

Some properties of soft θ -topology

O. R. SAYED * and A. M. KHALIL †

Abstract

For dealing with uncertainties researchers introduced the concept of soft sets. Georgiou et al. [10] defined several basic notions on soft θ -topology and they studied many properties of them. This paper continues the study of the theory of soft θ -topological spaces and presents for this theory new definitions, characterizations, and results concerning soft θ -boundary, soft θ -exterior, soft θ -generalized closed sets, soft Λ -sets, and soft strongly pu - θ -continuity.

2000 AMS Classification: 54A05,54C08,54C10,54D10.

Keywords: soft set; soft θ -topology; soft θ -generalized closed set; soft Λ -set.

Received 27/08/2013 : Accepted 15/04/2014 Doi : 10.15672 /HJMS.2015449437

1. Introduction

In 1999, Molodtsov [20] initiated the theory of soft sets as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Also, he applied this theory to several directions (see, for example, [21-23]). The soft set theory has been applied to many different fields (see, for example, [1-2], [4-5], [7-8], [13-18], [24], [26], [28], [30]). Later, few researches (see, for example, [3], [6], [11-12], [19], [25], [27], [29]) introduced and studied the notion of soft topological spaces. Recently, in 2013, D. N. Georgiou, A. C. Megaritis, and V. I. Petropoulos [10] initiated the study of soft θ -topology. They proved that the family of all soft θ -open sets defines a soft topology on X . Consequently, they defined some basic notions of soft θ -topological spaces such as soft θ -interior point, soft θ -closure set, and soft θ -continuity and established some of their properties. This paper continues the study of the theory of soft θ -topology. It is organized as follows. The first section is the introduction. In section 2 known basic notions and results concerning the theory of soft sets, soft topological spaces and soft θ -topological spaces are given. In section 3 the notions of soft θ -boundary and soft θ -exterior are defined and some of their properties are studied. Also, some other characterizations of soft θ -closure and soft θ -interior are

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University Assiut, 71516, Egypt
Email: o_r_sayed@yahoo.com; o_sayed@aun.edu.eg

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt
Email: a_m_mohmed1@yahoo.com; a.khalil@azhar.edu.eg

given. In section 4 the basic properties of soft θ -generalized closed sets, soft θ -generalized open sets, and soft Λ -sets are introduced. Finally, in section 5, the basic properties of soft strongly pu - θ -continuity are introduced and studied.

2. preliminaries

2.1. Definition. [20]. Let X be an initial universe set, $P(X)$ the power set of X , that is the set of all subsets of X , and A a set of parameters. A pair (F, A) , where F is a map from A to $P(X)$, is called a soft set over X .

In what follows by $SS(X, A)$ we denote the family of all soft sets (F, A) over X .

2.2. Definition. [20]. Let $(F, A), (G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. We say that the pair (F, A) is a soft subset of (G, A) if $F(p) \subseteq G(p)$, for every $p \in A$. Symbolically, we write $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$. Also, we say that the pairs (F, A) and (G, A) are soft equal if $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$ and $(G, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)$. Symbolically, we write $(F, A) = (G, A)$.

2.3. Definition. [20]. Let I be an arbitrary index set and $\{(F_i, A) : i \in I\} \subseteq SS(X, A)$. Then

(1) The soft union of these soft sets is the soft set $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$, where the map $F : A \rightarrow P(X)$ is defined as follows: $F(p) = \cup\{F_i(p) : i \in I\}$, for every $p \in A$. Symbolically, we write $(F, A) = \sqcup\{(F_i, A) : i \in I\}$.

(2) The soft intersection of these soft sets is the soft set $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$, where the map $F : A \rightarrow P(X)$ is defined as follows: $F(p) = \cap\{F_i(p) : i \in I\}$, for every $p \in A$. Symbolically, we write $(F, A) = \cap\{(F_i, A) : i \in I\}$.

2.4. Definition. [29]. Let $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. The soft complement of (F, A) is the soft set $(H, A) \in SS(X, A)$, where the map $H : A \rightarrow P(X)$ defined as follows: $H(p) = X \setminus F(p)$, for every $p \in A$. Symbolically, we write $(H, A) = (F, A)^c$. Obviously, $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$ [10]. For two given subsets $(M, A), (N, A) \in SS(X, A)$ [27], we have

- (i) $((M, A) \sqcup (N, A))^c = (M, A)^c \cap (N, A)^c$;
- (ii) $((M, A) \cap (N, A))^c = (M, A)^c \sqcup (N, A)^c$.

2.5. Definition. [20]. The soft set $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$, where $F(p) = \phi$, for every $p \in A$ is called the A -null soft set of $SS(X, A)$ and denoted by $\mathbf{0}_A$. The soft set $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$, where $F(p) = X$, for every $p \in A$ is called the A -absolute soft set of $SS(X, A)$ and denoted by $\mathbf{1}_A$.

