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#### Abstract

This paper addresses the problem of non-response when estimating the population mean of the variable of interest. A general class of estimators is suggested for the unknown population mean of the study variable. Two vectors of the auxiliary information under multi-phase sampling scheme are used in presence of non response. The asymptotic variance of the proposed class is determined and compared with some other existing estimators theoretically and numerically. It is shown that the proposed class of estimators is more efficient than [13] and [17] classes of estimators.
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## 1. Introduction

While collecting information through the sample surveys there may arise several problems, one of the common problems is non-response. This happens especially in the surveys conducted through mails. When research participants can not be approached directly, they may refuse to acknowledge survey questionnaires sent to them through mails. The estimates obtained from such incomplete surveys are often biased. The data obtained from respondents group differs from that of non-respondents group and thus affects data reliability. [6] suggested nonrespondents sub sampling scheme to handle this problem. In this scheme, initially the information is collected from the respondent's group through mail survey then non-respondents are re-contacted by using sub sampling process and complete

[^0]information is retrieved through personal interviews. The main objective in sampling theory is to estimate the unknown population parameter of interest, and the information about population characteristic of the auxiliary variables may or may not be available. Of course the use of the auxiliary information increases the efficiency of the estimates of the population parameter. Many authors have proposed different estimators to estimate the population mean using the auxiliary information with or without considering non-response see [19], [7], [14], [12], [9], [13], [20], [8], [4], [16, 17], [10], [3] and references cited therein.

When information about the population characteristic of the auxiliary variable $(X)$ is unavailable, in such situation it is estimated from a large first phase sample drawn from the population and then a smaller second phase sample is taken from the first phase sample to estimate the population parameter of the variable of interest $(Y)$. [10] has considered regression-cum-ratio estimator using single auxiliary variable in two phase sampling scheme in presence of non-response. [17] have proposed regression-cum-ratio estimators considering different situations using two auxiliary variables in two-phase sampling scheme in presence of non-response.

Multi-phase sampling is very useful scheme in a situation when the variable of interest is very expensive and, connected with other cheaper auxiliary variables. Limited literature is available about this technique see for instance [18], [11], [1], [2] and [15].

This paper is inspired by the previous studies and the main objective is to propose a general class of estimators to estimate the unknown population mean $\bar{Y}$ under multi-phase sampling scheme using a vector $\underline{X}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)^{t}$ with unknown population mean vector $\underline{\bar{X}}=\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{k}\right)^{t}$ and another vector $\underline{Z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)^{t}$ with known or unknown population mean vector $\underline{\bar{Z}}=\left(\bar{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{Z}_{k}\right)^{t}$ in the presence of non-response. This research will also explore that the optimal estimators proposed in the generalized class are regression type estimators.

## 2. Notations and Background

Let us assume that $P$ be a finite population of $N$ distinct units. Let $Y$ and $X$ be the study and the auxiliary variables having values $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}, i=(1, \ldots, N)$. Let $X$ is correlated with $Y$ and is used to estimate the unknown population mean $\bar{Y}$. When the mean of the auxiliary variable $X$ is available, the ratio, product and regression estimators are used to increase the efficiency of the estimates of $\bar{Y}$. When $\bar{X}$ is unknown, two-phase sampling scheme is used, at first phase only $\bar{X}$ is estimated and the second phase is devoted for the estimation of $\bar{Y}$.

Let a large sample $s^{\prime}$ of size $m_{1}\left(m_{1}<N\right)$ is drawn by simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) to collect information on the auxiliary variable $X$. It is assumed that all $m_{1}$ units provide complete information on $X$. In the second phase, a smaller sample $s$ of size $m_{2}$ from $m_{1}$ units $\left(m_{2}<m_{1}\right)$ is drawn by SRSWOR for obtaining information of the study variable $Y$. Suppose that non-response is present in second phase, in this situation, a subset $s_{1}$ of size $m_{2}^{\prime}$ supplies information on $Y$ and the remaining $m_{2}^{*}=m_{2}-m_{2}^{\prime}$ units are nonrespondents. Therefore, following the familiar technique of [6], a sub-sample $s_{2 r}$ of size $r=m_{2}^{*} / b, b>1$ is selected from the $m_{2}^{*}$ non-response units where $r$ would be an integer otherwise must be rounded. Assuming that all $r$ selected units show
full response on second call. Consequently, the whole population is said to be stratified into two strata $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, where $P_{1}$ is the stratum of respondents of size $N_{1}$ that would give response on first call at second phase whereas $P_{2}$ is the stratum of non-respondents of size $N_{2}$ which would not respond on first call at second phase but will cooperate on the second call. Obviously $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are not known in advance.

