

Symbolic Containment and Emotional Stasis in Ian McEwan's *The Cement Garden*

Mevl de ZENGİN¹

Abstract

This study examines the function of symbolism in Ian McEwan's *The Cement Garden* (1978), arguing that symbolic elements in the novel operate not merely as metaphoric representations but as mechanisms through which emotional repression, denial, and moral disintegration are enacted. While previous criticism has frequently identified key symbols such as the 'cement', the 'house', and the 'children's secrecy', this study shifts attention from symbolic meaning to symbolic process. It contends that McEwan's symbolism in the novel is dynamic rather than static, emphasizing acts of containment, sealing, and immobilization as central to the novel's psychological logic. The study employs a close textual analysis and detailed examination of key narrative episodes. Focusing on the materiality of domestic objects and substances, the study explores how cement functions as a symbolic agent that transforms grief into physical action, enabling the children to suspend emotional and social accountability. The act of encasing the mother's body is read, in the present study, not only as an attempt to hide death but as a refusal to allow mourning, change, or moral judgment to occur. This impulse toward containment extends beyond cement to include architectural space – house and the garden – and silence and secrecy, forming a network of symbols that collectively enforce emotional stasis. Therefore, the study argues that symbolism in *The Cement Garden* functions as an active strategy of emotional containment, through which repression, denial, and moral suspension are materially enacted.

Keywords: Ian McEwan, *The Cement Garden*, Symbolic Containment, Emotional Stasis

Ian McEwan'ın *Beton Bah e* Romanında Simgesel Sınırlanma ve Duygusal DuraĖanlık

 z

Bu  alıřma, Ian McEwan'ın *Beton Bah e* (*The Cement Garden*) (1978) adlı romanındaki sembolizmin iřlevini incelemekte ve romandaki sembolik unsurların yalnızca metaforik temsiller olarak deĖil, duygusal bastırma, ink r ve ahlaki   z lmenin ger ekleřtirildiĖi mekanizmalar olarak iřlev g rd đ n  savunmaktadır.  nceki eleřtiriler sıklıkla ' imento', 'ev' ve ' ocukların gizliliĖi' gibi temel sembollerini belirlemiř olsa da, bu  alıřma sembolik anlamdan ziyade sembolik s rece odaklanmaktadır.  alıřma, McEwan'ın romandaki sembolizminin statik deĖil dinamik olduĖunu ve romanın psikolojik mantıĖının merkezinde yer alan sınırlama, m h rleme ve hareketsizleřtirme eylemlerini vurgulamaktadır.  alıřmada y ntem olarak yakın metin analizi ve temel anlatı b l mlerinin ayrıntılı incelemesi kullanılmaktadır.  imentonun maddeselliĖi ile ev ve bah e uzamlarına odaklanan  alıřma,  imentonun kederi fiziksel eyleme d n řt ren ve  ocukların duygusal ve sosyal sorumluluklarını askıya almalarını saĖlayan sembolik bir unsur olarak nasıl iřlev g rd đ n  arařtırmaktadır. Bu  alıřmada, annenin bedeninin  imento ile  rt lmesi eylemi, yalnızca  l m  gizleme giriřimi olarak deĖil, aynı zamanda yas tutmanın, deĖiřimin veya ahlaki yargının ger ekleřmesine izin vermeme olarak da yorumlanmaktadır. Bu sınırlama d rt s ,  imentonun  tesine ge erek mimari mek nı – evi ve bah eyi – ve sonrasında sessizliĖi ve gizliliĖi de kapsamakta ve bu dinamikler, romanda duygusal duraĖanlıĖı dayatan bir semboller aĖı oluřtırmaktadır. Bu nedenle,  alıřma, *Beton Bah e*'deki sembolizmin, bastırma, ink r ve ahlaki askıya almanın maddi olarak ger ekleřtirildiĖi aktif bir duygusal sınırlama stratejisi olarak iřlev g rd đ n  savunmaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Ian McEwan, *Beton Bah e*, Simgesel Sınırlanma, Duygusal DuraĖanlık

Please Cite As / Atıf İ in:

Zengin, M. (2026). Symbolic containment and emotional stasis in Ian McEwan's *The Cement Garden*. *Manas Sosyal Arařtırmalar Dergisi*, 15 (4), 1367-1381. <https://doi.org/10.33206/mjss.1893032>

Received Date / Geliř Tarihi: 19.02.2026

Accepted Date / Kabul Tarihi: 09.09.2026

¹ Assoc. Prof. – Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Letters, The Department of English Language and Literature, mzengin@cumhuriyet.edu.tr,

ORCID: 0000-0002-7778-8268



This work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

Introduction

The prolific contemporary British novelist and script writer Ian McEwan's *The Cement Garden* (1978), his debut novel following two short story collections, is emblematic of the author's preoccupation with psychological disturbance, moral transgression, and the fragility of domestic order. The novel, which has achieved "cult classic" status since its release, follows four siblings who must fend for themselves after their father's death and the subsequent death of their mother. Narrated by the eldest sibling, Jack, the story is set within an increasingly isolated family home, where the children respond to parental death not through mourning or integration into society, as might be expected, but through secrecy, withdrawal, and acts of concealment. *The Cement Garden* has been described as "an unsettling take on family life and culminates in sibling incest after the four children are orphaned" (Ellam, 2009, p. 5), highlighting the extreme and transgressive consequences of their isolation. The novel is considered by Peter Childs (2007, p. 9) "a survey at greater length of familiar McEwan territory, including sibling rivalry, claustrophobic lives, taboo sex, infantilisation and disguise". Many critics describe *The Cement Garden* as a disturbing narrative. This is also true of David Malcolm (2002, p. 44), who argues that McEwan's early fiction is unsettling because it deliberately withholds moral guidance; by the end of the story, the reader is left without a clear moral anchor. As in much of McEwan's early fiction, the domestic sphere becomes a site where emotional pressure and ethical decay emerge in unsettlingly ordinary surroundings, revealing how extreme behaviours can arise from attempts to preserve stability rather than to disrupt it.

Building on these thematic concerns, Dominic Head (2007) observes that many late-twentieth-century literary works grapple with the question of whether literature can adequately respond to the vacuousness and moral uncertainty of contemporary life. McEwan's *The Cement Garden* exemplifies this engagement by portraying the isolation of the four siblings and the social and moral "anomie" that surrounds them. Head (2007, p. 21) further suggests that *The Cement Garden* "may be taken as a metaphor for the reputation of the British novel in the 1970s", reflecting a literary landscape perceived at the time as rigid, artificial, or morally constrained. Through its depiction of secrecy, withdrawal, and ethical transgression within the domestic sphere, the novel not only dramatizes "social anomie" but also prompts reflection on the capacity of fiction to represent extreme human experience (Head, 2007, p. 21). This concern with the limits and possibilities of literary representation is also reflected in the novel's generic hybridity, which David Malcolm (2002, p. 9) identifies as a mixture of psychological fiction and espionage fiction.

In *The Cement Garden*, Ian McEwan employs a deceptively simple and restrained prose style that mirrors the emotional detachment of the narrator and the starkness of the children's circumstances. The language is direct, unembellished, and often clinical, which intensifies the unsettling atmosphere of the novel. This stylistic simplicity, however, contrasts with the symbolic richness embedded in the novel's central images—particularly the cement, the house, and the garden. The cement functions as a powerful symbol of repression and concealment. On a literal level, it is used to encase the mother's corpse, enabling the children to hide her death from the outside world. Symbolically, however, cement represents emotional suppression and the attempt to solidify or immobilize traumatic experience. The garden, traditionally associated with growth, vitality, and natural order, is depicted in the novel in a state of neglect and decay. Its deterioration parallels the moral and emotional disintegration within the household. Similarly, the house operates as a central metaphor for isolation and regression. The plainness of the language in McEwan's novel amplifies the disturbing implications of the imagery, allowing ordinary domestic objects and spaces to acquire profound symbolic resonance. Through this interplay between stylistic simplicity and symbolic depth, McEwan constructs a narrative that is outwardly sparse yet thematically complex.

