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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a ring with an endomorphism $\sigma$. We introduce the notion of $\sigma$ - J-rigid rings as a generalization of $\sigma$-rigid rings, and investigate its properties. It is proved that a ring $R$ is $\sigma-J$-rigid if and only if $R[[x ; \sigma]]$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid, while the $\sigma-J$-rigid property is not Morita invariant. Moreover, we prove that every ring isomorphism preserves $J$-rigid structure, and several known results are extended.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $R$ denotes an associative ring with identity and $\sigma$ is an endomorphism of $R$. We denote the set of invertible elements of $R$, the Jacobson radical, the upper nil radical (i.e., the sum of all nil ideals), the set of all nilpotent elements of $R$ and the ring of $n$-by- $n$ matrices over $R$, by $U(R), J(R), n i \ell^{*}(R), n i \ell(R)$ and $M_{n}(R)$, respectively. In what follows, $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the ring of integer numbers and for a positive integer $n, \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is the ring of integers modulo $n$.

According to Krempa [10], an endomorphism $\sigma$ of a ring $R$ is said to be rigid if $a \sigma(a)=$ 0 implies $a=0$ for $a \in R$. Later a ring $R$ is called $\sigma$-rigid if there exists a $\sigma$-rigid endomorphism of $R$ in Hong et al.'s article [7]. We recall that a ring is said to be reduced if it has no non-zero nilpotent element. Note that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is monomorphism and $\sigma$-rigid rings are reduced by Hong et al. [7]. In this work, we introduce and study $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid rings as a generalization of rigid rings. A ring $R$ with an endomorphism $\sigma$ is called $\sigma$-J-rigid if for each $a \in R, a \sigma(a)=0$ implies $a \in J(R)$. Among of the results, we show that local rings are $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid for an endomorphism $\sigma$. We also study some famous extensions of $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid rings. Suppose that the endomorphism $\sigma$ is monomorphism. We say that an over-ring $A$ of $R$ is a Jordan extension of $R$ if $\sigma$ can be extended to an automorphism of $A$ and $A=\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{k}(R)$. Jordan showed with the technique of left localization to the Ore extension $R[x ; \sigma]$ with respect to the set of powers of $x$, that for any pair $(R ; \sigma)$, such an extension $A$ always exists. In this paper, we prove

[^0]that for a ring $R$ with a monomorphism $\sigma$ and some additional conditions, $\sigma$ - J-rigidity and some related properties transfer from $R$ to $A$ and viceversa.

## 2. Some properties of $J$-rigid rings

Definition 2.1. A ring $R$ with an endomorphism $\sigma$ is called $\sigma$-J-rigid if for each $a \in R$, $a \sigma(a)=0$ implies that $a \in J(R)$, and a subring $S$ of $R$ is called $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid if $S$ satisfies the same condition as $R$ and $\sigma(S) \subseteq S$.

For $J$-semisimple rings, the concepts of $\sigma$-rigid and $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid are equivalent. Also, $\sigma$ rigid rings are $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid, but the following example shows that the converse is not true, in general.
Example 2.2. Let $R=\binom{F}{$\hline} , where $F$ is a field and $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ be an endomorphism defined by $\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)$. Then it can be seen that $R$ is a $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid ring. But, since:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \neq\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

then $R$ is not $\sigma$-rigid.
Now, let $R$ is a $\sigma$ - $J$ rigid ring and $I$ a $\sigma$-ideal (i.e., $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$ ), then $I$ is also $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid. In fact, for any $a \in I$ with $a \sigma(a)=0$, then we have $a \in I \cap J(R)=J(I)$. Using this fact to $R=\prod_{i \in I} R_{i}$, if $R$ is $\sigma-J$ rigid, then so is every $R_{i}$ as an ideal of $R$. Conversely, if every $R_{i}$ is $\sigma$-J rigid, then clearly so is $R=\prod_{i \in I} R_{i}$. In particular we have:
Corollary 2.3. Let e be a non-zero central idempotent of a ring $R$. Then eR and $(1-e) R$ are $\sigma$-J-rigid rings if and only if so is $R$.
Proposition 2.4. Let $\sigma$ be an endomorphism of $R$ such that $\sigma(e R e) \subseteq e R e$ and $R$ be a $\sigma$-J-rigid ring. Then eRe is $\sigma$-J-rigid for any $e^{2}=e$ of $R$.
Proof. If (ere $) \sigma($ ere $)=0$, then ere $\in J(R)$ by $\sigma$ - J-rigidity of $R$. So ere $=e($ ere $) e \in$ $e J(R) e=J(e R e)$, as desired.