Now we can define a dummy variable $u=(y, x, z)$ in the following presentation

$$
\bar{u}^{*}=d_{1} \bar{u}_{1}+d_{2} \bar{u}_{2 r}
$$

where

$$
\bar{u}^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} u_{i}}{m_{1}}, \quad \bar{u}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{2}} u_{i}}{m_{2}}, \quad \bar{u}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} u_{i}}{m_{2}^{\prime}}, \quad \bar{u}_{2 r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i}}{r}
$$

and $u_{i}=\left(y_{i}, x_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ having $i^{t h}$ value. Also

$$
d_{1}=\frac{m_{2}^{\prime}}{m_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2}=\frac{m_{2}^{*}}{m_{2}}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\bar{U}=D_{1} \bar{U}_{1}+D_{2} \bar{U}_{2}
$$

where

$$
\bar{U}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} u_{i}}{N_{1}}, \quad \bar{U}_{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} u_{i}}{N_{2}}, \quad D_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{N} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{2}=\frac{N_{2}}{N}
$$

The variance of $\bar{u}^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{u}^{*}\right)=\theta_{2} S_{u}^{2}+\omega_{2} S_{u(2)}^{2}=\widetilde{S}_{u}^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{u}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}^{N}\left(u_{i}-\bar{U}\right)^{2}}{N-1}, \quad S_{u(2)}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}^{N_{2}}\left(u_{i}-\bar{U}_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}-1} \\
\theta_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}}-\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad \theta_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{m_{2}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{N_{2}(b-1)}{m_{2} N}
\end{gathered}
$$

One can define the covariance as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\bar{u}^{*}, \bar{v}^{*}\right)=\theta_{2} S_{u v}+\omega_{2} S_{u v(2)}=\widetilde{S}_{u v} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$S_{u v}=\frac{\sum_{i}^{N}\left(u_{i}-\bar{U}\right)\left(v_{i}-\bar{V}\right)}{N-1} \quad$ and $\quad S_{u v(2)}=\frac{\sum_{i}^{N_{2}}\left(u_{i}-\bar{U}_{2}\right)\left(v_{i}-\bar{V}_{2}\right)}{N_{2}-1}, \quad(u=y, v=(x, z))$.
From now on, we shall consider that the Asymptotic Variance (AV) of the considered estimators obtained by using a first order Taylor series [21].
[6] proposed an estimator $\bar{y}^{*}$ for the population mean $\bar{Y}$ when non response occurs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}^{*}=d_{1} \bar{y}_{1}+d_{2} \bar{y}_{2 r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[13] proposed the following regression estimator using double sampling scheme when non-response occurs on $Y$ and $X$ both

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{OL}}=\bar{y}^{*}+\hat{\beta}_{y x}^{*}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}^{*}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{y x}^{*}=\frac{s_{y x}^{*}}{s_{x}^{* 2}}$ is a sample estimate of the population regression coefficient $\beta_{y x}=$ $\frac{S_{y x}}{S_{x}^{2}}$ with $s_{y x}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} y_{i} x_{i}+b \sum_{i=1}^{r} y_{i} x_{i}-m_{2} \bar{y}^{*} \bar{x}^{*}}{m_{2}-1}$ and $s_{x}^{* 2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{2}^{\prime}} x_{i}^{2}+b \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{2}-m_{2} \bar{x}^{* 2}}{m_{2}-1}$.
The asymptotic variance of $t_{\mathrm{OL}}$ is obtained by using the Taylor linearization and is given by

$$
\mathrm{AV}\left(t_{\mathrm{OL}}\right) \cong \theta_{1} S_{y}^{2}+\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{y}^{2}\left(1-\rho_{y x}^{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left\{S_{y(2)}^{2}+\beta_{y x}^{2} S_{x(2)}^{2}-2 \beta_{y x} S_{y x(2)}\right\}
$$

where $\rho_{y x}^{2}=\frac{S_{y x}^{2}}{S_{y}^{2} S_{x}^{2}}$.
[10] proposed the following regression-cum-ratio estimator using [13] regression estimator

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{K}}=t_{\mathrm{OL}}\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{*}+b}{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}{a \bar{x}+b}\right)^{\beta} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, b)$ are known constants and $(\alpha, \beta)$ are suitably chosen constants.
The minimum asymptotic variance of $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{\mathrm{K}}\right) \cong \theta_{1} S_{y}^{2}+\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{y}^{2}\left(1-\rho_{y x}^{2}\right)+\omega_{2} S_{y(2)}^{2}\left(1-\rho_{y x(2)}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\rho_{y x(2)}^{2}=\frac{S_{y x(2)}^{2}}{S_{y(2)}^{2} S_{x(2)}^{2}}$.
[17] considered two auxiliary variables $x$ and $z$ in four different situations. We consider only two of those situations which are in our opinion the most interesting and related to our proposed class.

Situation I: $-\bar{X}$ unknown and $\bar{Z}$ known
When the population mean of the first auxiliary variable $x$ is unknown and the population mean of the second auxiliary variable $z$ is known. Also non-response occurs on both the study and the auxiliary variables. The proposed estimator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}=\left[\bar{y}^{*}+\hat{\beta}_{y x}^{*}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)\right] \frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{Z}+\alpha\left(\bar{z}^{*}-\bar{Z}\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a suitably chosen constant.
The minimum asymptotic variance of the estimator $t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}$ is given by

$$
\min \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}\right) \cong \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{\mathrm{OL}}\right)-\frac{M^{* 2}}{D^{*}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{*}=\left\{\theta_{2} S_{z}^{2} \beta_{y z}+\omega_{2} S_{z(2)}^{2} \beta_{y z(2)}\right\}-\beta_{y x}\left\{\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{z}^{2} \beta_{x z}+\omega_{2} S_{z(2)}^{2} \beta_{x z(2)}\right\} \\
D^{*}=\theta_{2} S_{z}^{2}+\omega_{2} S_{z(2)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Situation II: $-\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Z}$ both unknown

