



## On a second-order evolution inclusion

Aurelian Cernea<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Academiei 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania.

<sup>b</sup> Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independenței 54, 050094 Bucharest, Romania.

---

### Abstract

We study a class of second-order evolution inclusions and we obtain a sufficient condition for  $f$ -local controllability along a reference trajectory.

*Keywords:* Differential inclusion, Local controllability, Mild solution.

*2010 MSC:* 34A60.

---

### 1. Introduction

In this note we are concerned with the following problem

$$x'' \in A(t)x + F(t, x), \quad x(0) \in X_0, \quad x'(0) \in X_1, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $F : [0, T] \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set-valued map,  $X$  is a separable Banach space,  $X_0, X_1 \subset X$  and  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a family of linear closed operators from  $X$  into  $X$  that generates an evolution system of operators  $\{\mathcal{U}(t, s)\}_{t, s \in [0, T]}$ .

The general framework of evolution operators  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  that define problem (1.1) has been developed by Kozak ([14]) and improved by Henriquez ([12]). In several recent papers ([2-5], [8-11]) existence results and qualitative properties of solutions for problem (1.1) have been obtained by using several techniques.

The aim of the present paper is to obtain a sufficient condition for  $f$ -local controllability of inclusion (1.1). We denote by  $S_F$  be the set of all mild solutions of (1.1) and by  $R_F(T)$  the reachable set of (1.1). If  $y(\cdot) \in S_F$  is a mild solution and if  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  is a locally Lipschitz function then we say that the differential inclusion (1.1) is  $f$ -locally controllable around  $y(\cdot)$  if  $h(y(T)) \in \text{int}(f(R_F(T)))$ . In particular, if  $f$  is the identity map the above definitions reduces to the usual concept of local controllability of systems around a solution.

The proof of our result is based on an approach of Tuan ([16]). More precisely, we prove that inclusion (1.1) is  $f$ -locally controllable around the solution  $y(\cdot)$  if a certain variational inclusion is  $h$ -locally controllable

---

*Email address:* [acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro](mailto:acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro) (Aurelian Cernea)

around the null solution for every  $h \in \partial f(z(T))$ , where  $\partial f(\cdot)$  denotes Clarke’s generalized Jacobian of the locally Lipschitz function  $f$ . The main tools in the proof of our result is a continuous version of Filippov’s theorem for mild solutions of problem (1.1) obtained in [8] and a certain generalization of the classical open mapping principle in [17].

We note that similar results for other classes of differential inclusions may be found in our previous papers [6,7].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present some preliminary results to be used in the sequel and in Section 3 we present our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us denote by  $I$  the interval  $[0, T]$  and let  $X$  be a real separable Banach space with the norm  $|\cdot|$  and with the corresponding metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{L}(I)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $I$ , by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the family of all nonempty subsets of  $X$  and by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the family of all Borel subsets of  $X$ . Recall that the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance of the closed subsets  $A, B \subset X$  is defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{d^*(A, B), d^*(B, A)\}, \quad d^*(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B); a \in A\},$$

where  $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$ .

As usual, we denote by  $C(I, X)$  the Banach space of all continuous functions  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  endowed with the norm  $\|x(\cdot)\|_C = \sup_{t \in I} \|x(t)\|$ , by  $L^1(I, X)$  the Banach space of all (Bochner) integrable functions  $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$  endowed with the norm  $\|x(\cdot)\|_1 = \int_I \|x(t)\| dt$  and by  $B(X)$  the Banach space of linear bounded operators on  $X$ .

In what follows  $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a family of linear closed operators from  $X$  into  $X$  that generates an evolution system of operators  $\{\mathcal{U}(t, s)\}_{t, s \in I}$ . By hypothesis the domain of  $A(t)$ ,  $D(A(t))$  is dense in  $X$  and is independent of  $t$ .

**Definition 2.1.** ([12,14]) A family of bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{U}(t, s) : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $(t, s) \in \Delta := \{(t, s) \in I \times I; s \leq t\}$  is called an evolution operator of the equation

$$x''(t) = A(t)x(t) \tag{2.1}$$

if

- i) For any  $x \in X$ , the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(t, s)x$  is continuously differentiable and
  - a)  $\mathcal{U}(t, t) = 0, t \in I$ .
  - b) If  $t \in I, x \in X$  then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x|_{t=s} = x$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x|_{t=s} = -x$ .
- ii) If  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x \in D(A(t))$ , the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(t, s)x$  is of class  $C^2$  and
  - a)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x \equiv A(t)\mathcal{U}(t, s)x$ .
  - b)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x \equiv \mathcal{U}(t, s)A(t)x$ .
  - c)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x|_{t=s} = 0$ .
- iii) If  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , then there exist  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x, \frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x$  and
  - a)  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x \equiv A(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x$  and the map  $(t, s) \rightarrow A(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x$  is continuous.
  - b)  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s)x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{U}(t, s)A(s)x$ .

