Çukurova Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi

Determinants of Domestic Savings in Turkey

Türkiye'deki Yurtiçi Tasarrufların Belirleyicileri

Fındık Özlem ALPER¹

ABSTRACT

Domestic savings are the most crucial sources of finance for sustainable economic growth and development. It is critical, especially for developing countries to identify the reasons behind the inefficiency of the domestic economy and the factors that determine the domestic savings. This study aims to determine the factors affecting domestic savings in Turkey over the period 1979-2017. Five independent variables consisting of monetary, financial and demographic aspects are utilized as determinants of domestic savings in Turkey in accordance with the international literature. Obtained results reveal that the GDP per capita and bank deposit interest rate have a positive impact on savings, and inflation and public spending have a negative impact on savings; whereas urbanization rate has no statistically significant effect on savings.

Keywords: Domestic Savings, Cointegration, Turkey

ÖZ

Sürdürülebilir ekonomik büyümenin ve kalkınmanın en önemli finansman kaynağı yurtiçi tasarruflardır. Özellikle gelişmekte olan ülkeler için yurtiçi tasarruflardaki yetersizliğin nedenlerinin ve yurtiçi tasarrufları belirleyen faktörlerin neler olduğunun ortaya konulması oldukça önemlidir. Bu çalışmada Türkiye'de 1979-2017 döneminde yurtiçi tasarrufları etkileyen değişkenlerin belirlenmesi amaçlanmıştır. Uluslararası literatüre uygun olarak Türkiye'de yurtiçi tasarrufların belirleyicileri olarak parasal, mali ve demografik değişkenlerden oluşan beş bağımsız değişken kullanılmıştır. Elde edilen sonuçlar; kişi başına GSYİH ve mevduat faiz oranının tasarrufları pozitif yönde, enflasyon ve kamu harcamalarının tasarrufları negatif yönde etkilediğini; kentleşme oranının ise tasarrufları üzerinde istatistiki olarak anlamlı bir etkisinin olmadığını göstermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Yurtiçi Tasarruflar, Eşbütünleşme, Türkiye

Tür: Araştırma makalesi Gönderim tarihi: 12.10.2018 Kabul tarihi: 25.10.2018

_

¹ Dr., Nigde Ömer Halisdemir Üniversitesi, İ.İ.B.F., İktisat Bölümü, <u>oalper@ohu.edu.tr</u> (ORCID: 0000-0002-7829-8551)

1. Introduction

Maintaining economic growth and development and achieving a sustainable economic structure is vital for developed and especially developing countries. The fact that countries have different growth rates is often attributed to differences in the savings and investment rates among countries (Rodrik, 2000). The main sources for an economy to improve economically are the savings and the investments into which those savings are eventually converted. Savings, especially domestic savings, are the main source of finance for capital accumulation and investments. The higher level of domestic savings and additional capital stock stimulate the development of countries by ensuring the sustainability of long-term growth.

In case of inadequate domestic savings, current account deficits would arise, and the country would be exposed to external shocks since dependency on foreign savings is likely to increase for financing the production, and the sustainability of economic growth is jeopardized. Therefore, the determinants of domestic savings and the factors that can be effective in increasing domestic savings are among important research topics that attract the attention of many economists and policy-makers.

Changes in the level of savings, as one of the important factors determining the potential economic growth of a country, are closely related to all developed and developing countries. Insufficient savings rates impose the biggest obstacle to sustainable growth and development, especially in developing countries. For Turkey, in particular, sufficient levels of savings rates are crucial regarding financing investments in the long-run and sustainable growth. However, it is seen that domestic savings rates have been decreasing since the early 2000s in Turkey. The domestic saving rate, which was 18% of the gross domestic product in 2000, has decreased to 14% as of 2015. Increasing the level of domestic savings for achieving high and stable growth rates and reducing the foreign dependence are among the main objectives planned to be realized in the Tenth Development Plan (2014-2018) and this rate is expected to reach 19% by the end of the 2014-2018 period (Ministry of Development, 2013; Karagöl and Özcan, 2014).

