}^\circ)$ -continuous, we get that there exists  $\mu_j = \text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(\theta(\lambda_j, r)), r) \in I^X$  with  $\tau(\mu_j) \geq r \forall j \in J$  such that

$$\alpha(f^{-1}(\lambda_j), r) \leq \mu_j \leq f^{-1}(\theta(\lambda_j, r)).$$

Also, since  $f^{-1}(\lambda_j) \leq \alpha(f^{-1}(\lambda_j), r)$ , then

$$f^{-1}(\lambda_j) \leq \mu_j \leq f^{-1}(\theta(\lambda_j, r)),$$

which means that

$$\mu \leq \bigvee_{j \in J} f^{-1}(\lambda_j) \leq \bigvee_{j \in J} (\mu_j) \leq f^{-1}(\bigvee_{j \in J} \theta(\lambda_j, r)),$$

that is,  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{j \in J} (\mu_j)$ . By  $r$ -fuzzy compactness of  $\mu$ , there exists a finite set  $J_0 \subseteq J$  such that  $\mu \leq \bigvee_{j \in J_0} (\mu_j)$ , and thus

$$f(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{j \in J_0} f(\mu_j) \leq \bigvee_{j \in J_0} \theta(\lambda_j, r),$$

and therefore  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -compact.

**Corollary 5.4.** ([11]) Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy continuous mapping and  $\mu \in I^X$  an  $r$ -fuzzy compact set in  $X$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy compact in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy identity operator on  $X$ ,  $\theta =$  fuzzy identity operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ , then the result follows from Theorem 5.3.

**Corollary 5.5.** ([11]) Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy weakly continuous mapping ([8]) and  $\mu \in I^X$  an  $r$ -fuzzy compact set in  $X$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy almost compact in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy identity operator on  $X$ ,  $\theta =$  fuzzy closure operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ , then the result follows from Theorem 5.3.

**Corollary 5.6.** ([11]) Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy almost continuous mapping ([9]) and  $\mu \in I^X$  an  $r$ -fuzzy compact set in  $X$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy nearly compact in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy identity operator on  $X$ ,  $\theta =$  fuzzy interior closure operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ , then the result follows from Theorem 5.3.

**Corollary 5.7.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy semi-continuous [12] (resp. precontinuous [8], strongly semi-continuous [3], strongly precontinuous [2] and semi-precontinuous [8]) mapping, and  $\mu \in I^X$  an  $r$ -fuzzy compact set in  $X$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is  $r$ -fuzzy semi-compact (resp. precompact, strongly semi-compact, strongly precompact and semi-precompact) in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha =$  fuzzy identity operator on  $X$ ,  $\theta =$  fuzzy semi-closure (resp. pre-closure, strongly semi-closure, strongly preclosure and semi-preclosure) operator and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^\circ$ , then the result follows from Theorem 5.3.

## Acknowledgement

The author thanks so much Prof. S.E. Abbas for his valuable discussions and support.

## References

- [1] S. E. Abbas, *Fuzzy super irresolute functions*, IJMMS 42 (2003) 2689 - 2700.
- [2] S. E. Abbas, *Fuzzy SP-irresolute functions*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 21 (2004) 1075 - 1085.
- [3] S. E. Abbas, *Fuzzy S-irresolute mappings*, Appl. Math. Comp. 155(2) (2004) 329 - 343.
- [4] S. E. Abbas and I. Ibedou, *Fuzzy  $(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \delta, \mathcal{I})$ -continuous functions*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics 25(3) (2017) 691–702.
- [5] F. Bayoumi and I. Ibedou,  *$T_i$ -spaces, I*, The Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society 10(2) (2002) 179 - 199.
- [6] Ismail Ibedou, *Graded fuzzy topological spaces*, Journal of Cogent Mathematics 3 (2016) 1 - 13.
- [7] Y. C. Kim and Y. S. Kim, *Connectedness in smooth fuzzy topological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci. 5(3) (2002) 165 - 180.
- [8] Y. C. Kim, A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, *Weaker forms of continuity on Šostak's fuzzy topology*, Indian J. of Pure and Applied Math. 34(2) (2003) 311 - 333.

- [9] Y. C. Kim, A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, *Some good dilutions of compactness in Šostak's fuzzy topology*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics 11(4) (2003) 933–944.
- [10] Y. C. Kim, A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, *Strongly connectedness in L-fuzzy topological spaces*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics 12(2) (2004) 479 - 491.
- [11] Y. C. Kim and S. E. Abbas, *On several types of r-fuzzy compactness*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics 12(4) (2004) 827–844.
- [12] A. A. Ramadan, S. E. Abbas and Y. C. Kim, *Fuzzy irresolute mappings in smooth fuzzy topological spaces*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics 9(4) (2001) 865-977.
- [13] A. A. Ramadan, Y. C. Kim and M. K. El-Gayyar, *Smooth ideals*, J. of Korean Institute of intelligent systems 12(1) (2002) 90 - 95.
- [14] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Suppl Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo Ser.II 11 (1985) 89 - 103.