

International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Life Science, 3 (1) 2019, 75-83

e-ISSN: 2602-4381

ORIGINAL PAPER

Received: 02.12.2018 Accepted: 27.12.2018 Published (online): 18.02.2019

# GIBBERELLIN APPLICATION INFLUENCES ON *EX VITRO* GROWTH, FLOWERING AND STEVIOL GLYCOSIDE ACCUMULATION OF *STEVIA REBAUDIANA* BERTONI

Catherine C. Arradaza<sup>1\*</sup>, Ma. Lourdes O. Cedo<sup>2</sup>, Rocelie R. Zara<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Ann Gonzaga<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Visayas State University, Visca, Baybay City, Leyte 6521 Philippines <sup>2</sup>Crop Physiology Division, Institute of Crop Science, College of Agriculture and Food Science, University of the Philippines Los Baños, College, Laguna 4031, Philippines

\*Corresponding author email: catherine.arradaza@vsu.edu.ph

# Abstract

Stevia (Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni) is a small perennial herb with incredible sweetening property. Stevia is known to contain steviol glycosides (SGs), which are about 300 times sweeter than sucrose at their concentration of 4% (w/v). However, the growth of stevia plants and their SGs production are known to be influenced by external and internal factors. In this study, an ex-vitro experiment was conducted to determine the effect of exogenous  $GA_3$  application on the growth, flowering and steviol glycoside (stevioside and rebaudioside A) accumulation in stevia under Philippine condition. A previously established tissue culture-derived plant was used as mother plants and categorized into two groups: (1) non-flowering (NF) and (2) flowering (F). Stevia stem cuttings were sprayed with  $GA_3$  solutions of various concentrations: 0, 50, 100 and 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at 1-week interval for 5 weeks. NF plants sprayed with  $GA_3$  showed significant difference in shoot length, number of shoot tips, length of longest primary branches compared to the control. NF plants produced more leaves than F at any GA level but without significant difference. Application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) neither delayed nor inhibits flowering of ex vitro stevia plant but significantly reduced the flowering intensity of the plant. Exogenously applied  $GA_3$  also did not affect SG accumulation in the leaves and flowers.

Key words: Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni, gibberellin, steviol glycosides

# INTRODUCTION

Stevia is a small perennial herb with incredible sweetening property. The plant which is native to Paraguay is known to contain steviol glycosides (SGs), which are about 300 times sweeter than sucrose at their concentration of 4% (w/v) (Kinghorn and Soejarto, 1985). However, productions of secondary metabolites (i.e SGs) are known to be influenced by external and internal factors. SG accumulation pattern in leaves varies with cultivar (Bondarev et al., 2003; Serfaty, 2013), phenological stage (Brandle and Rosa, 1992) and growth conditions like photoperiod (Ceunen and Geuns, 2013), temperature and available nutrients (Pal et al., 2013). Hence, manipulation of some known physical and chemical factors can be used as means of intervention to delay flowering and thus increase steviol glycoside production.

Plant growth regulators (PGR<sub>s</sub>) have been reported to be among the main factors that influence plant growth and secondary metabolite synthesis (Hassanpouraghdam et al., 2011). Gibberellin (GA) is a prominent class of phytohormone that is known to control growth and flowering in plants (Taiz and Zeiger, 2010; Medina & Saavedra, 1999: Lang and Reinhard, 1961). GAs are known to stimulate cell elongation and cell division thus promoting growth in plants, manifested as increase in shoot length, internode-length, increased leaf size and change in leaf shape, etc.

Flowering is said to be inhibited by GA in short-day plants under long day photoperiods (Brian, 1958). On the other hand, exogenous application of  $GA_3$  has been found to induce flower formation in many plants under long-day suggesting that GA may be limiting under short days.

Scientific studies have shown significant effect of gibberellins on leaf yield of some medicinal plants (Hassanpouraghdam et al., 2011). GA<sub>3</sub> application reportedly affected leaf fresh and dry weights of the medicinal plant *Coleus amboinicus* Lour. (Pablo Morales-Payan, 2005). In stevia, foliar spraying with GA also showed maximum amount of stevioside content in treated plants on dry weight basis (Modi et al., 2011). The uptake of GA using foliar spray may increase its amount in the cell leading to shutting down of GA process and the pathway is diverted to steviol glycoside accumulation. On the other hand, *in vitro* studies on stevia revealed that GA<sub>3</sub> fed to cell suspension cultures of *S. rebaudiana* showed fast conversion to stevioside (Striedner et al., 1991). This may be related to the observation that SGs and gibberellins may share a common biosynthesis pathway (Brandle and Telmer, 2007).