2.6. Definition. [29]. The soft set $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$ is called a soft point in X , denoted by e_F , if for the element $e \in A$, $F(e) \neq \mathbf{0}_A$ and $F(e') = \mathbf{0}_A$ for all $e' \in A \setminus \{e\}$. The set of all soft points of X is denoted by $\mathbf{SP}(X)$. The soft point e_F is said to be in the soft set (G, A) , denoted by $e_F \tilde{\in} (G, A)$, if for the element $e \in A$ and $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$.

2.7. Definition. [29]. Let $SS(X, A)$ and $SS(Y, B)$ be families of soft sets. Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings. Then the mapping $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is defined as:

(1) The image of $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$ under f_{pu} is the soft set $f_{pu}(F, A) = (f_{pu}(F), B)$ in $SS(Y, B)$ such that

$$f_{pu}(F)(y) = \begin{cases} \cup_{x \in p^{-1}(y)} u(F(x)), & p^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ \phi, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $y \in B$.

(2) The inverse image of $(G, B) \in SS(Y, B)$ under f_{pu} is the soft set $f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) = (f_{pu}^{-1}(G), A)$ in $SS(X, A)$ such that $f_{pu}^{-1}(G)(x) = u^{-1}(G(p(x)))$ for all $x \in A$.

2.8. Proposition. [9]. Let $(F, A), (F_1, A) \in SS(X, A)$ and $(G, B), (G_1, B) \in SS(Y, B)$. The following statements are true:

- (1) If $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (F_1, A)$, then $f_{pu}(F, A) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}(F_1, A)$.
- (2) If $(G, B) \sqsubseteq (G_1, B)$, then $f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B)$.
- (3) $(F, A) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}^{-1}(f_{pu}(F, A))$.
- (4) $f_{pu}(f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B)) \sqsubseteq (G, B)$.
- (5) $f_{pu}^{-1}((G, B)^c) = (f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B))^c$.
- (6) $f_{pu}((F, A) \sqcup (F_1, A)) = f_{pu}(F, A) \sqcup f_{pu}(F_1, A)$.
- (7) $f_{pu}((F, A) \cap (F_1, A)) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}(F, A) \cap f_{pu}(F_1, A)$.
- (8) $f_{pu}^{-1}((G, B) \sqcup (G_1, B)) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) \sqcup f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B)$.
- (9) $f_{pu}^{-1}((G, B) \cap (G_1, B)) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) \cap f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B)$.

2.9. Definition. [29]. Let X be an initial universe set, A a set of parameters, and $\tilde{\tau} \subseteq SS(X, A)$. We say that the family $\tilde{\tau}$ defines a soft topology on X if the following axioms are true:

- (1) $\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A \in \tilde{\tau}$.
- (2) If $(G, A), (H, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$, then $(G, A) \cap (H, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$.
- (3) If $(G_i, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$ for every $i \in I$, then $\sqcup\{(G_i, A) : i \in I\} \in \tilde{\tau}$.

The triplet $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is called a soft topological space. The members of $\tilde{\tau}$ are called soft open sets in X . Also, a soft set (F, A) is called soft closed if the complement $(F, A)^c$ belongs to $\tilde{\tau}$. The family of all soft closed sets is denoted by $\tilde{\tau}^c$.

2.10. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$.

- (1) The soft closure of (F, A) [27] is the soft set

$$Cl_S(F, A) = \cap\{(S, A) : (S, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c, (F, A) \sqsubseteq (S, A)\}.$$

- (2) The soft interior of (F, A) [29] is the soft set

$$Int_S(F, A) = \sqcup\{(S, A) : (S, A) \in \tilde{\tau}, (S, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)\}.$$

2.11. Definition. [29]. A soft set (G, A) in a soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is called a soft neighborhood (briefly: nbd) of a soft point $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ if there exists a soft open set (H, A) such that $e_F \in \tilde{\tau}(H, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$. The soft neighborhood system of a soft point e_F , denoted by $N_{\tilde{\tau}}(e_F)$, is the family of all of its soft neighborhoods.

2.12. Definition. [3]. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space.

- (1) A subcollection B of $\tilde{\tau}$ is called a base for $\tilde{\tau}$ if every member of $\tilde{\tau}$ can be expressed as a union of members of B .

- (2) A subcollection S of $\tilde{\tau}$ is said to be a subbase for $\tilde{\tau}$ if the family of all finite intersections of members of S forms a base for $\tilde{\tau}$.

2.13. Definition. [29]. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings, and $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$.

- (1) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft pu -continuous at $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ if for each $(G, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$, there exists $(H, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}}(e_F)$ such that $f_{pu}(H, A) \sqsubseteq (G, B)$.

- (2) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft pu -continuous on X if f_{pu} is soft pu -continuous at each soft point in X .