As an example for equation (2.1) one may consider the problem (e.g., [12])

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial t^2}(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \tau^2}(t, \tau) + a(t) \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(t, \tau), \quad t \in [0, T], \tau \in [0, 2\pi],$$

$$z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}(t, 2\pi), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $a(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a continuous function. This problem is modeled in the space  $X = L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$  of  $2\pi$ -periodic 2-integrable functions from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $A_1 z = \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2}$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$  the Sobolev space of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions whose derivatives belong to  $L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$ . It is well known that  $A_1$  is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous cosine functions  $C(t)$  on  $X$ . Moreover,  $A_1$  has discrete spectrum; namely the spectrum of  $A_1$  consists of eigenvalues  $-n^2$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  with associated eigenvectors  $z_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{in\tau}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . The set  $z_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $X$ . In particular,  $A_1 z = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} -n^2 \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n$ ,  $z \in D(A_1)$ . The cosine function is given by  $C(t)z = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \cos(nt) \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n$  with the associated sine function  $S(t)z = t \langle z, z_0 \rangle z_0 + \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^*} \frac{\sin(nt)}{n} \langle z, z_n \rangle z_n$ .

For  $t \in I$  define the operator  $A_2(t)z = a(t) \frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}$  with domain  $D(A_2(t)) = H^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$ . Set  $A(t) = A_1 + A_2(t)$ . It has been proved in [12] that this family generates an evolution operator as in Definition 1.

**Definition 2.2.** A continuous mapping  $x(\cdot) \in C(I, X)$  is called a mild solution of problem (1.1) if there exists a (Bochner) integrable function  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, X)$  such that

$$f(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (I), \tag{2.2}$$

$$x(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{U}(t, 0)x_0 + \mathcal{U}(t, 0)y_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}(t, s)f(s)ds, \quad t \in I. \tag{2.3}$$

We shall call  $(x(\cdot), f(\cdot))$  a trajectory-selection pair of (1.1) if  $f(\cdot)$  verifies (2.2) and  $x(\cdot)$  is defined by (2.3).

**Hypothesis H1.** i)  $F(\cdot, \cdot) : I \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  has nonempty closed values and is  $\mathcal{L}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$  measurable.  
 ii) There exists  $l(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}_+)$  such that, for any  $t \in I$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is  $l(t)$ -Lipschitz in the sense that

$$d_H(F(t, x_1), F(t, x_2)) \leq l(t)|x_1 - x_2| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

**Hypothesis H2.** Let  $S$  be a separable metric space,  $X_0, X_1 \subset X$  are closed sets,  $a_0(\cdot) : S \rightarrow X_0$ ,  $a_1(\cdot) : S \rightarrow X_1$  and  $c(\cdot) : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  are given continuous mappings.

The continuous mappings  $g(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, X)$ ,  $y(\cdot) : S \rightarrow C(I, X)$  are given such that

$$(y(s))''(t) = A(t)y(s)(t) + g(s)(t), \quad y(s)(0) \in X_0, \quad (y(s))'(0) \in X_1.$$

and there exists a continuous function  $q(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, \mathbf{R}_+)$  such that

$$d(g(s)(t), F(t, y(s)(t))) \leq q(s)(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (I), \quad \forall s \in S. \tag{2.4}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** ([10]) Assume that Hypotheses H1 and H2 are satisfied.

Then there exist  $M > 0$  and the continuous functions  $x(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, X)$ ,  $h(\cdot) : S \rightarrow C(I, X)$  such that for any  $s \in S$   $(x(s)(\cdot), h(s)(\cdot))$  is a trajectory-selection of (1.1) satisfying for any  $(t, s) \in I \times S$

$$x(s)(0) = a_0(s), \quad (x(s))'(0) = a_1(s),$$

$$|x(s)(t) - y(s)(t)| \leq M[c(s) + |a_0(s) - y(s)(0)| + |a_1(s) - (y(s))'(0)| + \int_0^t q(s)(u)du]. \tag{2.5}$$

In what follows we assume that  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ .

