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#### Abstract

In this paper using some new dynamic inequalities we present some oscillation results for higher order dynamic equation $$
\begin{aligned} &\left\{r_{n-1}(t) \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}}\left[\left(r_{n-2}(t)\left(\ldots\left(r_{1}(t) \phi_{\alpha_{1}}\left[x^{\Delta}(t)\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right\}^{\Delta} \\ &+p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t)))=0 \end{aligned}
$$


on an unbounded time scale $\mathbb{T}$. Some new oscillation criteria are obtained using comparison techniques. Some applications illustrating our results are included.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper considers the oscillatory behavior of the higher order dynamic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{r_{n-1}(t) \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}}\left[\left(r_{n-2}(t)\left(\ldots\left(r_{1}(t) \phi_{\alpha_{1}}\left[x^{\Delta}(t)\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right\}^{\Delta}  \tag{1.1}\\
&+p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t)))=0
\end{align*}
$$

on an unbounded time scale $\mathbb{T}$, where $\phi_{\alpha}(u):=|u|^{\alpha-1} u, \gamma, \alpha_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, r_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, are positive rd-continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}, p$ is a positive rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$, and $g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a rd-continuous function such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$.
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We recall that a solution $x$ of equation (1.1) is said to be nonoscillatory if there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $x(t) x(\sigma(t))>0$ for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$; otherwise, it is said to be oscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

In the literature many papers discuss the behavior of solutions for certain classes of dynamic equations; we refer the reader to $[1,3,5,9,11,12,13,15,18,19,20,21,23$, $24,25,26,27,29]$ and the references cited therein. In particular these papers present oscillatory criteria and asymptotic behavior for first, second and third order dynamic equations on time scales and some interesting results were obtained for special cases of (1.1); see [10, 14, 16, 17, 28].

The aim of this paper is to present some new criteria for equation (1.1). Our approach is to reduce the problem so that specific oscillation results for first, second and third order dynamic equations can be used for the arbitrary higher order case.

The paper will have four sections. In section 2 , we state and prove some new dynamic inequalities. Section 3 uses comparison ideas to discuss (1.1). The last section illustrates the main results of our paper.

The theory of time scales was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph. D. Thesis in 1988 in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis, see [22]. A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is an arbitrary closed subset of the reals, and the cases when this time scale is equal to the reals or to the integers represent the classical theories of differential and of difference equations. Many other interesting time scales exist, and they give rise to many applications (see [6]). This new theory of these so-called "dynamic equations" not only unifies the corresponding theories for the differential equations and difference equations cases, but it also extends these classical cases to cases "in between". That is, we are able to treat the so-called $q$-difference equations when $\mathbb{T}=q^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}:=\left\{q^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right.$ for $\left.q>1\right\}$ and can be applied to different types of time scales like $\mathbb{T}=h \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{T}=\mathbb{N}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{n}$ the set of the harmonic numbers. The books on the subject of time scales by Bohner and Peterson [6], [7] summarizes and organizes much of time scale calculus.

For completeness, we recall some concepts on time scales. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we define the forward and backward jump operators $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $\rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$
\sigma(t)=\inf \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s>t\}, \rho(t)=\sup \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s<t\}
$$

where $\inf \emptyset:=\sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \emptyset=\inf \mathbb{T}$, where $\emptyset$ denotes the empty set. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $t>\inf \mathbb{T}$, is said to be left-dense if $\rho(t)=t$, right-dense if $t<\sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t)=t$, left-scattered if $\rho(t)<t$ and right-scattered if $\sigma(t)>t$. A function $h: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be right-dense continuous (rd-continuous) provided that $h$ is continuous at right-dense points and at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$, left-hand limits exist and are finite. The set of all such rd-continuous functions is denoted by $C_{r d}(\mathbb{T})$. Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If there exists a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $t$ such that

$$
|f(\sigma(t))-f(s)-\alpha(\sigma(t)-s)| \leq \epsilon|\sigma(t)-s| \quad \text { for all } s \in U,
$$

then $f$ is said to be differentiable at $t$, and we call $\alpha$ the delta derivative of $f$ at $t$ and denote it by $f^{\Delta}(t)$.