When the population means $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Z}$ are unknown. Also non-response occurs on the study and the auxiliary variables. The estimator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}=\left[\bar{y}^{*}+\hat{\beta}_{y x}^{*}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)\right] \frac{\bar{z}^{\prime}}{\bar{z}^{\prime}+\gamma\left(\bar{z}^{*}-\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a suitably chosen constant.
The minimum asymptotic variance of $t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}$ is given by

$$
\min \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}\right) \cong \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{\mathrm{OL}}\right)-\frac{N^{* 2}}{D^{*}}
$$

where
$N^{*}=\left\{\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{z}^{2} \beta_{y z}+\omega_{2} S_{z(2)}^{2} \beta_{y z(2)}\right\}-\beta_{y x}\left\{\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{z}^{2} \beta_{x z}+\omega_{2} S_{z(2)}^{2} \beta_{x z(2)}\right\}$.
It is important to note that our proposed general class is an extension of regression estimator. We consider specifically regression estimators because our consideration is to show that regression estimator(s) perform better than regression-cum-ratio estimator(s). Therefore, we expect that our proposed class of estimators perform better than [17] class.

## 3. Multi-Phase Scheme

By generalizing the multi-phase sampling scheme proposed by [2], we construct a sampling design with two vectors $\underline{X}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)^{t}$ and $\underline{Z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)^{t}$ of auxiliary variables in such a way that at each $i^{t h}$ and $(i+1)^{t h}$ phase, the samples $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ of sizes $m_{i}\left(m_{i}<N\right)$ and $m_{i+1}\left(m_{i+1}<m_{i}\right)$ are drawn by SRSWOR. At the $i^{\text {th }}$ phase, the variables $x_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ are observed while at last phase, the auxiliary variables as well as $y$ are measured, according to Table 1.

Table 1. Suggested multi-phase sampling design


## 4. General Class of Estimators

Using this multi phase sampling design, we propose a general class of estimators for the population mean $\bar{Y}$ when non response can occur on the study variable as well as on the auxiliary vectors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k k}=g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{t}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\underline{\mathbf{w}}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}, \bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}, \widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}, \widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}^{(k)}, \bar{z}_{k}^{(k)}, \widetilde{x}_{k}^{(k+1)}, \widetilde{z}_{k}^{(k+1}\right)^{t}
$$

and $g$ is a function satisfying the following regularity conditions
C1: $g: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{4 k+1}$ is a convex and bounded set containing the point $\left(\bar{Y}, \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{t}\right)$, with $\underline{\mathbf{W}}=\mathbb{E}(\underline{\mathbf{w}})=\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \bar{X}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{k}, \bar{X}_{k} \bar{Z}_{k}, \bar{Z}_{k}\right)^{t}$;
C2: it is continuous and bounded in $\mathcal{S}$;
C3: its first and second partial derivatives exist and are continuous and bounded in $\mathcal{S}$;
C4: $g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{t}\right)=\bar{y}^{*}$.
In order to determine the minimum asymptotic variance (AV) of the class $t_{k k}$, let us indicate

$$
g_{0}=\left.\frac{\partial g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{t}\right)}{\partial \bar{y}^{*}}\right|_{\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{t}\right)=\left(\bar{Y}, \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{t}\right)}
$$

and

$$
g_{i}=\left.\frac{\partial g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{t}\right)}{\partial w_{i}}\right|_{\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \mathbf{w}^{t}\right)=\left(\bar{Y}, \mathbf{W}^{t}\right)},
$$

the first partial derivatives of $g$ with respect to the component $\bar{y}^{*}$ and $w_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$, of the vector $\mathbf{w}$.

Expanding $t_{k k}$ at the point $\left(\bar{Y}, \underline{\mathbf{W}}^{t}\right)$ in a first order Taylor's series, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{k k} & \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{i}\left(\bar{X}_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}^{(i)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}\left(\bar{X}_{i}-\widetilde{x}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi_{i}\left(\bar{Z}_{i}-\bar{z}_{i}^{(i)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{Z}_{i}-\widetilde{z}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where first $k$ indicates the general class and second $k$ for having $k$ auxiliary variables in the estimator $t_{k k}$.
Further, consider $\widetilde{u}_{i}=\delta_{u} \bar{u}_{i}^{*}+\bar{\delta}_{u} \bar{u}_{i}$ with $\bar{\delta}_{u}=1-\delta_{u}$ where $\delta_{u}$ is an indicator function taking value $\delta_{u}=1$ when non-response occurs and 0 otherwise, and $u_{i}=\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$.
4.1. Situation 1: $\underline{\bar{X}}$ unknown and $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ known. In this situation it is assumed that the population mean vector $\underline{\bar{X}}$ is unknown but the mean vector $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ is known. Since $\underline{\bar{X}}$ is unknown so it is necessary to impose a constraint $\eta_{i}=-\xi_{i}$ and for computational reasons it may be useful to set $\left(\phi_{i}+\varphi_{i}\right)=\psi_{i}$. We can write Eq.(4.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 k} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}^{(i)}-\widetilde{x}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{z}_{i}^{(i)}-\widetilde{z}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi_{i}\left(\bar{Z}_{i}-\bar{z}_{i}^{(i)}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write (4.3) in a generalized vector form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 k} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\left(\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{v}}\right)^{t} \underline{\xi}+\left(\underline{\bar{Z}}-\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}\right) \underline{\psi} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}, \bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}^{(k)}, \bar{z}_{k}^{(k)}\right)^{t}, \\
\widetilde{\widetilde{\nu}}=\left(\widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}, \widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \widetilde{x}_{k}^{(k+1)}, \widetilde{z}_{k}^{(k+1)}\right)^{t}, \\
\underline{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)^{t} \\
\underline{z}^{\prime}=\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \bar{z}_{k}^{(k)}\right)^{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\underline{\psi}=\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right)^{t}
$$