Although $\sigma$-rigid rings are reduced by Hong [7], the above example shows that $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid rings are not necessarily reduced. Also, reduced rings are not necessarily $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid, by the following examples.
Example 2.5. Let $S$ be any ring and $R=S \times S$. Define $\sigma(a, b)=(b, a)$ for all $(a, b) \in R$, each $S$ as subring of $R$ is not $\sigma$-subring (i.e., $\sigma(S) \nsubseteq S$ ) and so is not $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid. So we get the desired conclusion by taking $R$ be any reduced ring.
Example 2.6. Let $R=\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b(\bmod 2)\}$ be a ring with additive and multiplicative pairwise. Then $R$ is a commutative reduced ring. Suppose $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ is an endomorphism defined by $\sigma((a, b))=(b, a)$. We have $(2,0) \sigma((2,0))=(0,0)$ and $(2,0) \notin J(R)$, since $R$ is $J$-semisimple.

For an ideal $I$ of a ring $R$ with an endomorphism $\sigma$, if $I$ is a $\sigma$-ideal (i.e. $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$ ), then $\bar{\sigma}: R / I \rightarrow R / I$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}(r+I)=\sigma(r)+I$ is an endomorphism of $R / I$.
Proposition 2.7. Let $R$ be a ring with an endomorphism $\sigma$ and $I$ be a $\sigma$-ideal of $R$ such that $I \subseteq J(R)$. If $R / I$ is $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid, then $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid.
Proof. Suppose $r \sigma(r)=0$. Therefore, $\bar{r} \bar{\sigma}(\bar{r})=\overline{0}$. Since $R / I$ is $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid, then $\bar{r} \in J(R / I)$ and so $r \in J(R)$.

In the following, we state an example of rings which satisfies the condition of Proposition 2.7.

Example 2.8. Let $T(R)$ be the ring of countably infinite upper triangular matrices over a $\sigma$ - J-rigid ring $R$ with $\bar{\sigma}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)=\left(\sigma\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)$ for each $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in T(R)$ and $I$ be the ideal of $T(R)$ with all diagonal elements zero. It is easy to see that $I \subseteq J(T(R))$. Also, $T(R) / I \cong \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R$ is $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid. So $T(R)$ is $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid, by above proposition.

The converse of Proposition 2.7 is not true with help of the next example.
Example 2.9. Let $R$ denote the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at $3 \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the ring of quaternions $Q$ over the ring $R$, that is, a free $R$-module with basis $1, i, j, k$. Then $Q$ is a noncommutative domain and $J(Q)=3 Q$. So $Q$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid for any monomorphism $\sigma$ of $Q$. On the other hand, $Q / J(Q)$ is isomorphic to $2 \times 2$ full matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ via an isomorphism $f$ defined by

$$
f\left(\frac{a_{0}}{b_{0}}+\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}} i+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}} j+\frac{a_{3}}{b_{3}} k+3 Q\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} b_{0}^{-1}+a_{1} b_{1}^{-1}-a_{2} b_{2}^{-1} & a_{1} b_{1}^{-1}+a_{2} b_{2}^{-1}-a_{3} b_{3}^{-1} \\
a_{1} b_{1}^{-1}+a_{2} b_{2}^{-1}+a_{3} b_{3}^{-1} & a_{0} b_{0}^{-1}-a_{1} b_{1}^{-1}+a_{2} b_{2}^{-1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where the entries of the matrix are read modulo the ideal $<3>$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. Define $\bar{\sigma}: M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rightarrow$ $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\sigma}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma(a) & \sigma(b) \\
\sigma(c) & \sigma(d)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ is not $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid and so is not $Q / J(Q)$.
According to Hang et al. [7], for a ring $R$ with an endomorphism $\sigma$, a $\sigma$-ideal $I$ is called $\sigma$-rigid if for each $a \in R, a \sigma(a) \in I$ implies that $a \in I$.

Proposition 2.10. Let I be a $\sigma$-rigid ideal of a $\sigma$-J-rigid ring $R$. Then $R / I$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
Proof. Suppose $\bar{r} \bar{\sigma}(\bar{r})=\overline{0}$. Therefore, $r \sigma(r) \in I$. So $r \in I$, since $I$ is $\sigma$-rigid ideal and hence, $\bar{r} \in J(R / I)$.

Now, we prove that the class of $\sigma$ - $J$ rigid rings contains local rings as a proper subclass.
Proposition 2.11. Let $R$ be a local ring. Then $R$ is $\sigma-J$ rigid for any endomorphism $\sigma$ of $R$.