## 2. Dynamic Inequalities

In this section we state and prove some dynamic inequalities which will be used in the next section. Throughout this paper, we let

$$
x \in C_{r d}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}\right) \text { for some } t_{0} \in[0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}},
$$

and

$$
x^{[i]} \in C_{r d}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}\right), i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{[i]}(t):=r_{i}(t) \phi_{\alpha_{i}}\left[\left(x^{[i-1]}(t)\right)^{\Delta}\right] \text { with } r_{n}=\alpha_{n}=1 \text { and } x^{[0]}=x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi_{\alpha_{i}}(u):=|u|^{\alpha_{i}-1} u, \alpha_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, are constants, and $r_{i} \in C_{r d}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}},(0, \infty)\right)$ $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r_{i}^{-1 / \alpha_{i}}(s) \Delta s=\infty, i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1. Lemma. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad x^{[n]}(t)<0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Then there exists an integer $m \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ with $m+n$ odd such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{[k]}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad k=0, \ldots, m, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad k=m, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad x^{[n]}(t)<0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $x^{[i]}(t), i=1, \ldots, n-1$, are eventually monotone and hence are of one sign. There are two possibilities:
(a) $x^{[k]}(t)$ and $x^{[k-1]}(t)$ have opposite signs eventually for $k=1, \ldots, n$; or
(b) there exists a largest $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $x^{[m]}(t) x^{[m-1]}(t)>0$ eventually.

If (a) holds, then (2.4) and (2.5) hold with $m=0$ (note that for this case from (2.6) $n$ must be odd).

Assume that (b) holds with $x^{[m]}(t)<0$ and $x^{[m-1]}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, where $t_{1} \in$ $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{[m-2]}(t) & =x^{[m-2]}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi_{\alpha_{m-1}}^{-1}\left[x^{[m-1]}(s)\right] r_{m-1}^{-1 / \alpha_{m-1}}(s) \Delta s \\
& <x^{[m-2]}\left(t_{1}\right)+\phi_{\alpha_{m-1}}^{-1}\left[x^{[m-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right] \int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{m-1}^{-1 / \alpha_{m-1}}(s) \Delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.2) with $i=m-1, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{[m-2]}(t)=-\infty$. Hence $x^{[m-2]}(t)<0$ eventually. By the same reasoning we see that $x^{[k]}(t)<0$ eventually for $k=m-2, \ldots, 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $x(t)$ is eventually positive.

Assume that (b) holds with $x^{[m]}(t)>0$ and $x^{[m-1]}(t)>0$ eventually. Using an argument similar to the above, we see that $x^{[k]}(t)>0$ eventually for $k=m-2, \ldots, 0$. Therefore, (2.4) and (2.5) hold with this $m$ (From (2.5) (with $k=n$ ) we find that $m+n$ is an odd number).

Let

$$
\alpha[h, k]:= \begin{cases}\alpha_{h} \cdots \alpha_{k} & h \leq k \\ 1, & h>k\end{cases}
$$

and for a fixed $m \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and an integer $k \in\{m, \ldots, n-1\}$, define the functions $R_{i, j}(v, u), j=0, \ldots, k$ by the recurrence formula:

$$
R_{k, j}(v, u):= \begin{cases}1, & j=0, \\ \int_{u}^{v}\left[\frac{R_{k, j-1}(v, s)}{r_{k-j+1}(s)}\right]^{1 / \alpha_{k-j+1}} \Delta s, & j=1, \ldots, k-m+1, \\ \int_{u}^{v}\left[\frac{R_{k, j-1}(s, u)}{r_{k-j+1}(s)}\right]^{1 / \alpha_{k-j+1}} \Delta s, & j=k-m+2, \ldots, k\end{cases}
$$

2.2. Lemma. Assume that (2.2) and (2.3) hold and $m \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ is given in Lemma 2.1 such that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for $t \geq t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then the following hold for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}:$
(a) for $j=m, \ldots, k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m+j} x^{[j]}(u) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j+1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j}(v, u) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) if $m \geq 1$, then for $j=0, \ldots, m-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{[j]}(v) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j+1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j}(v, u),  \tag{2.8}\\
& \text { where } k \in\{m, \ldots, n-1\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (a) From (2.5), we have that $(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}, k=m, \ldots, n-1$, are positive, decreasing on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. This shows that (2.7) holds for $j=k$. Then for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(u) & \geq(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(v) \\
& =(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[k+1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, 0}(v, u),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
(-1)^{m+k}\left(x^{[k-1]}(u)\right)^{\Delta} \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[k, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right)\left(\frac{R_{k, 0}(v, u)}{r_{k}(u)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{k}} .
$$