Considering these reports, the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on the growth, flowering response and SG accumulation in stevia from stem cuttings under greenhouse condition was then determined under Philippine condition.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Establishment of plant material

Previously established tissue culture-derived plants were used as mother plants in this study. These mother plants were categorized into two groups: (1) non-flowering (NF) and (2) flowering (F). NF plants were maintained under 15-h photoperiod while F plants were kept under short-day condition. Both groups of mother plants were ratooned to allow new shoots to develop. Once the plants had developed sufficient number of shoots, shoot tip cuttings with three nodes each were excised. The cuttings were planted in small polyethylene bags (250 cm<sup>3</sup>) with potting medium composed of coconut coir dust: burnt rice hull: garden soil (1:1:1 v/v/v), then covered with clear plastic to avoid dehydration. These cuttings were maintained under 15-h photoperiod until sufficient roots have developed before transplanting to bigger polyethylene bags (4,500 cm<sup>3</sup>) with the same potting medium. After transplanting, the plants were brought to the greenhouse for the experiment. Henceforth, plants derived from these rooted cuttings were referred to as F and NF plants accordingly.

#### Application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>)

Established F and NF plants were sprayed with  $GA_3$  solutions of various concentrations (0, 50, 100 and 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at 1-week interval for 5 weeks. The solution was sprayed on whole plants up to dripping wet. The plants were maintained in the greenhouse under natural environmental condition until the termination of the experiment (10 weeks).

### Measurement of growth and evaluation of flowering response

The number of days to first sighting of floral bud and flower opening were recorded. Vegetative growth of the plants was assessed by recording the shoot length, number of shoots, number of branches and root length. Percentage of flowering and total weight of plants was also taken at termination of the experiment. There were three replications per treatment, with 10 samples per replicate for both plant groups, NF and F. All data were analyzed by ANOVA for Completely Randomized Design (CRD) using computer software Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR) 2013. HSD test was applied for means separation.

#### Steviol glycoside levels in stevia applied with of GA<sub>3</sub>

Above shoots of N and NF potted stevia were cut and washed with water to remove the soil. The shoots of the plants obtained from each treatment were separately weighed and placed in brown paper bags for air-drying for 24-hours. Then, paper bags containing the stevia samples were subjected to oven-drying for 16-hours at  $50^{\circ}$ C. The

dried samples were pulverized using mortar and pestle, then placed in sealed polyethylene bags and stored in a refrigerator at 0-4<sup>o</sup>C until use.

The powdered plant samples per replicate from the experiment were mixed and about 1.0 g was submitted for analysis at the Philippine Institute of Pure and Applied Chemistry (PIPAC), Ateneo de Manila University through HPLC analysis using the protocol established by the FAO (2010). Extraction was done following the method of Kolb et al. (2001) with 70% ethanol (w/w) as the solvent. Stevioside and rebaudioside-A from *ex vitro* stevia were calculated from the samples through HPLC chromatograms and compared with known standard of both glycosides.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Growth response

Stevia sprayed with  $GA_3$  produced small, narrow pale green leaves and elongated internodes at the upper part of shoots. After a month of spraying, it was observed that some of the leaves developed in alternate position on the stem. This response was more common at higher  $GA_3$  levels (100 and 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The secondary branches also had thin internodes and narrow leaves.

Plants sprayed with  $GA_3$  showed significant difference in shoot length compared to the control, however, no significant differences were observed among  $GA_3$ -treated plants (Figure 1). The shoots of the NF plants exhibited increased elongation rate compared to the F plants which showed reduced elongation at 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Both NF and F produced longer shoots at 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> but was comparable to other  $GA_3$ -treated plants, the shortest was at zero  $GA_3$  (control).



**Figure 1.** Shoot length of flowering and non-flowering stevia cuttings to different GA<sub>3</sub> levels after 10 weeks. Bars with common letter are not significantly different (HSD 5%).

The enhanced shoot elongation in  $GA_3$ -treated plants can be attributed to the established effect of the hormone in inducing cell division and cell elongation, resulting to hyper elongation of the internode.

All plants in both NF and F that were sprayed with  $GA_3$  induced production of more leaves but were not statistically different with the other treatments (Table 1). Generally, NF plants produced more leaves than F at any  $GA_3$  level.

PLANT GROUP	$GA_3$ LEVELS (mg L <sup>1</sup> )	NO. OF 1 <sup>0</sup> BRANCH	NO. OF SHOOT TIPS	NO. OF LEAVES
F	0	6	26 d	289
	50	6	40 ab	451
	100	5	38 abc	554
	200	5	28 cd	421
NF	0	6	33 bcd	307
	50	6	36 abcd	527
	100	6	44 ab	550
	200	6	46 a	666
CV (%)		10.11	10.79	29.50

Table 1. Growth response of non-flowering (NF) and flowering (F) stevia cuttings to different GA<sub>3</sub> levels after 10 weeks.