Proof. Let $R$ be a local ring. Then $J(R)=m$ in which $m$ is the only maximal ideal of $R$. Suppose that $\bar{\sigma}$ is an endomorphism of $R / m$ such that defined by $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{r})=\sigma(r)+m$. Let $r \sigma(r)=0$ for $r \in R$. Then $\bar{r} \bar{\sigma}(\bar{r})=\overline{0}$. Since $R / m$ is division ring, then $r \in m$ or $\sigma(r) \in m$. If $\sigma(r) \in m$, then $\sigma(r)$ and consequently $r$ are not invertible. Hence $r \in m$, as desired.

The converse of the above proposition is not true by the following example.
Example 2.12. Let $F$ be a field and $R=\left(\begin{array}{c}F \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ are $\left(\begin{array}{cc}F & F \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & F \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. Hence, $R$ is not a local ring, but $R$ is a $\sigma$ - $J$ - rigid ring by the Example 2.2.

Proposition 2.13. Let $R$ be a ring with an endomorphism $\sigma, S$ be a ring and $\alpha: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring isomorphism. Then $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid if and only if $S$ is $\alpha \sigma \alpha^{-1}-J$-rigid.
Proof. Suppose that $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid. Let $s\left(\alpha \sigma \alpha^{-1}\right)(s)=0$ for some $s \in S$. So $\alpha^{-1}(s) \sigma\left(\alpha^{-1}(s)\right)=0$ and thus $\alpha^{-1}(s) \in J(R)$, since $R$ is $\sigma$ - J-rigid. Therefore, $s=$ $\alpha\left(\alpha^{-1}(s)\right) \in \alpha(J(R))=J(S)$. Conversely, suppose $S$ is $\alpha \sigma \alpha^{-1}$ - $J$-rigid. Let $r \sigma(r)=0$. Then $\alpha(r) \alpha(\sigma(r))=0$ and so $\alpha(r) \alpha\left(\sigma\left(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(r))\right)=0\right.$. Therefore, $\alpha(r) \in J(S)$. Thus $r \in \alpha^{-1}(J(S)) \subseteq J(R)$ and we are done.

## 3. Extensions of $J$-rigid rings

Let $R$ and $S$ be two rings with endomorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively and $M$ be an ( $R, S$ )-bimodule. Then

$$
T(R, M, S)=R \oplus M \oplus S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R & M \\
0 & S
\end{array}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & m \\
0 & s
\end{array}\right): r \in R, m \in M, s \in S\right\}
$$

is a ring by usual addition and the following multiplication:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & m \\
0 & s
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & s^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r r^{\prime} r m^{\prime}+m s^{\prime} \\
0 & s s^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Also,

$$
\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & m \\
0 & s
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha(r) & m \\
0 & \beta(s)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an endomorphism of $T$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $R$ and $S$ be two rings with endomorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively and $M$ be an $(R, S)$-bimodule. Then $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}R & M \\ 0 & S\end{array}\right)$ is $\sigma$ - J-rigid if and only if $R$ and $S$ are $\alpha-J$-rigid and $\beta$-J-rigid, respectively.
Proof. Let $R$ and $S$ be $\alpha$ - $J$-rigid and $\beta$ - $J$-rigid, respectively and $\left(\begin{array}{c}r \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right) \in T$ with $\left(\begin{array}{ll}r & m \\ 0 & s\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}r & m \\ 0 & s\end{array}\right)\right)=0$. Then we have $r \alpha(r)=0$ and $s \beta(s)=0$. This implies that $r \in J(R)$ and $s \in J(S)$. Therefore, $\left(\begin{array}{c}r \\ m \\ 0\end{array}\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}J(R) & M \\ 0 & J(S)\end{array}\right)=J(T)$, as desired. Conversely, let $r \alpha(r)=s \beta(s)=0$ for some $r \in R$ and $s \in S$. Thus $\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}r & 0 \\ 0 & s\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}r & 0 \\ 0 & s\end{array}\right)\right)=0$ and so $\left(\begin{array}{l}r \\ r \\ 0\end{array}\right) \in J(T)$, by $\sigma$ - $J$-rigidity of $T$. Hence, $r \in J(R)$ and $s \in J(S)$ and the result follows.

Corollary 3.2. Let $R$ be a ring with an endomorphism $\sigma$. Then
(i) $T(R, M)$ is a $\bar{\sigma}$-J-rigid ring if and only if $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid.
(ii) The trivial extension $T(R, R)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid if and only if $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid.

In Proposition 2.4, we proved that if $R$ is a $\sigma-J$-rigid ring, then so is $e R e$. In the following, we give an example which shows that $\sigma$ - $J$-rigidity of $R$ does not transfer to the full matrix ring $M_{n}(R)$ and so $J$-rigid property is not Morita invariant.