The asymptotic variance of the proposed class $t_{1 k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AV}\left(t_{1 k}\right) \cong \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}^{*}\right)+\underline{\xi}^{t} \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{x z} \underline{\xi}+\underline{\psi}^{t} \underline{\bar{S}}_{\bar{z} \bar{z}} \underline{\psi}-2\left(\underline{\xi}^{t} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{S}}}_{y x z}+\underline{\psi}^{t} \underline{\bar{S}}_{y \bar{z}}-\underline{\xi}^{t} \underline{\underline{S}}_{x z \bar{z}} \underline{\psi}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}^{*}\right)=\widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}=\theta_{k+1} S_{y}^{2}+\omega_{k+1} S_{y(2)}^{2}, \\
& \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{x z}=E\left[\left(\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{v}}\right)\left(\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{\nu}}^{t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x x} & \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} \\
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} & \underline{S}_{z z}
\end{array}\right],\right. \\
& \underline{\underline{\mathbf{S}}}_{y x z}=E\left[\left(\bar{y}^{*}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(\underline{\overline{\underline{L}}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{v}}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{y x} & \underline{\underline{S}}_{y z}
\end{array}\right]^{t}, \\
& \underline{\bar{S}}_{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=E\left[\left(\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}-\underline{\bar{Z}}\right)\left(\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}-\underline{\bar{Z}}\right)^{t}\right], \\
& \underline{\bar{S}}_{y \bar{z}}=E\left[\left(\bar{y}^{*}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}-\underline{\bar{Z}}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\theta_{1} S_{y z_{1}} & \theta_{2} S_{y z_{2}} & \ldots & \theta_{k} S_{y z_{k}}
\end{array}\right]^{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\underline{\bar{S}}_{x z \bar{z}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{S}_{x \bar{z}} & \underline{S}_{z \bar{z}}
\end{array}\right]^{t} .
$$

The details of above mentioned matrices are given in Appendix A.
Minimizing $\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{1 k}\right)$ w.r.t $\underline{\xi}$ and $\underline{\psi}$ leads to optimum vector

$$
\underline{\psi}=\left\{\underline{\bar{S}}_{\bar{z} \bar{z}}-\bar{S}_{x z \bar{z}}^{t} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z}^{-1} \underline{\bar{S}}_{x z \bar{z}}\right\}^{-1}\left\{\underline{\bar{S}}_{y \bar{z}}-\bar{S}_{x z \bar{z}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}_{x z}}}_{x z} \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{y x z}\right\}=\underline{\psi}^{(o)}(\mathrm{say})
$$

and

Since it is not easy to express minimum $\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{1 k}\right)$ in close form, in the following sub sections, we can express the class $t_{1 k}$ in two sub classes $t_{1 k(1)}$ and $t_{1 k(2)}$ with two and three phases.
4.1.1. Two Phase. Using Eq.(4.3), let consider ( $X_{1}, Z_{1}$ ) auxiliary variables under two-phase sampling scheme assuming $\bar{X}_{1}$ unknown and $\bar{Z}_{1}$ known

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 k(1)} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\xi_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\psi_{1}\left(\bar{Z}_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic variance of $t_{1 k(1)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{1 k(1)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2}+\varphi_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}+\psi_{1}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-2 \xi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-2 \varphi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-2 \psi_{1} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}+2 \xi_{1} \varphi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimizing Eq.(4.7) w.r.t $\left(\xi_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \psi\right)$, one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}\right)=\xi_{1}^{o}(\text { say }) \\
& \varphi_{1}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}\right)=\varphi_{1}^{o}(\text { say })
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{1}=\left(\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}=\psi_{1}^{o}(\text { say })
$$