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (HSD 5%) test.

 $GA_3$  application consistently induced shoot formation in stevia plants propagated from both non-flowering (NF) and flowering (F) stock plants compared to the control (Figure 2). NF plants produced increasing number of shoot tips as the concentration of  $GA_3$  sprayed increased (200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) but was comparable with other  $GA_3$  sprayed plants except in F plants at similar concentration (Figure 2). F plants that were not sprayed with  $GA_3$  and those sprayed with highest  $GA_3$  concentration showed the lowest number of shoot tips.

In both F and NF plants, application of  $GA_3$  at concentration used in the experiment showed similar effects in terms of the plants vegetative growth.  $GA_3$  was effective in NF at higher concentration unlike those from F plants. Brian (1958) had earlier suggested that internal levels of GAs increased in long-day photoperiods and such change in hormone balance in plants initiate the maintenance of vegetative phase in short-days plants. In addition, no difference was observed on the number of primary branches in both sources of stevia cuttings.

Even at higher  $GA_3$  application (200 mg L<sup>1</sup>), F plants decreased in number of shoot tips, since these plants were derived from other plants previously maintained under short-day photoperiods which is inductive to stevia flowering. The F plants could be physiologically closer to the flowering phase than the NF plants. It was noted that stevia plants that were about to flower tend to assume the rosette habit of growth such as shorter internodes and smaller leaves.



Figure 2. Shoot tips from flowering (F) and non-flowering (NF) stevia sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub> after 10 weeks. Bars with common letter are not significantly different (HSD 5%).

To assess further the effect of exogenously applied  $GA_3$  on growth, the extent of growth of the longest primary branch of the plants which were found at the  $3^{rd}$  node from the base of the main stem was evaluated (Table 3). Significant differences were observed in shoot length, number of secondary branches and number of leaves. Internodes of most NF plants tend to be longer than those of the F plants but their number of nodes was comparable. The NF plants also had a greater number of shoot tips and leaves. Even without  $GA_3$  treatment (control), after 10 weeks of growth, NF plants, produced more branches and more leaves compared to F plants.

As  $GA_3$  concentration sprayed on NF plants increased, vegetative growth of stevia increased compared to F. Overall, the NF plants appeared to be more predisposed to vegetative growth than the F plants.

 $GA_3$  treatments did not influence the fresh and dry weight of shoot and leaves of both plants. No significant difference was also observed on root length, root fresh and dry weights of both F and NF plants. According to Brian (1958) treatment with  $GA_3$  does not stimulate growth of intact roots.

PLANT GROUP	$GA_3$ CONCENTRATION (mg L <sup>1</sup> )	LONGEST PRIMARY BRANCH			
		Length (cm)	No. of shoot tips	No. of leaves	
F	0	28.56 c	8 b	81.1 c	
	50	34.06 abc	11 a	152.4 abc	
	100	35.39 abc	11 a	180.4 abc	
	200	32.35 bc	10 ab	143.6 abc	
NF	0	31.73 bc	10 ab	120.5 bc	
	50	34.24 abc	10 ab	164.3 abc	
	100	37.29 ab	11 a	221.5 ab	
	200	40.13 a	12 a	234.8 a	
CV (%)		7.64	10.67	23.99	

Table 3. Extent of growth of the longest primary branch in F and NF stevia plants 10 weeks after spraying with GA<sub>3</sub>.

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (HSD 5% ).

#### Flowering

After spraying with different concentrations of  $GA_3$ , some of the F plants did not flower in the highest  $GA_3$  (200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) treatment (Table 4). In addition, the results showed a decreasing occurrence of flowering as the concentration of  $GA_3$  increased from 50 to 200 mg L<sup>1</sup>. These finding indicate that spraying higher  $GA_3$  (100 to 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) lower the incidence of flowering in stevia plants propagated from plants that previously flowered. These results can be advantageous to the growers since most of the stem cuttings used for propagation were taken from plants which are already flowering. At 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>  $GA_3$ , NF plants decreased flowering percentage already.

Overall, there were indications that exogenous application of  $GA_3$  may reduce flowering in stevia. However, since difference among treatments in the two-plant group was found not significant, this would need to be validated.

GA <sub>3</sub>	Flowering (%	%)	
CONCENTRATION (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	F	NF	
0		100.00	
50	96.97	99.97	
100	99.51 94 91	95.56	
200	88.13	100.00	
Average	94.88	98.88	

Table 4. Percentage of flowering of F and NF plants 10 weeks after spraying with different levels of GA<sub>3</sub>.

