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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this research was to examine validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS) in adolescents.

Methods: The scale was applied to a total of 1293 adolescents and 110 students were retested four weeks later. While content and construct validity analyses were carried out for validity of the scale, internal consistency and test-retest analysis was used for the reliability. Content validity of the scale was evaluated by opinions from 10 experts, construct validity via confirmatory factor analysis, internal consistency via Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient, test-retest results via Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Results: Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient of Bergen Insomnia Scale was found as 0.72. Item – total correlations were ≥0.36 and test-retest correlation was 0.74. Content validity index was found to be 99%, there was agreement between experts, the items in the scale were suitable for our culture, and it represented the structure which was intended to be measured. Confirmatory factor analysis confirmed the structure of BIS consisting of 6 questions and 2 factors.

Conclusion: Bergen insomnia scale was found to be reliable and valid. The scale can be used to measure insomnia in Turkish adolescents.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı Bergen Uykusuzluk Ölçeği Türkçe formunun (BUÖ) adolesanlarda geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışmasını yapmaktı.


Sonuç: Bergen uykusuzluk ölçeği güvenilir ve geçerli bulundu. Ölçeğin Türk toplumındaki adolesanlarda uykusuzluğu ölçmek için kullanılabılır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Bergen uykusuzluk ölçeği, geçerlik, güvenirlik

INTRODUCTION

Insomnia is a chronic problem characterized with difficulty in starting and maintaining sleep and having a quality sleep and as a conclusion disorders in daytime functionality although there is adequate opportunity and possibility for sleep (1). Epidemiological studies on insomnia report quite different data varying between approximately 2% and 48% prevalence. It is thought that this variance is related to the definition of insomnia and variations in collection and interpretation of the data.

According to the diagnosis criteria of the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-3) and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), sleep-wake disorder is characterized with one or more of the symptoms of difficulty in starting sleep, difficulty in maintaining sleep, waking up early in the morning are present at least three times a week and at least for three months and with the dissatisfaction with the amount or quality of sleep (1, 2). This dissatisfaction is accompanied by significant disturbance or disorder in daytime functions. When these
In order to assess time invariance, retest was made to 110 students (First Grade = 31, Second Grade = 22, Third Grade = 29, Fourth Grade = 28) from the sample group who have the qualifications four weeks after the first data collection.

Personal Information Form and Bergen Insomnia Scale were used as data collection tool in the study. Personal Information Form consists of 4 questions including age, gender, school type and grade.

**Bergen Insomnia Scale**

Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS) is based on official and clinical diagnostic criteria for insomnia (5). A written permission was taken from Prof Stale Pallesen via e-mail to use Bergen Insomnia Scale. This scale consists of six questions measuring different symptoms of insomnia. Participants state the number of days in which they experienced various sleep problems in the last month between 0 and 7 on an 8-point scale. The lowest score that can be taken from the scale is 0 and the highest score is 42. “During the past month, how many days a week have you been so sleepy/tired that it has affected you at school/work or in your private life?” can be given as an example to the items in the scale. Pallesen et al. (2008) have implemented the scale to three different sample groups. These are student sample, community sample and patient sample. A 2-factor structure was found in the student sample and patient sample and a single factor structure was found in community sample. In the student sample, 4th, 5th and 6th questions explain factor 1 [daytime symptoms-(DS)] and 1st, 2nd and 3rd questions explain factor 2 [nocturnal symptoms-(NS)]. In the original study, the internal consistency of the scale in student sample was found as α = .79, test-retest reliability was found as r = .77 (5).

In DSM-4, A and B criteria were determined for insomnia diagnosis. The first 4 items of the scale meet A criteria and the following 2 items meet B criteria. For insomnia, it is necessary to have problems in 3 or more days in a week at least in one of the items in A criteria and also to have problems in 3 or more days at least in one of the items in B criteria. In DSM-5, the 4th question in the scale is included in B criteria. For that reason, having 3 or more points in A criteria and also three or more points at least in one of the B criteria are defined as insomnia according to DSM-5.

**Language equivalency-cultural adaptation and content validity**

Turkish adaptation and content validity studies of the Bergen Insomnia Scale were carried out according to the following steps:

1. The scale was translated from English to Turkish by two independent linguists who can speak both languages well.
2. Researchers determined the most suitable option for each item and a single Turkish form was created.
3. Turkish form of the scale was retranslated from Turkish to English (original language) by two independent linguists other than the ones who did the first translation.
4. The most suitable option was determined by a third linguist independent from the two linguists who did the retranslation and a single English form was created.
5. Items in Turkish and original language were reviewed by an expert group of 10 people consisting of academicians for suitability of translation and content validity. The experts, whose opinions were taken for content validity, were asked to assess the suitability and comprehensibility of each item by giving 1-4 points [1 point: Not suitable – 4 point: very suitable]. Necessary corrections were made in line with the experts' suggestions. Approval was taken from Pallasen, the developer of the scale, for the retranslated English form.