This study focuses on determining the variables that affect domestic savings in Turkey over the period 1979-2017 using annual data and Kapetanios-Shin-Snell (KSS) (2006) cointegration test. The study consists of four sections including the introduction. In the second section, empirical studies in the literature investigating the factors that determine domestic savings are examined. The third section, in which the determinants of domestic savings in Turkey are analyzed, introduces the data, models, methods and analysis results of the study. The study is completed with the conclusion section.

2. Literature Review

Two hypotheses are often emphasized in the studies conducted on savings that are defined as the unconsumed parts of disposable income. The first one is known as "The Permanent Income Hypothesis," which is first coined by Friedman (1957). Friedman dealt with income as a determinant of saving and constantly discriminated between

permanent – transitory components of income. According to the hypothesis, the individual makes consumptions commensurate with his/her permanent income, while his/her transitory income is saved. The second hypothesis is "The Life-Cycle Hypothesis" which is developed by Ando and Modigliani (1963). The hypothesis claims that individuals tend to spread out their consumptions and savings for retirement over their lifetimes by saving their earned income over the years. According to the hypothesis, the determinant of savings is the population's age structure as one of the demographic factors (Özcan et al., 2012).

Many distinct factors that would affect savings are taken into consideration in the latter studies conducted on the determinants of savings in different countries over different periods following those hypotheses which explained saving behaviors. These factors include income, wealth, income distribution, growth, real interest rate, inflation rate, public policy variables, external debt, terms of trade, financial variables (financial development and deepening, ease of access to credit), demographic variables (age dependency ratios, life expectancy, urbanization), and the social security system (Agrawal et al., 2009; Athukorala and Sen, 2004; Doshi, 1994; Özcan et al., 2012). While some of these variables have positive impacts on savings, others negatively affect them, whereas the remaining have no impact on savings. Table 1 presents some of the studies conducted on the determinants of savings and their basic findings in the chronological order.

