Drag reduction of a bus model by passive flow canal

Cihan Bayındırlı

Nigde Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Nigde Omer Halisdemir University, Nigde, 51000, Turkey

ABSTRACT

In this study, the drag force of 1/33 scale bus model was improved by passive flow control method. The effect of passive air canal application to drag coefficient was experimentally and numerically investigated on a bus model. Experiments were carried out in the range of $3.8 \times 10^5 - 7.9 \times 10^5$ Reynolds numbers. The similarity conditions were provided in experimental studies with the exception of the moving road. To provide the geometric similarity condition, the model bus was produced in 3D printer by scanning 3 dimensions. Reynolds number independence was used for dynamic similarity condition. The rate of blockage is 6.81% for kinematic similarity, which is lower than the rate of blockage accepted in the literature. Respectively 4.21%, 7.48% and 12.19% aerodynamic improvement achieved with 1, 3, and 5 passive air canals whose diameter 6 mm. Flow analysis was performed in Fluent® program of the model 3 bus to view the flow structure around the bus. The numerical results support to wind tunnel results. In this study the effect of obtained aerodynamic improvements by applied passive flow control method can decrease fuel consumption about 2-6% at high vehicle speeds.
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1. Introduction

The aerodynamic force significantly affects the performance and fuel consumption of vehicles. Besides road holding features, emission values and comfort of vehicles are related to aerodynamic shape. The improving the fuel economy of vehicles will have impact on energy security, greenhouse emissions and petrol based fuel costs. Automotive manufacturers are competing to produce powerful cars with better fuel efficiency. The fuel consumption of vehicles can be improved by reducing the drag coefficient by active or passive flow control methods to reduce the aerodynamic drag. There are many drag reduction techniques in literature both passive flow control and active flow control. The fuel consumption by vehicles accounts for over 30% of CO₂ and other greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Moreover, most of the usable energy from the engine goes into overcoming the aerodynamic drag (53%) and rolling resistance (32%); only 9% is required for auxiliary equipment and 6% is used by the drive-train. 15% reduction in aerodynamic drag at highway speed of 55mph can result in about 5–7% in fuel saving [1]. The effect of multiple bumps application to aerodynamic force was investigated. It was placed in the rear end of the cabin roof on the overall aerodynamic drag reduction for a generic model of a commercial investigated and optimized. The numerical method combined automatic redesign of the add-ons, simulation, and optimization using a globalized form of the Taguchi method. The numerical optimization showed that drag reduction can be achieved at different values of the design parameters with an overall expected reduction between 6 and 10%. Overall, the bumps increased the cabin surface pressure coefficient and displaced the attachment of the bed flow over the tailgate toward the cabin, eventually reducing the size of the recirculating flow behind
the tailgate and improving the pressure there [2]. The drag coefficient was decreased by using active flow control method in that study [3]. As passive flow control, bumper design was changed and drag force improved the up to 3.08% with the in accordance with reference model [4]. The drag coefficient was reduced by 3% - 9% by regularly air jetting behind the model [5]. The flow structure around of a bus model was experimentally investigated. They studied the average and instantaneous acceleration vector map, swirl spins, flow line topology and other turbulence properties by PIV (particle image velocimetry) method [6]. The mechanism of moving vehicle’s transient aerodynamic force was investigated in crosswind by CFD method [7]. In CFD studies the change in turbulence intensity does not change the pressure distribution on the model [8]. The aerodynamic structure of a truck trailer model was examined by the CFD method and the CD coefficient of the truck trailer model was improved by 21% by adding passive flow control components [9]. They examined the flow structure around a bus model using the CFD method. They developed three models to reduce the drag force of the bus model. They achieved an aerodynamic improvement as respectively 6.57%, 25.82% and 24.42% [10].

This study focused on to decrease the aerodynamic force of a bus model whose drag coefficient experimentally and numerically determined in study of Bayindirli and Celik, 2018 [11]. Passive flow canal applications were used to minimization of drag coefficient both in a wind tunnel and CFD method. The numerical simulations were evaluated using the commercial software Ansys - Fluent®, a widely accepted as computational analysis technique which works with the finite volume method. It was obtained that the numerical results support experimental results after CFD simulations.

