

ON JORDAN GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS IN GAMMA RINGS

Yılmaz Çeven* and M. Ali Öztürk*

Received 23:09:2003 : Accepted 12:05:2004

Abstract

In this study, We define a generalized derivation and a Jordan generalized derivation on Γ -rings and show that a Jordan generalized derivation on some Γ -rings is a generalized derivation.

Keywords: Derivations, Generalized Derivations, Gamma ring.

2000 AMS Classification: 16 W 25, 16 N 60, 16 U 80, 16 A 78

1. Introduction

The notion of generalized derivation was introduced by Hvala [2]. Let R be an associative ring. An additive mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. We call an additive mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ a *Jordan generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(x^2) = f(x)x + xd(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$.

Let M and Γ be additive Abelian groups. Then M is called a Γ -ring if for any $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) $x\alpha y \in M$,
- (2) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$, $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z$, $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$, and
- (3) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$,

The notion of a Γ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa [5] and generalized by Barnes [1] as defined above. Many properties of Γ -rings were obtained by Barnes [1], Kyuno [3], Luh [4], Nobusawa [5] and others.

Let M be a Γ -ring and $D : M \rightarrow M$ an additive map. Then D is called a derivation if

$$D(x\alpha y) = D(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y), \quad (x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma)$$

and D is called a Jordan derivation if

$$D(x\alpha x) = D(x)\alpha x + x\alpha D(x), \quad (x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma).$$

*Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, 58140-Sivas, Turkey.

Let M be a Γ -ring and $F : M \rightarrow M$ an additive map. Then F is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $D : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$F(x\alpha y) = F(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Finally, F is called a Jordan generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $D : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$F(x\alpha x) = F(x)\alpha x + x\alpha D(x)$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

The notions of derivation and Jordan derivation on a Γ -rings were defined by Sapanci and Nakajima in [6], where they showed that a Jordan derivation on a certain type of completely prime Γ -ring is a derivation. In this note we show that for our notions of generalized derivation and Jordan generalized derivation on a Γ -ring given above, a Jordan generalized derivation on certain Γ -rings is also a generalized derivation.

1.1. Example. Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized derivation on a ring R . Then there exists a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. We take $M = M_{1,2}(R)$ and $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : n \text{ is an integer} \right\}$. Then M is a Γ -ring. If we define the map $D : M \rightarrow M$ by $D((x, y)) = (d(x), d(y))$ then D is a derivation on M . Let $F : M \rightarrow M$ be the additive map defined by $F((x, y)) = (f(x), f(y))$. Then F is a generalized derivation on M . Let N be the subset $\{(x, x) : x \in R\}$ of M . Then N is a Γ -ring, and the map $F : N \rightarrow N$ defined in terms of the generalized Jordan derivation $f : R \rightarrow R$ on R by $F((x, x)) = (f(x), f(x))$ is a generalized Jordan derivation on N .

2. The Main Results

Throughout the following, we assume that M is an arbitrary Γ -ring and F a generalized Jordan derivation on M . Clearly, every generalized derivation on M is a Jordan generalized derivation. The converse in general is not true. In the present paper, it is shown that every Jordan generalized derivation on certain Γ -rings is a generalized derivation.

2.1. Lemma. *Let $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then*

- (i) $F(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = F(a)\alpha b + F(b)\alpha a + \alpha D(b) + b\alpha D(a)$.
- (ii) $F(a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a) = F(a)\alpha b\beta a + F(a)\beta b\alpha a + \alpha\beta D(b)\alpha a + \alpha\beta D(b)\beta a + \alpha b\beta D(a) + a\beta b\alpha D(a)$.
- (iii) *In particular, if M is 2-torsion free then*
 $F(a\alpha b\alpha a) = F(a)\alpha b\alpha a + \alpha\beta D(b)\alpha a + \alpha b\alpha D(a)$.
- (iv) $F(a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a) = F(a)\alpha b\alpha c + F(c)\alpha b\alpha a + \alpha\beta D(b)\alpha c + c\alpha\beta D(b)\alpha a + \alpha b\alpha D(c) + c\alpha b\alpha D(a)$.