A closed convex cone  $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is said to be regular tangent cone to the set  $X$  at  $x \in X$  ([16]) if there exists continuous mappings  $q_\lambda : C \cap B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\forall \lambda > 0$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{v \in C \cap B} \frac{|q_\lambda(v)|}{\lambda} = 0,$$

$$x + \lambda v + q_\lambda(v) \in X \quad \forall \lambda > 0, v \in C \cap B,$$

where  $B$  is the closed unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We recall, also, some well known intrinsic tangent cones in the literature (e.g. [1]); namely, the *contingent*, the *quasitangent* and *Clarke's tangent cones*, defines, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} K_x X &= \{v \in \mathbf{R}^n; \exists s_m \rightarrow 0+, x_m \in X : \frac{x_m - x}{s_m} \rightarrow v\} \\ Q_x X &= \{v \in \mathbf{R}^n; \forall s_m \rightarrow 0+, \exists x_m \in X : \frac{x_m - x}{s_m} \rightarrow v\} \\ C_x X &= \{v \in \mathbf{R}^n; \forall (x_m, s_m) \rightarrow (x, 0+), x_m \in X, \exists y_m \in X : \frac{y_m - x_m}{s_m} \rightarrow v\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that, unlike  $K_x X, Q_x X$ , the cone  $C_x X$  is convex and one has  $C_x X \subset Q_x X \subset K_x X$ .

The results in the next section will be expressed, in the case when the mapping  $f(\cdot) : X \subset \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  is locally Lipschitz at  $x$ , in terms of the Clarke generalized Jacobian, defined by ([11])

$$\partial f(x) = \text{co}\{\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f'(x_i); x_i \rightarrow x, x_i \in X \setminus J_f\},$$

where  $J_f$  is the set of points at which  $f$  is not differentiable.

Corresponding to each type of tangent cone, say  $\tau_x X$  one may introduce (e.g. [1]) a *set-valued directional derivative* of a multifunction  $G(\cdot) : X \subset \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (in particular of a single-valued mapping) at a point  $(x, y) \in \text{graph}(G)$  as follows

$$\tau_y G(x; v) = \{w \in \mathbf{R}^n; (v, w) \in \tau_{(x,y)} \text{graph}(G)\}, \in \tau_x X.$$

We recall that a set-valued map,  $A(\cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is said to be a *convex* (respectively, closed convex) *process* if  $\text{graph}(A(\cdot)) \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  is a convex (respectively, closed convex) cone. For the basic properties of convex processes we refer to [1], but we shall use here only the above definition.

**Hypothesis H3.** i) *Hypothesis H1 is satisfied and  $X_0, X_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  are closed sets.*

ii)  *$(y(\cdot), g(\cdot)) \in C(I, \mathbf{R}^n) \times L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$  is a trajectory-selection pair of (1.1) and a family  $L(t, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $t \in I$  of convex processes satisfying the condition*

$$L(t, u) \subset Q_{g(t)} F(t, \cdot)(y(t); u) \quad \forall u \in \text{dom}(P(t, \cdot)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I \tag{2.6}$$

*is assumed to be given.*

The family of convex processes in Hypothesis H3 defines the variational inclusion

$$v'' \in A(t)v + L(t, v). \tag{2.7}$$

**Remark 2.4.** We point out that Hypothesis H3 is not restrictive, since for any set-valued map  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ , one may find an infinite number of families of convex processes  $L(t, \cdot)$ ,  $t \in I$ , satisfying condition (2.6). Any family of closed convex subcones of the quasitangent cones,  $\bar{L}(t) \subset Q_{(y(t), g(t))} \text{graph}(F(t, \cdot))$ , defines the family of closed convex processes

$$L(t, u) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^n; (u, v) \in \bar{L}(t)\}, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \in I$$

that satisfy condition (2.6). For example one may take an "intrinsic" family of such closed convex process given by Clarke's convex-valued directional derivatives  $C_{g(t)} F(t, \cdot)(y(t); \cdot)$ .

Since  $F(t, \cdot)$  is assumed to be Lipschitz a.e. on  $I$ , the quasitangent directional derivative is given by ([1])

$$Q_{g(t)} F(t, \cdot)((y(t); u)) = \{w \in \mathbf{R}^n; \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\theta} d(g(t) + \theta w, F(t, y(t) + \theta u)) = 0\}. \tag{2.8}$$

In what follows  $B_{\mathbf{R}^n}$  denotes the closed unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $0_n$  denotes the null element in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Consider  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  an arbitrary given function.