Statistical analysis

The data was assessed using SPSS 21 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences Inc, IL, USA) and LISREL 9.20 (Scientific Software International [SSI]) software packages. Content and construct validity analyses were performed for the validity of the scale and internal consistency and test-retest analysis were performed for reliability (Figure 1).

Content validity index was calculated for content validity.

Confirmatory validity factor analysis was performed using maximum likelihood (ML) method for construct validity. With confirmatory factor analysis, it was primarily tested to what extent the theoretical model explains the relations in the data set. Then analyses were made for alternative models. Chi-square ($\chi^2$), degrees of freedom (DOF), The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) and Comparative Fit Index (CFI) coefficients of concordance/discordance were evaluated together with confirmatory factor analysis.

Reliability was assessed with internal consistency and test-retest.

To evaluate internal consistency, “Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient” was used. In evaluation of Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient, it is stated that $\alpha$>.60 reflects modest reliability and $\alpha$>.70 reflects good reliability (11). The relation between test-retest scores was evaluated with Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Average, percentage and standard deviation were used in the analysis of definitive findings.

Ethical Aspect of the Study

Written permission is taken from Pallasen via e-mail for the validity reliability study of the Bergen Insomnia Scale. Pallasen has sent necessary data for scale and implementation via e-mail. Ethical approval was taken from the Ethical Committee of the University for the study (30.05.2016-42). Written permissions were taken from Istanbul Provincial Directorate of National Education, school principals and students' parents for the implementation of the scale at schools.

Restrictions of the study

The results of the study are restricted to high school students studying in a district of Istanbul province.

Results

Introductory Results

The average age of the students is 15.54±1.133 and 58.9% are female. 38.9% study at Anatolian high schools and 34.3% are in the high school first grade. The economic conditions of the parents of 48.2% of the students is good (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Min. – Max.</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>13-19</td>
<td>15.54±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatolian High School</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational and Technical High Schools</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Schools</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prep grade</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First grade</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second grade</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third grade</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth grade</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results on item analysis and reliability

According to pilot test data, item-total correlations were found as >.20 other than the first item and Cronbach’s alpha was found as .70 in factor of DS and .53 in factor of NS. From the feedback of the students, it was decided that the first item “During the past month,
how many days a week has it taken you more than 30 minutes to fall asleep after the light was switched off?” was not understood and an explanation is required. With the approval of the authors who developed the scale, it was changed as “During the past month, how many days a week were you not able to fall asleep within 30 minutes after you leave your phone/tablet and switched off the light although you wanted to sleep?”.

According to the data obtained from 1293 students who participated in the study, the Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient of the Bergen Insomnia Scale was found as .79 in the factor of DS, .63 in the factor of NS and .72 for total BIS. It was determined that Cronbach’s alpha value has statistically good reliability. Test-retest correlation of the scale was found acceptable as r=.70 in the factor of DS and r=.63 in the factor of NS (p<.001; Table 2).

### Table 2. Reliability and descriptive analysis values according to factors of Bergen Insomnia Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Scale Items</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Item-factors r</th>
<th>Item-Total r</th>
<th>Test-retest r</th>
<th>M ±SD</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alfa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal symptoms</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.413</td>
<td>1.46±1.36</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.363</td>
<td></td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I3</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime symptoms</td>
<td>I4</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>.500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I5</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.522</td>
<td></td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I6</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.621</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>2.64±1.33</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| M: Mean, Sd:Standard deviation, r:correlation

It was determined that Bergen Insomnia Scale item factor correlations vary between .56-.71 in the DS factor and .36-.55 in the NS factor (p<.001). When the BIS factor average scores of the students were analysed, it was determined that the average score of daytime symptoms factor was 3.82±1.91 and average score of NS was 1.46±1.36. The question with the lowest average was I2 (Staying awake for more than 30 minutes when you woke up at night) and the question with the highest average was I4 (not feeling adequately rested) (Table2).

### Results related to validity

It was seen that 10 experts whose opinions were taken for content validity of Bergen Insomnia Scale gave at least 3 points to the items. Item level average of the scale was found between3.90-4.00 and content validity index was found as .99.