2. Material and Methods in Wind Tunnel Tests

2.1. Similarity conditions

In studies on vehicle aerodynamics, three different similarity conditions must be provided between prototype and model car. These are geometric, kinematic and dynamic similarity conditions. To provide geometric similarity, the licensed model bus has been used and faults that may occur due to surface roughness, very small parts are ignored. In kinematic similarity the rate of blockage is determinant factor. The front surface area of model bus is 0.01089 m²; front surface area of test area is 0.16 m² and a blockage rate is 6.81%. The blocking rate should be lower than 7.5% in literature [12].

Reynolds number independence was used to provide dynamic similarity in the study.

2.2. Experimental setup

The experiments were conducted in the test section of a low-speed, suction-type wind tunnel with a square test section of 400 mm × 400 mm × 1000mm. The 4 kW powered axial fan motor was used to achieve desired free stream velocity in the test region. The rpm of fan motor was controlled by frequency inverter. The frequency inverter operates in the range of 0 - 50 Hz and has 0.1 Hz step. The wind tunnel tests were carried out in the range of 3.8 × 10⁵ – 7.9 × 10⁵ Reynolds numbers, based on length of bus models. The minimum and maximum free stream velocity in the range of 0-30 m/s in wind tunnel. The view of the test devices and wind tunnel is given in Fig. 1a,b.
shown in Figure 2-4. In this study, the bus model which is examined and improved aerodynamically is 1/33 scaled model of real vehicle. A 1/66 scaled toy bus was precisely scanned with three-dimensional scanning device and was created by computer-aided drawing method. It was double scaled and produced in 3D printer as 1/33 scaled due to measure of very little drag forces needs very sensitive test devices. The model bus positioned on floor plate in 5 cm high. So uniform flow effected to model vehicle. The size of model bus 101x96.3 x 441 mm.

2.3. Uncertainty analysis of experimental results

In this study, the results of the uncertainty analysis of the calculated. Uncertainty value for the Re number was obtained as 3.87% by writing ρ, UPitot, H and μ argument of uncertainty values. The uncertainty values of drag forces were obtained as 4.5%. It was calculated for 10 m / s free flow speed and Re = 312 000 value. The uncertainty value of the drag force coefficient was obtained as 4.7% by writing F, ρ, A, the argument of uncertainty values [11].

2.4. Methodology in CFD

In study, convergence criteria was taken as 1×10⁻³ for continuity, x-velocity, y-velocity and z-velocity. The intensity of turbulence is also taken as 1%. The air density is taken as 1 kg / m³ and the dynamic viscosity is 1.56x10⁻⁵. The front surface area of the vehicle is calculated as 0.01089 m² from the reports-projected area. The analyses were made as standard initialization using standard wall functions and Simple Least Squared Cell Based k-ε RNG turbulence model. The numeric flow analyses were carried out in the Fluent® program using Workstation computer which has Intel® Xeon® CPU E3-1270 V5 3.60 GHz processor and 32 Gb Ram.

2.4.1. General equations

Continuity and momentum equations are used in solving the finite volumes with computational flow dynamics (CFD). In practice, it is difficult to solve these equations analytically. Therefore, these equations are solved numerically using CFD packet programs.

Continuity and momentum equations are used in solving the finite volumes with computational flow dynamics (CFD). In practice, it is difficult to solve these equations analytically. Therefore, these equations are solved numerically using CFD packet programs.

Continuity equation

The continuity equation is expressed as the mass balance in the control volume in a flow.

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z} = 0$$

(1)

Momentum equation

According to Newton's second law, the rate of change of the momentum of a fluid fraction is equal to the total of the forces acting on that fluid fraction. The momentum increase rate in the x, y and z directions of the unit volume of a fluid fraction is respectively expressed in terms of $\frac{\rho Du}{Dt}$, $\frac{\rho Dv}{Dt}$, $\frac{\rho Dw}{Dt}$ [13].