2.14. Definition. [12]. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$.

- (1) (F, A) is said to be a soft generalized closed set in $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ if $Cl_S(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$ whenever $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$ and $(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$. The set of all soft generalized closed sets of X is denoted by $(GC)_S(X)$.

(2) (F, A) is said to be a soft generalized open set in $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ if $(F, A)^c$ is a soft generalized closed set. The set of all soft generalized open sets of X is denoted by $(GO)_s(X)$.

2.15. Definition. [10]. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space. The soft θ -interior of a soft subset $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$ is the soft union of all soft open sets over X whose soft closures are soft contained in (F, A) , and is denoted by $Int_s^\theta(F, A)$. The soft subset (F, A) is called soft θ -open if $Int_s^\theta(F, A) = (F, A)$. The complement of a soft θ -open set is called soft θ -closed. Alternatively, a soft set (F, A) of X is called soft θ -closed set if $Cl_s^\theta(F, A) = (F, A)$, where $Cl_s^\theta(F, A)$ is the soft θ -closure of (F, A) and is defined to be the soft intersection of all soft closed soft subsets of X whose soft interiors contain $(F, A)^c$ [10, Proposition 5.18 (3) and Definitions 5.10 and 5.11]. We observe that $Cl_s^\theta(F, A) = (Int_s^\theta(F, A))^c$ [10, Corollary 5.17 (1)]. The family of all soft θ -open sets forms a soft topology on X , denoted by $\tilde{\tau}_\theta$, and is called soft θ -topology. The set of all soft θ -closed sets over X is denoted by $\tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.

2.16. Definition. [10]. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings, and $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$.

(1) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft pu - θ -continuous at e_F if for each $(G, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$, there exists $(H, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}}(e_F)$ such that $f_{pu}(Cl_s(H, A)) \sqsubseteq Cl_s(G, B)$.

(2) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft pu - θ -continuous on X if f_{pu} is soft pu - θ -continuous at each soft point in X .

3. Soft θ -boundary and soft θ -exterior

3.1. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. The soft θ -boundary of soft set (F, A) over X is denoted by $Bd_s^\theta(F, A)$ and is defined as $Bd_s^\theta(F, A) = Cl_s^\theta(F, A) \cap Cl_s^\theta(F^c, A)$.

3.2. Remark. From the above definition it follows directly that the soft sets (F, A) and (F^c, A) have same soft θ -boundary.

3.3. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A), (G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then:

- (1) $Int_s^\theta(\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{0}_A$ and $Int_s^\theta(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_A$;
- (2) $Int_s^\theta(F, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)$;
- (3) $Int_s^\theta(Int_s^\theta(F, A)) \sqsubseteq Int_s^\theta(F, A)$;
- (4) $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$ implies $Int_s^\theta(F, A) \sqsubseteq Int_s^\theta(G, A)$;
- (5) $Int_s^\theta(F, A) \cap Int_s^\theta(G, A) = Int_s^\theta((F, A) \cap (G, A))$;
- (6) $Int_s^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Int_s^\theta(G, A) \sqsubseteq Int_s^\theta((F, A) \sqcup (G, A))$.

Proof. Obvious. ■

The following example shows that the equalities do not hold in Proposition 3.3 (3) and (6).

3.4. Example. (1) Let $X = \{h_1, h_2\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_3, A), (F_4, A)\}$, where $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, \{h_2\})\}$, $(F_2, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, \phi)\}$, $(F_3, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_2\}), (e_2, \phi)\}$, and $(F_4, A) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, \phi)\}$. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ defines a soft topology on X . Let $(F, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, X)\}$. One observe that $Int_s^\theta(Int_s^\theta(F, A)) \sqsubseteq Int_s^\theta(F, A)$ and $Int_s^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Int_s^\theta(G, A) \neq Int_s^\theta((F, A) \sqcup (G, A))$.

(2) Let $X = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, (F_1, A), (F_2, A)\}$, where $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, \{h_1, h_2\})\}$, and $(F_2, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_2, h_3\}), (e_2, \{h_3\})\}$. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ defines a soft topology on X . Suppose that $(F, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1, h_3\}), (e_2, \{h_1, h_2\})\}$, and $(G, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_2\}), (e_2, \{h_3\})\}$. One can deduce that $Int_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Int_S^\theta(G, A) \sqsubset Int_S^\theta((F, A) \sqcup (G, A))$ and $Int_S^\theta((F, A) \sqcup (G, A)) \neq Int_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Int_S^\theta(G, A)$.