The minimum asymptotic variance of $t_{1 k(1)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{1 k(1)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}-\left[\frac{\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}}\right]-\frac{\bar{S}_{y z_{1}}^{2}}{\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{1 k(1)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{\widetilde{\rho}_{y x_{1}}^{2}+\widetilde{\rho}_{y z_{1}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{\rho}_{y x_{1}} \widetilde{\rho}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{\rho}_{x_{1} z_{1}}}{1-\widetilde{\rho}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}}\right)-\bar{\rho}_{y z_{1}}^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\rho}_{y u_{1}}^{2}=\frac{\widetilde{S}_{y u_{1}}^{2}}{\widetilde{S}_{y}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{u_{1}}^{2}}, u_{1}=\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}=\frac{\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{1 k(1)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left[1-\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}-\bar{\rho}_{y z_{1}}^{2}\right] \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{y . x_{1} z_{1}}$ is a multiple correlation coefficient.
4.1.2. Three Phase. In this case, we take ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ ) auxiliary variables using three-phase sampling, assuming population means ( $\bar{X}_{1}, \bar{X}_{2}$ ) unknown and $\left(\bar{Z}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{2}\right)$ known

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{1 k(2)} \cong & \bar{y}^{*}+\xi_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\xi_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}^{(2)}-\widetilde{x}_{2}^{(3)}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}\right) \\
& +\varphi_{2}\left(\bar{z}_{2}^{(2)}-\widetilde{z}_{2}^{(3)}\right)+\psi_{1}\left(\bar{Z}_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}\right)+\psi_{2}\left(\bar{Z}_{2}-\bar{z}_{2}^{(2)}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The asymptotic variance of $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(2)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{1 k(2)}\right) & \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{i}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{j}}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi_{j}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{j}}^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \psi_{j} \bar{S}_{y z_{j}}\right) \\
(4.12) & +\sum_{j=1}^{2} \psi_{j}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{j}}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{j} \varphi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{x_{j} z_{j}}+2\left(\psi_{1} \psi_{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}+\xi_{1} \psi_{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}}+\varphi_{1} \psi_{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The AV of $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(2)}$ is minimum when

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{2}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}}\right)=\xi_{2}^{o}(\text { say }) \\
\varphi_{2}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}}\right)=\varphi_{2}^{o}(\text { say }) \\
\xi_{1}=E^{-1} A=\xi_{1}^{o}(\text { say }) \\
\varphi_{1}=E^{-1} B=\varphi_{1}^{o}(\text { say }) \\
\psi_{1}=E^{-1} C=\psi_{1}^{o}(\text { say })
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{2}=E^{-1} D=\psi_{2}^{o}(\text { say })
$$

The details of $(A, B, C, D, E)$ are given in Appendix B.
The resulting minimum asymptotic variance of $t_{1 k(2)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{1 k(2)}\right)=\widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left(1-\bar{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{2}}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{2} z_{2}}$ are the multiple correlation coefficients.
4.2. Situation 2: $\underline{\bar{X}}$ and $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ both unknown. In this situation it is assumed that the population mean vectors $\underline{\bar{X}}$ and $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ are unknown. From the expression (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2 k} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}^{(i)}-\widetilde{x}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right)+\sum_{i+1}^{k} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{z}_{i}^{(i)}-\widetilde{z}_{i}^{(i+1)}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write Eq.(4.14) in a generalized vector form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2 k} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\left(\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{\sim}}\right) \underline{\xi} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic variance of $t_{2 k}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{2 k}\right) \cong \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}^{*}\right)+\underline{\xi}^{t} \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{x z} \underline{\xi}-2 \underline{\xi}^{t} \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{y x z}, \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\underline{\xi}, \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{x z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{y x z}\right)$ are defined earlier in Situation 1.
Minimization of $\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{2 k}\right)$ w.r.t $g_{x z}$ leads to optimum vector

$$
\underline{\xi}^{o}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi^{o} \\
\varphi^{o}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x x} \widetilde{\widetilde{S}}_{z z}-\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z}^{t} \widetilde{\widetilde{S}}_{x z}\right)^{-1}\left(\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{z z} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{y x}-\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} \widetilde{\widetilde{S}}_{y z}\right) \\
\left(\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x x} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{z z}-\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z}^{t} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z}\right)^{-1}\left(\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x x} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{y z}-\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{y x}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Replacing $\underline{\xi}^{o}$ in Eq.(4.16), one can get the optimal estimator in the class which attains the minimum asymptotic variance bound given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{2 k}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left[1-\underline{\widetilde{R}}_{y \cdot x z}^{2}\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{\widetilde{R}}_{y . x z}^{2}$ is a vector of the squared multiple correlation coefficients of $Y$ on $\underline{X}$ and $\underline{Z}$ and is explained in detail in Appendix C.
4.2.1. Two Phase. Now suppose that both $X_{1}$ and $Z_{1}$ have unknown means, in this case expression (4.14) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2 k(1)} \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\xi_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic variance of $t_{2 k(1)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{2 k(1)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2}+\varphi_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-2 \xi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-2 \varphi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}+2 \xi_{1} \varphi_{1} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now minimizing (4.19) w.r.t $\left(\xi_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\xi_{1}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}\right)=\xi_{1}^{o}(\text { say })
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{1}=\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}\right)=\varphi_{1}^{o}(\text { say })
$$

One can write minimum AV of $t_{2 k(1)}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{2 k(1)}\right) \cong \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}^{*}\right)-\left[\frac{\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}}\right] \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{2 k(1)}\right)=\widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left(1-\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}$ is explained earlier in the Section (4.1.1).
4.2.2. Three Phase. Now consider the same auxiliary variables as taken earlier in Section (4.1.2) with unknown means