In accordance with the suggestions of experts, minor changes were made in items 4 and 6 without changing the meaning.

Overall concordance and discordance coefficients of theoretical model are given primarily in Table 3 which contains overall concordance/discordance coefficients obtained as a result of confirmatory factor analysis performed for construct validity. It was followed by “suggested” model in which two error covariance between three items in the second factor was added in line with modification suggestions. The table also includes single dimensioned alternative models in which unrelated 2 factors and items are collected in single dimension (Table 3).

When the overall concordance discordance coefficients of theoretical model were analysed, CFI=.95 and SRMR=.07 were acceptable, but X²=123.47 and RMSEA=.10 were not acceptable. For that reason, error covariance was added between 1st item and 2nd item and between 2nd item and 3rd item in the second (suggested) model in line with modification suggestions. Given that the chi-square test result, which was expected to be insignificant, was affected by the sample size, it was seen that other overall concordance discordance coefficients of the suggested model were excellent (CFI=.99, SRMR=.03) and acceptable (X²=4.62, RMSEA=.05; Table 3).

The two-factor structure of Bergen Insomnia Scale was validated and the first factor is defined as daytime symptoms (DS) of insomnia and second factor is defined as nocturnal symptoms (NS) of insomnia. The factor loads of items in DS factor (standard weights) was found between .65 and .93; factor loads of items in NS factor was found between .38 and .84 (Figure 2).

### Table 3. Overall Concordance/Discordance Coefficients Obtained Result of Confirmatory Factor Analysis of BIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>DOF</th>
<th>χ²/DOF</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>SRMR</th>
<th>Δχ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td>123.47</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>0.10&lt;0.08-0.12</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>0.05&lt;0.03-0.07</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>95.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated two factors</td>
<td>142.63</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>0.10&lt;0.07-0.14</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>19.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single factors</td>
<td>664.76</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73.86</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>0.24&lt;0.22-0.25</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>541.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Perfect fit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>χ²&lt;df&lt;3</td>
<td>0.97&lt;χ²&lt;1</td>
<td>0.05&lt;RMSEA&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.05&lt;SRMR&lt;0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Acceptable fit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>χ²&lt;df&lt;5</td>
<td>0.95&lt;χ²&lt;0.97</td>
<td>0.05&lt;RMSEA&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.05&lt;SRMR&lt;0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ²: Chi-square; DOF: Degrees of freedom; RMSEA: The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; SRMR: Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; CFI: Comparative Fit Index
The average score of NS of students according to introductory features was found as 4.37±4.08, average score of DS was found as 11.45±5.74 and total average score was found as 15.82±7.96. Considering the students having sleep problem in 3 or more days a week in the last month, it was determined that 32.9% of the students had difficulty in falling asleep, 17.3% woke up at night and could not fall asleep within 30 minutes, 19.5% woke up early in the morning, 74.2% did not feel adequately rested, 55.8% feel sleepy/tired affecting school/work or private life and 70.5% were not satisfied with their sleep (Table 4). When evaluated according to DSM-5, it was determined that 43.4% of the students have insomnia problem. The prevalence of insomnia was significantly higher in women than men (Table 4).

Table 4. Introductory Features of Students According to BIS and Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>M± Sd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nocturnal Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1: You are not able to fall asleep within 30 minutes, after you leave your phone/tablet and switched off the light although you wanted to sleep</td>
<td>426(32.9)</td>
<td>2.02±2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2: You stay awake for more than 30 minutes when you woke up at night</td>
<td>224(17.3)</td>
<td>1.07±1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3: You wake up at least 30 minutes earlier than you are supposed to wake up and then could not fall asleep again</td>
<td>252(19.5)</td>
<td>1.28±1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4: You feel like you did not take enough rest after getting up</td>
<td>960(74.2)</td>
<td>4.19±2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5: You feel sleepy/tired in a manner that shall affect your school/job or private life</td>
<td>721(55.8)</td>
<td>3.17±2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6: You dissatisfied with your sleep</td>
<td>911-70.5</td>
<td>4.08±2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIS Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.82±7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Person who have insomnia according to DSM-5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>364(47.8)</td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>197(37.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi-square test, M:Mean, Sd:Standard Deviation

Discussion

In this study, the validity and reliability of Bergen Insomnia Scale was evaluated for adolescents. With DFA performed for construct validity, the 6-item and two-factor structure of BIS was validated. Cronbach’s alpha, item-total and test-retest correlations showed that the scale is reliable. It was determined that Bergen Insomnia Scale is a valid and reliable instrument to be used for evaluating insomnia and investigating the daytime and nocturnal symptoms in adolescents.