3.5. Proposition. *Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(H, A), (M, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then:*

- (1) $Cl_S^\theta(\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{0}_A$ and $Cl_S^\theta(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_A$;
- (2) $(H, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(H, A)$;
- (3) $Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(Cl_S^\theta(H, A))$;
- (4) $(H, A) \sqsubseteq (M, A)$ implies $Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(M, A)$;
- (5) $Cl_S^\theta((H, A) \sqcup (M, A)) = Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqcup Cl_S^\theta(M, A)$;
- (6) $Cl_S^\theta((H, A) \sqcap (M, A)) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqcap Cl_S^\theta(M, A)$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (4) are obvious.

- (3) Follows from [10, Proposition 5.13 (3)].
- (5) Follows from (2) above and [10, Proposition 5.13 (2)].
- (6) Follows from (4) above. ■

The following example shows that the equalities do not hold in Proposition 3.5 (3) and (6).

3.6. Example. (1) The soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is the same as in Example 3.4

(1). Let $(R, A) = (F, A)^c$. We have $Cl_S^\theta(Cl_S^\theta(R, A)) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, X)\} \neq Cl_S^\theta(R, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_2\}), (e_2, X)\}$.

(2) The soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is the same as in Example 3.4 (2). Suppose that $(H, A) = (F, A)^c$ and $(M, A) = (G, A)^c$. So $Cl_S^\theta((H, A) \sqcap (M, A)) = \mathbf{0}_A \sqsubset Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqcap Cl_S^\theta(M, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_2, h_3\}), (e_2, \{h_3\})\}$. Therefore $Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqcap Cl_S^\theta(M, A) \neq Cl_S^\theta((H, A) \sqcap (M, A))$.

3.7. Proposition. *Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statements are true.*

- (1) $Bd_S^\theta(F, A) = Cl_S^\theta(F, A) \setminus Int_S^\theta(F, A)$.
- (2) $Bd_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcap Int_S^\theta(F, A) = \mathbf{0}_A$.
- (3) $(F, A) \sqcup Bd_S^\theta(F, A) = Cl_S^\theta(F, A)$.
- (4) $Bd_S^\theta(F, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are obvious.

(4) Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space, where $X = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, \{h_1\})\}, \{(e_1, \{h_2\}), (e_2, \{h_2\})\}, \{(e_1, \{h_1, h_2\}), (e_2, \{h_1, h_2\})\}\}$. Then $Bd_S^\theta(\{(e_1, X), (e_2, \{h_1, h_3\})\}) = \{(e_1, \{h_2, h_3\}), (e_2, \{h_2, h_3\})\} \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$. ■

3.8. Theorem. *Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $Bd_S^\theta(F, A) = \mathbf{0}_A$ if and only if (F, A) is soft θ -closed and soft θ -open.*

Proof. Obvious. ■

3.9. Theorem. *Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then*

- (1) (F, A) is soft θ -open if and only if $(F, A) \sqcap Bd_S^\theta(F, A) = \mathbf{0}_A$.
- (2) (F, A) is soft θ -closed if and only if $Bd_S^\theta(F, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)$.

Proof. (1) *Necessity.* Follows from Proposition 3.7 (2).

Sufficiency. Follows from [29, Proposition 3.6 (1)].

(2) *Necessity.* Obvious.

Sufficiency. Follows from (1) above. ■

3.10. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statements are true.

(1) $(F, A) \setminus Bd_S^\theta(F, A) = Int_S^\theta(F, A)$.

(2) If (F, A) is soft θ -closed, then $(F, A) \setminus Int_S^\theta(F, A) = Bd_S^\theta(F, A)$.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) Follows from Proposition 3.7 (1). ■

3.11. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. The soft θ -exterior of (F, A) over X is denoted by $Ext_S^\theta(F, A)$ and is defined as $Ext_S^\theta(F, A) = Int_S^\theta(F, A)^c$.

3.12. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statements are true.

(1) $Ext_S^\theta(\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{1}_A$ and $Ext_S^\theta(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{0}_A$.

(2) $Ext_S^\theta((F, A) \sqcup (G, A)) = Ext_S^\theta(F, A) \cap Ext_S^\theta(G, A)$.

(3) $Ext_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Ext_S^\theta(G, A) \subseteq Ext_S^\theta((F, A) \cap (G, A))$.

(4) $Ext_S^\theta((Ext_S^\theta(F, A))^c) \subseteq Ext_S^\theta(F, A)$.

(5) $Ext_S^\theta(F, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta$.

Proof. (1), (2), (3) and (4) are obvious.

(5) See Example 3.13. ■

The following example shows that the equalities do not hold in Proposition 3.12 (3) and (4).

3.13. Example. In Example 3.4 (1), we have $Ext_S^\theta((Ext_S^\theta(F_3, A))^c) \neq Ext_S^\theta(F_3, A)$ and $Ext_S^\theta(F_3, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta$. In Example 3.4 (2), we obtain $Ext_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Ext_S^\theta(G, A) \subseteq Ext_S^\theta((F, A) \cap (G, A))$ and $Ext_S^\theta((F, A) \cap (G, A)) \neq Ext_S^\theta(F, A) \sqcup Ext_S^\theta(G, A)$.