Replacing $u$ by $s$ in the above inequality and then integrating it from $u$ to $v \in[u, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{m+k} x^{[k-1]}(v)-(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k-1]}(u) \\
\geq & (-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[k, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) \int_{u}^{v}\left(\frac{R_{k, 0}(v, s)}{r_{k}(s)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{k}} \Delta s \\
= & (-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[k, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, 1}(v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{m+k-1} x^{[k-1]}(u) & \geq(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k-1]}(v)-(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k-1]}(u) \\
& \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[k, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, 1}(v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (2.7) holds for $j=k-1$. Assume that (2.7) holds for some $j \in$ $\{m+1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Then for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
(-1)^{m+j} x^{[j]}(u) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j+1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j}(v, u),
$$

which implies

$$
(-1)^{m+j}\left(x^{[j-1]}(u)\right)^{\Delta} \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right)\left(\frac{R_{k, k-j}(v, u)}{r_{j}(u)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{j}}
$$

Replacing $u$ by $s$ in the above inequality and then integrating it from $u$ to $v \in[u, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{m+j} x^{[j-1]}(v)-(-1)^{m+j} x^{[j-1]}(u) \\
\geq & (-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) \int_{u}^{v}\left(\frac{R_{k, k-j}(v, s)}{r_{j}(s)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{j}} \Delta s \\
= & (-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j+1}(v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from (2.5), we have

$$
(-1)^{m+j-1} x^{[j-1]}(u) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j+1}(v, u) .
$$

This shows that (2.7) holds for $j-1$. By induction, (2.7) holds for all $j=m, m+1, \ldots, k$.
(b) From Part (a) we have that for $j=m$

$$
x^{[m]}(u) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[m+1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-m}(v, u),
$$

which implies

$$
\left(x^{[m-1]}(u)\right)^{\Delta} \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[m, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right)\left(\frac{R_{k, k-m}(v, u)}{r_{m}(u)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{m}}
$$

Replacing $u$ by $s$ in the above inequality and then integrating it from $u$ to $v \in[u, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{[m-1]}(v) & \geq x^{[m-1]}(v)-x^{[m-1]}(u) \\
& \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[m, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) \int_{u}^{v}\left(\frac{R_{k, k-m}(v, s)}{r_{m}(s)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{m}} \Delta s \\
& =(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[m, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-m+1}(v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (2.8) holds for $j=m-1$. Assume that (2.8) holds for some $j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Then for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
\left(x^{[j-1]}(v)\right)^{\Delta} \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right)\left(\frac{R_{k, k-j}(v, u)}{r_{j}(v)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{j}}
$$

Replacing $v$ by $s$ in the above inequality and then integrating it from $u$ to $v \in[u, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{[j-1]}(v) & \geq x^{[j-1]}(v)-x^{[j-1]}(u) \\
& \geq \int_{u}^{v}(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(s)\right)\left(\frac{R_{k, k-j}(s, u)}{r_{j}(s)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{j}} \Delta s \\
& \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) \int_{u}^{v}\left(\frac{R_{k, k-j}(s, u)}{r_{j}(s)}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{j}} \Delta s \\
& =(-1)^{m+k-1} \phi_{\alpha[j, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k-j+1}(v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (2.8) holds for $j-1$. By induction, (2.8) holds for all $j=0,1, \ldots, m-$ 1.

## 3. Main Results

In this section we consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the $n$ th-order nonlinear dynamic equation (1.1). From (2.1), Eq. (1.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{[n]}(t)+p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t)))=0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1. Theorem. Assume that $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ and (2.2) holds. If for an integer $k \in\{m, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{m+k}\left\{r_{n} \phi_{\alpha_{n}}\left[\left(r_{n-1}\left(\ldots\left(r_{k+1} \phi_{\alpha_{k+1}}\left[z^{\Delta}\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right\}(t) &  \tag{3.2}\\
& +P_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}(z(g(t))) \leq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where for sufficiently large $T \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}, P_{k}(t):=p(t) R_{k, k}^{\gamma}(g(t), T)$, has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that Eq. (3.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$. Then without loss of generality, assume that $x(g(t))>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. From (3.1), we have that for $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
x^{[n]}(t)=-p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t)))<0 .
$$

This implies that $x^{[i]}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, are eventually monotone and hence are of one sign. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists an odd integer $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for $t \geq t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. From Lemma 2.2, Part (b) with $j=0$, we get for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
x(v) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k}(v, u)
$$

Setting $v=g(t)$ and $u=t_{1}$ gives

$$
x(g(t)) \geq(-1)^{m+k} \phi_{\alpha[1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(g(t))\right) R_{k, k}\left(g(t), t_{1}\right) .
$$