Reliability can be defined as items in a measuring instrument measure the same feature and giving consistent and stable results in repeated measurements under same conditions (12-15). Internal consistency is the reliability which shows that all items in the scale have the capability to measure the related variable. Alpha coefficient is one of the most common methods used for testing the internal consistency reliability (16). It is recommended that alpha coefficient of the scale is >.70, but >.60 is stated to be acceptable (11, 17). Cronbach alpha value of the scale in the original study was found .79 in the student sample with average age of 21.4±3.7. In this study, total Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient of .72 and Cronbach alpha value of the factor of DS of insomnia of .79 were close to the alpha coefficient of the original scale and on a good level. Cronbach alpha value of the factor of NS of insomnia of .83 was considered acceptable. According to literature, reliability is affected by the number of questions in the scale and reliability increases as the number of questions increases (18). In this study, the fewer number of questions and working with a group with an average age of 15.54±1.13 may be the reason of this result.

In the literature, it is stated that the item-total correlations should be >.30 (18). In pilot study data of this study, correlation of the first item with total was found low and the question was corrected with the approval of the author who developed the scale. In the analyses following the data collection, item-total correlations were found similar to the original study (r=.35-.74) and acceptable with >.30.

Test-retest reliability is the power of a measuring instrument to give consistent results in repeated measurements and remain stable in time. In the literature, it is stated that there are maximum 4 weeks between two measurements (19) and it is performed with at least 100 people. It is suggested that test-retest correlation coefficient is ≥.40 (17). In the original study, retest correlation was found .77 two weeks after the first test. In this study, test-retest correlation was determined on a good level as .74 with 110 people four weeks after the first data collection.

Validity is related to whether a data collection instrument is suitable with the feature it aims to measure, whether it makes the measurement in accordance with the rules and to what extent the measurement data measures the feature it aims to measure accurately. In other words, validity is the level on which measurement instrument serves its purpose (12, 14, 20).

Content validity is the degree of the scale and each item in the scale to represent the structure to be measured or be suitable (21). Expert opinion is taken to evaluate the content validity. Such experts must be people who know the scientific field which is investigated and know the techniques and methods of preparing scale questions. In the literature, an expert group consisting of 5-10 people is
Construct validity is the method which finds out numerically that items in a scale measure a single concept in which items are discussed as a whole or they measure a few concepts. Construct validity studies are carried out by examining the factor that the measurement instrument measures or investigating the relationship of the measurement instrument with other scales and measurements (19). Confirmatory factor analysis is a validity analysis which can be used while developing a scale and adapting a developed scale to another culture (23). It also gives an idea about to what extent the present data predicts the variables to be measured. In this method, the relationship between the structure and observed variables, error margins, to what extent the observed variables explain the structure and the factor loads are tested (14).

To test the fitness of the model, first chi-square ($\chi^2$) fit index is examined. Chi-square tests the significance of the difference between observed and predicted covariance matrix. Insignificant chi-square value means that there is no significant difference between observed and predicted covariance matrix and therefore model is fit. However, chi-square value is easily affected by sample size and chi-square value is mostly significant when large samples are included. For that reason, it is suggested that the value obtained by dividing chi-square value by degree of freedom ($\chi^2/\text{sd}$) is based on when evaluating the model fitness (24, 25). Since this study includes a large sample, chi-square value was found significant ($p<.001$). When overall concordance discordance coefficients of the theoretical model were examined, it was determined that CFI and SRMR are acceptable, but $\chi^2$ and RMSEA are not acceptable. Later, CFI and SRMR values were found excellent and $\chi^2/\text{sd}$ and RMSEA values were found acceptable in the suggested model with addition of two error covariance in accordance with the modification suggestions.

It was determined that the Turkish form of Bergen Insomnia Scale is compatible with the structure in the original scale with 6 questions and 2 factors (5). Given the questions of the Bergen Insomnia Scale, factor 1 is defined as DS of insomnia (4th, 5th and 6th questions) and factor 2 is defined as NS (1st, 2nd and 3rd questions) as in the original scale (5).

Confirmatory factor analysis evaluates whether the relationship of the questions constituting a factor with the factor is adequate and it is expected that the factor loads are $>.40$ (12). In this study, the factor loads of the questions were found positive and $>.40$ except for 3rd question (factor load=.35).