This study proposes a shift in critical emphasis from symbolic meaning to symbolic process in *The Cement Garden*. Rather than treating symbolism as a system of fixed metaphorical equivalence, it argues that McEwan's symbols operate dynamically, as ongoing actions that structure the novel's psychological logic. Symbols are thus not approached as fixed objects with stable meanings, but as ongoing actions or processes that actively shape the characters' emotional world. In this sense, motifs such as cement, enclosure, and secrecy do not merely stand for repression or paralysis; they enact practices of containment, sealing-off, and emotional suspension; and as such, they shape and regulate the children's emotional and psychological experience.

Through this study, it is observed that symbolism in *The Cement Garden* is not merely descriptive but performative: it enables the characters to manage grief, fear, and moral responsibility through material action. In this context, the symbolic containment suggests this process by which emotional disturbance is physically enclosed and rendered inert, most strikingly through the encasement of their deceased mother's body in cement. Closely related is the notion of emotional stasis, a condition in which emotional development, moral judgment, and social accountability are suspended rather than resolved. By dynamic symbolism, this essay means that symbols in *The Cement Garden* act, intervene, shape, and enforce children's psychological states, rather than simply reflect them.

Building on this framework, the study argues that *The Cement Garden* organizes grief and moral crisis through recurring practices of sealing, immobilization, and silence that actively produce repression and denial. Containment thus emerges not as a metaphorical backdrop but as the novel's central and most disturbing organizing principle. To demonstrate this, the discussion examines three interrelated sites of symbolic containment: material objects (particularly cement), architectural space (the house and the garden), and silence and secrecy. Each section shows how these elements enact and sustain processes of psychological containment within the children's emotional lives.

Literature Review

Critical responses to *The Cement Garden* have frequently approached the novel through a psychoanalytic lens, examining the unconscious dynamics that shape the siblings' behaviour and transgressive actions. For example, in their psychoanalytic study of *The Cement Garden*, Roohollah Reesi Sistania et al. (2014) focus on the psychological trauma experienced by four siblings following the loss of both parents, with particular emphasis on the mother-child relationship. The authors offer a close textual analysis of the novel by examining the psychoanalytic dimensions of the interactions among the siblings, paying special attention to the narrator, Jack, and the dynamics shaping familial bonds. Drawing on object relations theory—particularly the insights of Fairbairn, Klein, and Winnicott—their study traces the origins of the characters' anxieties to early maternal deprivation and demonstrates how disrupted attachment leads to the formation of internalized object relations and persistent psychological conflict.

Samet Kalecik's (2017) psychoanalytic critique interprets the characters' conduct in relation to Freudian concepts such as the Oedipus Complex and unconscious drives, arguing that the novel dramatizes repressed desire and psychic fragmentation through taboo acts and disrupted familial boundaries. Similarly, Mahinur Akřehir's (2022) analysis draws on the theoretical frameworks of Jacques Lacan and Julia Kristeva to show how deprivation, the symbolic order, and the semiotic chora form the children's identities and destabilise normative formations of language and desire. Taken together, these psychoanalytic readings foreground the psychic processes and conflicts that animate the narrative, positioning *The Cement Garden* as a site of unconscious negotiation.

Alongside such approaches, critical discussions have also emphasized the novel's symbolic texture, identifying recurrent motifs such as cement, the decaying house, the garden, and the children's pact of secrecy as metaphors for repression, moral corruption, or social collapse. Cement, in particular, has often been read as a symbol of emotional hardness or psychological paralysis, while the house has been interpreted as a closed, self-contained world detached from external authority. Dominic Head, in *Ian McEwan* (2007), reads *The Cement Garden* largely through its symbolic economy, paying particular attention to the motifs of cement and the domestic space of the house. He interprets the cement as emblematic of emotional repression, moral stasis, and repressed mourning, arguing that the children's decision to encase their mother's body reflects a desire to arrest change, deny psychic loss, and freeze time. In a similar vein, Head (2007) interprets the house as an enclosed, self-contained domestic environment that withdraws from social, legal, and institutional regulation, thereby intensifying the novel's atmosphere of moral isolation. Such readings productively illuminate the thematic weight carried by McEwan's imagery and clarify how symbolism contributes to the novel's unsettling psychological landscape. At the same time, Head's analysis tends to stabilize these motifs into relatively fixed meanings, treating cement and the house primarily as interpretive keys rather than as symbolic processes whose significance evolves through repetition, secrecy, and narrative escalation across the novel.

Relatedly, Kiernan Ryan (1994) situates these symbolic motifs within a broader social framework, interpreting the decaying house as an allegory for the erosion of institutional authority and ethical structures in late modern society. Ryan also emphasizes the children's pact of secrecy as a symbolic enactment of collective repression, in which silence functions as a binding moral code that sustains the

household's fragile autonomy. These analyses underscore the novel's engagement with social breakdown and moral ambiguity, and they demonstrate how recurrent images condense complex psychological and social tensions into powerful symbolic forms. At the same time, although Ryan acknowledges the dynamic tension between secrecy and exposure within the narrative, his analysis tends to treat these motifs primarily as representations of broader social conditions rather than examining how they actively shape the novel's narrative progression and the reader's experience over time. While these symbolic readings illuminate important thematic concerns, they tend to treat symbols as static carriers of meaning, focusing on what these elements represent rather than how they function within the narrative.

While existing critical approaches illuminate important dimensions of *The Cement Garden*, they share a common tendency to treat symbolism primarily as a system of representation—that is, as a set of images that stand in for psychological states, social conditions, or moral concepts. Cement, the house, the garden, and the orphans' silence or secrecy are frequently interpreted in terms of what they signify rather than how they operate within the narrative. These critical tendencies provide the context for the present study, which builds upon earlier scholarship while reorienting symbolic analysis away from interpretation and toward operation. Rather than asking what symbols mean, this study asks what they do: how recurrent motifs in the novel actively shape psychological experience across the narrative by enabling emotional repression, denying change, and suspending moral accountability.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative and close-textual analytical method grounded in symbolic and narratological inquiry. Rather than treating symbols in *The Cement Garden* as fixed metaphors, it examines them as dynamic processes that operate within the narrative, highlighting their performative function within the text. Through detailed analysis of key motifs, i.e. cement, the house, the garden, silence, and secrecy, the study traces how these recurring elements function as symbolic agents that structure grief, regulate emotional experience, and suspend moral development. Each section focuses on a specific site of containment (material, spatial, organic, and discursive), demonstrating how symbolic networks actively produce emotional stasis and psychological repression. By shifting attention from what symbols represent to how they act, the present study foregrounds the performative dimension of symbolism in shaping the novel's psychological and ethical logic.

Findings

Cement as a Symbolic Agent Serving to Materialize Grief

The Cement Garden tells the story of four siblings—Jack, (who is 15), Julie, (who is 17), Sue, (who is 13), and Tom, (who is 6),—who are suddenly orphaned after the deaths of their parents. Determined to avoid being separated and sent into foster care, they conceal their mother's demise by encasing her body in cement in the cellar. Left to fend for themselves, the children struggle to maintain a household and assume parental roles, with Julie taking on a quasi-maternal role and Jack taking the role of his father and grappling with complex, taboo emotions toward her. As the children attempt to live independently, tensions rise. Julie begins a romantic relationship with a young man named Derek, whose curiosity about the family home—and particularly the cellar—grows as fetid stench starts to emanate from it. Jack becomes increasingly jealous of Derek, while the children's fragile attempt at normalcy begins to unravel. The novel also explores the disturbing sexual feelings between the adolescent boy Jack and the eldest child of the family, Julie, culminating in an explicit encounter. This occurs simultaneously with Derek breaking open the cement shell in which their mother was trapped and thus discovering the truth about the hidden corpse. The novel closes with the children reminiscing about their mother as the police approach their house.