Therefore (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{[n]}(t) & =p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t))) \\
& \geq p(t) R_{k, k}^{\gamma}\left(g(t), t_{1}\right) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}\left((-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(g(t))\right) \\
& =P_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}\left((-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(g(t))\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{m+k}\left\{r_{n} \phi_{\alpha_{n}}\left[\left(r_{n-1}\left(\ldots\left(r_{k+1} \phi_{\alpha_{k+1}}\left[z^{\Delta}\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right\}(t) \\
&+P_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}(z(g(t))) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(t):=(-1)^{m+k} x^{[k]}(t)>0$, for an integer $k \in\{m, \ldots, n-1\}$. Thus (3.2) has an eventually positive solution, a contradiction.
3.2. Theorem. Assume that $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and (2.2) holds. If (3.2) for an integer $k \in$ $\{m, \ldots, n-1\}$ has no eventually positive solution and there is a function $\tau$ such that $g(t) \leq \tau(t)$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{k}\left\{r_{n} \phi_{\alpha_{n}}\left[\left(r_{n-1}\left(\ldots\left(r_{k+1} \phi_{\alpha_{k+1}}\left[z^{\Delta}\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right. & \}(t)  \tag{3.3}\\
& +Q_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}(z(\tau(t))) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for an integer $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, where $Q_{k}(t):=p(t) R_{k, k}^{\gamma}(\tau(t), g(t))$, has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that Eq. (3.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$. Then without loss of generality, assume that $x(g(t))>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. From (3.1), we have that for $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{[n]}(t)=-p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t)))<0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $x^{[i]}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, are eventually monotone and hence are of one sign. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists an even integer $m \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for $t \geq t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$.
(i) Assume that $m \geq 1$. Then the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 leads to a contradiction.
(ii) Assume that $m=0$. From Lemma 2.2, Part (a) with $j=m=0$, we get for $v \geq u \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
x(u) \geq(-1)^{k} \phi_{\alpha[1, k]}^{-1}\left(x^{[k]}(v)\right) R_{k, k}(v, u)
$$

Setting $u=g(t)$ and $v=\tau(t)$ gives

$$
x(g(t)) \geq \phi_{\alpha[1, k]}^{-1}\left((-1)^{k} x^{[k]}(\tau(t))\right) R_{k, k}(\tau(t), g(t))
$$

Therefore (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{[n]}(t) & =p(t) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(t))) \\
& \geq p(t) R_{k, k}^{\gamma}(\tau(t), g(t)) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}\left((-1)^{k} x^{[k]}(\tau(t))\right) \\
& =Q_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}\left((-1)^{k} x^{[k]}(\tau(t))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{k}\left\{r_{n} \phi_{\alpha_{n}}\left[\left(r_{n-1}\left(\ldots\left(r_{k+1} \phi_{\alpha_{k+1}}\left[z^{\Delta}\right]\right)^{\Delta} \ldots\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right]\right. & \}(t) \\
& +Q_{k}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, k]}(z(\tau(t))) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(t):=(-1)^{k} x^{[k]}(t)>0$, for an integer $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Thus (3.3) has an eventually positive solution, a contradiction.

For further discussion, we introduce the following notation: For any $t \in \mathbb{T}$, define

$$
p_{j}(t):= \begin{cases}p(t), & j=0, \\ {\left[\frac{1}{r_{n-j}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{j-1}(s) \Delta s\right]^{1 / \alpha_{n-j}},} & j=1,2, \ldots, n-1,\end{cases}
$$

provided that the improper integrals involved are convergent.
3.3. Theorem. Assume that $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$, (2.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(s) \Delta s=\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. If (3.2) for an integer $k \in\{m, \ldots, n-1\}$ has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory or tends to zero eventually.

Proof. Assume that Eq. (3.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$. Then without loss of generality, assume that $x(g(t))>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 that there exists an odd integer $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for $t \geq t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$.
(i) Assume that $m \geq 1$. Then the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 leads to a contradiction.
(ii) Assume that $m=0$. Since $x^{\Delta}<0$ eventually, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=l \geq 0$. Assume that $l>0$. Then

$$
x(t), x(g(t))>l_{1} \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}} .
$$