Onset of flowering, or days taken for the first floral bud sighting, did not differ significantly among the treatments in both F and NF plants. On the average, it took about 24-30 days from planting to start of flowering and 36-41 days to flower opening (data not shown).

The result showed that even with exogenous  $GA_3$  application, flowering was observed in plants maintained under natural inductive condition (short day). This is in contrast to reports that flowering will be inhibited in shortday plants with its application. Cid, as cited by Cardoso et al. (2012) suggested that gibberellins exogenously applied promote the flowering induction and development in plants that normally require long days under the conditions of short days, however, the reverse does not occur, although there are exceptions.

## **Intensity of flowering**

In NF plants, significant difference was found in number of flowers in the untreated plants and those sprayed with  $GA_3$  (Figure 3). The number of flowers in all  $GA_3$  sprayed plants did not significantly differ from each other, but F plants at 200mg L<sup>1</sup>  $GA_3$  was significantly lower than that of the untreated plants.

It was observed that as  $GA_3$  treatment increased, the number of flowers per plant developed decreased. The highest number of flowers was obtained in untreated plants (350 flowers) and this was significantly higher in  $GA_3$  treated plants. Highest  $GA_3$  concentration (200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), gave the lowest number of flowers (88) in F plants. Application of GAs reduce the number of flowers produced by the plant specifically in previously flowering source of plants.



Figure 3. Flowers of stevia stem cuttings from F and F stock plants. Bars with common letter are not significantly different (HSD 5%).

# Steviol glycoside accumulation as affected by exogenous GA

Exogenous application of  $GA_3$  showed enhancing effect on SG accumulation in stevia flowers but not in the leaves when plants are grown from stem cuttings of flowering stock plants (Table 6). The results showed that in F plants, SG content of leaves did not change with  $GA_3$  application. However, SG content of flowers appeared to be slightly higher when plants were sprayed with  $GA_3$  either at 100 or 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> but not at lower level. Plants from NF showed that  $GA_3$  spraying did not affect SG accumulation in either the flowers or the leaves.

Stevioside content in flowers was lower compared to the leaves in agreement with previous reports (Ramesh et al., 2006; Ceunen and Geuns, 2012). In plants grown from stem cuttings of flowering (F) stock plants, an increasing SG content on the flowers was observed as the applied GA<sub>3</sub> increased. Highest SG was achieved in 200 mg  $L^{-1}$  GA<sub>3</sub> and lowest at the untreated plants. While in NF, highest stevioside in flowers was achieved in 50 mg  $L^{-1}$  GA<sub>3</sub> while the highest Reb-A was recorded on the highest GA<sub>3</sub> applied. However, differences in SG content were not significant.

SOURCE OF CUTTINGS	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{GIBBERELLIN} \\ \textbf{LEVEL} \\ (mg \ L^1) \end{array}$	STE	STEVIOSIDE (%)		REBAUDIOSIDE A (%)	
		LEAVES	FLOWERS	LEAVES	FLOWERS	
Flowering	0	2.72 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.21 <u>+</u> 0.10	2.64 <u>+</u> 0.40	1.40 <u>+</u> 0.40	
	50	2.93 <u>+</u> 0.20	1.24 <u>+</u> 0.30	2.61 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.44 <u>+</u> 0.20	
	100	2.46 <u>+</u> 0.90	2.06 <u>+</u> 0.80	2.54 <u>+</u> 0.50	1.90 <u>+</u> 0.60	
	200	2.71 <u>+</u> 0.90	2.25 <u>+</u> 0.80	2.34 <u>+</u> 0.70	1.82 <u>+</u> 0.90	
Non-flowering	0	2.63 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.38 <u>+</u> 0.10	2.54 <u>+</u> 0.60	1.04 <u>+</u> 0.10	
	50	2.99 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.97 <u>+</u> 0.20	2.71 <u>+</u> 0.20	1.15 <u>+</u> 0.10	
	100	3.19 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.84 <u>+</u> 0.10	2.85 <u>+</u> 0.10	1.12 <u>+</u> 0.10	
	200	2.96 <u>+</u> 1.00	1.91 <u>+</u> 0.20	2.59 <u>+</u> 0.90	1.35 <u>+</u> 0.30	

Table 6 . Steviol glycoside content of stevia plants derived from stem cuttings of flowering and non-flowering stock plants 10 weeks after spraying with GA<sub>3</sub>.