Example 3.3. Let $k$ be a field with monomorphism $\sigma$. Then $k$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid. Now, let $R=M_{2}(k)$ and define $\bar{\sigma}: R \rightarrow R$ such that:

$$
\bar{\sigma}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma(a) & \sigma(b) \\
\sigma(c) & \sigma(d)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \bar{\sigma}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \notin J(R)=0
$$

and consequently $R$ is not $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid.
Let $F \cup\{0\}$ be the free monoid generated by $U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\}$ with 0 added, and $M$ be a factor of $F$ by setting certain monomial in $U$ to 0 . In fact for some positive integer $n \geq 2, M^{\prime n}=0$, where $M^{\prime}=M \backslash\{e\}$ and $e$ is the identity of $M$. In [5] the authors defined and studied the skew monoid ring $R[M ; \alpha]$, by taking its elements to be finite formal combinations $\sum_{g \in M} r_{g} g$ with usual addition and multiplication subject to the relation $u_{i} r=\alpha(r) u_{i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Clearly for any endomorphism $\sigma$ of $R$, if $\alpha \sigma=\sigma \alpha$, then $\bar{\sigma}: R[M ; \alpha] \rightarrow R[M ; \alpha]$ with $\bar{\sigma}\left(\sum_{g \in M} r_{g} g\right)=\sum_{g \in M} \sigma\left(r_{g}\right) g$ is an endomorphism of $R[M ; \alpha]$.
Theorem 3.4. The ring $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid if and only if $R[M ; \alpha]$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.

Proof. Let $R$ be $\sigma$-J-rigid and $\left(\sum_{g \in M} r_{g} g\right) \bar{\sigma}\left(\sum_{g \in M} r_{g} g\right)=0$. Then $r_{e} \sigma\left(r_{e}\right)=0$ and so $r_{e} \in J(R)$, by $\sigma-J$-rigidity of $R$. Thus $\left(\sum_{g \in M} r_{g} g\right) \in J(R[M ; \alpha])$, by [5, Theorem 2.9]. Conversely, let $R[M ; \alpha]$ be $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid and for $r \in R$ we have $r \sigma(r)=0$. So $(r e)(\sigma(r) e)=0$. Therefore $(r e) \bar{\sigma}(r e)=0$ and so $r e \in J(R[M ; \alpha])$. Then $r \in J(R)$, by [5, Theorem 2.9] and the proof is complete.

Let $R$ be a ring and $\alpha$ denotes an endomorphism of $R$ with $\alpha(1)=1$. In [2] Chen et al. introduced skew triangular matrix ring as a set of all triangular matrices with addition point-wise and a new multiplication subject to the condition $E_{i j} r=\alpha^{j-i}(r) E_{i j}$ and denoted it by $T_{n}(R, \alpha)$. The subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant main diagonal is denoted by $S(R, n, \alpha)$; and the subring of the skew triangular matrices with constant diagonals is denoted by $T(R, n, \alpha)$. It is well-known that $T(R, n, \alpha) \cong R[x ; \alpha] /\left(x^{n}\right)$, where $R[x ; \alpha]$ is the skew polynomial ring with multiplication subject to the condition $x r=\alpha(r) x$ for each $r \in R$, and $\left(x^{n}\right)$ is the ideal generated by $x^{n}$. The rings $S(R, n, \alpha)$ and $T(R, n, \alpha)$ fit into the structure introduced above with $U=\left\{E_{12}, E_{23}, \ldots, E_{n-1, n}\right\}$ and $U=\left\{E_{12}+E_{23}+\cdots+E_{n-1, n}\right\}$, respectively.

We consider the following two subrings of $S(R, n, \alpha)$, as follow (see [6, Page 13]).

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(R, n, \alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_{j} E_{i, i+j-1}+\sum_{j=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j+1} a_{i, i+j-1} E_{i, i+j-1} ; \\
B(R, n, \alpha)=\left\{A+r E_{1 k} \mid A \in A(R, n, \alpha) \text { and } r \in R\right\} \quad n=2 k \geq 4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In [12] showed that $A(R, n, \alpha)$ and $B(R, n, \alpha)$ are also fit into the structure $R[M ; \alpha]$. If $\sigma$ is an endomorphism of $R$ such that $\alpha \sigma=\sigma \alpha$, then $\bar{\sigma}: S(R, n, \alpha) \rightarrow S(R, n, \alpha)$, given by $\bar{\sigma}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)=\left(\sigma\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)$ is an endomorphism of $S(R, n, \sigma)$. Now, as a corollary of Theorem 3.4, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5. Let $R$ be a ring with endomorphisms $\alpha$ and $\sigma$ such that $\alpha \sigma=\sigma \alpha$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid.
(ii) $S(R, n, \alpha)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
(iii) $A(R, n, \alpha)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
(iv) $B(R, n, \alpha)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
(v) $T(R, n, \alpha)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
(vi) $R[x ; \alpha] /\left(x^{n}\right)$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.