The Cement Garden confronts the reader with a disturbing depiction of orphaned children navigating extreme isolation, moral transgression, and the breakdown of familial and social order, as Książkowska (2024, p. 40) vividly describes:

It deals with incest, perversion, sexual obsession, death and decomposition [...] The harrowing scenario of Jack's life in itself is already quite telling: both his parents are dead; there are no living relatives who may help the children; Jack, his two sisters and little brother bury their mother in the cellar, immuring her in an old trunk with concrete; the smell of her decomposing body permeates the house they live in. Left to their own devices, the children have very little contact with the outside world; they are mainly preoccupied with sexual games, which begin as

exploration and end with the incestuous consummation of desire, eventually liberating them from the limbo that held them captive after their parents' deaths.

By encasing their mother in cement, the children transform grief into physical action. Central to this act of concealment is cement, which functions not merely as a material for burial but as a symbolic agent through which grief and emotional paralysis are enacted. Its symbolic power derives primarily from its material properties: cement hardens, solidifies, and becomes irreversible once set. It is heavy, opaque, and resistant to alteration (Civiltoday, n.d.), qualities that make it uniquely suited to acts of sealing and containment. Unlike materials associated with decay or transformation, cement arrests movement and fixes form, imposing permanence where change might otherwise occur. These physical characteristics are crucial to its symbolic function, as they allow cement to operate not as an abstract sign but as an active agent through which emotional and moral processes are materially enacted.

In *The Cement Garden*, the death of the children's mother marks the emotional crisis around which the novel revolves. Faced with loss and the sudden absence of adult authority, the children do not respond through grief, confession, or appeal to external support. The containment is already evident in the immediate aftermath of the mother's death. The scene following Julie and Jack's recognition that she is truly gone reveals that although Jack begins to cry, his grief does not sustain itself in a continuous or immersive way. His tears shift rapidly in quality—from "dry and hard" to "wet and easy"² (McEwan, 1978, p. 48)—suggesting not an overwhelming emotional release but a fluctuating, self-conscious performance of mourning. Significantly, Jack becomes more absorbed in observing himself crying than in experiencing grief directly. He perceives the scene as if from outside, which distances him from his own emotion. The moment he becomes aware of Julie's neutral touch and begins to question how he appears, the crying stops altogether (p. 48). This abrupt cessation anticipates the broader pattern that follows: even at the moment of loss, grief is monitored, curtailed, and subordinated to the practical demands of secrecy and survival. Emotional expression is not denied outright, but it is quickly contained and withdrawn.

Rather than responding to their mother's death with emotional engagement, the children immediately reframe it as a practical and social threat, one that calls for management rather than mourning. "They discuss the implications of their mother's death and contemplate notifying authorities, but fear of being separated and placed in care prevents them from acting" (Bookey, 2024, p. 25). The children's fear of external authority thus transforms the mother's death from an occasion for ritualized grief into a danger requiring containment. This choice is articulated explicitly in Jack's narration:

I said "If we tell someone..." and waited. Sue said, "We have to tell someone so there can be a funeral." I glanced at Julie. She was gazing past our garden fence, across the empty land to the tower blocks.

"If we tell them," I began again, "they'll come and put us into care, into an orphanage or something. They might try and get Tom adopted." (ellipsis in the original) (p. 52-53)

This exchange reveals that the children's primary response to death is governed not by emotional loss but by anxiety over separation, institutional control, and the disruption of their fragile autonomy. Rather than initiating mourning, the prospect of disclosure produces fear, calculation, and silence. It is within this context that the children redirect their emotional disturbance into a practical decision: to encase the body in cement in the cellar. Before deciding to use cement, the children briefly consider burying their mother in the garden. Jack quickly dismisses this option for practical reasons: digging would take too long, it would be difficult to avoid being seen, and keeping the act secret from Tom would be impossible (p. 55). His reasoning focuses entirely on visibility, risk, and control rather than on grief or respect for the dead. Jack even admits that he enjoys planning the concealment, likening himself to "gentlemen criminals in films" (p. 55) who discuss murder with calm detachment; he is so emotionally detached that he experiences no involvement. This reaction shows that the mother's death is treated as a problem to be managed rather than an event to be mourned. The decision not to bury the body therefore reflects an early preference for containment over emotional confrontation.

Cement later becomes the material solution to this mindset, providing a permanent and controlled way to seal the body and suspend both grief and moral responsibility. As the children mix, pour, and shovel the cement into the trunk, McEwan's narration dwells on the repetitive, strenuous, and almost mechanical nature of their labour. They work for hours, carrying sand and water, shovelling wet cement, and carefully filling the trunk, with only the scrape of the shovel and their breathing to mark the passage

² The ensuing references to the novel will be given parenthetically by only page numbers.

of time. The intensity and focus of this physical task leave no space for reflection of feelings or mourning, and even Sue, the youngest, becomes absorbed in the process (p. 56-60). Through this act, grief is transformed into physical labour. Mixing, pouring, and smoothing the cement replaces mourning rituals, verbal expression, or emotional confrontation. The technical demands of the task provide structure and focus, allowing the children to manage the situation through action rather than reflection. In other words, grief is converted into labour and material manipulation; emotional expression is displaced by mechanical process. This allows the children to avoid confronting loss at an emotional or psychological level.

Cement thus functions as a symbolic agent that enables action while simultaneously preventing emotional processing. It offers a solution that is concrete, final, and controllable, shielding the children from the instability of grief and the unpredictability of social or moral intervention. By encasing the body, they create a physical boundary that separates death from daily life, allowing them to continue their routines with minimal disruption. As Jack observes during the final stage of the task, "The only sounds were the scrape of the shovel and our heavy breathing. When we finished, when there was nothing left of the pile but a damp patch on the floor, the cement in the trunk was almost overflowing. Before we went back upstairs we stood about looking at what we had done, and catching our breath" (p. 59). Loss is not denied in the sense of being ignored; rather, it is contained, rendered inert, and sealed away from consciousness. Cement converts death from an ongoing emotional presence into a static object, immobilized beneath the surface of the house.

Crucially, the act of encasement should not be understood merely as an attempt to conceal a corpse or evade discovery. While secrecy is certainly involved, the symbolic significance of cement extends beyond pragmatic concealment. Encasing the mother's body represents a refusal to allow mourning, change, or ethical recognition to occur. Mourning is a dynamic process that unfolds over time, requiring acknowledgment of loss, emotional engagement, and transformation, i.e. gradual adaptation to changed circumstances. As Freud (1917, p. 244) notes, mourning involves a "gradual withdrawal of emotional energy from the lost object," a process through which the bereaved come to accept the reality of death and reorganize their inner world; yet mourning can be "overcome after a lapse of time". According to Michael C. Kearl (1989, p. 478,481), grief "is only one of a spectrum of emotions associated with bereavement" and "bereaved individuals may be unable to function in their roles if they are overwhelmed by grief, incapacitated by fear and anxiety of death, or refusing to develop relationships to replace those dissolved". John Bowlby (1980, p. 121) emphasizes that grief progresses through distinct phases, including shock, yearning, disorganization, and reorganization, highlighting that "grief is an unfolding process, in which the individual must confront and experience painful emotions before emotional integration can occur". Similarly, William J. Worden (2009, p. 25) frames mourning as an active set of tasks, asserting that to move through grief one must "accept the reality of the loss; work through the pain of grief; adjust to a world without the deceased; and find ways to reinvest emotional energy". Collectively, these perspectives underscore that mourning is not instantaneous or purely cognitive, but a sustained emotional and transformative process, in which avoidance or suppression of grief interrupts natural psychological and moral adaptation. In McEwan's novel, cement forecloses this process by imposing finality and permanence. Once the body is sealed, there is no space for grief to develop or resolve; emotional movement is arrested at the moment of loss.