4. Basic properties of soft θ -generalized closed sets

4.1. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. (F, A) is said to be a soft θ -generalized closed set in $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ if $Cl_S^\theta(F, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ whenever $(F, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ and $(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$. The set of all soft θ -generalized closed sets over X is denoted by $(GC)_S^\theta(X)$.

4.2. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statement are true.

(1) If $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$, then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$;

(2) If $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$, then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S$.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) Follows from [10, Definition 5.11]. ■

The converses of (1) and (2) in Proposition 4.2 are not true as illustrated by the following examples.

4.3. Example. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be the soft topological space of Example 3.4 (2) and Example 3.6 (2). Since $(F_2, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$, $(H, A) \sqsubseteq (F_2, A)$ and $Cl_S^\theta(H, A) \sqsubseteq (F_2, A)$, we have $(H, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$. But $(H, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.

4.4. Example. Let $X = \{h_1, h_2\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_3, A)\}$ where $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, \{h_2\})\}$, $(F_2, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, X)\}$, and $(F_3, A) = \{(e_1, \{h_1\}), (e_2, \{h_2\})\}$. Then $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is a soft topological space over X . We have $(H_2, A) = (F_2, A)^c$ is a soft closed set and hence soft generalized-closed. But $(H_2, A) \notin (GC)_S^\theta$.

4.5. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F_1, A), (F_2, A) \in SS(X, A)$. If $(F_1, A), (F_2, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$, then $(F_1, A) \sqcup (F_2, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.5 (5). ■

4.6. Corollary. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A), (G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statement are true.

- (1) If $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$ and $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$, then $(F, A) \sqcup (G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$.
- (2) If $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ and $(G, A) \in (GC)_S$, then $(F, A) \sqcup (G, A) \in (GC)_S$.

Proof. (1) Follows from Proposition 4.2 (1) and Proposition 4.5.

(2) Follows from Proposition 4.2 (2) and [12, Theorem 3.5]. ■

4.7. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then the following statement are true.

- (1) If $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$ and $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$, then $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.
- (2) If $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$, then every soft subset of X is in $(GC)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Clear. ■

4.8. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ if and only if the only soft closed soft subset of $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A)$ is $\mathbf{0}_A$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$ such that $(F, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A) = Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (G, A)^c$ which implies that $(F, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(G, A)$, $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)^c$. Thus $(G, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)^c$. Since $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ and $(F, A)^c \in \tilde{\tau}$, we have $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)^c$ or $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (Cl_S^\theta(G, A))^c$. Since $(F, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(G, A)$, we have $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (Cl_S^\theta(G, A))^c \cap Cl_S^\theta(G, A) = \mathbf{0}_A$. This shows that $(F, A) = \mathbf{0}_A$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $(G, A) \sqsubseteq (U, A)$ and that $(U, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$. If $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \not\sqsubseteq (U, A)$, then $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (U, A)^c$ is a non- A -null soft closed soft subset of $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A)$, a contradiction. Therefore $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \sqsubseteq (U, A)$ and $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$. ■

4.9. Corollary. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space, $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$ and $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$. Then $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$ if and only if $Cl_S^\theta(F, A) \setminus (F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$.

4.10. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ if and only if $(F, A) \sqcup (Cl_S^\theta(F, A))^c \in (GC)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.8. ■

4.11. Lemma. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. If $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$, then $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$.

The converse of Lemma 4.11 is not true in general as illustrated by the following example.

4.12. Example. Let $X = \{h_1, h_2\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, (F_1, A)\}$ is a soft topology over X , where $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, \{h_2\})\}$. We observe that $(H_1, A) = (F_1, A)^c \in \tilde{\tau}^c$. But $(H_1, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta$.

4.13. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$ if and only if $(G, A) = (F, A) \setminus (H, A)$, where $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$ and the only soft closed soft subset of (H, A) is $\mathbf{0}_A$.

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Proposition 4.8.

Sufficiency. Follows from Lemma 4.11. ■

4.14. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. (G, A) is said to be a soft θ -generalized open set in $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ if $(G, A)^c$ is soft θ -generalized closed. The set of all soft θ -generalized open sets over X is denoted by $(GO)_S^\theta(X)$.

4.15. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A), (F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$ if and only if $(F, A) \sqsubseteq Int_S^\theta(G, A)$ whenever $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$ and $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$.

Proof. Obvious. ■

As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2 we have

4.16. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then

- (1) If $(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$, then $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$;
- (2) If $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$, then $(G, A) \in (GO)_S$.

The converses of (1) and (2) in Proposition 4.16 are not true as illustrated by the following examples.