Integrating (3.1) from $t$ to $v \in[t, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and using (2.5) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{[n-1]}(t) & \geq-x^{[n-1]}(v)+x^{[n-1]}(t) \\
& =\int_{t}^{v} p(s) \phi_{\gamma}(x(g(s))) \Delta s \geq c \int_{t}^{v} p(s) \Delta s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking limits as $v \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
x^{[n-1]}(t) \geq c \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) \Delta s=c \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{0}(s) \Delta s .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{[n-2]}(t)\right)^{\Delta} \geq c^{1 / \alpha_{n-1}}\left[\frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{0}(s) \Delta s\right]^{1 / \alpha_{n-1}}=c^{1 / \alpha_{n-1}} p_{1}(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the inequality (3.6) from $t$ to $v \in[t, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and then taking limits as $v \rightarrow \infty$ and using the fact $x^{[n-2]}<0$ eventually, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{[n-2]}(t) & \geq c^{1 / \alpha_{n-1}} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{1}(s) \Delta s \\
& =c^{1 / \alpha[n-1, n-1]} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{1}(s) \Delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing this process, we get

$$
-x^{[1]}(t) \geq c^{1 / \alpha[2, n-1]} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{n-2}(s) \Delta s,
$$

which implies

$$
-x^{\Delta}(t)>c^{1 / \alpha[1, n-1]}\left[\frac{1}{r_{1}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{n-2}(s) \Delta s\right]^{1 / \alpha_{1}}=c^{1 / \alpha[1, n-1]} p_{n-1}(t)
$$

Again, integrating the above inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and noting that $x>0$ eventually, we get

$$
x\left(t_{2}\right)-x(t) \geq c^{1 / \alpha[1, n-1]} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} p_{n-1}(s) \Delta s
$$

Using (3.5), we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=-\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $x>0$ on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Applications

As direct consequences of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following comparison criteria for Eq. (3.1) when $k=n-1$.
4.1. Corollary. Assume that (2.2) holds and the first order dynamic inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\Delta}(t)+P_{n-1}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, n-1]}(z(g(t))) \leq 0, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for sufficiently large $T \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}, P_{n-1}(t):=p(t) R_{n-1, n-1}^{\gamma}(g(t), T)$, has no eventually positive solution.
(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and the first order dynamic inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{\Delta}(t)+Q_{n-1}(t) \phi_{\gamma / \alpha[1, n-1]}(z(\tau(t))) \leq 0  \tag{4.2}\\
& \text { where } Q_{n}(t):=p(t) R_{n-1, n-1}^{\gamma}(\tau(t), g(t)) \text {, has no eventually positive solution, }
\end{align*}
$$ then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

4.2. Corollary. Assume that (2.2) holds and the first order dynamic inequality (4.1) has no eventually positive solution.
(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and (3.5) holds, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory or tends to zero eventually.
Using the main results of [29,5] we get the following oscillation criteria of Eq. (3.1).
4.3. Corollary. Let $\gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(t)<t$ and $\tau(t)<t$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Assume that (2.2) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\lambda \in E_{1}}\left\{\lambda e_{-\lambda P_{n-1}}(t, g(t))\right\}<1, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{1}=\left\{\lambda: \lambda>0,1-\lambda P_{n-1}(t) \mu(t)>0, t \in \mathbb{T}\right\} .
$$

(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and
$\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\lambda \in E_{2}}\left\{\lambda e_{-\lambda Q_{n-1}}(t, \tau(t))\right\}<1$,
where
$E_{2}=\left\{\lambda: \lambda>0,1-\lambda Q_{n-1}(t) \mu(t)>0, t \in \mathbb{T}\right\}$,
then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
4.4. Corollary. Let $\gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(t)<t$ and $\tau(t)<t$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Assume that (2.2) and (4.3) hold.
(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and (3.5) holds, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory or tends to zero eventually.
4.5. Corollary. Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}, \gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(t)<t$ and $\tau(t)<t$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Assume that (2.2) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} P_{n-1}(s) d s>\frac{1}{e} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} Q_{n-1}(s) d s>\frac{1}{e}
$$

then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
4.6. Corollary. Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}, \gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(t)<t$ and $\tau(t)<t$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Assume that (2.2) and (4.4) hold.
(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and (3.5) holds, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory or tends to zero eventually.
4.7. Corollary. Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}, \gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(n)=\tau(n)=n-k$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that (2.2) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n-k}^{n-1} P_{n-1}(i)>\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k+1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and

$$
\sum_{i=n-k}^{n-1} Q_{n-1}(i)>\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k+1}
$$

then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
4.8. Corollary. Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}, \gamma=\alpha[1, n-1]$, and $g(n)=\tau(n)=n-k$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that (2.2) and (4.5) hold.
(i) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.
(ii) If $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1$ and (3.5) holds, then every solution of Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory or tends to zero eventually.
4.9. Remark. (1) For more oscillation criteria, see $[4,5,8,29]$.
(2) When $n=3$, the result in Corollary 4.3 is related to a problem posed in [3, Remark 3.3] when $\tau(t)<t$ for $t \geq t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$.
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