Let $R$ be a ring with endomorphism $\alpha$ and $\sigma$ such that $\alpha \sigma=\sigma \alpha$. Recall that $\bar{\sigma}$ : $R[[x ; \alpha]] \rightarrow R[[x ; \alpha]]$ given by $\bar{\sigma}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(a_{i}\right) x^{i}$ is an endomorphism.

Proposition 3.6. $R$ is a $\sigma$-J-rigid ring if and only if $R[[x ; \alpha]]$ is a $\bar{\sigma}$-J-rigid ring.
Proof. First, suppose that $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid and $f(x) \bar{\sigma}(f(x))=0$, where $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i}$. Therefore $a_{0} \sigma\left(a_{0}\right)=0$ and hence $a_{0} \in J(R)$. Next, let $g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} x^{i}$ be an arbitrary element of $R[[x ; \alpha]]$. Thus $1-a_{0} b_{0}$ is invertible and so $1-f(x) g(x)$ is an invertible series of $R[[x ; \alpha]]$. So $f(x) \in J(R[[x ; \alpha]])$. The converse is proved by the similar method.
Corollary 3.7. A ring $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid if and only if $R[[x]]$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
Let $R$ be a ring with endomorphism $\sigma$. A subring $S$ of $R$ is called $\sigma$-subring if $\sigma(S) \subseteq S$. In the following we give two examples which show that $\sigma$-subrings of a $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid ring need not be $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid.

Example 3.8. Let $F$ be a field. We note that $F[x]$ is a subring of $F[[x]]$. Define an endomorphism $\sigma: F[[x]] \rightarrow F[[x]]$ by $\sigma(f(x))=f(0)$ for $f(x) \in F[[x]]$. We consider $f(x)=a x$ for $a \neq 0$. We have $f(x) \sigma(f(x))=0$, but $f(x) \notin J(F[x])$. Then $F[x]$ is not $\sigma-J-$ rigid. Now we show that $F[[x]]$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid. Let $f(x) \sigma(f(x))=0$ where $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i}$. Then $a_{i} a_{0}=0$ for $i \geq 0$. It is clear that $1-f(x) g(x)$ is an invertible series of $F[[x]]$ for each $g(x) \in F[[x]]$. So $f(x) \in J(F[[x]])$ and we are done.

Example 3.9. For any countable field $K$, there exists a nil algebra $S$ over $K$ such that $S[x]$ is Jacobson radical (i.e. $J(S[x])=S[x]$ ) but $n i \ell^{*}(S[x])=0$ by [3, Lemma 2.5]. Let $R=K+S$. Then $R$ is a local ring, and so are $R[[x]]$ and $R[[x]][[y]]$. This means that $R[[x]][[y]]$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid for any endomorphism $\sigma$. We claim that subring $R[x][y]$ of $R[[x]][[y]]$ is not $\sigma$ - J-rigid. In fact, $J(R[x][y]) \subseteq n i \ell^{*}(R[x])[y]=n i \ell^{*}(S[x])[y]=0$ holds by [3, Lemma 2.4]. Indeed, this result is duo to Amitsur [1]. If $R[x][y]$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid, then it is $\sigma$-rigid, and so is reduced. This is an obvious contradiction.

Recall that an algebra over a commutative ring $S$ is just a ring $R$ equipped with a specified ring homomorphism $\phi$ from $S$ to the center of $R$. Then $\phi$ is used to define products of elements of $S$ with elements of $R$. In fact for $s \in S$ and $r \in R$, we set $s r$ equal to $\phi(s) r$. Using this product, we can view $R$ as an $S$-module.
Dorroh [4] introduced the Dorroh extension of $R$ by $S$ in which $R$ is an algebra over a non-zero commutative ring $S$. In fact $D=R \times S$ is the ring with operators

$$
\left(r_{1}, s_{1}\right)+\left(r_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}, s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \quad, \quad\left(r_{1}, s_{1}\right)\left(r_{2}, s_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1} r_{2}+s_{1} r_{2}+s_{2} r_{1}, s_{1} s_{2}\right),
$$

where $r_{i} \in R, s_{i} \in S$.
For an $S$-endomorphism $\sigma$ of $R$ and the Dorroh extension $D$ of $R$ by $S$, the non-zero map $\bar{\sigma}: D \rightarrow D$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}((r, s))=(\sigma(r), s)$ is an $S$-algebra homomorphism.