Table 1: Selected Literature Review

Author(s)	Country	Period	Method	Findings
(Year)	Sample			
Narayan and Saud (2005)	Oman	1977-2003	Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model	It is concluded that the current account deficit, urbanization rate, and money supply affect national savings in the long-run.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Co	ntinued			
Tony	India	1975-2006	Ordinary least	Domestic
(2008)			squares (OLS)	savings are
			• , , ,	affected
				positively by per
				capita growth
				rate, financial
				development and
				real interest rate
				in long-run and
				by inflation rate
				both in the short-
				and long-run;
				while the budget
				deficit and the
				current account
				deficit affect
				domestic savings
				negatively.
Agrawal	et India,	1960-2005	Error correction	In the countries
al.	Pakistan,		mechanism	under
(2009)	Bangladesh,		(ECM),	examination,
	Sri Lanka	,	Dynamic OLS	savings are
	Nepal	,		explained by
	1			income level,
				access to the
				banks, foreign
				savings rates and
				dependency
				ratio.
Shrestha	Nepal	1974-2005	ECM	The determinants
(2010)	1			of private
,				savings include
				real income, real
				public savings,
				real foreign
				savings, real
				interest rates,
				and age
				dependency
				ratio.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Conti	nued			
Yaraşır and	20 OECD	1999-2007	Dynamic panel	The obtained
Yılmaz	countries		data analysis	results indicate
(2011)				that private
				loans, previous
				year's saving
				rates, current
				account balance,
				and inflation
				increase private
				savings; while
				public savings
				and age
				dependency
				ratios decrease
				private savings.
Matur et al.	Turkey	1980-2008	OLS	The private
(2012)				saving rate is
				negatively
				related to the
				public savings
				rate, per capita
				income growth
				rate, the share of
				loans to the
				private sector in
				GDP and the
				old-age
				dependency
				ratio; while it is
				positively related
				to per capita
				income, inflation
				and real interest
				rate.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Conti	nuea	,		
Özcan et al. (2012)	Turkey	1975-2008	OLS	Inflation, income level, real interest rate, loans, trade scarcity, youth dependency ratio, urbanization rate, economic crisis, and political instability increase savings; whereas financial borrowing, economic growth, current account deficit, old-age dependency ratio, and life expectancy decrease savings.
Ayalew (2013)	Ethiopia	1970-2011	ARDL bounds testing, ECM	Domestic savings have been determined by income, budget deficit and the inflation rate in the shortand long-run.
Larbi (2013)	Ghana	1970-2010	Phillips and Ouliaris cointegration	In the study, it is concluded that financial liberalization, per capita growth rate and inflation increase private savings.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Conti	nuea			
Chaudhry et al. (2014)	Pakistan	1972-2010	ARDL bounds testing, ECM	Bank deposit interest rates and public expenditures both affect domestic savings positively in both the shortand long-run. M2 money supply reduces domestic savings in the long-run while the inflation rate increases it in the short-run.
Imoughele and Ismaila (2014)	Nigeria	1981-2012	Cointegration, ECM	It is concluded that per capita income, inflation rate, terms of trade and financial deepening are important determinants of private savings.
Ahmad (2015)	Pakistan	1972-2012	Cointegration, Vector error correction mechanism (VECM), Toda Yamamoto Granger causality test	Private savings are affected by per capita GDP and financial development in the short-run; while inflation rate, dependency ratio, exchange rate, and financial development affect savings in the long-run.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Conti	nueu			
Arıç	13 Middle	2000-2013	Panel data	The results
(2015)	East countries		analysis	indicate that the
				old-age, urban
				and rural
				populations do
				not affect
				savings; whereas
				young
				population and
				inflation affect
				savings
				positively; while
				income, money
				supply, and
				government
				spending affect
				savings
				negatively.
Kolasa and	Poland	1995-2011	Panel data	It is concluded
Liberda		1999:1-	analysis, OLS	that financial
(2015)		2012:4		deepening
				process has the
				most impact on
				both private and
				household
				savings rates.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Contin	nued			
Akram and Akram (2016)	Pakistan	1973-2013	ARDL	It is concluded that age dependency ratio, foreign savings, and inflation rate decrease national, public and private savings; while economic growth and financial development increase them. In addition, it is concluded that the interest rates increase the public savings and they have no significant effect on national and private savings.
Doker et al. (2016)	20 transition economies	1993-2013	Panel da analysis	that demographic factors and per capita income are important determinants of savings.
Şahin (2016)	Turkey	1975-2014	ARDL	It is concluded that domestic savings are increased by the interest rate on deposits, the rate of urbanization and the per capita growth rate; while it is decreased by the inflation rate in the long-run.

Table 1: Continued

Table 1: Conti	nuea			
Tunay	23 developed	1995-2015	Dynamic panel	The results
(2017)	and		data analysis	indicated that the
	developing			long-term
	countries			interest rates and
				net public wealth
				are the main
				determinants of
				household
				savings.
Abasimi and	4 West	1997-2016	ARDL	GDP and per
Martin	African			capita income
(2018)	countries			increase savings
				in both the short-
				and long run;
				whereas real
				interest rates
				increase savings
				only in the long-
				run; while age
				dependency ratio
				decreases the
				savings.
Otiwu et al.	Nigeria	1981-2015	VECM	The main
(2018)				determinants of
				private domestic
				savings are the
				level of per
				capita income
				and the ease of
				financial access.

3. Data, Model, Econometric Methodology, and Empirical Results

In this section, information about dataset and the model is provided first, and then empirical results are presented by explaining the econometric method used in the study.

3.1. Data and Model

In the study, the annual data regarding determinants of domestic savings in Turkey over the period 1979-2017 are utilized. The summary of the variables is shown in Table

Table 2: Summarized Information on the Variables

	innarized information on the variables	
Variable	Description	Sources
NSAV	Gross Domestic Savings (% GDP)	World Bank
DR	Bank Deposit Interest Rate (%)	World Bank
INF	Inflation Rate (%)	World Bank
UP	Urbanization Rate (Urban Population Growth	World Bank
	Rate) (%)	
GDP	Per Capita GDP Growth Rate (%)	World Bank
GE	Government Final Consumption Expenditures	World Bank
	(%GDP)	