Furthermore, encasement suspends ethical recognition by preventing the involvement of social authority and moral judgment. Death, when acknowledged publicly, invites rituals, funerals, legal procedures, and communal response (Kearl, 1989, p. 99). By sealing the body within the domestic space, the children remove the event from social frameworks of meaning and responsibility. Cement becomes a barrier not only to sight and smell, but to ethical accountability itself. In this sense, the material act of containment enacts a moral suspension, allowing the children to exist temporarily outside normative structures of judgment.

Cement also produces a form of temporal suspension. The mother's death is acknowledged materially—the body is physically present, preserved, and fixed—but denied psychologically. Time does not move forward in the expected manner; there is no transition from death to mourning to adaptation. Instead, the moment of loss is frozen, embedded within the fabric of the house. The hardening of cement mirrors the hardening of emotional response, creating a static present in which change is indefinitely postponed. Death becomes neither fully absent nor fully acknowledged, but sealed into a permanent, unchanging state.

Through cement, *The Cement Garden* thus materializes grief as containment. What might otherwise demand emotional reckoning is transformed into a durable structure that enforces stillness and denial. Cement does not symbolize repression from a distance; it performs it. By converting loss into an object that can be built, shaped, and sealed, McEwan presents grief not as an emotional process, but as a physical problem solved through immobilization. Cement emerges, therefore, as the novel's central symbolic agent, organizing its psychological logic around permanence, enclosure, and emotional stasis.

The symbolic power of cement, however, is dependent on secrecy and immobility, and it is ultimately tested when Derek breaks open the hardened material to reveal the mother's body (p. 134). Until this point, the children's grief and moral anxiety have been contained within a rigid, physical structure, allowing them to maintain emotional stasis and control over their household. Derek's intrusion, prompted largely by his suspicion of the foul odour, shatters this carefully constructed enclosure, forcing the confrontation with loss that the children had so meticulously avoided. The act transforms grief from a latent, immobilized presence into an immediate, unavoidable reality, while simultaneously reactivating ethical and social awareness. By smashing the cement up, Derek not only exposes the body but also undoes the psychological and moral suspension that the children's labour had produced. This moment demonstrates that the containment enacted through cement relies on both material stability and secrecy; once the boundary is breached, the repression of grief and responsibility collapses, and the children can no longer remain insulated from the consequences of death. At the novel's close, the reader is left with the sense that the children must finally confront the reality of their mother's death, and the emotional and moral consequences it entails.

The House as Spatial and Architectural Containment

Beyond cement itself, the family house functions as a broader structure of symbolic containment in *The Cement Garden*. As the novel progresses, the house becomes increasingly enclosed and detached from the surrounding world, reinforcing the children's isolation from society and institutional authority. With the death of the parents, the domestic space is no longer regulated by adult presence or social norms; instead, it turns inward, operating according to its own private logic. This withdrawal from external oversight allows emotional repression and moral suspension to take root within the boundaries of the home.

This disintegration of conventional domestic order is vividly reflected in Jack's observations of the home. He notes how the usual functions and signals of household objects have lost their meaning: "Most houses were crammed with immovable objects in their proper places, and each object told you what to do – here you ate, here you slept, here you sat. I tried to imagine carpets, wardrobes, pictures, chairs, a sewing machine, in these gaping, smashed-up rooms. I was pleased by how irrelevant, how puny such objects now appeared" (p. 34). In this environment, the children's sense of spatial and social structure becomes unmoored, and the house itself seems to shrink around them. Jack articulates the psychological weight of this enclosure: "I felt stifled. Everything I looked at reminded me of myself" (p. 122). The home, once a space of routine and external guidance, now mirrors the children's inner repression and heightens their inward focus. Their detachment from the external world is further underscored as Jack reflects on the suspended temporality of their lives after their mother's demise: "At the back of my mind I had a sense of us sitting about waiting for some terrible event, and then I would remember that it had already happened" (p. 54). The house, stripped of adult authority, becomes a self-contained realm where time, morality, and emotion are governed solely by the children's private logic.

The house is marked by decay and disintegration, reflecting the gradual breakdown of order within the family. After the parents die, the domestic space loses its usual structure and adult supervision. The house, which once regulated daily routines like eating and shopping, becomes a private realm shaped entirely by the children's needs and impulses. They let the house fall into disrepair. Julie's purchase of large quantities of food including meat that "lay around untouched until they had to be thrown away" (p. 65) highlights how the household has lost its guiding structure; without adult oversight, basic responsibilities—like preparing meals—are neglected. Rooms are closed off, neglected, or repurposed, and movement within the space becomes increasingly restricted. This spatial containment mirrors the siblings' psychological condition: just as certain areas of the house are sealed or avoided, emotional experiences are enclosed rather than confronted and overcome. The house, once a site of routine and regulation, becomes a self-contained world in which resources, time, and even morality are suspended. The cellar, in particular, functions as the most extreme zone of containment. Hidden from view and physically separated from

everyday living spaces, it becomes the site where death is sealed away, reinforcing the division between surface normality and suppressed reality. The spoiled food and the hidden corpse alike illustrate how the home operates according to its own private logic, allowing emotional repression and moral disorder to take root in isolation from societal oversight.

The withdrawal of regulation in the household,—that is, the emergence of a dysfunctional household—not only affects the physical upkeep of the house but also reshapes behaviour and identity within it. As it is observed, “In lieu of parental guidance, the siblings engage in increasingly inappropriate behaviour and games that blur the lines between innocence and sexual curiosity, reflecting their confusion and the chaos of their lives” (Bookey, 2024, p. 8). In the absence of parental oversight, the interior of the house becomes a space in which roles can be redefined and transgressive practices normalized. This dynamic is particularly evident in the episode in which Tom is dressed as a girl with the active assistance of his sisters. Jack’s movement through the house—“I had a strong wish to spy on my sisters, to be with them and be invisible” (p. 68)—emphasizes the secrecy and lack of supervision that characterize the household. The darkness of the hallway and Jack’s ability to observe unnoticed suggest a domestic environment no longer structured by adult presence. Within this enclosed space, Julie assumes a position of quiet authority, standing behind Tom with “one hand resting on her shoulder” while holding a hairbrush, a gesture that evokes both care and control. Sue’s role in cutting and altering a school skirt further institutionalizes the act, transforming what might otherwise appear as childish play into a carefully managed ritual. Tom’s disguise is not treated as aberrant but is calmly accommodated, and Jack observes “how easy it was to be someone else”, highlighting the fluidity of identity. He also remarks that Tom is “looking at another person, someone who could expect a life quite different from Tom’s” (p. 69). This indicates how the house functions as a space in which alternative identities can emerge unchecked. In this way, the decaying domestic space fosters not only physical neglect but also a moral and social suspension in which parental authority is replaced by improvised, inward-looking forms of governance.

This same suspension of boundaries is evident in Julie’s post-parental behaviour, which reflects both the decay of domestic order and her assumption of a surrogate maternal authority within the enclosed space of the house. After the parents’ death, behaviours once regulated become normalized, as when Jack finds Julie smoking and calmly blowing “a column of smoke towards the ceiling” (p. 74). Her curt acknowledgment suggests that prohibition itself has lost meaning, a point reinforced when “she lit another cigarette” shortly afterwards (p. 76). Julie’s later imitation of “a film gangster, cigarette butt dangling from one corner of her mouth” (p. 77) shows how adult identities are not only assumed but performed, filling the vacuum left by parental authority. Within this decaying domestic space, moral boundaries remain suspended, allowing Julie to enact a distorted form of maternal power that offers control and stability while remaining detached from social accountability.