The x-component of the momentum equation;

$$\rho \frac{Du}{Dt} = \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{xx})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial z} + S_{ux}$$

(2)

The y-component of the momentum equation;

$$\rho \frac{Dv}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{yy})}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial z} + S_{uy}$$

(3)

The z-component of the momentum equation;

$$\rho \frac{Dw}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \tau_{zy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{zz})}{\partial z} + S_{uz}$$

(4)

Navier – Stokes equations

Navier - Stokes and continuity equations are also referred to as differential motion equations. When these equations are solved, some assumptions are taken and pressure and three components of velocity (x, y, z) are calculated [13]. The most
useful way to develop the finite volume method of Navier-Stokes equations:

\[ \rho \frac{Du}{Dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \text{div}(\mu \text{grad} u) + S_{u}, \]  

(5)

\[ \rho \frac{Dv}{Dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \text{div}(\mu \text{grad} v) + S_{v}, \]  

(6)

\[ \rho \frac{Dw}{Dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \text{div}(\mu \text{grad} w) + S_{w}. \]  

(7)

The sizes of solution domain is same with experimental test area where 40×40×100cm. In this section as seen in Fig. 5, the mold cavity of the model vehicle is formed, the drawing data are defined and transferred to the meshing section. The boundary conditions are defined as inlet, outlet, wall and bus model wall in solution area.

2.5. Grid strategy

An unstructured tetrahedral mesh structure was used in all computational domains, refined in almost all surfaces of the bus models and in regions where the flow has high gradients. Proximity and curvature grids were used to obtain fine mesh distribution. Moreover, a grid independence study was carried out in order to guarantee the element size does not affect the results. The bus model positioned in a box whose sizes 50x50x50 mm. To capture certain areas of interest the cells have to small enough to solve all irregularities and achieve fine solution. The tight mesh structure was created on bus model and flow separation area where separation might occur and turbulence is high. 3527539 triangular volumes cell structure (tetrahedrons) was created in solution domain. The mesh distribution on model bus 3 is given Fig. 6.

The aerodynamic drag coefficient \( C_D \) is the function of the drag force \( F_D \), density \( \rho \), free stream velocity \( V \) and front view area and it is given in Eq. (8).

\[ C_D = \frac{F_D}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A}. \]  

(8)

2.6. Mesh independent in CFD analysis

It is very important to establish a smooth and high quality mesh in the numerical analysis about the finite volumes in terms of the correctness of the results. Therefore, mesh quality is sought in numerical studies. However, as the complexity of the analyzed geometries increases, it becomes more difficult to obtain desired mesh quality. The bus model has small, curved parts. In this case, the mesh quality could not be obtained at the desired level. In order to achieve desired mesh quality, it will be necessary to further simplify of drawing data, draw flat parts instead of arcuate parts, or to close small parts and create a larger number of mesh structures. In this case, the drawing data will move away from the geometric similarity. In this study, the average element quality was 0.68. It is not recommended that this value be higher than 0.5. One of the methods to verify numerical studies with independence tests from the mesh. If the result does not change after a certain value despite the increase in the number of mesh in the numerical solution, independence in the solution from the mesh is obtained. In this study, as shown in Table 1. mesh independence tests were carried out for 10 different triangular volumes (tetrahedrons) mesh structure at 5.4x10^5 Reynolds number for model bus. The mesh independent analyzes were carried out by changing the minimum and maximum dimensions of the triangular mesh in the mesh configurations of the bus and the desired mesh number structures were created at different skewness rates.

The independence tests from the mesh were conducted on 10 different mesh numbers. As seen in Fig. 7, the \( C_D \) coefficient was obtained at approximate values after 1550251 mesh numbers. In this study, the number of mesh was determined as 3527539. These mesh number is in the region where the mesh independence is obtained. Therefore, it has been
accepted that flow analyzes are performed independently from the mesh quality. The faults which were caused mesh number and quality in numerical results were ignored.