Proof. (i) is obtained by computing $F((a + b)\alpha(a + b))$ and (ii) is also obtained by replacing b with $a\beta b + b\beta a$ in (i). Moreover, (iii) can be obtained by replacing β with α in (ii). If we replace a with $a + c$, we can get (iv). \square

2.2. Lemma. *Let $\delta_\alpha(a, b) = F(a\alpha b) - F(a)\alpha b - \alpha\beta D(b)$ for $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then*

- (i) $\delta_\alpha(a, b) + \delta_\alpha(b, a) = 0$.
- (ii) $\delta_\alpha(a, b + c) = \delta_\alpha(a, b) + \delta_\alpha(a, c)$
- (iii) $\delta_\alpha(a + b, c) = \delta_\alpha(a, c) + \delta_\alpha(b, c)$.
- (iv) $\delta_{\alpha+\beta}(a, b) = \delta_\alpha(a, b) + \delta_\beta(a, b)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof. These results follow easily by Lemma 1 (i) and the definition of $\delta_\alpha(a, b)$. \square

Note that F is a generalized derivation iff $\delta_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

2.3. Lemma. *Let $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. If M is 2-torsion free, then*

- (i) $\delta_\alpha(a, b)\alpha[a, b]_\alpha = 0$.
- (ii) $\delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha = 0$ for any $x \in M$.

Proof. (i) Replacing c by aab in Lemma 1(iv), we obtain the proof.

(ii) We set $A = aab\beta x\beta b\alpha a + b\alpha a\beta x\beta a\alpha b$. Then, since $F(A) = F(a\alpha(b\beta x\beta b)\alpha a + b\alpha(a\beta x\beta a)\alpha b)$ and $F(A) = F((a\alpha b)\beta x\beta(b\alpha a) + (b\alpha a)\beta x\beta(a\alpha b))$, by Lemma 1(iii) and Lemma 1(iv), we have the proof. \square

2.4. Theorem. *Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring. If M has two elements a and b so that for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have $c\gamma x\gamma[a, b]_\alpha = 0$ or $[a, b]_\alpha\gamma x\gamma c = 0$ implies $c = 0$ for all $x \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$, then every Jordan generalized derivation on M is a generalized derivation.*

Proof. Let u, v be fixed elements of M such that $c\gamma x\gamma[u, v]_\alpha = 0$ or $[u, v]_\alpha\gamma x\gamma c = 0$ implies $c = 0$. Then by Lemma 3(ii), we get

$$(2.1) \quad \delta_\alpha(u, v) = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Our aim is to prove that $\delta_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In Lemma 3(ii), replacing a by $a + u$, we have $\delta_\alpha(a + u, b)\beta x\beta((a + u)\alpha b - b\alpha(a + u)) = 0$. Using Lemma 2(iii), we get $\delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha = 0$.

From Lemma 3(ii), since $\delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha = 0$ and $\delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha = 0$ then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $x, a, b \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Now replacing b by $b + v$ in (2.2) and using Lemma 2(ii), we get $\delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, v)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, v)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[a, v]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, v)\beta x\beta[a, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, v)\beta x\beta[a, v]_\alpha = 0$ or using equations (2.1) and 2.2 we have

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, v)\beta x\beta[u, b]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a, v)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[a, v]_\alpha = 0.$$

Replacing a by u in equation(2.3) and using equation (2.1) together with the fact that M is 2-torsion free, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_\alpha(u, b)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $b, x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence by hypothesis, we get

$$(2.5) \quad \delta_\alpha(u, b) = 0$$

for all $b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Again replacing b by v in equation (2.2), using equation (2.1) and the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \delta_\alpha(a, v) = 0$$

for all $a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Substituting equations (2.5) and (2.6) in equation (2.3) we have

$$\delta_\alpha(a, b)\beta x\beta[u, v]_\alpha = 0,$$

and then from the hypothesis we obtain

$$\delta_\alpha(a, b) = 0$$

for all $a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, i.e. F is a generalized derivation on M . \square

References

- [1] Barnes, W. E. *On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18**, 411–422, 1966.
- [2] Hvala, B. *Generalized derivations in rings*, Comm. Algebra **26**, 1147–1166, 1998.
- [3] Kyuno, S. *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math. **75**, 185–190, 1978.
- [4] Luh, J. *On the theory of simple Γ -rings*, Michigan Math. J. **16**, 65–75, 1969.
- [5] Nobusawa, N. *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1**, 81–89, 1964.
- [6] Sapancı, M. and Nakajima, A. *Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings*, Math. Japonica, **46** No: 1, 47–51, 1997.