As an architectural structure, the house may be argued to mirror the children’s inward-looking psychological state, resisting external intrusion both physically and symbolically and creating a self-contained world in which alternative rules can exist. Jack repeatedly evokes the silence and isolation that envelop the house after the deaths of their parents, recalling moments in which “we sat in silence on opposite sides of the room for a long time” (p. 76) and later that “we sat in silence for rather a long time” (p. 90). This recurring stillness captures how the absence of outside voices allows internal tensions and unspoken dynamics to intensify. In this closed world, the siblings even lack the means to understand their mother’s decline: “Because there were no visitors, there was no one to ask what was wrong with her, and so I did not really put the question clearly to myself” (p. 36), underlining how isolation blurs awareness and inhibits engagement with reality. The lack of oversight allows the children to maintain the illusion of autonomy, control, and stability, even as their emotional lives drift further inward. This psychological enclosure is reinforced by the house’s oppressive physicality, as Jack describes waking in a room with “the windows closed,” feeling “too stifled both by the darkness and lack of air to go on searching” (p. 124). The house thus becomes a protective shell, shielding the children from social accountability while simultaneously intensifying emotional stagnation, secrecy, and denial, binding them ever more tightly within their own psychological prison.

In this sense, architecture operates as a symbolic extension of cement. Both enforce boundaries, prevent exposure, and resist transformation. Just as cement fixes the mother’s body into an unchanging form, the house fixes the children within a closed emotional and moral space. Together, they create an environment where change is not merely delayed but structurally obstructed, reinforcing the novel’s central logic of containment and emotional stasis.

The Garden as Arrested Organic Process

McEwan's use of setting in *The Cement Garden* is central to conveying the emotional landscape of the story. The physical spaces such as the cellar and the garden are carefully crafted to reflect the children's inner turmoil. As it is observed.

The contrasting physical settings of the garden and the cellar are instrumental in amplifying the emotional themes. The garden, once a site of nurturing and growth, becomes a grave marker for lost hopes and innocence, while the cellar transforms into a confined space where dark decisions are made. These environments serve as metaphors for the children's internal struggles and the chaotic changes in their lives. (Bookey, 2024, p. 63)

If the house in *The Cement Garden* functions as a structure of architectural enclosure, the garden operates as its organic counterpart—a space traditionally associated with growth, cultivation, and renewal. Yet in the novel, the garden is marked not by vitality but by neglect, stagnation, and gradual decay. Its deterioration parallels the children's psychological condition, suggesting that the processes of natural development have been suspended rather than fulfilled. In this sense, the garden does not merely symbolize disorder; it enacts a state of arrested organic process that mirrors the emotional stasis within the household.

Conventionally, the garden represents the possibility of regulated growth—nature shaped, but not extinguished, by human care. In the absence of parental authority, however, cultivation ceases. The children neither restore nor meaningfully engage with the garden; instead, it becomes an abandoned threshold between the sealed interior of the house and the external social world. Its overgrowth signals not excess vitality but unmanaged entropy, reflecting the withdrawal of moral and emotional supervision that characterizes the siblings' existence. Growth, in this context, becomes directionless and ultimately inert.

The imagery in McEwan's depiction of the garden reinforces the theme of suspended development. Jack observes,

The tower blocks were ugly with fresh stains but the weeds on the land beyond our garden already looked greener. I walked around the garden the way Father had always wanted everyone to go, along the tiny paths, down the steps to the pond. It was hard to find the steps under the weeds and thistles and the pond was a curling piece of dirty blue plastic. A little rain water had collected in the bottom. As I walked round the pond I felt something soft collapse under my foot. I had trodden on a frog. (p. 107-108)

Here, the overgrown weeds, hidden paths, and a neglected pond symbolize the abandonment of cultivation and care; the natural order of the garden has been suspended, reflecting the children's lack of guidance and the cessation of meaningful growth. The garden's disarray mirrors their psychological and emotional stasis, while the accidental crushing of the frog underscores the directionless, inert state of life within this space. Like the cement that immobilizes their mother, the garden enacts the halted progression of both nature and the children's development, embodying the emotional and moral stagnation that pervades the household.

The symbolic dimension of the garden finds a human parallel in Tom's regression into infantile behaviour. Just as the garden no longer fulfils its function as a cultivated space of regulated growth, Tom's development ceases to follow a normative trajectory. His persistent thumb-sucking, his sleeping in the cot, and his desire to be treated as a baby, as Jack observes in the following scene —“He sucked his thumb deeper into his mouth [...] Why do you want to be a baby?” He spoke in a thin whine as if he was about to weep”— (p. 128) all suggest not merely childishness but a retreat from maturation itself. In a household where parental authority has collapsed, growth loses direction; it becomes suspended rather than progressive. The overgrown weeds and hidden paths of the garden reflect this condition of unmanaged development, while Tom's self-infantilisation embodies it psychologically. Both the garden and the child exist in a state of arrested organic process: life continues, but without guidance, structure, or transformation. In this way, Tom's regression externalizes the same symbolic logic that governs the neglected garden—development persists in form, yet it remains emotionally and ethically inert.

Within the framework of dynamic symbolism proposed in this study, the garden functions not as a static emblem of decay but as a processual image of suspended development. Just as cement materially immobilizes the mother's body, and the house spatially encloses the children, the garden manifests the cessation of organic and emotional maturation. Its arrested state reinforces the broader symbolic network

of containment: life continues biologically, yet it lacks progression, accountability, or transformation. The garden thus externalizes the condition of emotional stasis, embodying a world in which natural cycles of growth and renewal are interrupted and held in abeyance.

Silence and Secrecy as Instruments of Moral Suspension

Alongside cement and architectural spaces, silence functions as a powerful, non-physical form of symbolic containment in *The Cement Garden*. Far from indicating mere absence or passivity, silence operates as an active strategy through which the children manage emotional disturbance and maintain control over their circumstances. By refusing to speak about death, fear, or responsibility, they construct an invisible barrier that is as effective as any physical enclosure.

Significantly, this withdrawal from the outside world predates the parents' deaths. Jack recalls that "There was an unspoken family rule that none of us ever brought friends home" (p. 14), and further observes, "No one ever came to visit us. Neither my mother nor my father when he was alive had any real friends outside the family" (p. 16). These reflections reveal that social isolation is already embedded within the household's structure. The family exists as a self-contained unit, distanced from external relationships and insulated from social scrutiny. After the loss of both parents, this inherited isolation intensifies into deliberate secrecy. What was once quiet detachment becomes active concealment, reinforcing the children's loneliness while enabling the moral suspension that governs their lives.

Within this already enclosed domestic world, silence becomes a means of sealing experience. Jack reflects that, in the midst of their daily lives, he often experiences a sense of anticipating some imminent catastrophe, only to realize that the traumatic event—their mother's death—has already occurred (p. 54). This reveals his detached perception and the way his consciousness slips into quiet denial, avoiding direct engagement with the reality of their loss.

Jack's words vividly illustrate how silence functions as a protective barrier within the household. He reflects on moments of quiet that replace the usual noise and conflict: "when we sat down to eat, there was strained silence instead of the usual row" (p. 26), occurring when their mother is bedridden. Later, after the children come to terms with her death, he observes, "we [Julie and I] stood about waiting in silence for almost half an hour" (p. 48). Even in daily life without parental supervision, silence continues to structure their interactions: "We sat in silence on opposite sides of the room for a long time" (p. 76) and "we sat in silence for rather a long time" (p. 90). These repeated quiet moments demonstrate how the children use silence to prevent emotional exposure and external intrusion, regulating the flow of feeling within the household and shielding themselves from the full impact of grief, fear, and responsibility.