Table 1. Mesh independence test results at $5.4 \times 10^5$ Reynolds number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reynolds Number</th>
<th>Mesh Number</th>
<th>$C_D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>650 306</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>954 520</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>1060 230</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>1 550 251</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>1 995 742</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>2 227 102</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>2 602 154</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>3 527 539</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>5 160 468</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 453</td>
<td>6 011 160</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The independence tests from the mesh were conducted on 10 different mesh numbers. As seen in Fig. 7, the $C_D$ coefficient was obtained at approximate values after 1550251 mesh numbers. In this study, the number of mesh was determined as 3527539. These mesh number is in the region where the mesh independence is obtained. Therefore, it has been accepted that flow analyzes are performed independently from the mesh quality. The faults which were caused mesh number and quality in numerical results were ignored.

Table 2. The drag coefficient values of model buses in wind tunnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reynolds Number</th>
<th>Base Bus Model $C_D$</th>
<th>Model 1 $C_D$ (1 Canal)</th>
<th>Model 2 $C_D$ (3 Canals)</th>
<th>Model 3 $C_D$ (5 Canals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>382866</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465426</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544453</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629112</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712857</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>792900</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag Reduction</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
<td>7.48%</td>
<td>12.19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The drag coefficient of a truck trailer was experimentally reduced by 25.58% by using spoiler. Also they used passive flow canal to decrease drag coefficient. The drag coefficient of a truck trailer model was decreased 22.46% by using together; spoiler, passive flow canal and redirector in a wind tunnel [14]. In this study passive flow canal applications were applied to reduce the pressure induced aerodynamic drag and positive results were obtained on a bus model. With this passive flow control method, the $C_D$ coefficient of the bus was improved between the rates of 4.21% - 12.19% without energy consume.

3. Experimental Results

As seen in Table 2 and Fig. 8, as a result of experimental tests the $C_D$ coefficient of the model bus was determined as 0.633 average. In this study, passive air canals whose inner diameter 6mm, wall thickness 2 mm, length 410 mm are added to the roof area of the model bus. Respectively 1, 3 and 5 canals were drilled on the roof area of bus model. The effect of transferring the flow from front surface area to the negative pressure area behind the bus determined by the experimental method.

4. The Numerical Flow Analysis of Model 3 in CFD

As a result of the wind tunnel tests, the maximum drag reduction obtained in model 3 bus as 12.19%. The flow analysis of base bus model and model 3 bus conducted in CFD method also. The flow structure around of bus and pressure distribution on bus model determined as seen in Fig. 10-12. As seen in Table 3 and Fig. 9, 11.93% drag reduction obtained by 5 passive flow canals in model 3 bus by using CFD. This result confirms experimental improvements also.
As seen in the CFD flow analysis, the total drag force of the vehicles is mostly pressure based. This pressure is positive and negative, but the area of the negative pressure zone is considerably higher positive pressure area. In vector and streamline display of the flow, it is observed that the flow separates after leaving the windshield surface. Also there is turbulence area rear of the bus. This negative pressure area creates aerodynamic drag the opposite direction to the forward movement of the vehicle. By using passive flow canal application, the flow where on front surface was transferred through the canals to the negative pressure area of the bus and the aerodynamic drag force was reduced.
5. Conclusion

In this study, aerodynamic improvement was obtained by passive flow control method in a bus model. All similarity conditions except the moving floor were provided in the experimental studies. Passive air canals application was applied to the upper roof area of the bus to provide aerodynamic improvement. By the experimental method, air flow was carried to the back of the bus with inner diameter of 6 mm plastic canals. Passive air canals reduce the pressure-induced drag force by filling the negative pressure zone of the bus with air. In the study, the highest aerodynamic improvement was provided in model 3 bus with 5 passive air canals. The $C_D$ coefficient of the model 3 bus was 0.556 and the aerodynamic recovery rate was 12.18%. The effect of the aerodynamic reduction at this rate can decrease fuel consumption about 6% at high speeds. In order to view the flow structure around the model 3 bus, flow analysis was performed by CFD method under the same experimental conditions. The coefficient of the model 3 bus was 0.567 and the aerodynamic recovery rate was 11.93%. The difference between the two methods is 2.06%. The numerical results support experimental results.
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