**Definition 2.5.** Differential inclusion (1.1) is said to be *f-locally controllable* around  $y(\cdot)$  if  $f(y(T)) \in \text{int}(f(R_F(T)))$ .

In particular, differential inclusion (1.1) is said to be *locally controllable* around the solution  $y(\cdot)$  if  $y(T) \in \text{int}(R_F(T))$ .

Finally a key tool in the proof of our results is the following generalization of the classical open mapping principle due to Warga ([17]).

For  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  we define

$$\Sigma_k := \{\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k); \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \leq 1, \beta_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

**Lemma 2.6.** ([17]) *Let  $\delta \leq 1$ , let  $g(\cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be a mapping that is  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of  $0_n$  containing  $\delta B_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ . Assume that there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that for every  $\theta \in \delta \Sigma_n$ ,  $\beta B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset g'(\theta) \Sigma_n$ . Then, for any continuous mapping  $\varphi : \delta \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  that satisfies  $\sup_{\theta \in \delta \Sigma_n} |g(\theta) - \varphi(\theta)| \leq \frac{\delta \beta}{32}$  we have  $\varphi(0_n) + \frac{\delta \beta}{16} B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset \varphi(\delta \Sigma_n)$ .*

### 3. The main result

In order to prove our result we assume that Hypothesis H3 is satisfied,  $C_0$  is a regular tangent cone to  $X_0$  at  $y(0)$  and  $C_1$  is a regular tangent cone to  $X_1$  at  $y'(0)$ . We denote by  $S_L$  the set of all solutions of the differential inclusion

$$w'' \in A(t)w + L(t, w), \quad w(0) \in C_0, \quad w'(0) \in C_1$$

and by  $R_L(T) = \{x(T); x(\cdot) \in S_L\}$  its reachable set at time  $T$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that Hypothesis H3 is satisfied and let  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be a Lipschitz function with  $m$  its Lipschitz constant.*

*Then, differential inclusion (1.1) is  $f$ -locally controllable around the solution  $y(\cdot)$  if*

$$0_m \in \text{int}(hR_L(T)) \quad \forall h \in \partial f(y(T)). \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.*  $hR_L(T)$  is a convex cone, thus, by (3.1), it follows that  $hR_L(T) = \mathbf{R}^m \forall h \in \partial f(y(T))$ . Taking into account that the set  $\partial f(y(T))$  is compact (e.g., [11]), we have that for every  $\gamma > 0$  there exist  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $w_j \in R_L(T) \ j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that

$$\gamma B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset h(w(\Sigma_k)) \quad \forall h \in \partial f(y(T)), \tag{3.2}$$

with

$$w(\Sigma_k) = \{w(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j w_j, \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \Sigma_k\}.$$

Using an usual separation theorem we deduce the existence of  $\gamma_1, r_1 > 0$  such that for all  $h \in L(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^m)$  with  $d(h, \partial f(y(T))) \leq r_1$  we have

$$\gamma_1 B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset h(w(\Sigma_k)). \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $w_j \in R_L(T)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , there exist  $(w_j(\cdot), q_j(\cdot))$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  trajectory-selection pairs of (2.7) such that  $w_j = w_j(T)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . We note that  $\gamma > 0$  can be taken small enough such that  $|w_j(0)| \leq 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Define

$$w(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j w_j(t), \quad \bar{q}(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j q_j(t), \quad \forall s = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbf{R}^k.$$

Obviously,  $w(\cdot, s) \in S_L, \forall s \in \Sigma_k$ .

From the definition of  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  we find that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a continuous mapping  $o_\varepsilon : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$y(0) + \varepsilon w(0, s) + o_\varepsilon(s) \in X_0, \quad y'(0) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(0, s) + o_\varepsilon(s) \in X_1 \tag{3.4}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{s \in \Sigma_k} \frac{|o_\varepsilon(s)|}{\varepsilon} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\varepsilon(s)(t) &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d(\bar{q}(t, s), F(t, y(t) + \varepsilon w(t, s)) - g(t)), \\ d(t) &:= \sum_{j=1}^k [ \|q_j(t)\| + l(t) \|w_j(t)\| ], \quad t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for every  $s \in \Sigma_k$  one has

$$\rho_\varepsilon(s)(t) \leq |\bar{q}(t, s)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d_H(0_n, F(t, y(t) + \varepsilon w(t, s)) - g(t)) \leq |\bar{q}(t, s)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d_H(F(t, y(t)), F(t, y(t) + \varepsilon w(t, s))) \leq |\bar{q}(t, s)| + l(t) \|w(t, s)\| \leq d(t). \tag{3.6}$$