The model consisting of financial and demographic factors to be used for estimating the factors that determine domestic savings in Turkey is shown in Equation 1 below.

$$NSAV_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}DR_{t} + \alpha_{2}INF_{t} + \alpha_{3}UP_{t} + \alpha_{4}GDP_{t} + \alpha_{5}GE_{t} + u_{t}$$
 (1)

3.2. Econometric Methodology and Empirical Results

Stationarity properties of the series are examined with Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) tests. The unit root test results in Table 3 indicate that all of the variables included in the analysis are not stationary at the level but are stationary after the first difference [I (1)] is taken.

Table 3: Unit Root Test Results

At Level		ADF	PP	
	Constant	Constant and Trend	Constant	Constant and Trend
NSAV	-2.229	-6.633	-2.024	-2.538
DR	-1.587	-2.376	-1.689	-2.351
INF	-0.844	-0.973	-1.752	-2.433
UP	-2.165	-3.286	-1.257	-2.537
GDP	1.249	-1.209	-2.094	-2.152
GE	-1.044	-3.071	-1.214	-3.110
1 st Diff.	ADF			PP
	Constant	Constant and Trend	Constant	Constant and Trend
NSAV	-6.412	-6.873	-8.624	-8.540
DR	-7.350	-7.938	-7.228	-7.761
INF	-3.756	-3.589	-8.797	-8.668
UP	-6.708	-6.181	-3.964	-3.998
GDP	-4.710	-4.612	-17.071	-17.588
GE	-4.347	-4.478	-5.862	-5.851

Once the series is determined as [I(1)], KSS cointegration test would be performed to detect the long-term relationship among them. Prior to performing the KSS

cointegration test, the series are first demeaned and/or detrended, and the optimal lag length is determined using t significance method introduced by Schwert¹.

The null hypothesis of the KSS cointegration test is that there is no long-term relationship among the variables; while the alternative hypothesis is that there is a long non-linear relationship among variables. The KSS cointegration test results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: KSS Cointegration Test Results

Variable	t Statistic	Prob.
U(-1)^3	-6.614	0.000
D(u(-1))	1.216	0.023

The null hypothesis is rejected, and the existence of a long-term relationship among the variables is accepted since the t statistic value of the variable U(-1)^3 (-6.614) is higher than the critical values. Once the long-run relationship among the variables included in the analysis is determined, the estimate of the long-run coefficients would be determined using the Fully Modified OLS (FMOLS) method.

FMOLS estimation results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: FMOLS Estimate Results

Variable	Coefficient	Prob.
DR	0.09	0.004
INF	-0.03	0.09
UP	0.66	0.24
GDP	0.26	0.009
GE	-1.31	0.001

Table 5 indicates that the coefficients of the urbanization rate variable are statistically significant. Accordingly, domestic savings are influenced positively by GDP and DR; whereas negatively by INF and GE. A 1% increase in GDP and DR raise domestic savings by 0.26% and 0.09%, respectively; while a 1% increase in INF and GE diminish domestic savings by 0.03% and 1.31%, respectively.

4. Conclusion

Savings, especially domestic savings are considered as one of the major sources of finance for sustainable economic growth and development. Therefore, determining the determinants of domestic savings and the factors that can be effective in increasing domestic savings are extremely important for countries. Thus, the determinants of domestic savings over the period 1979-2017 in Turkey are tried to be determined using the annual data in this study. For this purpose, in accordance with the literature, five

¹ As calculated by the formula 12*((39/100)^0.25)), the maximum lag length is determined as 9.

variables are used which include monetary, financial and demographic factors that can affect an individual country's domestic savings. The unit root test results indicate that the series is difference stationary [I (1)]. The KSS cointegration test is used to analyze the long-run relationships among these variables, which are the first-order stationary. As a result of the performed analysis, it is concluded that there is a long-run relationship between the series and the coefficients of the long-run relationship between the variables are estimated by FMOLS method. Accordingly, domestic savings are affected positively by GDP and DR; and negatively by INF and GE.