4.17. Example. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be the soft topological space of Example 3.4 (2) and Example 3.6 (2). Since $(R_2, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$, $(R_2, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)$ and $(R_2, A) \sqsubseteq Int_S^\theta(F, A)$, we have $(F, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$. But $(F, A) \notin \tilde{\tau}_\theta$.

4.18. Example. The soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is the same as in Example 4.4. We observe that $(F_2, A) \in (GO)_S$. But $(F_2, A) \notin (GO)_S^\theta$.

4.19. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G_1, A), (G_2, A) \in SS(X, A)$. If $(G_1, A), (G_2, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$, then $(G_1, A) \sqcap (G_2, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.3 (5). ■

4.20. Corollary. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A), (G, A) \in SS(X, A)$.

- (1) If $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$ and $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$, then $(F, A) \sqcap (G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$.
- (2) If $(F, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$ and $(G, A) \in (GO)_S$, then $(F, A) \sqcap (G, A) \in (GO)_S$.

Proof. (1) Follows from Proposition 4.16 (1) and Proposition 4.19.

(2) Follows from Proposition 4.16 (2) and [12, Theorem 4.5]. ■

4.21. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$ if and only if $(U, A) = \mathbf{1}_A$ whenever $(U, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$ and $Int_S^\theta(G, A) \sqcup (G, A)^c \sqsubseteq (U, A)$.

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Proposition 4.8.

Sufficiency. Obvious. ■

4.22. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ if and only if $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Propositions 4.8 and 4.15.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $(U, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$ such that $(G, A) \sqsubseteq (U, A)$ or $(U, A)^c \sqsubseteq (G, A)^c$. Now, $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (U, A)^c \sqsubseteq Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (G, A)^c = Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A)$ and since $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (U, A)^c \in \tilde{\tau}^c$ and $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$, it follows that $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (U, A)^c \sqsubseteq Int_S^\theta(Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \setminus (G, A)) = \mathbf{0}_A$. Therefore $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \cap (U, A)^c = \mathbf{0}_A$ or $Cl_S^\theta(G, A) \sqsubseteq (U, A)$. Hence $(G, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$. ■

4.23. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A), (G, A) \in SS(X, A)$. If $(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau}^c$ and $(G, A) \in (GO)_S^\theta$, then $(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$.

Proof. Obvious. ■

4.24. Definition. A soft set (F, A) in a soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is said to be soft Λ -set if $(F, A) = (F, A)^\Lambda$, where $(F, A)^\Lambda = \cap \{(G, A) \in \tilde{\tau} : (F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)\}$.

4.25. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A), (H, A), (F_i, A) \in SS(X, A), i \in I$. Then the following statements are true.

- (1) $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)^\Lambda$.
- (2) If $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (H, A)$, then $(F, A)^\Lambda \sqsubseteq (H, A)^\Lambda$.
- (3) $((F, A)^\Lambda)^\Lambda = (F, A)^\Lambda$.
- (4) $(\cap_{i \in I} (F_i, A))^\Lambda \sqsubseteq \cap_{i \in I} (F_i, A)^\Lambda$.
- (5) $(\sqcup_{i \in I} (F_i, A))^\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} (F_i, A)^\Lambda$.

Proof. Clear. ■

The following example shows that the equality does not hold in Proposition 4.25 (4).

4.26. Example. Let us consider the soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ over X in Example 3.4 (2). One can deduce that $(F, A)^\Lambda \cap (G, A)^\Lambda \neq ((F, A) \cap (G, A))^\Lambda$.

4.27. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space. Then the following statements are true.

- (1) $\mathbf{0}_A$ and $\mathbf{1}_A$ are soft Λ -sets.
- (2) Every soft union of soft Λ -sets is a soft Λ -set.
- (3) Every soft intersection of soft Λ -sets is a soft Λ -set.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.25. ■

4.28. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ if and only if $Cl_S^\theta(F, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)^\Lambda$.

Proof. Clear. ■

4.29. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. Let (F, A) be a soft Λ -set. Then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$ if and only if $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Proposition 4.28.

Sufficiency. Follows from the fact that every soft θ -closed set is soft θ -generalized closed (Proposition 4.2(1)). ■

4.30. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ be a soft topological space and $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$. If $(F, A)^\Lambda \in (GC)_S^\theta$, then $(F, A) \in (GC)_S^\theta$.

Proof. Clear. ■

5. Soft strongly pu - θ -continuity

In this section, we introduce the notion of soft strongly pu - θ -continuity of functions induced by two mappings $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ on soft topological spaces $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$.

5.1. Definition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings, and $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$.

(1) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous at e_F if for each $(G, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$, there exists $(H, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}}(e_F)$ such that $f_{pu}(Cl_S(H, A)) \sqsubseteq (G, B)$.

(2) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous on X if f_{pu} is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous at each soft point in X .