NS-No significant difference.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Application of gibberellic acid  $(GA_3)$  appeared to reduce the number of flowers produced by the plant specifically in previously flowering source of plants. However, its application neither delayed nor inhibits flowering of *ex vitro* stevia plants. Exogenously applied GA<sub>3</sub> also did not affect SG accumulation in the leaves and flowers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (ASTHRD D) artment of Science and Technology, Philippines

#### REFERENCES

- Brandle J.E., Rosa, N. 1992. Heritability for yield, leaf:stem ratio and stevioside content estimated from a landrace cultivar of *Stevia rebaudiana*. Can. J. Plant Sci.72:1263-1266
- Brandle J.E., Telmer P.G., 2007. Steviol glycoside biosynthesis. Phytochem. 68: 1855-1863
- Bondare V.N., Reshetnya K.O., Nosov A. 2003. Effects of nutrient medium composition on development of *Stevia rebaudiana* shoots cultivated in the roller bioreactor and their production of steviol glycosides. Plant Sci. 165:845-850
- Bondarev N.I, Sukhanova M.A., Reshetnyak O.V., Nosov A.M., 2003. Steviol glycoside content in different organs of *Stevia rebaudiana* and its dynamics during ontogeny, Biol. Plantarum 47:261–264
- Brian, P.W., 1958. Role of gibberellin-like hormones in regulation of plant growth and flowering. Nat. Land. 181:1122
- Cardoso J.C., Ono E.O., Rodrigues J.D., 2012. Gibberellic acid in vegetative and reproductive development of *Phalaenopsis* orchid hybrid genus. Horticultura Brasileira 30: 71-74
- Ceunen S., Geuns J.M.C., 2013. Glucose, sucrose, and steviol glycoside accumulation in *Stevia rebaudiana* grown under different photoperiods. Biologia Plantarum. 57 (2): 390-394
- Ceunen S., Werbrouck S., Geuns J.M.C., 2012. Stimulation of steviol glycoside accumulation in *Stevia rebaudiana* by red LED light. J. Plant Physiol. 169: 749-752
- Hassanpouraghdam M.B., Hajisamadi A.S.L.B., Khalighi A., 2011.Gibberellic acid foliar application influences growth, volatile oil and some physiological characteristics of lavender (*Lavandula officinalis* Chaix.). Romanian Biotech Letters. 16 (4):6322
- Kinghorn A.D., Soejarto D.D., 1985. Current status of stevioside as a sweetening agent for human use. In: Wagner, H., Hikiro, H., Farnsworth, H. R. (Eds.), Economic and Med Plant Res. Academic Press, New York, 1(1):52
- Lang A., Reinhard E., 1961. Gibberellins. In: Gibberellins and flower formation. Advances in Chemistry. 28:71-79
- Medina E.O., Saavedra A.L., 1999. The use of regulators in floriculture Mexican. Sci. Desar, Bogota., 148: 1-17.
- Modi A.R., Shukla Y.M., Litoriya N.S., Patel N.J., Ubhash N., 2011.Effect of gibberellic acid foliar spray on growth and stevioside content of *ex vitro* grown plants of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. Med Plants Int. J. Phytomedicines Relat. Ind. 3(2):157-160
- Pablo Morales-Payan J., 2005. Growth of aromatic coleus (*Coleus amboinus* Lour.) as affected by biostimulators. Proceedings of 33<sup>rd</sup> PGRSA Annual meeting, 210-212
- Pal P.K., Rasad R. Pathania V., 2013. Effect of decapitation and nutrient applications on shoot branching, yield, and accumulation of secondary metabolites in leaves of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. J of Plant Physiol.70: 1526– 1535
- RAmesh K., Virendra S., Megeji N.W., 2006. Cultivation of stevia [*Stevia rebaudiana* (Bert.) Bertoni]: A comprehensive review. Adv Agron 89: 137–177
- Serfaty M., Ibdaha M. Fischera R., Chaimovitsha D., Sarangab Y., Dudai N., 2013. Dynamics of yield components and stevioside production in *Stevia rebaudiana* grown under different planting times, plant stands and harvest regime. Industrial Crops and Products 50:731–736
- Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR). 2013. Plant Breeding Genetics and Biotechnology. Biometrics and Breeding Informatics (BBI). International Rice Research Institute.
- Striedner J., Geissler S., Czyganf-C., Braunegg G., 1991. Contributions to the biotechnolgical production of sweeteners from *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. III. Accumulation of secondary metabolites by means of a precursor and by elicitation of cellcultures. Acta Biotechnologica 119 (5):505–509
- Taiz L., Zeiger E., 2010. Plant Physiology in the Fifth Edition. Sinauer Associates Inc., Publishers, Sunderland, Massachusetts, U.S.A.