Next, if  $s_1, s_2 \in \Sigma_k$  one has

$$|\rho_\varepsilon(s_1)(t) - \rho_\varepsilon(s_2)(t)| \leq |\bar{q}(t, s_1) - \bar{q}(t, s_2)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d_H(F(t, y(t) + \varepsilon w(t, s_1)), F(t, y(t) + \varepsilon w(t, s_2))) \leq |s_1 - s_2| \cdot \max_{j=1, \dots, k} [ \|q_j(t)\| + l(t) \|w_j(t)\| ],$$

thus  $\rho_\varepsilon(\cdot)(t)$  is Lipschitz with a Lipschitz constant not depending on  $\varepsilon$ .

At the same time, from (2.8) it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_\varepsilon(s)(t) = 0 \quad a.e. (I), \quad \forall s \in \Sigma_k$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{s \in \Sigma_k} \rho_\varepsilon(s)(t) = 0 \quad a.e. (I). \tag{3.7}$$

Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^T \max_{s \in \Sigma_k} \rho_\varepsilon(s)(t) dt = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.4), (3.5), (3.8) and the upper semicontinuity of the Clarke generalized Jacobian we can find  $\varepsilon_0, e_0 > 0$  such that

$$\max_{s \in \Sigma_k} \frac{\|o_{\varepsilon_0}(s)\|}{\varepsilon_0} + \int_0^T \max_{s \in \Sigma_k} \rho_{\varepsilon_0}(s)(t) dt \leq \frac{\gamma_1}{2^8 m^2}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\varepsilon_0 w(T, s) \leq \frac{e_0}{2} \quad \forall s \in \Sigma_k. \tag{3.10}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} y(s)(t) &:= y(t) + \varepsilon_0 w(t, s), \quad g(s)(t) := g(t) + \varepsilon_0 \bar{q}(t, s) \quad s \in \mathbf{R}^k, \\ a_0(s) &:= y(0) + \varepsilon_0 w(0, s) + o_{\varepsilon_0}(s), \quad a_1(s) := y'(0) + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(0, s) + o_{\varepsilon_0}(s), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}^k, \end{aligned}$$

and we apply Theorem 2.3 in order to obtain that there exists a continuous function  $x(\cdot) : \Sigma_k \rightarrow C(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$  such that for any  $s \in \Sigma_k$  the function  $x(s)(\cdot)$  is a mild solution of the differential inclusion  $x'' \in A(t)x + F(t, x)$ ,  $x(s)(0) = a_0(s)$ ,  $(x(s))'(0) = a_1(s) \forall s \in \Sigma_k$  and one has

$$\|x(s)(T) - y(s)(T)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0 \gamma_1}{2^6 m} \quad \forall s \in \Sigma_k. \tag{3.11}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x - ay) \chi(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \psi(s) &:= f_0(y(T) + \varepsilon_0 w(T, s)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi(\cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $C^\infty$  function with the support contained in  $B_{\mathbf{R}^n}$  that satisfies  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \chi(y)dy = 1$  and  $a = \min\{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{2^6m}\}$ .

Hence  $f_0(\cdot)$  is of class  $C^\infty$  and verifies

$$\|f(x) - f_0(x)\| \leq m \cdot a, \tag{3.12}$$

$$f'_0(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f'(x - ay)\chi(y)dy. \tag{3.13}$$

In particular,

$$f'_0(x) \in \overline{\text{co}}\{f'(u); \|u - x\| \leq a, f'(u) \text{ exists}\},$$

$$\psi'(s)\mu = f'_0(y(T) + \varepsilon_0w(T, s))\varepsilon_0w(T, \mu) \quad \forall \mu \in \Sigma_k.$$

If we denote  $h(s) := f'_0(y(T) + \varepsilon_0w(T, s))$ , then  $\psi'(s)\mu = h(s)\varepsilon_0w(T, \mu) \quad \forall \mu \in \Sigma_k$ .