5.2. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous;

(2) For each $(G, B) \in \tilde{\tau}^*$, $f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$;

(3) For each $(H, B) \in (\tilde{\tau}^*)^c$, $f_{pu}^{-1}(H, B) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta^c$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of [29, Theorem 6.3]. ■

5.3. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$, $p : A \rightarrow B$ and $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ be mappings. Then the following statements are true.

(1) If f_{pu} is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous, then f_{pu} is soft pu -continuous.

(2) If f_{pu} is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous, then f_{pu} is soft pu - θ -continuous.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) Follows from (1) and [10, Proposition 5.26]. ■

The converses of (1) and (2) in Proposition 5.3 are not true as illustrated by the following example.

5.4. Example. Let $X = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, $Y = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$, $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$, and $B = \{u_1, u_2\}$. We consider the soft topology $\tilde{\tau} = \{\mathbf{0}_A, \mathbf{1}_A, \{(e_1, \{h_3\}), (e_2, \{h_1, h_2\})\}, \{(e_1, \phi), (e_2, \{h_3\})\}, \{(e_1, \{h_3\}), (e_2, X)\}\}$ over X and the soft topology $\tilde{\tau}^* = \{\mathbf{0}_B, \mathbf{1}_B, \{(u_1, \{m_1\}), (u_2, \{m_3\})\}, \{(u_1, \{m_1, m_2\}), (u_2, \{m_3\})\}\}$ over Y . Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ be the map such that $u(h_1) = u(h_2) = m_1$ and $u(h_3) = m_3$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be the map such that $p(e_1) = u_2$ and $p(e_2) = u_1$. Then, the map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is both soft pu -continuous and soft pu - θ -continuous but it is not soft strongly pu - θ -continuous.

5.5. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces and S^* be a soft subbase of $\tilde{\tau}^*$. A map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous if and only if for each $(G, B) \in S^*$, $f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$.

Proof. *Necessity.* Follows from Proposition 5.2.

Sufficiency. Follows from [10, Proposition 5.7] and Proposition 5.2. ■

5.6. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The map $f_{pu} : SS(X, A) \rightarrow SS(Y, B)$ is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous;
- (2) For each $(F, A) \in SS(X, A)$, $f_{pu}(Cl_S^\theta(F, A)) \sqsubseteq Cl_S(f_{pu}(F, A))$;
- (3) For each $(G, B) \in SS(Y, B)$, $Cl_S^\theta(f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B)) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}^{-1}(Cl_S(G, B))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Follows from Proposition 5.2 (3).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) This is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ and $(M, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$. Since $(M, B)^c \in (\tilde{\tau}^*)^c$, we have $Cl_S^\theta(f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B)^c) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}^{-1}(Cl_S(M, B)^c) = f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B)^c$. Therefore $f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B)^c = (f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B))^c \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$ and so $f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B) \in \tilde{\tau}_\theta$. Moreover, $e_F \tilde{\in} f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B)$. There exists $(U, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}}(e_F)$ such that $Cl_S(U, A) \sqsubseteq f_{pu}^{-1}(M, B)$. Therefore $f_{pu}(Cl_S(U, A)) \sqsubseteq (M, B)$. Hence f_{pu} is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous. ■

5.7. Definition. A soft set (F, A) in a soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ is called a soft θ -neighborhood of a soft point $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ if there exists a soft open set (G, A) such that $e_F \tilde{\in} (G, A) \sqsubseteq Cl_S(G, A) \sqsubseteq (F, A)$. The soft θ -neighborhood system of a soft point e_F , denoted by $N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$, is the family of all its soft θ -neighborhoods.

Note that a soft θ -neighborhood is not necessarily a soft neighborhood in the soft θ -topology.

5.8. Proposition. The soft θ -neighborhood system $N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$ at e_F in a soft topological space $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ has the following properties:

- (1) If $(F, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$, then $e_F \tilde{\in} (F, A)$.
- (2) If $(F, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$ and $(F, A) \sqsubseteq (G, A)$, then $(G, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$.
- (3) If $(F, A), (G, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$, then $(F, A) \sqcap (G, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$.
- (4) If $(F, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$, then there is a $(H, A) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$ such that $(F, A) \in N_{\tau_\theta}(e'_M)$ for each $e'_M \tilde{\in} (H, A)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of [29, Theorem 4.10]. ■

The main results can be paraphrased as follows: soft pu - θ -continuity corresponds to f_{pu}^{-1} (soft θ -neighborhood) = soft θ -neighborhood and strong pu - θ -continuity corresponds to f_{pu}^{-1} (soft neighborhood) = soft θ -neighborhood.