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{2 k(2)} & \cong \bar{y}^{*}+\xi_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{x}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\xi_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}^{(2)}-\widetilde{x}_{2}^{(3)}\right)  \tag{4.22}\\
& +\varphi_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{(1)}-\widetilde{z}_{1}^{(2)}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(\bar{z}_{2}^{(2)}-\widetilde{z}_{2}^{(3)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The asymptotic variance of $t_{2 k(2)}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AV}\left(t_{2 k(2)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{i}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{j}}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi_{j}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{j}}^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{j}}\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} \xi_{j} \varphi_{j} \widetilde{S}_{x_{j} z_{j}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now minimizing (4.23) w.r.t $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\underline{\xi}^{o}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi_{1}^{o} \\
\xi_{2}^{o} \\
\varphi_{1}^{o} \\
\varphi_{2}^{o}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}\right) \\
\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}} \\
\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}\right) \\
\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

By replacing $\left(\xi_{1}^{o}, \xi_{2}^{o}, \varphi_{1}^{o}, \varphi_{2}^{o}\right)$ in (4.23), one can get the optimal estimator in the class which attains the minimum asymptotic variance bound given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{minAV}\left(t_{2 k(2)}\right) & \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}-\left(\frac{\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{S}_{y x_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \mathrm{AV}\left(t_{2 k(2)}\right) \cong \widetilde{S}_{y}^{2}\left(1-\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}-\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{y . x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{y . x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}$ are the squared multiple correlation coefficients.
From Eq.(4.10), Eq.(4.13), Eq.(4.21) and Eq.(4.25), we can accomplish that $\left(t_{1 k(1)}, t_{1 k(2)}, t_{2 k(1)}\right.$ and $\left.t_{2 k(2)}\right)$ are regression type estimators in their optimal cases.

## 5. Numerical Comparison

In order to illustrate the gain in efficiency for the best estimator in the two situations discussed in previous section, we carried out a numerical study using the Population Census Report of Sialkot District (1998), Pakistan and this data is earlier used by [5]. Each variable is taken from rural locality. The description of variables is given below

| Variable | Description |
| :--- | :---: |
| $Y$ | Literacy Ratio |
| $X_{1}$ | Population of primary but below matric |
| $X_{2}$ | Population of matric and above |
| $Z_{1}$ | Population of 18 years old and above |
| $Z_{2}$ | Population of women 15-49 years old |

We compute the Percent Relative Efficiency (PRE) of the considered estimators with respect to the [6] estimator $\bar{y}^{*}$, for different values of $b$, as

$$
\operatorname{PRE}\left(t_{\bullet}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{y}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{AV}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\bullet}\right)} \times 100
$$

where $t_{\bullet}=\left(t_{\mathrm{OL}}, t_{\mathrm{K}}, t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}, t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}, t_{1 k(1)}, t_{1 k(2)}, t_{2 k(1)}, t_{2 k(2)}\right)$.

Table 2. PREs of the considered classes with respect to $\bar{y}^{*}$ for different values of $b$

|  |  |  | $b$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | Situation | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 160 | 100 | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 119.26 | 121.72 | 123.22 | 124.24 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 120.52 | 123.69 | 125.65 | 126.98 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK(1) }}$ | 119.56 | 123.05 | 125.48 | 127.24 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(2)}$ | 121.34 | 124.98 | 127.31 | 128.91 |
|  |  | $t_{1 k(1)}$ | 128.95 | 131.98 | 133.91 | 135.22 |
|  |  | $t_{2 k(1)}$ | 124.78 | 128.71 | 131.20 | 132.92 |
|  | 120 | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 116.96 | 120.11 | 122.00 | 123.26 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 118.27 | 122.15 | 124.49 | 126.06 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(1)}$ | 117.02 | 121.04 | 123.85 | 125.88 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK (2) }}$ | 118.86 | 123.26 | 126.01 | 127.87 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}$ | 127.18 | 130.87 | 133.11 | 134.62 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}$ | 121.95 | 126.79 | 129.77 | 131.79 |
| 180 | 100 | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 121.50 | 123.45 | 124.64 | 125.44 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 122.81 | 125.48 | 127.11 | 128.22 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(1)}$ | 122.29 | 125.43 | 127.55 | 129.05 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(2)}$ | 123.90 | 127.02 | 129.00 | 130.36 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}$ | 130.82 | 133.42 | 135.07 | 136.20 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}$ | 127.69 | 130.98 | 133.06 | 134.50 |
|  | 120 | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 119.78 | 122.27 | 123.74 | 124.72 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 121.16 | 124.38 | 126.31 | 127.59 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK(1) }}$ | 120.24 | 123.90 | 126.35 | 128.08 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(2)}$ | 122.07 | 125.80 | 128.10 | 129.66 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}$ | 129.54 | 132.65 | 134.55 | 135.82 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}$ | 125.57 | 129.59 | 132.06 | 133.72 |
| 200 | 100 | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 123.36 | 124.87 | 125.79 | 126.40 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 124.71 | 126.95 | 128.31 | 129.24 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(1)}$ | 124.72 | 127.49 | 129.31 | 130.58 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK (2) }}$ | 126.03 | 128.71 | 130.39 | 131.54 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}$ | 132.37 | 134.59 | 136.01 | 136.99 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}$ | 130.12 | 132.85 | 134.59 | 135.80 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {OL }}$ | 122.14 | 124.05 | 125.18 | 125.92 |
|  |  | $t_{\mathrm{K}}$ | 123.58 | 126.23 | 127.80 | 128.85 |
|  |  | $t_{\text {SK }(1)}$ | 123.19 | 126.41 | 128.50 | 129.94 |
|  | 120 | $t_{\text {SK }(2)}$ | 124.77 | 127.91 | 129.83 | 131.12 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}$ | 131.51 | 134.12 | 135.72 | 136.80 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}$ | 128.64 | 131.93 | 133.95 | 135.31 |