Even in acknowledging their mother's death, the children's avoidance remains palpable. The dynamic of emotional containment becomes particularly visible in the scene in which Jack turns to Tom in what he himself describes as "an interrogation":

"I folded my arms. I felt in the mood for an interrogation.
'Do you remember Mum?' He opened his mouth a little way and nodded. 'Don't you want her?'
'She's dead,' Tom said indignantly [...] 'Even though she's dead don't you wish she would come up and see you instead of Julie?'" (p. 126)

Although the children explicitly acknowledge their mother's death, emotional processing is avoided. Tom's blunt assertion that she is dead reduces loss to a bare, incontestable fact, stripping it of affective depth. The scene demonstrates that the children are fully aware of the factual reality of their mother's death; there is no literal denial. However, this acknowledgment remains cognitive rather than emotional. Death is recognized, but it is not mourned. At the same time, Jack's question about whether Tom wishes she would "come up and see" him introduces a fleeting trace of magical thinking, a longing that gestures toward emotional need yet never fully develops into confession or grief. The desire remains suspended, articulated only hypothetically and immediately neutralized by Tom's indignation. His reaction is especially significant: it suggests that the emotional rules of the household have already shifted. The topic of the mother is not to be reopened. Her absence has been sealed off, and any attempt to disturb that seal is met with resistance.

This scene thus reinforces the broader pattern of the novel: silence does not signal ignorance but functions as a deliberate strategy of containment. Death is reduced to a fact, stripped of ritual and

articulation, and the children's shared refusal to dwell on it preserves the fragile equilibrium of their enclosed domestic world.

Central to the strategy of silence is the pact of secrecy that governs the children's behaviour after their mother's demise. Their agreement not to speak to authorities, neighbours, or outsiders sustains emotional stasis by forestalling intervention and judgment. Sue's emerging anxiety—"But if we don't tell anyone [...] what do we do then?" (p. 53)—underscores how the fear of exposure weighs on them, reinforcing their pact of silence. Speech would introduce consequences: confession would invite scrutiny, mourning would demand emotional engagement, and explanation would expose the children to moral and legal accountability. Secrecy, by contrast, allows the crisis to remain suspended.

The relationship between silence and morality is crucial to this dynamic. Moral systems depend on articulation—on naming acts, assigning responsibility, and subjecting behaviour to judgment. In the absence of speech, ethical frameworks lose their force. The children's silence does not erase wrongdoing, but it prevents recognition and correction. As a result, moral boundaries become blurred, replaced by a private logic governed by containment rather than accountability. Silence thus enables a state of ethical ambiguity in which transgression can occur without immediate consequence.

The condition of moral suspension unfolds gradually in *The Cement Garden*, revealing how secrecy facilitates disintegration rather than stability. It leads not to stability but to further psychological and ethical decline. After the death of their parents, the children attempt to protect themselves from separation by concealing their mother's body and withdrawing from society. However, this effort to preserve the family unit creates a closed environment in which normal moral structures lose their authority. Isolated from external judgment and social norms, the siblings begin to redefine their relationships according to their own internal logic.

Within this sealed domestic space, the incestuous relationship between Jack and Julie (p. 133) emerges as an extension of their emotional dependence and their desire to maintain unity. Their transgression appears to them less as a moral violation than as a continuation of their attempt to resist change and fragmentation. When Sue witnesses Jack and Julie having intercourse, the expected disruption does not occur. Rather than condemning or exposing them, Sue becomes incorporated into their shared secrecy, which further reinforces the cohesion of the group. Significantly, the youngest sibling, Tom, is also present in the room during this scene. His presence strengthens and symbolically represents the children's attempt to preserve familial unity, even at the moment when conventional moral boundaries have fully collapsed. In this way, McEwan presents unity not as a source of protection, but as something sustained through isolation and transgression.

Silence and secrecy, then, operate in concert with cement and architecture as central elements of the novel's symbolic network. They enclose experience without visible trace, enforcing emotional repression and moral suspension through absence rather than action. By sustaining silence and guarding their secret, the children preserve the illusion of stability and unity, while that very silence slowly generates psychological stagnation and moral disintegration.

Symbolic Networks and Emotional Stasis

Taken together, cement, the house, the garden, and silence and secrecy form an interconnected symbolic network that governs the psychological and moral logic of *The Cement Garden*. Symbols in *The Cement Garden* are processual and interconnected, operating not as isolated motifs but as mutually reinforcing structures of meaning and action. Rather than functioning independently, these elements reinforce one another, producing a closed system of containment in which emotional disturbance is not resolved but immobilized. Cement provides the material means of sealing loss, the house supplies the spatial framework that encloses experience, and silence sustains this enclosure by preventing articulation, judgment, or interruption. Each symbol functions differently, yet all contribute to the same end: the suspension of emotional and social responsibility.

To understand how the symbolic network in *The Cement Garden* enforces emotional stasis, not only by sustaining emotional paralysis but also by disrupting identity and relational boundaries, it is useful to consider Andrei Ionescu's observations regarding the instability of gender and sexuality in McEwan's fiction. Ionescu (2020) argues that gender and sexuality in Ian McEwan's fiction are consistently represented as fluid and performative rather than fixed, a perspective that resonates with feminist and queer theoretical approaches challenging essentialist conceptions of identity. In *The Cement Garden*,

McEwan foregrounds the instability of masculine and feminine roles, thereby unsettling normative structures that ordinarily regulate family and social relations (Ionescu, 2020, p. 26). Ionescu (2020, p. 26) further observes that McEwan's recurrent depiction of incest and other forms of sexual transgression should not be read merely as sensationalism but as a means of exploring the close entanglement of sexuality and violence. Building on Ionescu's observations, the novel's portrayal of these transgressions can be understood as a consequence of symbolic and emotional containment. The repression of mourning and moral accountability produces a state of emotional stasis in which conventional boundaries—between childhood and adulthood, authority and dependency, or innocence and desire—lose their force. In this way, sexuality functions as a disruptive effect of containment, revealing how the suspension of emotional and ethical processes enables the collapse of social and moral distinctions.

This network in the novel enforces containment at multiple levels. Cement fixes death into a permanent, unchanging form; the house isolates the children within a self-contained domestic space; the garden, arrested in its organic processes, reflects the suspension of natural growth and emotional maturation; and silence prevents the intrusion of external authority or moral discourse. Together, they create conditions under which movement—emotional, ethical, or temporal—is severely restricted. Grief does not develop into mourning, secrecy replaces accountability, and relationships unfold without reference to social norms. Immobilization becomes the dominant mode of existence, shaping both behaviour and perception.

As a result, emotional stasis emerges as the novel's defining psychological condition. There is no clear progression from crisis to resolution, nor any genuine transformation of character. Instead, the children remain suspended in a present shaped by avoidance and containment. Change is not actively resisted through conflict or rebellion, but quietly prevented through symbolic mechanisms that seal experience in place. The absence of resolution is not accidental but structurally enforced by the novel's symbolic system.

In this sense, symbolism in *The Cement Garden* does not merely illustrate emotional paralysis which the children undergo; it actively produces it. The symbols do not stand outside the narrative as interpretive signs but operate within it as forces that shape action and perception. By functioning collectively as a network of containment, they reveal emotional stasis not as a psychological accident but as the outcome of deliberate, materially enacted strategies. McEwan's symbolism in *The Cement Garden* thus emerges as a dynamic system or agent that sustains repression and moral suspension, making emotional immobility the novel's most persistent and unsettling condition.