Taking into account, again, the upper semicontinuity of the Clarke generalized Jacobian we obtain

$$d(h(s), \partial f(z(T))) = d(f'_0(y(T) + \varepsilon_0w(T, s)), \partial f(y(T))) \leq \sup\{d(f'_0(u), \partial f(y(T))); \|u - y(T)\| \leq \|u - (y(T) + \varepsilon_0w(T, s))\| + \|\varepsilon_0w(t, s)\| \leq e_0, f'(u) \text{ exists}\} < r_1.$$

The last inequality together with (3.3) gives

$$\gamma_1 B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset h(s)w(\Sigma_k).$$

and therefore

$$\varepsilon_0\gamma_1 B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset h(s)\varepsilon_0w(\Sigma_k) = h(s)\varepsilon_0w(T, \mu) = \psi'(s)\mu, \quad \forall \mu \in \Sigma_k,$$

i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_0\gamma_1 B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset \psi'(s)\Sigma_k.$$

Finally, for  $s \in \Sigma_k$ , we put  $\varphi(s) = f(x(s)(T))$ .

Obviously,  $\varphi(\cdot)$  is continuous and from (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) one may write

$$|\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| = |f(x(s)(T)) - f_0(y(s)(T))| \leq |f(x(s)(T)) - f(y(s)(T))| + |f(y(s)(T)) - f_0(y(s)(T))| \leq m|x(s)(T) - y(s)(T)| + m \cdot a \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{64} + \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{64} = \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{32}.$$

It remains to apply Lemma 2.6 and to find that

$$f(x(0_k)(T)) + \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{16} B_{\mathbf{R}^m} \subset \varphi(\Sigma_k) \subset f(R_F(T)).$$

Finally,  $|f(y(T)) - f(x(0_k)(T))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0\gamma_1}{64}$ , so we have  $f(z(T)) \in \text{int}(f(R_F(T)))$ , which completes the proof.

### References

- [1] J.P.Aubin, H.Frankowska, *Set-valued Analysis*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1990.
- [2] A.Baliki, M.Benchohra, J.R. Graef, Global existence and stability of second order functional evolution equations with infinite delay, *Electronic J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equations*, **2016**, no. 23, (2016), 1–10.
- [3] A.Baliki, M.Benchohra, J.J. Nieto, Qualitative analysis of second-order functional evolution equations, *Dynamic Syst. Appl.*, **24** (2015), 559–572.
- [4] M.Benchohra, I.Medjadj, Global existence results for second order neutral functional differential equations with state-dependent delay, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **57**, (2016), 169–183.
- [5] M. Benchohra, N. Rezzoug, Measure of noncompactness and second-order evolution equations, *Gulf J. Math.*, **4** (2016), 71–79.
- [6] A.Cernea, On controllability for Sturm-Liouville type differential inclusions, *Filomat*, **27** (2013), 1321–1327.
- [7] A.Cernea, On controllability for nonconvex semilinear differential inclusions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **6** (2013), 145–151.
- [8] A.Cernea, A note on the solutions of a second-order evolution inclusion in non separable Banach spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **58** (2017), 307–314.
- [9] A.Cernea, Some remarks on the solutions of a second-order evolution inclusion, *Dynamic Syst. Appl.*, **27** (2018), 319–330.

- [10] A. Cernea, Continuous selections of solution sets of a second-order integro-differential inclusion, *Differential and Difference Equations with Application, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics*, **230**, Editors S. Pinelas, T. Caraballo, J. Graef, P. Kloeden, Springer, Cham, 2018, 53–65.
- [11] F.H.Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1983.
- [12] H.R.Henriquez, Existence of solutions of nonautonomous second order functional differential equations with infinite delay, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74** (2011), 3333–3352.
- [13] H.R.Henriquez, V.Poblete, J.C. Pozo, Mild solutions of non-autonomous second order problems with nonlocal initial conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **412** (2014), 1064–1083.
- [14] M.Kozak, A fundamental solution of a second-order differential equation in a Banach space, *Univ. Jagel. Acta. Math.*, **32** (1995), 275–289.
- [15] E.S.Polovinkin, G.V.Smirnov, An approach to differentiation of many-valued mapping and necessary condition for optimization of solution of differential inclusions, *Differential Equations*, **22** (1986), 660–668.
- [16] H.D.Tuan, On controllability and extremality in nonconvex differential inclusions, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **85** (1995) 437–474.
- [17] J.Warga, Controllability, extremality and abnormality in nonsmooth optimal control, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **41** (1983) 239–260.