References

- Abasimi, I., & Martin, A. Y. (2018). Determinants of National Saving in Four West African Countries. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 10(5), 67.
- Agrawal, P., Sahoo, P., & Dash, R. K. (2009). Savings behaviour in South Asia. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 31(2), 208-224.
- Ahmad, F. (2015). Determinants of savings behavior in Pakistan: Long run-short run association And causality. *Timisoara Journal of Economics and Business*, 8(1), 103-136.
- Akram, N., & Akram, M. I. (2016). Macro and socioeconomic determinants of savings in Pakistan. *Pakistan Business Review*, 18(2), 325-342.
- Arıç, K. H. (2015). Determinants of savings in hhe Middle East countries. *Kocaeli Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, KOSBED*, 29, 23-36.
- Athukorala, P. C., & Sen, K. (2004). The determinants of private saving in India. *World Development*, 32(3), 491-503.
- Ayalew, H. A. (2013). Determinants of domestic saving in Ethiopia: An autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) bounds testing approach. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, 5(6), 248-257.
- Chaudhry, I. S., Riaz, U., Farooq, F., & Zulfiqar, S. (2014). The monetary and fiscal determinants of national savings in Pakistan: An empirical evidence from ARDL approach to co-integration. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 8(2), 521.
- Doker, A. C., Turkmen, A., & Emsen, O. S. (2016). What are the demographic determinants of savings? An analysis on transition economies (1993-2013). *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *39*, 275-283.
- Doshi, K. (1994). Determinants of the saving rate: an international comparison. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, *12*(1), 37-45.
- Imoughele, L. E., & Ismaila, M. (2014). An econometric analysis of the determinants of private domestic savings in Nigeria (1981-2012). *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 4(5), 12-21.

- Kalkınma Bakanlığı (Ministry of Development) (2013). Onuncu Kalkınma Planı (2014-2018). Ankara.
- Karagöl, E. T., & Özcan, B. (2014). Sürdürülebilir büyüme için tasarruf. Seta, 92.
- Kolasa, A., & Liberda, B. (2015). Determinants of saving in Poland: Are they different from those in other OECD countries? *Eastern European Economics*, 53(2), 124-148.
- Larbi, D. A. (2013). The long run determinants of private domestic savings in Ghana: A cointegration approach. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(4), 125-136.
- Matur, E. P., Sabuncu, A., & Bahçeci, S. (2012). Determinants of private saving and interaction between public & private savings in Turkey. *Topics in Middle Eastern and North African Economies*, 14, 102-125.
- Narayan, P. K., & Saud, A. L. (2005). An empirical investigation of the determinants of Oman's national savings. *Economics Bulletin*, 3(51), 1-7.
- Otiwu, K., Okere, P. A., & Uzowuru, L. N. (2018). Determinants of private domestic savings in Nigeria (1981-2015). *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 6(2), 21-40.
- Ozcan, K. M., Gunay, A., & Ertac, S. (2012). Macro and socioeconomic determinants of Turkish private savings. *Journal of Economic Cooperation & Development*, 33(2), 93-130.
- Rodrik, D. (2000). Saving transitions. The World Bank Economic Review, 14(3), 481-507.
- Şahin, D. (2016). An empirical analysis of determinants of saving in Turkey. *The Journal of Academic Socail Science Studies*, 43, 109-119.
- Shrestha, R. P. (2010). Private savings behaviour in Nepal: long-term determinants and short-run dynamics. *Democracy*, 353507(2.07), 11-71.
- Touny, M. A. (2008). Determinants of domestic saving performance in Egypt: An empirical study. *Journal of Commercial Studies and Researches*, 1, 1-23.
- Tunay, K. B. (2017). Gelişmiş ve Gelişmekte Olan Ülkelerde Hane Halkı Tasarruflarının Makro Ekonomik Belirleyicileri. *Finans Politik & Ekonomik Yorumlar*, 54(633), 61-78.
- Yaraşır, S., & Yılmaz, B. E. (2011). OECD Ülkelerinde Özel Tasarruflar: Bir Bakış (1999-2007). *Maliye Dergisi*, (160).