Conclusion

In *The Cement Garden*, symbolism operates not merely as a vehicle for thematic meaning but as an active mechanism through which emotional repression, moral suspension, and psychological stasis are enacted. This study has argued that cement, the house, and silence and secrecy among siblings function collectively as a network of containment, transforming grief, fear, and social responsibility into material and immaterial acts that immobilize both emotion and ethical awareness. By emphasizing the dynamic, processual nature of symbolism, the analysis has shown that McEwan's symbols do not simply represent internal states or social conditions—they perform, structuring action, limiting movement, and enforcing stasis within the narrative world.

Cement emerges as a central symbolic agent, converting grief into physical labour and foreclosing mourning, while the house extends this containment spatially, enclosing the children within a self-regulated domestic environment. The garden, as the house's organic counterpart, reinforces this symbolic logic by dramatizing the suspension of natural growth and emotional maturation. It becomes a site of neglect and unmanaged entropy, mirroring the children's arrested psychological development. Silence and secrecy operate as non-material but equally potent forces, preventing social or moral intervention and enabling ethical ambiguity and transgression. Together, these elements form a coherent symbolic system that produces the novel's defining psychological condition: emotional stasis. This stasis is not accidental; it is the direct result of the interplay between material and immaterial symbols, which enforce containment at every level—physical, emotional, and moral.

This reading highlights an important aspect of McEwan's *The Cement Garden*: his attention to materiality and the ways in which objects, spaces, and acts can embody and enforce psychological states. The novel demonstrates that symbolism need not be static or purely representational; it can function as an

active strategy, shaping perception, action, and ethical consciousness. By focusing on the processual and operative qualities of symbols, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of McEwan's narrative techniques, showing how the material and the symbolic intersect to produce psychological and moral effects that resonate throughout the novel.

In sum, the study argues that *The Cement Garden* presents a world in which emotional repression and moral suspension are not merely depicted but actively built, sealed, and sustained, thereby shifting critical attention from symbolic meaning (what symbols stand for) to symbolic process (what symbols do), and demonstrating McEwan's sophisticated use of symbolism as both a structural and psychological force in his novel.

Ethical Declaration

During the writing process of the study "*Symbolic Containment and Emotional Stasis in Ian McEwan's The Cement Garden*" scientific rules, ethical and citation rules were followed. No falsification was made on the collected data and this study was not sent to any other academic publication medium for evaluation. Ethics Committee Permission is not required.

Etik Beyan

"Tan McEwan'ın Beton Bahe Romanında Simgesel Sınırlanma ve Duygusal Durağanlık" başlıklı alışmanın yazım sürecinde bilimsel kurallara, etik ve alıntı kurallarına uyulmuş; toplanan veriler üzerinde herhangi bir tahrifat yapılmamış ve bu alışma herhangi başka bir akademik yayın ortamına deęerlendirme iin gnderilmemiřtir. Bu arařtırma da etik kurul kararı zorunluluęu bulunmamaktadır.

Declaration of Conflict

There is no potential conflict of interest in the study.

atıřma Beyanı

alışmada herhangi bir potansiyel ıkar atıřması söz konusu deęildir.

Funding

No funding was received from any institution or organization for this study.

Finansman

Bu alışma iin herhangi bir kurum veya kuruluřtan destek alınmamıřtır.

Statement of Use for Artificial Intelligence and Its Types

Artificial intelligence and its various types were not used in the writing of this article.

Yapay Zeka ve Trleri Kullanım Beyanı

Bu makalenin yazımında yapay zeka ve trleri kullanılmamıřtır.

Data Sharing Statement

I declare that, upon reasonable request for the purpose of verifying the findings, I can share the data of this study according to the conditions specified in the relevant section of the "ethical principles and publication policy".

Veri Paylařım Beyanı

Bu alışmanın verilerini bulguların doęrulanması amacıyla makul bir talep zerine "etik ilkeler ve yayın politikası"nın ilgili kısmında belirtilen řartlara gre paylařabileceęimi beyan ederim.

Kaynaka

- Akřehir, M. (2022). A lovely sleep: A psychoanalytic reading of *The Cement Garden* through the theoretical lens of Jacques Lacan and Julia Kristeva. *Manisa Celal Bayar University Journal of Social Sciences*, 20(Special Issue), 252–260.
- Bookey. (2024). *The cement garden: Unraveling childhood's dark secrets in a haunting psychological landscape*. Accessed from <https://www.bookey.app/audiobook/the-cement-garden> on 11.02.2026.
- Bowlby, J. (1980). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 3. Loss, sadness and depression*. New York: Basic Books.
- Childs, P. (2007). *Ian McEwan's Enduring Love*. USA and Canada: Routledge.



- Civiltoday. (n.d.). Çimentonun özellikleri – Fiziksel ve kimyasal. Accessed from <https://civiltoday.com/civil-engineering-materials/cement/111-properties-of-cement-physical-chemical-properties> on 04.02.2026
- Ellam, J. (2009). *Ian McEwan's Atonement*. London : Continuum.
- Freud, S. (1917). Mourning and melancholia. *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud, Volume XIV (1914-1916): On the History of the Psycho-Analytic Movement, Papers on Metapsychology and Other Works*. (J. Strachey Trans.), (Vol. 14, pp. 237–258). London: Hogarth Press. (Original work published 1917) Accessed from <https://www.scribd.com/doc/290175942/Freud-Mourning-And-Melancholia> on 04.02.2026.
- Head, D. (2007). *Contemporary British novelists: Ian McEwan*. UK: Manchester University Press.
- Ionescu, A. (2020). *Disrupted intersubjectivity: Paralysis and invasion in Ian McEwan's works*. New York and London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Kalecik, S. (2017). Ian McEwan'ın *Beton Bahçe* romanının [sic] psikanalitik incelemesi. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 45–63.
- Kearl, M. C. (1989). *Endings: A sociology of death and dying*. UK: Oxford University Press.
- Ksieżopolska, I. (2024). *Ian McEwan: Subversive readings, informed misreadings*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Malcolm, D. (2002). *Understanding Ian McEwan*. Columbia, South Carolina: University of South Carolina Press.
- McEwan, I. (1978). *The cement garden*. Accessed from <https://vdoc.pub/download/the-cement-garden-7gt4hvmh2ja0> on 10.01.2026.
- Reesi Sistani, R., Hashim, R. S., and Ismail Hamdan, S. (2014). Psychoanalytical tensions and conflicts of characters' interactions in Ian McEwan's *The Cement Garden*. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 118, 450-456. Accessed from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.02.061> on 05.02.2026.
- Ryan, K. (1994). Ian McEwan. *Writers and their work*. (I. Armstrong Ed.), Plymouth: Northcote House.
- The cement garden (1978) summary by Ian McEwan. (n.d.). *LiterarySum.com*. Accessed from <https://literarysum.com/the-cementgarden-a-disturbing-tale-of-family-secrets-summary-by-ianmcewan/> on 03.02.2026.
- Worden, J. W. (2009). *Grief counseling and grief therapy: A handbook for the mental health practitioner* (4th ed.). New York: Springer Publishing Company.