Theorem 3.10. Let $D=R \times S$ be the Dorroh extension of $R$ by $S$ such that $S$ is a reduced ring. Then $R$ is $\sigma$-J-rigid if and only if $D$ is $\bar{\sigma}-J$-rigid.
Proof. Let $R$ be $\sigma$ - J-rigid and $(r, s) \bar{\sigma}((r, s))=0$. Then $s^{2}=r \sigma(r)+s r+s \sigma(r)=$ 0 . Thus, $s=0$ and consequently $r \sigma(r)=0$. Therefore, $r \in J(R)$. We claim that $(r, s)=(r, 0) \in J(D)$. Proving this, we need to show that if $r_{1} \in R$ and $s \in S$, then $(0,1)-(r, 0)\left(r_{1}, s\right)=\left(-r r_{1}-s r, 1\right) \in U(D)$; equivalently, we need to prove that there exists $r_{2} \in R$ such that $\left(-r r_{1}-s r, 1\right)\left(r_{2}, 1\right)=(0,1)$. Since $r \in J(R)$, then $\left(1-r r_{1}\right) \in U(R)$ and $(-s r) \in J(R)$. Therefore, $\left(1-r r_{1}-s r\right) \in U(R)$. Put $r_{2}=\left(1-r r_{1}-s r\right)^{-1}-1$. So $\left(1-r r_{1}-s r\right)\left(1+r_{2}\right)=1$ and consequently $-r r_{1} r_{2}-s r r_{2}-r r_{1}-s r+r_{2}=0$. This implies that $\left(-r r_{1}-s r, 1\right)\left(r_{2}, 1\right)=(0,1)$ and the claim is proved. Hence $D$ is $\bar{\alpha}$ - $J$-rigid. Conversely, let $D$ be $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid and $r \in R$ with $r \sigma(r)=0$. Then $(r, 0)(\sigma(r), 0)=0$ and so $(r, 0) \bar{\sigma}((r, 0))=0$. Since $D$ is $\bar{\sigma}$ - $J$-rigid, then we have $(r, 0) \in J(D)$. Now, we claim that $r \in J(R)$. Let $r_{1}$ be an arbitrary element of $R$. Thus there exist $r_{2} \in R$ and $s \in S$ such that $\left((0,1)-(r, 0)\left(r_{1}, 0\right)\right)\left(r_{2}, s\right)=(0,1)$. Therefore $s=1$ and hence $-r r_{1} r_{2}-r r_{1}+r_{2}=0$. So $\left(1-r r_{1}\right) r_{2}=r r_{1}$ and consequently $\left(1-r r_{1}\right)\left(1+r_{2}\right)=1$. Thus $\left(1-r r_{1}\right) \in U(R)$ and hence $r \in J(R)$. This implies $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid and the proof is complete.

Now, we consider Jordan's construction of the ring $A(R, \sigma)$. Let $A=A(R, \sigma)$ be the subset

$$
\left\{x^{-i} r x^{i} \mid r \in R, i \geq 0\right\}
$$

of the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R\left[x, x^{-1} ; \sigma\right]$. For each $j \geq 0$,

$$
x^{-i} r x^{i}=x^{-(i+j)} \sigma^{j}(r) x^{(i+j)} .
$$

It follows that the set of all such elements forms a subring of $R\left[x, x^{-1} ; \alpha\right]$ with

$$
x^{-i} r x^{i}+x^{-j} s x^{j}=x^{-(i+j)}\left(\sigma^{j}(r)+\sigma^{i}(s)\right) x^{(i+j)}
$$

and

$$
\left(x^{-i} r x^{i}\right)\left(x^{-j} s x^{j}\right)=x^{-(i+j)}\left(\sigma^{j}(r) \sigma^{i}(s)\right) x^{(i+j)}
$$

for $r, s \in R$ and $i, j \geq 0$. Note that, $\sigma\left(x^{-i} r x^{i}\right)=x^{-i} \sigma(r) x^{i}$ is actually an automorphism of $A(R, \sigma)$.
Lemma 3.11. Let $\sigma$ be an endomorphism of $R$ with $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$. Then $J(R)=J(A) \cap R$.
Proof. Let $r \in J(R)$. We show that $1-r b \in U(A)$ for each $b \in A$. Let $b=x^{-i} s x^{i}$. So, $1-r b=x^{-i}\left(1-\sigma^{i}(r) s\right) x^{i}$. Since $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$ then $\sigma$ is an epimorphism of $R$. Therefore, $\sigma(J(R)) \subseteq J(R)$. So $1-\sigma^{i}(r) s \in U(R)$. Therefore, by [9, Proposition 3.1] $1-r b \in U(A)$, as desired. Now, let $a \in J(A) \cap R$. We show that $1-a b \in U(R)$ for all $b \in R$. Since $R \subseteq A$ and $a \in J(A)$, we have $1-a b \in U(A)$. So there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\sigma^{n}(1-a b) \in U(R)$, by $\left[9\right.$, Proposition 3.1]. Since $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$, then $(1-a b) \in U(R)$ and the result follows.