Table 3. PREs of the proposed class with respect to $\bar{y}^{*}$ for different values of $b$

|  |  |  |  | $b$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ | Situation | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 200 | 140 | 100 | $t_{1 k(2)}$ | 136.32 | 141.78 | 145.44 | 148.04 |
|  |  |  | $t_{2 k(2)}$ | 133.54 | 139.50 | 143.46 | 146.26 |
|  |  | 110 | $\mathrm{t}_{1 k(2)}$ | 136.50 | 142.56 | 146.57 | 149.40 |
|  |  |  | $t_{2 k(2)}$ | 133.32 | 139.95 | 144.32 | 147.36 |
|  |  | 120 | $t_{1 k(2)}$ | 136.72 | 143.41 | 147.79 | 150.86 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{2 k(2)}$ | 133.11 | 140.46 | 145.24 | 148.56 |
| 220 | 160 | 100 |  | 137.16 | 141.93 | $145.11$ | 147.36 |
|  |  |  | $t_{2 k(2)}$ | 135.34 | 140.44 | 143.81 | 146.17 |
|  |  | 110 |  | 137.45 | 142.72 | 146.19 | 148.62 |
|  |  |  | $t_{2 k(2)}$ | 135.36 | 141.02 | 144.70 | 147.27 |
|  |  | 120 | $t_{1 k(2)}$ | 137.78 | 143.58 | 147.34 | 149.97 |
|  |  |  | $t_{2 k(2)}$ | 135.40 | 141.64 | 145.66 | 148.43 |

In Table 2, we assume $40 \%$ non-response rate of the total population $N=268$ (assuming last 107 units as non-respondents for second phase) and the numerical results of the estimators $t_{1 k(1)}$ and $t_{2 k(1)}$ with different combinations of sample sizes $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are given. To compute PREs of the estimators $t_{1 k(2)}$ and $t_{2 k(2)}$, we consider $40 \%$ and $30 \%$ non-response rates of the total population at first and second phase (assuming last 80 units as non-respondents for third phase). The results are provided in Table 3 for different choices of sample sizes $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $m_{3}$.

In Tables 2 and 3, it is seen that the PREs of all considered estimators are higher than $\bar{y}^{*}$ due to inclusion of the auxiliary information. Also, the PREs of all estimators increase with the increase of inverse sampling rate $b$. In Table 2, the estimators $\left(t_{\mathrm{OL}}, t_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ with single auxiliary variable and $\left(t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}, t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}\right)$ with two auxiliary variables perform almost similar but the proposed estimators $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}, \mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}\right)$ show higher efficiency. It is also seen that the regression estimators $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 k(1)}, \mathrm{t}_{2 k(1)}\right)$ perform better than the regression-cum-ratio estimators $\left(t_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}, t_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}\right)$.

When we consider more auxiliary variables and phases for $\mathrm{t}_{1 k}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{2 k}$ in Table 3 , it is observed that they have considerable increase in efficiency. Moreover, it is observed that when we increase sample sizes, the PREs of all considered estimators also increase which confirms the large sample theory aspect.

It is also expected that the first proposed class $\mathrm{t}_{1 k}$ shows always higher efficiency than the second class $\mathrm{t}_{2 k}$, because we are using more auxiliary information in Situation 1. Hence, we can conclude that the estimator $\mathrm{t}_{1 k}$ is the best choice if $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ is known and, of course, $\mathrm{t}_{2 k}$ is to use when $\underline{\bar{Z}}$ is unknown.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper we propose a general class of estimators for the estimation of population mean $\bar{Y}$. For this we consider multi phase sampling scheme when auxiliary information is available. The effects of non-response on the study and on the auxiliary variables are discussed in detail. We determine the asymptotic variance for the proposed classes. To compare the efficiency of the suggested ones with other existing estimators in the literature, [6] estimator is used. It is noted that the performance of the proposed estimator $\mathrm{t}_{1 k}$ is better than the other considered estimators. From the numerical analysis one can draw the conclusion that regression type estimators ( $\mathrm{t}_{1 k}, \mathrm{t}_{2 k}$ ) always perform better if compared to regression-cum-ratio estimators $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SK}(1)}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SK}(2)}\right)$. However, our proposed generalized class of estimators is more efficient, in terms of asymptotic variance, if compared to all previous estimators available in the literature.
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## Appendix A