GENİŞ ÖZET

Bu çalışma, Ian McEwan'ın 1978 tarihli romanı *Beton Bahçe* (*The Cement Garden*)'de sembolizmin işlevini ayrıntılı biçimde incelemeyi amaçlamaktadır. *Beton Bahçe*, anne ve babalarının ölümüyle aniden yetim kalan en büyüğü on yedi en küçüğü altı yaşında olan dört kardeşin—Julie, Jack, Sue ve Tom—hikâyesini anlatır. Birbirlerinden ayrılıp koruyucu ailelere verilmekten kaçınmaya kararlı olan çocuklar, annelerinin ölümünü gizlemek için onun bedenini bodrumda çimentoyle kaplayarak saklarlar. Kendi başlarının çaresine bakmak zorunda kalan kardeşler, ev düzenini sürdürmeye ve ebeveyn rollerini üstlenmeye çalışırlar. Çocuklar bağımsız bir yaşam kurmaya çabaladıkça yaşamlarındaki gerilim de artar. Bu çalışmanın temel iddiası, *Beton Bahçe*'de sembolik unsurların yalnızca soyut anlamlar taşıyan metaforik göstergeler olmadığı; aksine, duygusal bastırma, inkâr, ahlaki askıya alma ve psikolojik çözülme gibi süreçlerin maddi düzlemde hayata geçirilmesini sağlayan etkin mekanizmalar olduğudur. Bu bağlamda semboller, sabit ve durağan anlam taşıyıcıları olarak değil, kuşatma, mühürleme, kapatma ve hareketsizleştirme etrafında örgütlenen dinamik ve süreçsel yapılar olarak ele alınmaktadır. Çalışma, *Beton Bahçe*'de romanın maddi ve mekânsal sembolizmini ortaya koymak ve sembolizmi, çocukların hem yaşamını hem de davranış ve psikolojilerini etkileyen dinamik bir süreç olarak incelemek amacıyla yakın metin analizi yöntemini kullanmaktadır. Bu yöntem sayesinde anlatının belirli sahneleri, nesneleri ve mekânları ayrıntılı biçimde incelenerek sembolik işleyişin nasıl kurulduğu gösterilmektedir. Başka bir deyişle, özellikle çimento gibi belirgin bir maddenin maddeselliği, ev ve bahçenin uzamsal özellikleri, ayrıca sessizlik ve gizlilik gibi maddi olmayan fakat etkili ev içi durumlar analiz odağına alınmaktadır. Böylece çalışma, sembollerin neyi temsil ettiğinden çok, anlatı içinde nasıl işlediğine ve hangi psikolojik sonuçları ürettiğine yönelmektedir. Romanın merkezinde yer alan annenin bedeninin çimentoyle kaplanması sahnesi, bu sembolik işleyişin en çarpıcı örneğini oluşturur. Çocukların annelerini toprağa gömmek yerine bodrumda çimentoyle kaplayarak saklamaları, yalnızca ölümü gizleme çabası değildir. Bu eylem, yasın ertelenmesi, değişimin reddedilmesi ve ahlaki sorumluluğun askıya alınması anlamına gelen simgesel bir mühürleme edimidir. Çimento burada hem fiziksel hem de psikolojik bir işlev üstlenir: Bedeni sabitleyerek çürümeyi geciktirmeye çalışırken, çocukların duygusal tepkilerini de dondurur. Yas süreci, duygusal bir deneyim olmaktan çıkarak fiziksel emeğe indirgenir. Çocuklar çimento dökerek, karıştırarak ve kaplayarak kederi maddi bir eyleme dönüştürür; böylece duygularını yaşamak yerine onları betonun sertliği içinde askıya alırlar. Bu bağlamda çimento, roman boyunca merkezi bir sembolik özne olarak işlev görür. Çimento romanda işlenildiği üzere yalnızca bir inşaat malzemesi değildir; yasın yerine geçen, duygusal hareketliliği durduran ve ahlaki muhakemeyi erteleyen aktif bir güçtür. Çocukların çimentoyle uğraşırken gösterdikleri yoğun çaba, aslında içsel bir boşluğu ve bastırılmışlığı gizler. Sürekli fiziksel faaliyet, duygusal yüzleşmenin yerini alır. Böylece çimento,

romanın psikolojik mantığını belirleyen bir araç haline gelir. Bu kuşatma dürtüsü yalnızca annenin bedeninde kalmaz; evin tamamına ve bahçeye doğru genişler. Ev, ebeveyn otoritesinin ortadan kalkmasıyla birlikte çocukların kendi kendilerine yaşadıkları ve yaşamlarını düzenledikleri kapalı bir sisteme dönüşür. Dış dünya ile bağlar giderek zayıflar, zaten ebeveynleri hayattayken de olmayan ziyaretler azalır ve ev içi düzen çocukların kurduğu kurallara göre şekillenir. Fiziksel olarak sınırlandırılmış bu alan, aynı zamanda ahlaki sınırların da belirsizleştiği bir mekân haline gelir. Ev, çimentonun işlevini mekânsal düzlemde sürdürür; dış müdahaleyi engelleyen, içerideki durağanlığı pekiştiren bir kabuk işlevi görür. Bahçe ise evin organik karşılığı olarak sembolik ağı tamamlar. Normalde büyüme, gelişme ve doğal döngüyü temsil etmesi beklenen bahçe, romanda ihmal edilen ve kontrolsüz bir şekilde kendi haline bırakılan bir uzam haline gelir. Otların büyümesi, alanın bakımsızlaşması ve düzenin bozulması, çocukların psikolojik gelişimlerinin askıya alınmasını yansıtır. Bahçedeki doğal büyüme ile çocukların duygusal olgunlaşmasının gerçekleşmemesi arasındaki karşıtlık, sembolik sistemin dramatik etkisini artırır. Böylece bahçe, hem bastırılmış yasın hem de ertelenmiş yetişkinliğin mekânsal izdüşümü haline gelir. Sessizlik ve gizlilik de romanda maddi olmayan fakat son derece etkili sembolik güçler olarak ortaya çıkar. Çocukların annelerinin ölümünü saklamaları, komşularla ve dış dünya ile iletişimi sınırlamaları, etik müdahaleyi engelleyen bir koruma kalkanı oluşturur. Bu sessizlik, yalnızca konuşmamak anlamına gelmez; aynı zamanda toplumsal yargının ve ahlaki hesaplaşmanın dışarıda bırakılmasıdır. Gizlilik sayesinde çocuklar, eylemlerinin sonuçlarıyla yüzleşmekten kaçınır ve kendi içlerinde kapalı bir düzen kurarlar. Tüm bu unsurlar bir araya geldiğinde, romanın tutarlı ve bütünlüklü bir sembolik ağ oluşturduğu görülür. Çimento, ev, bahçe, sessizlik ve gizlilik; hepsi aynı mantık etrafında birleşir: sınırlama, mühürleme ve duygusal durağanlık. Bu kuşatılmışlık ve durgunluk tesadüfi değildir; çocukların yaşamına her düzeyde, yani hem fiziksel, hem duygusal ve hem de ahlaki boyutta sınırlamayı getiren ve uygulayan maddi ve manevi semboller arasındaki etkileşimin doğrudan sonuçlarıdır. Fiziksel mühürleme ve mekânsal yalıtım eylemleri aracılığıyla bastırma ve inkâr yalnızca temsil edilmez, somut olarak uygulanır da. Sonuç olarak bu çalışma, *Beton Bahçe*'de sembolizmin statik bir anlam üretme aracı olmadığını; algıyı, davranışı ve etik bilinci şekillendiren aktif bir strateji olarak işlediğini ortaya koymaktadır. McEwan'ın maddeselliğe verdiği önem, nesnelerin ve mekânların psikolojik durumları somutlaştırma gücüyle birleşerek romanın temel yapısını oluşturur. Bu süreç odaklı okuma, sembollerin ne anlama geldiğinden ziyade nasıl işlediğine dikkat çekerek, maddi olan ile sembolik olanın kesişiminde ortaya çıkan psikolojik ve ahlaki etkileri görünür kılmaktadır. Böylece çalışma, romanın merkezinde yer alan kuşatılmışlık ve duygusal durağanlık temasını, sembolik işleyiş üzerinden yeniden düşünmeye olanak tanımaktadır.