Now, we state an example of rings which satisfies the condition of the above lemma.
Example 3.12. Let $R$ be a ring and $n$ be a positive integer number. Suppose that $S=$ $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}$, where $R_{i}=R$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$, given by $\sigma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=$ $\left(a_{n}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$. Then $\sigma$ is a monomorphism and $\sigma^{n}=i d_{S}$.

Note that $R$ is $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid if and only if $a^{2}=0$ implies that $a \in J(R)$ for each $a \in R$. According to [3] a ring $R$ is called $J$-reduced if ni $\ell(R) \subseteq J(R)$. Clearly, $J$-reduced rings are $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid.
Theorem 3.13. Let $\sigma$ be an endomorphism of $R$ and with $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$. Then $R$ is an id-J-rigid ring if and only if so is $A$.
Proof. Let $R$ be an $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid ring and $p^{2}=0$ for $p \in A$. We have $\left(x^{-i} r x^{i}\right)^{2}=$ $x^{-2 i} \sigma^{i}\left(r^{2}\right) x^{2 i}=0$ for some $i \geq 0$ and $r \in R$ (as designed in [9]). Since $\sigma$ is monomorphism, then $r^{2}=0$. Hence $r \in J(R)$. Since $\sigma^{j}(r) \in J(R)$ for each $j \geq 0$, hence $\left(1-\sigma^{j}(r) \sigma^{i}(s)\right) \in$ $U(R)$ for each $s \in R$. Therefore $x^{-(i+j)}\left(1-\sigma^{j}(r) \sigma^{i}(s)\right) x^{(i+j)} \in U(R)$ for each $j \geq 0$ and $s \in R$, as desired. Conversely, let $A$ be identity- $J$-reduced and $r^{2}=0$ for $r \in R$. So $r \in J(A)$. By Lemma 3.11, $r \in J(R)$. The proof is complete.
Theorem 3.14. Let $\sigma$ be an endomorphism of $R$ with $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$. Then $R$ is a $\sigma$-J-rigid ring if and only if so is $A$.
Proof. Suppose $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid and $p \sigma(p)=0$ for $p \in A$. We claim that $p \in J(A)$. For, we prove $1-p q \in U(A)$ for each $q \in A$. Let $p=x^{-i} r x^{i}$ and $q=x^{-j} s x^{j}$ such that $r, s \in R$. We have $1-p q=x^{-(i+j)}\left(1-\sigma^{j}(r) \sigma^{i}(s)\right) x^{i+j}$. From $\left(x^{-i} r x^{i}\right) \sigma\left(x^{-i} r x^{i}\right)=0$ and by extension $\sigma$ to a mapping from $A$ (as designed in [9]), $x^{-i} r \sigma(r) x^{i}=0$. Hence, $r \sigma(r)=0$. Therefore, $r \in J(R)$. Since $J(R)$ is $\sigma$-ideal, hence $\sigma^{i}(r) \in J(R)$. Thus $\left(1-\sigma^{j}(r) \sigma^{i}(s)\right) \in U(R)$. So, by [9, Proposition 3.1], we have $1-p q \in U(A)$, as desired. Conversely, by Lemma 3.11 is trivial.