5.9. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) f_{pu} is soft pu - θ -continuous;
- (2) For each $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ and $(H, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$, $f_{pu}^{-1}(H, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Follows from Proposition 2.8 (2) and (3).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Follows from Propositions 5.8 (2) and 2.8 (1) and (4). ■

5.10. Proposition. Let $(X, \tilde{\tau}, A)$ and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}^*, B)$ be two soft topological spaces, $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : A \rightarrow B$ be mappings. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) f_{pu} is soft strongly pu - θ -continuous;
- (2) For each $e_F \in \mathbf{SP}(X)$ and $(H, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}^*}(f_{pu}(e_F))$, $f_{pu}^{-1}(H, B) \in N_{\tilde{\tau}_\theta}(e_F)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 5.9. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Aktas, H. and Çağman, N. Soft sets and soft groups, *Inf. Sci.*, **177** (2007), 2726-2735.
- [2] Ali, M. I. Feng, F. Liu, X. Min, W. K. and Shabir, M. On some new operations in soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **57** (2009), 1547-1553.
- [3] A. Aygünöğlü and H. Aygün, Some notes on soft topological spaces, *Neural. Comput. Appl.*, **21** (1) (2012), 113-119.
- [4] Çağman, N. and Enginoglu, S. Soft set theory and uni-int decision making, *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, **207** (2010), 848-855.
- [5] Çağman, N. and Enginoglu, S. Soft matrix theory and its decision making, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59** (2010), 3308-3314.
- [6] Çağman, N. Karatas, S. and Enginoglu, S. Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 351-358.
- [7] Chen, D. Tsang, E. C. C. Yeung, D. S. and Wang, X. The parameterization reduction of soft sets and its applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **49** (2005), 757-763.
- [8] Feng, F. Jun, Y. B. Zhao, X. Z. Soft semirings, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **56** (2008), 2621-2628.
- [9] Georgiou, D. N. and Megaritis, A. C. Soft Set Theory and Topology, *Appl. Gen. Topol.*, **15** (1) (2014), 93-109.
- [10] Georgiou, D. N. Megaritis, A. C. and Petropoulos, V. I. On soft topological spaces, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, **7** (2013), 1889-1901.
- [11] Hussain, S. and Ahmad, B. Some properties of soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 4058-4067.
- [12] Kannan, K. Soft generalized closed sets in soft topological spaces, *J. Theo. Appl. Inf. Techn.*, **37** (2012), 17-21.
- [13] Kazanci, O. Yilmaz, S. and Yamak, S. Soft Sets and Soft BCH-Algebras, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **39** (2010), 205-217.
- [14] Kharal, A. and Ahmad, B. Mappings of soft classes, *New. Math. Nat. Comput.*, **7** (3) (2011), 471-481.
- [15] Maji, P. K. Roy, A. R. and Biswas, R. An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **44** (2002), 1077-1083.
- [16] Maji, P. K. Biswas, R. and Roy, A. R. Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **45** (2003), 555-562.
- [17] Maji, P. K. Biswas, R. and Roy, A. R., Fuzzy soft sets, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **9** (2001), 589-602.
- [18] Majumdar, P. and Samanta, S. K. Similarity measure of soft sets, *New. Math. Nat. Comput.*, **4** (2008), 1-12.
- [19] Min, W. K. A note on soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 3524-3528.
- [20] Molodtsov, D. A. Soft set theory-first results, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **37** (1999), 19-31.
- [21] Molodtsov, D. A. The description of a dependence with the help of soft sets, *J. Comput. Sys. Sc. Int.*, **40** (2001), 977-984.
- [22] Molodtsov, D. A. The theory of soft sets (in Russian), URSS Publishers, Moscow, (2004).
- [23] Molodtsov, D. A. Leonov, V. Y. and Kovkov, D. V. Soft sets technique and its application, *Nech. Siste. Myagkie Vychisleniya*, **1** (2006), 8-39.
- [24] Pei, D. and Miao, D. From soft sets to information systems, In: Hu, X. Liu, Q. Skowron, A. Lin, T. Y. Yager, R. R. Zhang, B. ,eds., *Proceedings of Granular Computing*, IEEE, **2** (2005), 617-621.
- [25] Peyghan, E. Samadi, B. Tayebi, A. About soft topological spaces, *J. New Results in Sci.*, **(2)** (2013), 60-75.
- [26] Zhu, P. and Qiaoyanwen, Operations on soft sets revisited, *J. Applied Math.*, **2013** (2013), 7 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/105752>.
- [27] Shabir M. and Naz, M. On soft topological spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **61** (2011), 1786-1799.
- [28] Shao, Y. C. Qin, K. The lattice structure of the soft groups, *Procedia Engineering*, **15** (2011), 3621-3625.
- [29] Zorlutuna, I. Akdag, M. Min, W. K. and Atmaca, S. Remarks on soft topological spaces, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **3** (2012), 171-185.

- [30] Zou, Y. and Xiao, Z. Data analysis approaches of soft sets under incomplete information, *Knowl. Base. Syst.*, **21** (2008), 941-945.