The details of matrices in Eq.(4.5) are described here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\bar{S}}_{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=E\left[\left(\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}-\underline{\bar{Z}}\right)\left(\underline{\bar{z}}^{\prime}-\underline{\bar{Z}}\right)^{t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{1} S_{z_{1}}^{2} & \theta_{1} S_{z_{1} z_{2}} & \ldots & \theta_{1} S_{z_{1} z_{k}} \\
\theta_{1} S_{z_{1} z_{2}} & \theta_{2} S_{z_{2}}^{2} & \ldots & \theta_{2} S_{z_{2} z_{k}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\theta_{1} S_{z_{1} z_{k}} & \theta_{2} S_{z_{2} z_{k}} & \cdots & \theta_{k} S_{z_{k}}^{2}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \underline{S}_{x z \bar{z}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{S}_{x \bar{z}} & \underline{S}_{z \bar{z}}
\end{array}\right]^{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{S}_{x \bar{z}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{x_{1} z_{2}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{2}\right) S_{x_{2} z_{3}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \left(\theta_{k}-\theta_{k-1}\right) S_{x_{k-1} z_{k}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& \underline{S}_{z \bar{z}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) S_{z_{1} z_{2}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{2}\right) S_{z_{2} z_{3}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \left(\theta_{k}-\theta_{k-1}\right) S_{z_{k-1} z_{k}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right] . \\
& \underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}}_{x z}=E\left[\left(\underline{\underline{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\underline{\nu}}\right)\left(\underline{\bar{\nu}}^{\prime}-\underline{\widetilde{\nu}}^{t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{\widetilde{S}}_{x x} & \widetilde{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} \\
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{x z} & \underline{\widetilde{S}}_{z z}
\end{array}\right],\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using the dummy variable $u$ for the following representations

$$
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{u u}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\widetilde{S}_{u_{i}}^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{S}_{u_{i}}^{2}=\left(\theta_{i+1}-\theta_{i}\right) S_{u_{i}}^{2}+\omega_{i+1} S_{u_{i}(2)}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{S}_{y u}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\widetilde{S}_{y u_{1}} & \widetilde{S}_{y u_{2}} & \ldots & \widetilde{S}_{y u_{k}}
\end{array}\right]^{t}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{S}_{y u_{i}}=\left(\theta_{i+1}-\theta_{i}\right) S_{y u_{i}}+\omega_{i+1} S_{y u_{i}(2)}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{i}=\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, k \\
\theta_{i}=\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}-\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad \theta_{i+1}=\left(\frac{1}{m_{i+1}}-\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad \omega_{i+1}=\frac{N_{i+1}(b-1)}{m_{i+1} N}, \quad b>1 . \\
\underline{\widetilde{S}}_{u v}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\widetilde{S}_{u_{i} v_{i}}\right), \quad\left(u_{i}=x_{i}, v_{i}=z_{i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{S}_{u_{i} v_{i}}=\left(\theta_{i+1}-\theta_{i}\right) S_{u_{i} v_{i}}+\omega_{i+1} S_{u_{i} v_{i}(2)}
$$

## Appendix B

Minimizing Eq.(4.12), the following terms are obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \\
& -\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2}+\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}+\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \\
& +\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}+\widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2}-\bar{S}_{y z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}, \\
& B=\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \\
& -\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}+\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}} \\
& +\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}, \\
& C=\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}} \\
& -\bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}+\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}} \\
& -\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}+2 \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}, \\
& D=\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}-\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}} \\
& -\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{y z_{2}}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \bar{S}_{y z_{1}}+\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y x_{1}}+\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \widetilde{S}_{y z_{1}}, \\
& E=\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2}-\left(\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2}+\widetilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{2}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}\right)+\widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}}^{2} \bar{S}_{z_{1} z_{2}}^{2}+2 \bar{S}_{z_{1}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{x_{1} z_{1}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{x_{1} z_{2}} \overline{\bar{S}}_{z_{1} z_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix C

From (4.17), the vector of the squared multiple correlation coefficient $\widetilde{\widetilde{R}}_{y . x z}^{2}$ is explained as

$$
\widetilde{\underline{R}}_{y \cdot x z}^{2}=\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{1} z_{1}}^{2}+\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{2} z_{2}}^{2}+\cdots+\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{\kappa} z_{\kappa}}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{R}_{y \cdot x_{i} z_{i}}^{2}=\left(\frac{\widetilde{\rho}_{y x_{i}}^{2}+\widetilde{\rho}_{y z_{i}}^{2}-2 \widetilde{\rho}_{y x_{i}} \widetilde{\rho}_{y z_{i}} \widetilde{\rho}_{x_{i} z_{i}}}{1-\widetilde{\rho}_{x_{i} z_{i}}^{2}}\right), \quad(i=1, \ldots, \kappa), \\
\widetilde{\rho}_{y u_{i}}^{2}=\frac{\widetilde{S}_{y u_{i}}^{2}}{\widetilde{S}_{y}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{u_{i}}^{2}}, \quad u_{i}=\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\rho}_{x_{i} z_{i}}^{2}=\frac{\widetilde{S}_{x_{i} z_{i}}^{2}}{\widetilde{S}_{x_{i}}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{z_{i}}^{2}}
$$
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