According to [13], a ring $R$ is said to be $\sigma$-J-skew Armendariz if whenever $f(x) g(x)=0$, where $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} x^{i}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} x^{j}$ in $R[x ; \sigma]$, then $a_{i} \alpha^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) \in J(R)$, for each $i$ and $j$.
Theorem 3.15. Let $\sigma$ be an endomorphism of $R$ with $\sigma^{k}=i d_{R}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$. Then $R$ is $\sigma$-J-skew Armendariz if and only if so is $A$.
Proof. Let $R$ be a $\sigma$ - $J$-skew Armendariz ring. Suppose $f=\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} x^{i}$ and $g=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{j} x^{j}$ are elements of $A[x ; \sigma]$ with $f g=0$. We prove that $a_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) \in J(A)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq$ $m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. So, we show that $1-a_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) u \in U(A)$ for each $u \in A$. Since $A=\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-k}(R)$, hence $\sigma^{k}\left(1-a_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) u\right) \in R$ for some $k \geq 0$. From $f g=0$, we have
$\sigma^{k}(f) \sigma^{k}(g)=0_{R[x ; \sigma]}$. Therefore, $\sigma^{k}\left(a_{i}\right) \sigma^{i+k}\left(b_{j}\right) \in J(R)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. So $1-\sigma^{k}\left(a_{i}\right) \sigma^{i+k}\left(b_{j}\right) r \in U(R)$ for all $r \in R$. Specially, $1-\sigma^{k}\left(a_{i}\right) \sigma^{i+k}\left(b_{j}\right) \sigma^{k}(u) \in U(R)$. So, there exists $w \in R$ such that $\left(1-\sigma^{k}\left(a_{i}\right) \sigma^{i+k}\left(b_{j}\right) \sigma^{k}(u)\right) w=1$. By construction of $A$, there exists $c \in A$ such that $\sigma^{k}(c)=w$. Therefore, $\sigma^{k}\left(\left(1-a_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) u\right) c\right)=1=\sigma^{k}(1)$. Thus $1-a_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(b_{j}\right) u \in U(A)$, as desired. Conversely, by Lemma 3.11 is trivial.
Next, we show that every $\sigma$ - $J$-skew Armendariz is not $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid, by the following example.
Example 3.16. Consider $R=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$, a commutative polynomial ring over the ring of integers modulo 2. Let $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ be an endomorphism defined by $\sigma(f(x))=f(0)$. We show that $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-skew Armendariz. To see this, let $p=\sum_{i=0}^{m} f_{i} y^{i}$ and $q=\sum_{j=0}^{n} g_{j} y^{j} \in$ $R[y ; \sigma]$. Assume that $p q=0$. Therefore, $\sum_{l=0}^{m+n} \sum_{i+j=l} f_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(g_{j}\right) x^{l}=0$. Suppose that $f_{s} \neq 0$ and $f_{0}=\cdots=f_{s-1}=0$, where $0 \leq s \leq m$. So $\sum_{i=0}^{s} f_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(g_{s-i}\right)=0$, implies that $f_{s} \sigma^{s}\left(g_{0}\right)=0$ and consequently $f_{s} g_{0}(0)=0$. Thus, $g_{0}(0)=0$. Also, by considering the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} f_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(g_{s+1-i}\right)=0$, we obtain $f_{s} \sigma^{s}\left(g_{1}\right)+f_{s+1} \sigma^{s+1}\left(g_{0}\right)=0$ and so $f_{s} g_{1}(0)=0$. This implies that $g_{1}(0)=0$. Continuing this process, we have

$$
g_{0}(0)=g_{1}(0)=\cdots=g_{n}(0)=0 .
$$

Thus, $f_{i} \sigma^{i}\left(g_{j}\right)=0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore $R$ is $\sigma$ - J-skew Armendariz. But $R$ is not $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid, because $x \sigma(x)=0$, but $x \notin J(R)$.
The next example shows that there exists an $i d-J$-rigid ring $R$ such that $R[x ; i d]$ is not $i d-J$-rigid.
Example 3.17. Let $R$ be the ring as in Example 3.9. Clearly, $R$ is a local ring with $J(R)=S$, where $S$ is nil algebra. So $R$ is an $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid ring. If $R[x]$ is $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid, then we are done. Otherwise, we choose the ring $R[x][y]$. Since $J(R[x][y])=I[y]$ for some nil ideal of $R[x]$ and $n i \ell^{*}(R[x])=n i \ell^{*}(S[x])$ and we have $n i \ell^{*}(S[x])=0$ by [3, Lemma 2.5]. So $J(R[x][y])=0$. This implies that $R[x][y]$ is not an $i d_{R^{-}} J$-rigid ring. Assume on the contrary, since $J(R[x][y])=0$ then it is an $i d_{R}$-rigid ring. So it is reduced by Hong et al. [7], an obvious contradiction.

Matczuk investigated a characterization of $\sigma$-rigid rings in [11] and by using the over-ring $A$, gave positive answer to the question posed in Hong et al. [8]. That is, he proved that the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\sigma$ is monomorphism, $R$ is reduced and $\sigma$-skew Armendariz.
(2) $R$ is $\sigma$-rigid.
(3) $R[x ; \sigma]$ is reduced.

We finish this article by a question on $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid rings. Under which conditions or properties, can we say $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid rings and $\sigma$ - $J$-skew Armendariz rings are equivalent? Are the following conditions equivalent?
(1) $\sigma$ is monomorphism, $R$ is $i d-J$-rigid and $\sigma$ - $J$-skew Armendariz.
(2) $R$ is $\sigma$ - $J$-rigid.
(3) $R[x ; \sigma]$ is $i d-J$-rigid.
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