When introductory features are examined, it is determined that the average score of factor of DS of insomnia is higher than average score of factor of NS. This result indicates that the high school students experience DS more and they experience NS of insomnia less. In the original study conducted in student sample, it was found that “you not able to sleep within 30 minutes after you leave your phone/tablet and switched off the light although you wanted to sleep” question has the highest average. In this study, “how many days a week did you feel not adequately rested after waking up?” question had the highest average. It is thought that this is caused by the cultural differences in relation to the time of going to bed.

Bergen Insomnia Scale is created based on DSM-4 diagnosis criteria and it also conforms to the DSM-5 diagnosis criteria. The first four questions which measure different symptoms of insomnia (difficulty in initiating sleep, difficulty in maintaining sleep, waking up early in the morning and nonrestorative sleep) correspond to A criteria in DSM-4 for insomnia. The last two questions (being so sleepy/tired that it has affected you at school/work or in your private life and being dissatisfied with your sleep) complies with B criteria in DSM-4. When the scale is evaluated according to DSM-5 criteria, the first three questions (difficulty in initiating sleep, difficulty in maintaining sleep, waking up early in the morning) correspond to A criteria and the last three questions (nonrestorative sleep, being so sleepy/tired that it has affected you at school/work or in your private life and being dissatisfied with your sleep) correspond to B criteria.

When the studies carried out abroad are examined, it is seen that the prevalence of insomnia is in a wide range; 7.4-22.1% in adults and 8.3-19.3% in young groups (6, 26-33). The prevalence of symptoms varies between 21.4% and 51%.

Bjorvatn et al. determined that 53.6% of the 1301 patients with an average age of 47.8 had insomnia problems in 2016. According to a study carried out in Denmark, the sleep problem was identified as 14.9% in 7870 adults with an average age of 44.4 (26). In their study on 1042 adults, Castro et al. (2013) determined objective insomnia prevalence as 32%, subjective insomnia prevalence as 45% and the subjective prevalence of insomnia according to DSM-4 as 15%. In a study carried out with 1128 people in Sweden, insomnia symptoms were 24.6% and insomnia prevalence as 10.5% (28). In a study carried out with 2011 people in China, insomnia prevalence was found as 22.1% according to DS-4 diagnosis criteria, 10.8% according to DSM-5, 4.7% according to International Classification of Diseases 10th version (ICD-10) and 15.1% according to ICSID-2(29).

In a systematic review by Jiang et al. (2015), insomnia prevalence was determined as over 18.5% in university students and over 7.4% in general population. In a study carried out with 6919 Portuguese adolescents by Amaral et al. (2013), insomnia symptoms prevalence was found 21.4% and insomnia ratio was found 8.3%. In the study of Calhoun et al. (2014) with 700 students, the insomnia ratio was found 19.3%. In the study of Chung et al. (2014) carried out with 290 adolescents in China, the prevalence of insomnia symptoms was determined as 40% and it was determined that 9.3% of them had insomnia according to DSM-4.
When the studies carried out in our country are examined; in the study of Benbir et al. (2015) on 4758 people, the prevalence of any insomnia symptom was found 51% and insomnia prevalence was found 12.2% (8.6% in men, 15.7% in women); in the study of Koyuncu et al. (2013) on 512 students, sleep disorder prevalence was found 11.9%; in the study of Özgün (2013) with 4144 students with an average age of 11.30 ± 3.28, insomnia prevalence was found 18.8%; in the study of Temel et al. (2010) with 472 adolescents, the prevalence of the ones with bad sleep quality was found 43.1% and in the study of Şenol et al. (2012) with 300 adolescents, the prevalence of the ones with bad sleep quality was found 54.7%. In this study, it was determined that 43.4% of the students have insomnia problem according to DSM-5. Moreover, the prevalence of insomnia was significantly higher in women than men. Similar to our results, it was determined that the rate of insomnia is significantly higher in women than men in the literature (31, 32, 34).

Although there are not many intercultural studies on insomnia, it was found that the countries where insomnia is most common are Brazil (79.8%), South Africa (45.3%), Eastern Europe (32%), Asia (28.3%) and Western Europe (23.2%) according to a research carried out throughout the world. The high prevalence indicates that there is a need for initiatives for solving this problem (35).

Conclusion and suggestions
As a conclusion, the validity and reliability of the Turkish form of Bergen Insomnia Scale, which was developed by Pallesen et al. and original language of which is English, was found good. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis conducted for evaluating the construct validity validated the original structure of the Bergen Insomnia Scale which is included in the literature. It was determined that Bergen Insomnia Scale is a consistent scale which provides similar measurement values in repeated measurements. In accordance with these results, BIS Turkish Form can be used to evaluate insomnia in adolescents in school and community health studies.
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